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Abstract

Suggested comment resolution for CIDs 52 and 53 against FILS text in REVmd-D0.1.

CID 52

**Comment (Cls. 11.47.5.2; P2056L26):**

"beyond the scope", "out of scope" appears about 8 times for FILS (At this cite it concerns the Bit Pattern subfield.) This is OK but it does raise the question of whether these should be covered by a descriptive Annex? To my mind that would be good. So my comment is, "have the FILS authors considered a descriptive Annex to cover the number of "out of scopes' that appear?

**Proposed Resolution by commenter:**

As per comment

**Discussion**:

TGai felt compfortable having all information related to differentiate link set-up included in one clause.

Having the text commented on in a descriptive annex might be feasible but needs to be finally judged based on actionalble proposed text changes.

**Suggested Resolution:**

REJECT: the proposed resolution does not provide changes to the draft that can be immediately adapted to satisfy the comment.

CID 53

**Comment (Cls. 11.47.5.3; P2056L47):**

From Line 47 to end of this claiuse there are combinations and actions. It would be much clearer if a table were added that showed these. Would the DILS authors consider adding a Table to summarize these three paragraphs?

**Proposed Resolution by commenter:**

As per comment

**Discussion:**

The existing text is technically correct and complete.

The comment suggest to create a submission to include an additional table to increase readability / clarity of the text.

**Suggested Resolution:**

REJECT: the proposed resolution does not provide changes to the draft that can be immediately adapted to satisfy the comment.
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