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Abstract

Minutes for the TGaz Teleconference: 7th August, 2019.

**IEEE 802.11 Task Group AZ**

**August 7th, 2019**

1. **TGaz – 7th August, 2019** 
   1. Called to order by TGaz Vice Chair, Assaf Kasher (Qualcomm) and Roy Want (Google) Acting Secretary, at **10am PT,**
   2. Agenda Doc. **IEEE 802.11-19/1362r2**
   3. Review Patent Policy and logistics
      1. Chair reviewed the IEEE-SA Patent Policy, additional guidelines about IEEE-SA meeting and logistics – no clarifications requested.
      2. Chair called for any potentially essential patents, no one spoke up.
      3. Chair reviewed IEEE 802 WG participation as an individual professional, and anti-trust requirements – no clarification requested.
      4. Chair reminded all participants that they could record their attendance by email to the secretary (optional)
      5. Recorded Participation requirement
         1. Any questions comments or feedback – none
         2. Headcount: ~14 participants on telecon.
   4. Agenda
      1. Reviewed the proposed agenda:
      2. Two presentations are scheduled for the telecomn:   
         **11-19/1368r1**: Ganesh Venkatesan (Intel) - 20mins max; and   
         **11-19/1365r0:** Girish Madpuwar (Broadcom).
      3. Vice Chair called for any additional feedback and changes to agenda:
         1. None
   5. Ganesh Venkatesan (Intel) presended document **11-19/1368r1**
      1. **Title**: Resolutions to LB240 CID #1433 (relative to IEEE 802.11 REVmd D2.0 and P802.11az D1.0)
      2. **Summary**: This submission proposes resolutions to the following LB240 CID1433. This addresses issues from the discussion of 1368r10.
      3. **Discusssion**:
      4. C. [#1433] On 4th bullet there is a preface of ‘dot11RSTARequiresPMVactivated’. Should add this to the other bullets to qualify them?
      5. R. Thank you – I will check if it applies to other bullets.
      6. C. In FTM in negotiation, these capabilities need to be set. Should I use this bit in my extended capabilities bits, or just in the responder?
      7. R. The STA hears it and puts it in the request.
      8. C. We don’t want to overload the beacon with all the capabilities.  
          (only use the most important)
      9. C. Need to spend some more time to work how to word this, and I will bring it back again as r2.
   6. Ganesh Venkatesan (Intel) had additional questions on document on TGaz D1.2, but due to presentation problems could not show it. He will send email to the group instead.
   7. Girish Madpudwar (Broadcom) was asked to present, but did not respond on the call. His presentation will be rescheduled for a future meeting.
   8. Any other business (AOB)?
      1. No additional items
   9. Telecon ended at 10.44am PT.
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