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Abstract

Resolved the following **3 CIDs**

16352, 16353, 16927

Interpretation of a Motion to Adopt

A motion to approve this submission means that the editing instructions and any changed or added material are actioned in the TGax Draft. This introduction is not part of the adopted material.

***Editing instructions formatted like this are intended to be copied into the TGax Draft (i.e. they are instructions to the 802.11 editor on how to merge the text with the baseline documents).***

***TGax Editor: Editing instructions preceded by “TGax Editor” are instructions to the TGax editor to modify existing material in the TGax draft. As a result of adopting the changes, the TGax editor will execute the instructions rather than copy them to the TGax Draft.***

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **CID** | **Page** | **Comment** | **Proposed Change** | **Resolution** |
| 16352 |  | Should allow for more than one ack-enabled MPDU in an ack-enabled multi-TID A-MPDU (with a mechanism to ensure it's clear which is being acked, of course) | As it says in the comment | Rejected –  This is already clarified in the resolution to CID 16295. “A non-ack- multi-TID A-MPDU allows multiple TIDs, all corresponding to a block ack agreement, to be present in an A-MPDU. An ack-enabled multi-TID A-MPDU additionally allows one or more frames not sent under a block ack agreement to be included. An ack-enabled A-MPDU allows one or more frames not sent under a block ack agreement to be included, but single MPDU can solicit Ack.(#16295)”  The proposed allowance will create ambiguity in response |
| 16353 |  | Can an S-MPDU sent by a non-AP STA be acked by an M-BA from the AP? Maybe only if the S-MPDU is sent in an UL MU transmission (i.e. HE TB PPDU)? | As it says in the comment | Rejected –  It is not allowed. No motivaton to allow MBA in SU PPDU case, since it will be inefficient. |
| 16927 | 276.01 | Using an ACK mechanism in a non-legacy PHY format will cause EIFS and in general, loss of slotting | Eliminate this way of ACKing a TB PPDU and save the industry a big headache | Rejected –  Agree with the reasoning for 2.4/5GHz. This could be useful in 6GHz. |