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Abstract

This submission addresses CIDs 10037, 10039, 10040, and 10041.

**Issue**: The “Preferred Name Syntax” from RFC 1035 describes an object. While it might be possible that the intent of hashing “domain information” in FILS is to describe a collection of objects who share the same information after the first “.” in their names, this information will not be known by APs. When multiple networks are supported behind a single SSID it is done by VLAN whose membership is typically arranged dynamically using per-user authorization attributes. An AP may know a VLAN ID but it does not have knowledge of how the objects on that network are described in the “Preferred Name Syntax” of RFC 1035. Trying to give APs this information does not scale and would be extremely fragile and prone to misconfiguration. Furthermore, for split-MAC architectures the sending of probe responses is typically part of the “real-time MAC” on the AP while the trunking of VLANs and the parsing of authorization attributes is done on the “non-real-time MAC” on the controller.

It is worth noting that the only new ANQP element defined by FILS whose usage is not described in section 10.25.2 “ANQP procedures” is the FILS Domain Information element. Removing it should obviously not be a problem.

Lack of knowledge of “Domain information” on APs is not a problem for existing 802.11 deployments and FILS does not introduce anything new that would make it a problem for FILS.

There is still a need to hash names though and that is for identification of the realm of an EAP-RP server.

**Proposal**: Get rid of the notion of providing “hash domain information” and clarify the use of realm hashing for EAP-RP.

Text convention is *text that furthers discussion of resolution* and ***instructions to the editor***.

CID 10037

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| CID | Comment | Proposed Change | Proposed Resolution |
| 10037 | Hash Domain Information is not necessary and its use supposes this information is available when, in practice, it is not. | remove the Hashed Domain information from the FILS Request Parameters element, remove the Hashed Domain Information Present bit from the Parameter Control Bitmap field, and remove the Hashed Domain Information field and its accompanying text. | Accept |

***Instruct the editor to modify section 8.4.2.173 as indicated:***

**8.4.2.173 FILS Request Parameters element**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Minimum Data Rate | RCPI Limit | OUI Response Criteria | Max Channel Time |  |

Octets: 0 or 3 0 or 1 0 or 2 0 or 1

**Figure 8-577d—FILS Request Parameters element format**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| OUI Response Criteria Present | Max Channel Time Present |  | Reserved |

Bits: 1 1 1 2

**Figure 8-577e—Parameter Control Bitmap field**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  |  |

CID 10040

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| CID | Comment | Proposed Change | Proposed Resolution |
| 10040 | These are not “Domain Identifiers”, they indicate supported “realms” for EAP-RP. | Reword the “Domain Identifier” portions of this section to indicate supported “realms” for EAP-RP. Don’t indicate “Hashed Domain Names”, indicate hashed realms. | Revised: changed “domain” to “realm” throughout. |

***Instruct the editor to modify section 8.4.2.178 as indicated:***

**8.4.2.178 FILS Indication element**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Element ID | Length | FILS Information | Cache Identifier | RealmIdentifier | Public Key Identifier |

Octets: 1 1 2 0 or 16 variable variable

**Figure 8-577l—FILS Indication element forma**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Number of Public Key Identifiers | Number of RealmIdentifiers | FILS IP Address Configuration | Cache Supported | Reserved |

**Figure 8-577m—FILS Information field definition**

The Number of RealmIdentifiers subfield lists the number of realm identifiers that are present in the Realm Identifier field in the FILS Indication element. When the Number of Realm Identifiers subfield is 0, the Realm Identifier field is not present in the FILS Indication element. Each realm identifier is formatted per Figure 8-574n (Realm Identifier entry). Up to seven Realm Identifiers fields may be carried in FILS Indication element.

|  |
| --- |
| Hashed RealmName |

Octets: 2

**Figure 8-577n—RealmIdentifier Field**

The value of the Hashed Realm Name subfield of the RealmIdentifier field entry is computed from the realm name that is compliant with the preferred name syntax defined in IETF RFC 1035 (same as the domain name used in 8.4.5.15 (Domain Name ANQP-element)). The exact computation method for the hashed realmname is given in 10.45.4 (FILS authentication and higher layer setup capability indications).

