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Abstract

This submission proposes resolutions for CIDs 1359 and 1388

# Introduction

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1359 | 19.3.4 | 2755 | 58 | Figure 19-3 says that "When STBC is used, the STBC block has more outputs than inputs." but the figure shows the opposite (the STBC block has 4 inputs and 4 outputs) | Delete the cited text in the referenced figure |
| 1388 | 19.3.5 | 2759 | 25 | "An HT AP that is not a VHT AP shall support all EQM rates for two spatial streams (MCSs 8 to 15) using a 20 MHz channel width." -- there is no reason a VHT AP should be inferior to an HT AP | Delete "that is not a VHT AP" in the cited text at the referenced location |

# CID 1359

## Discussion

The Context of the comment is Figure 19-3, which is shown below.



The transmitter block diagram in Figure 19-3 illustrates the signal flow for data transmission. There are many different options for HT transmission, but the figure is not intended to cover them all. The particular case shown in Figure 19-3 has two FEC encoders, 4 streams at the output of the stream parser, BCC coding, no STBC, equal number of transmit chains and space-time streams and 4 streams at the input of the spatial mapper.

The NOTES simply highlight some of the other modes that can be used when transmitting HT and which would result in a transmitter block diagram that looks different from the one shown in Figure 19-3. Each of the bullets listed under “NOTES” would result in changes to the transmitter block diagram of Figure 19-3.

Note that even when STBC is not used, the flow conceptually still goes through the STBC block as explained in clause 19.3.11.9.2 (Space-time block coding). On page 2792, line 41, it says:



## Proposed Resolution

Clarify the intended configuration of Figure 19-3, by modifying the notes in the Figure as shown below.



|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  |  | **Comment** | **Proposed Change** | **Resolution** |
| 1359 | 19.3.4 | 2755 | 58 | Figure 19-3 says that "When STBC is used, the STBC block has more outputs than inputs." but the figure shows the opposite (the STBC block has 4 inputs and 4 outputs) | Delete the cited text in the referenced figure | Change the notes in Figure 19-3 as shown in 802.11-18/0701r1 |

# CID 1388

## Discussion

The Context of the comment is shown below.



Note that the following are the mandatory requirements for a VHT STA (see clause 21.1.1):



There is no distinction between AP and non-AP STAs in this. Therefore, a compliant VHT STA only has to support a single Spatial Stream, regardless of whether it is a STA or an AP. Since every VHT STA is an HT STA by definition, it appears that the standard needs to make a disctiction between the capabilities of HT APs that are VHT APs and HT APs that are not. As such, the requirement on page 2759, line 25 looks intentional.

## Proposed Resolution

Reject the comment as the statement on page 2759, line 25 is needed to guarantee consistency with the mandatory requirements of VHT STAs.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  |  | **Comment** | **Proposed Change** | **Resolution** |
| 1388 | 19.3.5 | 2759 | 25 | "An HT AP that is not a VHT AP shall support all EQM rates for two spatial streams (MCSs 8 to 15) using a 20 MHz channel width." -- there is no reason a VHT AP should be inferior to an HT AP | Delete "that is not a VHT AP" in the cited text at the referenced location | Reject. This statement is needed to guarantee consistency with the mandatory requirements of VHT STAs. |