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Abstract

This submission proposes resolutions for multiple comments of TGah Draft 3.0 with the following CIDs:

* 5378, 5402

Revisions:

- Rev 0: Initial version of the document

Interpretation of a Motion to Adopt

A motion to approve this submission means that the editing instructions and any changed or added material are actioned in the TGah Draft. This introduction is not part of the adopted material.

***Editing instructions formatted like this are intended to be copied into the TGah Draft (i.e. they are instructions to the 802.11 editor on how to merge the text with the baseline documents).***

***TGah Editor: Editing instructions preceded by “TGah Editor” are instructions to the TGah editor to modify existing material in the TGah draft. As a result of adopting the changes, the TGah editor will execute the instructions rather than copy them to the TGah Draft.***

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **CID** | **Commenter** | **P.L** | **Clause** | **Comment** | **Proposed Change** | **Resolution** |
| 5378 | Mitsuru Iwaoka | 216.29 | 8.9.1.2 | The Duration field of NDP CF-End frame is specified to follow the definitions in 8.3.1.6 (CF-End frame format). However, the subclause 9.22.2.9 specifies that the Duration filed of the NDP CF-End frame is set to 0. It is not necessary to have duration field in the NDP CF-End frame. | 1) Remove the Duration field in Figure 8-722a19 and Figure 8-722a10, and modify the width of Reserved field.2) Remove the descriptions of Duration filed (P216L47 and P217L11).3) Modify the fourth paragraph of 9.22.2.9 (P268L7) as follows:---An S1G STA that transmits an NDP CF-End frame shall not initiate any further frame exchange sequences within the current TXOP. | Rejected –The comment fails to identify a technical issue. The NDP CF-End frame is a frame that has NAV-resetting properties (wherein the NAV mechanism relies in the presence of the Duration field in the received frame to be (re-)set). Hence, to keep consistency between the NAV and the frames that cause the NAV (re-)set it is best to keep the Duration field. |
| 5402 | Mitsuru Iwaoka |  |  | An S1G RTS frame is not specified. It is an RTS frame sent by an S1G STA. | Replace all occurrence of "S1G RTS frame" by "RTS frame" with following exceptions:- P76L57 (8.2.4.1.10): Replaced with "RTS frame transmitted with a value of S1G for the FORMAT parameter of the TXVECTOR". | Rejected –In Subclause 8.2.4.1.1 (General) we have clarified that “The Control frames carried by S1G PPDUs are called S1G Control frames” which applies to the type of control frames as well. To clarify the use of “S1G RTS” please note that it is used in those cases when the RTS behaviour is valid for S1G STAs only, e.g., the re-definition of the Order field pointed by the proposed change, the use of the RTS as a response to a PS-Poll (P241L25), bandwidth signalling, etc. |

**Discussion:** *None.*