*General cleanup that is not part of a CID but is necessary with resolution of other CIDs*

***Instruct the editor to modify section 8.4.5.1 as indicated and remove section 8.4.5.22 entirely:***

**8.4.5.1 General**

**Table 8-257—ANQP-element definitions**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| ANQP-element name | Info ID | ANQ-element (subclause) |
| Query AP List | 273 | 8.4.5.20 (Query AP List ANQP-element) |
| AP List Response | 274 | 8.4.5.21 (AP List Response ANQP-element) |
|  | Reserved |  |
| CAG | 276 | 8.4.5.23 (Comman Advertisement Group ANQP-element) |



CID 10039

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| CID | Comment | Proposed Change | Proposed Resolution |
| 10039 | Get rid of the hash domain name stuff | Remove step 7 | Accept |

***Instruct the editor to modify section 10.1.4.3.4 as indicated:***

**10.1.4.3.4 Criteria for sending a ~~probe~~ response**

A FILS STA shall not respond to a Probe Request frame if any of the following criteria is met for a FILS Request Parameters element contained in the Probe Request frame:

*General cleanup that is not part of a CID but is necessary with resolution of other CIDs*

***Instruct editor to modify section 10.25.3.2.1 as indicated:***

**10.25.3.2.1 General**

**Table 10-16—ANQP usage**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| ANQP-element name | ANQP-element (subclause) | ANQP element type | AP | Non-AP STA | STA |
| Query AP List | 8.4.5.20 (Query AP List ANQP-element) | Q | R | T | -- |
| AP List Response | 8.4.5.21 (AP List Response ANQP-element) | S | T | R | -- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Common Advertisement Group | 8.4.5.23 (Common Advertisement Group ANQP-element) | Q,S | T,R | T,R | -- |

CID 10041

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| CID | Comment | Proposed Change | Proposed Resolution |
| 10041 | This section should be only about hashing of realms, not domain names | reword section to say that the AP is indicating 8 realms for which it can offer EAP-RP support. Remove the option for "D" in the calculations that indicates "Home network". | Revised: text indicates “realms” per RFC 6696 |

***Instruct the editor to modify section 10.74.4 as indicated:***

**10.74.4 FILS authentication and higher layer setup capability indications**

A FILS AP shall include a FILS Indication element in Beacon and Probe Response frames, and may include a FILS Indication element in FILS Discovery frames. The FILS Indication element indicates properties of the FILS authentication protocol used, whether the AP performs IP address assignment, and the IP address type.

An AP can indicate up to 7 realms that indicate the domain name of the server that the AP is capable of participating in an EAP-RP exchange with (see RFC 6696). . The realm name of an EAP-RP server is the realm portion of the keyName-NAIas defined in IETF RFC 6696. For each of the realmnames, the FILS Indication element carries a 2-octet hash of the network realmname and the IP address type of the corresponding domain. The hash of the realm name (IETF RFC 1035 compliant) is computed as follows:

NOTE— Internationalized domain names are first converted to an IETF RFC 1035 compliant ASCII form using the

operations defined in IETF RFC 3490.

H = L(CRC32-(x),0,16),0,15) (2)

where:

CRC-32(x) is calculated by using G(x) function defined in 8.2.4.8 (FCS field), where x is ToLower-Case(D)

H is the hashed realmname,

L is defined in 11.6.1,

ToLowerCase is the function that converts upper case characters to lower case

D is: NAI Realm of the EAP-RP server used in EAP-RP authentication.

***Instruct the editor to remove entire row for Item FILS 3.2 in B.4.27 FILS features (Protocol Capability “FILS Domain Information”)***

**References:**