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Abstract

This document proposes resolutions on following CIDs:

* CIDs 10651 and 10649 in sub-clause 10.1.4.3.2
* CIDs 10668, 10652, and 10653 in sub-cluase 10.1.4.3.4

Changes in the text refer to: Draft P802.11ai/D6.2

*Note: CIDs in sub-clause 8.4.2.173 have been resolved by other proposal in November 2015 Dallas meeting, so the resolution for CIDs in sub-clause 8.4.2.173 has been deleted from this document*

Comments (CID 10651, 10652, and 10653)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **CID** | **Clause**  | **Page** | **Line** | **Comment** | **Proposed Change** | **Proposed****Resolution** |
| 10651 | 10.1.4.3.2 | 102 | 39 | If nothing happens by the end of the MinChannelTime, you just move on to the next channel. Therefore it is not true that MaxChannelTime indicates the time the transmitter of a probe will be available to receive the response | Advertise MinChannelTime rather than MaxChannelTime, as this is the amount of time a scanning STA is guaranteed to be on the channel | RejectedSee the rationale in 11-13/1431r2 |
| 10652 | 10.1.4.3.4 | 103 | 35 | If nothing happens by the end of the MinChannelTime, you just move on to the next channel. Therefore it is not true that MaxChannelTime indicates the time the transmitter of a probe will be available to receive the response | Advertise MinChannelTime rather than MaxChannelTime, as this is the amount of time a scanning STA is guaranteed to be on the channel | RejectedSee the rationale in 11-13/1431r2 |
| 10653 | 10.1.4.3.4 | 104 | 2 | If nothing happens by the end of the MinChannelTime, you just move on to the next channel. Therefore it is not true that MaxChannelTime indicates the time the transmitter of a probe will be available to receive the response | Advertise MinChannelTime rather than MaxChannelTime, as this is the amount of time a scanning STA is guaranteed to be on the channel | RejectedSee the rationale in 11-13/1431r2 |

Discussion on CID 10651, 10652, and 10653

After a STA transmits a Probe Request, it waits for Probe Responses during MinChannelTime. If it senses channel is not idle, then it further waits for Probe Responses during MaxChannelTime.

So, MinChannelTime is the minimum duration for a STA to stay on a channel, and the MaxChannelTime is the maximum duration for a STA to stay on a channel.

If the MaxChannelTime is advertised to the AP, then the AP can 100% sure that the STA is not on the channel after MaxChannelTime and unnecessary Probe Responses can be avoided by stopping the transmission of Probe Response by the AP after MaxChannelTime.

The AP should transmit Probe Response after MinChannelTime since the STA may be on the channel after MinChannelTime.

So, indicating MaxChannelTime is more useful for preventing unnecessary Probe Responses

Comments (CID 10668)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **CID** | **Clause**  | **Page** | **Line** | **Comment** | **Proposed Change** | **Proposed****Resolution** |
| 10668 | 10.1.4.3.4 | 103 | 35 | FILS relies on a STA noticing when the probe request actually went on air and then managing to stay on channel for the specified channel duration after that. In reality many STAs will have a deadline for channel time in certain circumstances. If a probe didn't get to the medium until near the deadline the STA may go off channel anyway. Similarly many access points may be able to limit scheduling of a probe response to channel time but they can't cancel stuff in their transit pipeline so may well transmit after the probe requests channel time in the presence of contention | Allow for some "leakage" | RevisedSee the proposed text in 11-13/1431r2 |

Discussion on CID 10668

The commenter concerns that the APs cannot cancel the probe response in their transmit pipeline.

But, we have similar feature in the baseline

MSDU lifetime is defined in legacy spec, and it uses the similar concept of discarding a frame after lifetime even though the frame is in the queue.

MSDU lifetime is a mandatory feature of 802.11:

“QSTAs shall maintain a transmit MSDU timer for each MSDU passed to the MAC………. If the value of this timer exceeds the appropriate entry in dot11EDCATableMSDULifetime, then the MSDU, or any remaining, undelivered fragments of that MSDU, shall be discarded by the source QSTA without any further attempt to complete delivery of that MSDU.”

 (Reference: Clause 9.19.2.6 Retransmit Procedure of IEEE 802.11-2012 & Clause 9.9.1.6 Retransmit procedures of IEEE 802.11e)

We already have a similar mandatory feature of allowing discarding a frame after lifetime even though the frame is in the queue in the legacy spec.

But, some people are concerning on the difficulty of discarding a frame in the queue, so we propose to change “shall” to “should” to allow for some leakage.

**Proposed Text**:

***Change the sentence in Section 10.1.4.3.4 of TGai Draft D6.2 as follows: (P97L35)***

If the Max Channel Time field of the FILS Request Parameters element is present in the Probe Request frame, the responding FILS STA ~~shall~~ should discard the Probe Response frame that has not been transmitted as a response to the Probe Request frame when the elapsed time measured from the end of the reception of the Probe Request frame by the MAC entity of the responding STA exceeds the time indicated by value of the Max Channel Time field of the FILS Request Parameters element of the Probe Request frame.

Comments (CID 10649)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **CID** | **Clause**  | **Page** | **Line** | **Comment** | **Proposed Change** | **Proposed****Resolution** |
| 10649 | 10.1.4.3.2 | 102 | 39 | "When the Max Channel Time field of the FILS Request Parameters element of the Probe Request frame is present" -- well, when is it present, in fact? Nothing seems to ever require its presence | Add some words to explain when it ought to be present | RevisedSee the proposed text in 11-13/1431r2 |

**Proposed Text**:

***Change the sentence in Section 10.1.4.3.2 of TGai Draft D6.2 as follows: (P96L39)***

A FILS STA may indicate its MaxChannelTime in the Max Channel Time field of the FILS Request Parameters element of the Probe Request frame to prevent the responding STA from transmitting the Probe Response after the time indicated by the MaxChannelTime has elapsed.

~~When the Max Channel Time field of the FILS Request Parameters element of the Probe Request frame is~~

~~Present, the~~ The Max Channel Time field shall be set to the MaxChannelTime of the MLME-SCAN.request primitive as defined in 8.4.2.173 (FILS Request Parameters element).