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Abstract

This document proposes a procedure for the evaluation of PHY proposals in TGbb.

# Introduction

# PHY evaluation methodology

## Scenarios

Proposals in shall be evaluated against simulation scenarios for PHY described in TGbb doc. 11-18/1423r8 which is based on the TGbb usage model doc. 11-18/1109r5 after selecting the primary usage models. Simulations shall implement the TGbb channel modeling described in doc. 11-18/1237r2. TGbb has made available a number of channel impulse responses that can be downloaded from Mentor in doc. 11-18/1582r4.

# Results are expected for AWGN, D3 in scenario 3 and D7 in scenario 4 (Fig. 25) where LED1-6 are used together. In case of questions, please, use TGbb email reflector.

## Metrics

# The aim of the evaluation is first to demonstrate that the PHY is consistently defined and parametrized. Therefore, proposers shall evaluate the preamble detection performance, the error rate of the header and the error rate of the payload.

# 1) Preamble

# For the preamble, the detection probability (for false alarm rate = 0.1%) vs. SNR (cf. doc. 15-18-0106/r0) and the required SNR where the probability of misdetection (timing error) is <0.1%.

# 2) Header

# For the header, proposers are required to present the BER vs. SNR including all associated channel and line coding (if applicable) assuming random data for the header information.

# 3) Payload

# For the payload, BER vs. SNR shall be plotted incl. any channel coding (if applicable) assuming random data for the payload. Proposers shall use their lowest and highest modulation and coding scheme that is intended to be supported. Providing results for intermediate MCS is considered optional.