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Abstract

This document defines methodologies for the evaluation of MAC proposals in the TGbb.

1. **MAC proposal evaluation methodology**

### Scenarios

Proposals for MAC contributions shall be evaluated against simulation scenarios described in TGbb doc. 11-18/1423r8 which is based on the TGbb usage model doc. 11-18/1109r5 after selecting the primary usage models. Simulations shall implement the TGbb channel modeling described in doc. 11-18/1582r4.

### Simulation methodology choice

Proponents of different techniques should provide justification for their proposed simulation methodology used to justify the technique’s gains.

**Examples of choices of simulations:**

* MAC System simulation:
	1. Impact of MAC scheduler – for example OFDMA-based MU operation vs. other MU techniques
	2. Impact of MU RTS/CTS procedure
	3. Impact of parameter settings in MAC scheduler – for example different parameter settings for EDCA in different scenarios
	4. Impact of resource allocation in MAC scheduler – for example multiple RUs allocated to one STA
	5. Impact of OBSS thresholds
* Integrated System simulation:
	1. Performance evaluation of 11bb solution in the environment close to real-world
	2. Impact of crosslayer techniques affecting both PHY and MAC layers in the context of multi-BSS

### Simulation baseline scenario

* Proposers shall provide MAC and/or Integrated System simulations with parameters described in doc. 11-18/1582r4:
	+ Enterprise-Conference Room (Clause 5)
	+ Industrial Wireless (Clause 9)

### Metrics

# The TGbb evaluation methodology defines evaluation of spectrum efficiency improvement in both link level and system level.

1. Per-STA Throughput

Per-STA throughput metrics are used to measure the user experience in the area covered by one or multiple BSSs in different simulation scenarios [[1]](#endnote-1).

Definition – Per-STA throughput is measured at MAC SAP by the number bits (or bytes) of MAC payload successfully transmitted over the given measurement period in the full buffer simulation.

• Per-STA throughput at 5 percentile of throughput CDF curve measures the minimum throughput performance of stations at the cell edge.

• Per-STA throughput at 50 percentile of CDF curve measures the average throughput of stations in all participating BSS in the simulation.

• Per-STA throughput at 95 percentile of CDF curve measures the top performance of stations at the cell center of BSS.

Per-STA throughout for DL and UL are presented separately.

1. Per-BSS Throughput

Per-BSS throughput is used to evaluate BSS capacity in the various simulation scenarios described in [i]. This metric directly relates to the aggregated Per-STA throughputs in BSS and can be used to compare different deployment densities and heterogeneous deployments.

Definition – Per-BSS throughput is the aggregated Per-STA throughput among all the associated stations in a BSS.

Per-BSS throughout could be measured by aggregating Per-STA throughputs of all the stations in a BSS.

Per-BSS throughout for DL and UL are presented separately.

1. Packet Loss

The packet loss metric is used to evaluate the system robustness especially in scenarios that require high reliability (e.g. Industrial wireless). This metric reflects an aspect of system performance different from throughput and transmission latency.

Definition – The packet loss is defined as the number of MAC packet not delivered at all or not delivered in time to the receiver over the total number of offered MAC payloads.

The packet loss means that the MAC packet could not be decoded by the receiver due to the interference or low RSSI, or the MAC packet could not be delivered at the receiver in time for QoS flow due to traffic congestion.

1. Transmission Latency

The metric of transmission latency is used to measure the time delay of medium acquisition in channel access mechanism. The transmission latency is used to evaluate an aspect of MAC performance in various QoS transmissions.

Definition – The transmission latency is measured from the time that MAC receives a packet till the time that PHY starts transmitting.

The transmission latency may include the time delay of

• Backoff time

• AIFS

• Other system parameters

1. End-to-end Latency

Definition – The end-to-end latency is meansured from the time that the MAC at the sending BSS receives a packet until the time that the MAC at the receiving STA successfully delivers it.

If there are any questions, please use the TGbb email reflector.
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