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Abstract

This submission proposes resolution of comment received from TGay comment collection (TGay Draft 0.3).

- 2 CID: 264, 480

1. **Introduction**

Interpretation of a Motion to Adopt

A motion to approve this submission means that the editing instructions and any changed or added material are actioned in the TGay Draft. The introduction and the explanation of the proposed changes are not part of the adopted material.

***Editing instructions formatted like this are intended to be copied into the TGay Draft (i.e. they are instructions to the 802.11 editor on how to merge the text with the baseline documents).***

***TGay Editor: Editing instructions preceded by “TGay Editor” are instructions to the TGay editor to modify existing material in the TGay draft. As a result of adopting the changes, the TGay editor will execute the instructions rather than copy them to the TGay Draft.***

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| CID | Page Number | Line Number | Comment | Proposed Change | Resolution |
| 264 | 145 |  | FDMA MU PPDU structure is missing | Define the MU PPDU structure for FDMA | Rejected  As a consistent resolution of CID 337, which proposes removal of FDMA from the draft, it is proposed not to add the definition of the group for FDMA |
| 480 | 163 | 18 | When OFDM mode is used, the tone plan for channel-wise DL FDMA should be defined. | Define the tone plan for channel-wise DL FDMA | Rejected  As a consistent resolution of CID 337, which proposes removal of FDMA from the draft, it is proposed not to add the definition of the group for FDMA |
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**Straw Poll:**

* **Do you agree to accept comment resolution as proposed in doc 11-17/1658r0?**