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Abstract

In the process of resolving the LB212 comments on P802.11ak Draft D1.0, the 11ak Task Group decided that some comments should be referred to 802.11REVmc. This document lists those comments.
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# Introduction

In the process of resolving the LB212 comments on P802.11ak Draft D1.0, the 11ak Task Group decided that some comments should be referred to 802.11REVmc. This document lists those comments. All were rejected by 11ak.

# Comments that are still applicable to base text

## CID 55

Comment: " that handles a few specific network protocols, " -- go on, give me a clue

Proposed: Enumerate all such specific protocols, or the criteria that select them.

Resolution: REJECTED (EDITOR: 2015-05-14 23:53:54Z) This is base line text. We will forward this comment to REVmc

NOTE: See Lines 1-2, Page 134, REVmc D5.2.

## CID 217

Comment: This note is referring to frame body size, which is no longer shown. The description is still not correct, with growing set of subcases not listed.

Proposed: Recommend removing the note.

Resolution: REJECTED (EDITOR: 2015-07-10 23:48:37Z) 11ak is not changing this text other than deleting the NOTE number due to the insertion of level 5 subclause headers. Suggest referring this to 802.11REVmc.

NOTE: This note seems to still be there in REVmc D5.2 Page 627 Line 62. It refers to a frame size in Figure 9-52 but that figure seems to not (no longer?) have a frame size in it?

## CID 300

Comment: There is no precedent for "this" in the first sentence of the second paragraph of 4.5.4.5.

Proposed: Clarify that to which "this" refers.

Resolution: REJECTED (EDITOR: 2015-05-15 00:13:14Z) This is baseline text. Will forward the comment to REVmc.

NOTE: Probably refers to the “this” in Line 24 Page 104 of REVmc D5.2.

## CID 374

Comment: "Note that such translations might be required in a STA.": semi-requirement in a definitions clause.

Proposed: Replace "required" with "needed".

Resolution: REJECTED (EDITOR: 2015-05-14 23:53:54Z) This is base line text. We will forward this comment to REVmc

NOTE: See Lines 4 and 5, Page 134, REVmc D5.2

# Comment that have been passed by events

Most of these are comments on base line text where the base line text has changed in REVmc D5.2 so that the comment is no longer applicable.

## CID 220

Comment: This statement is incorrect, with advent of 11ac/ad.

Proposed: Recommend changing as "If A-MPDU aggregation is used with HT modulation, a maximum MPDU length of 4095 octets". Also add an additional clause, on a separate line stating: "Under no circumstances can an MPDU exceed 11454 octets"

Resoltuion: REJECTED (EDITOR: 2015-07-10 23:34:44Z) This text is unchanged by 11ak. Suggest sending this to 802.11REVmc.