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Abstract

This document contains the minutes of IEEE 802.11 TGmc teleconference held on 2015-08-14.

1. IEEE 802.11 TGmc Officer roll
	1. Dorothy Stanley (Chair), Aruba
	2. Mark Hamilton (Vice Chair), Rukus
	3. Jon Rosdahl (Secretary), CSR
	4. Adrian Stephens (Tech Editor), Intel Corporation
	5. Edward Au (Sub-editor), Marvell
	6. Emily Qi (Sub-editor), Intel Corporation
2. The Chair (Dorothy Stanley) called the meeting to order at 2015-08-14 15:03 (UK time)
	1. Present (role in meeting) & affiliation
		1. Dorothy Stanley (Chair), Aruba
		2. Adrian Stephens (Secretary pro tem), Intel Corporation
		3. Scott Marin, Self
		4. Emily Qi, Intel Corporation
		5. Graham Smith, SRT Wireless
		6. Mark Rison, Samsung
		7. Mark Hamilton (Vice chair, attended in part), Rukus
		8. Sean Coffey (attended in part), Realtek Semiconductor Corp.
	2. Patent policy. The Chair advised participants of the IEEE-SA patent policy. There were no questions. The Chair issued a call for potentially essential patents. There was no response to this call.
	3. Agenda
		1. The following draft agenda was posted to the TGmc email list prior to the meeting. Change tracking in the box below shows any changes during approval of the agenda.

|  |
| --- |
| Draft agenda 2015-08-14:1. Call to order, patent policy, attendance2. Editor report 3. Comment resolution 11-15-1004 – Graham – 1 hour11-15-762 – Mark Rison - 45 minutes11-15-0999 - Dorothy – In CambridgeAny Additional available CIDs Editori: 6836, 6097, 5959, 5184, 5063, and 5010 – In CambridgeAny additional available CIDs 4. AOBNext meeting: Wednesday - Friday, August 19, 20, 21 Cambridge UK, separate webex to be announced shortlyCambridge meeting – Please let Jon Rosdahl know if you are attending 5. Adjourn |

* + 1. **Motion: Approve the agenda [as shown above]**
			1. No objection to approve agenda as shown
1. Editor report (Adrian Stephens)
	1. All comments approved in May-July have been edited.
	2. Editorial comments are being reviewed by the editorial team.
	3. Technical comments are out for review by a mixture of submission authors (for comments citing a submission) and a volunteer review panel.
	4. The review should be complete and any reported defects addressed prior to the September session.
	5. Comments 6836, 6097, 5959, 5184, 5063, and 5010 were approved for editing. These need additional input from TGmc based on observations by the editors.
2. Submission 11-15-1004, Presented by Graham Smith
	1. <https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/15/11-15-1004-02-000m-resolutions-for-comments-assigned-to-gs.docx>
	2. CID 5096 & 6099
		1. An updated resolution was generated (updated for clarity), as shown in R3 of the submission.
		2. Resolution (to both comments):
			1. Revised, make changes in R3 of <cited doc> under CID 5096
		3. There was no objection to mark these comments ready for motion.
	3. CID 5097
		1. An updated resolution was generated (updated for clarity), as shown in R3 of the submission.
		2. Resolution (to both comments):
			1. Revised, make changes in R3 of <cited doc> under CID 5097
		3. There was no objection to mark these comments ready for motion.
	4. CID 5098
		1. An updated resolution was generated (updated for clarity), as shown in R3 of the submission.
		2. Resolution (to both comments):
			1. Revised, make changes in R3 of <cited doc> under CID 5098
		3. There was no objection to mark these comments ready for motion.
	5. CID 6100
		1. Resolution:
			1. Revised, make changes in R3 of <cited doc> under CID 5097 and 5098
		2. There was no objection to mark this comment ready for motion.
	6. CID 5171
		1. An updated resolution was generated (updated for clarity), as shown in R3 of the submission.
		2. Proposed Resolution:
			1. Revised. At 2668.6 Change "PC39:M" in Status column to "PC40:M".Page 2668 line 39 change “PC39.1:M” to “PC40.1:M”Page 2669 line 8 change “PC39.1:O” to “PC40.1:O”Page 2669 line 13 change “PC39.1:M” to “PC40.1:M”
		3. There was no objection to mark this comment ready for motion.
	7. CID 5226
		1. The resolution was discussed.
			1. The question was raised as to whether references to dot11RSNAStatsCMACICVErrors in the text should (in part or all) reference dot11RSNABIPMICErrors, in which case it would be wrong to delete the MIB variable.
				1. Action: Dorothy to ask Dan to think about this.
			2. C: deleting MIB variables might be the wrong thing to do. Mark deprecated, and delete from any object groups cited from a current compliance statement. Add reason for deprecation.
	8. CID 5227
		1. The resolution was discussed:
			1. C: The assumption in the submission that MIC relates to TKIP only is incorrect.
				1. Action: Graham to rework the proposed resolution
	9. CID 6031
		1. The resolution was discussed:
			1. C: Making the change would make bullets 2 and 3 inconsistent.
			2. C: It is right to compare parameters of the START primitive with the incoming MESHPEERINGMANAGEMENT.indicaution.
		2. The resolution was updated.
			1. Rejected. The text is unambiguous and no change is proposed to the cited text. The primitive being compared is correct and also compared in the prior list items.
		3. A separate motion will need to be for the additional changes not related to the comment in the submission.
			1. Action: Graham
	10. CID 6042
		1. Discussion
			1. C: Prefer “the” to “this”
			2. C: Cited text duplicates text at 978 lines-411.
			3. C: Prefer to delete last 3 sentences of 979.01
			4. C: Prefer to delete last 4 sentences of 979.01
		2. Proposed Resolution:
			1. Revised, make changes in R3 of <cited doc> under CID 6042
		3. There was no objection to mark this comment ready for motion.
3. Submission 11-15-762r5, Mark Rison
	1. URL: <https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/15/11-15-0762-05-000m-resolutions-for-some-comments-on-11mc-d4-0-sbmc1.docx>
	2. CID 6482
		1. Changes to the resolution presented in a previous meeting were presented.
		2. C: file needs to be tidied-up to show changes accurately (i.e., move discussion material)
		3. Chair requests that the updated submissions for this comment and the next 2 are notified on the reflector to requeest review.
			1. Action: Mark Rison
	3. CID 6480
		1. The resolution was reviewed.
			1. Q: why is aAirPropagation not zero for the DMG PHY? It is zero in other PHYs
				1. A: is is zero in those PHYs where sSlotTime is dependent on aAirPropagation time, which is not the case for the DMG PHY.
		2. Mark will update resolution to address this question
	4. CID 6496
		1. The resolution was reviewed
			1. C: Question about reference to antenna connector
		2. Mark will consider and update the submission
	5. CID 6506
		1. The resolution was reviewed
			1. C: the “indicate” in “CCA-ED shall indicate a channel busy” could be confused that this is a CCA.indication. Suggest replace “indicate” with “determine”, and also change the “CCA-ED detects a channel busy condition” to “CCA-ED determines a channel busy condition”.
		2. Mark will consider and update the submission
4. Having reached the end of the scheduled meeting time, the chair adjourned the meeting at: 17:00 UK time.