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Abstract

This submission proposes resolutions for multiple comments related to TGax D2.0 with the following CIDs:

* 11015, 11860, 13410, 13411 (4 CIDs)

Revisions:

* Rev 0: Initial version of the document.

Interpretation of a Motion to Adopt

A motion to approve this submission means that the editing instructions and any changed or added material are actioned in the TGax Draft. This introduction is not part of the adopted material.

***Editing instructions formatted like this are intended to be copied into the TGax Draft (i.e. they are instructions to the 802.11 editor on how to merge the text with the baseline documents).***

***TGax Editor: Editing instructions preceded by “TGax Editor” are instructions to the TGax editor to modify existing material in the TGax draft. As a result of adopting the changes, the TGax editor will execute the instructions rather than copy them to the TGax Draft.***

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **CID** | **Commenter** | **P.L** | **Comment** | **Proposed Change** | **Resolution** |
| 11015 | Abhishek Patil | 136.48 | Flexible TWT Schedule Support applies only if the STA supports one or more of TWT Requester Support or TWT Responder Support or Broadcast TWT Support | Replace text in 'Encoding' column to:"If TWT Responder Support, TWT Requester Support, or Broadcast TWT Support is 1 Set to 1 if the STA supports reception of a TWT Information frame with a nonzero value in the Next TWT field. Set to 0 otherwise.Reserved otherwise." | Rejected –Reception of a TWT Information frame with a nonzero value in the Next TWT field can also be possible when none of the mentioned capabilities are set to 1. The current definition correctly covers this aspect. No changes are needed for this comment. |
| 11860 | Guoqing Li | 136.49 | Should it be "any nonzero value" in the third collumn to be consistent with the definition of this field? If there is word of "any", then the TWT value can only be from an existing TWT value? | Clarify | Revised –Agree in principle with the comment. Proposed resolution clarifies that it can be any nonzero value since it does not necessarily need to be any of the existing schedules in this case. TGax editor: Replace “a nonzero value” with “any nonzero value” in the third column of the row starting with “Flexible TWT Schedule Support”. |
| 13410 | Sameer Vermani | 143.06 | This field is applicable to SU Beamformer. Hence the "Reserved if the SU Beamformee field is 0" is incorrect | Replace with "Reserved if the SU Beamformer field is 0" | Accepted |
| 13411 | Sameer Vermani | 143.13 | This field is applicable to SU Beamformer. Hence the "Reserved if the SU Beamformee field is 0" is incorrect | Replace with "Reserved if the SU Beamformer field is 0" | Accepted |

**Discussion: *None.***