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Abstract

This document contains the meeting minutes from the 802.11 TGah PHY adhoc on September 15th, 2014.

**September 15th, 2014 (Monday) PM 7:30 – 9:30, Local Time**

1. **Yongho chaired the first half part and David (Huawei) chaired the second half part of PHY Adhoc session. Chair called the meeting to order at 7:30 PM.**
2. **Chair reviewed the proposed agenda of the PHY Ad-hoc, containing:**
	1. IPR and other relevant IEEE policies
	2. Review submission list for PHY resolutions.
3. **Submissions of Comment Resolutions**
	1. **11-14-1126-00-00ah-cid-resolutions-for-lb203-section-24-3-17-5-4 (Eugene, Qualcomm)**
* This comment resolution addresses following comments in TGah Draft 2.1:
	+ Subclause 24.3.17.5.4: 3073, 3521, 3568, 3569, 3570, 3571, 3572, 3887, 4003, 4005, 4135, 4146, 4204, 3673, 3674, 3675
	+ Subclause 24.3.17.5.2: 4173
	+ Annex E: 3078, 4172
* The contribution was presented with following discussion:
	+ Hongyuan: Regarding the modified sub-clause 24.3.17.5.4, there’re too many conditions to follow in implementation.
	+ Eugene: in order not to miss any case.
	+ Hongyuan: in some cases the STA doesn’t need to identify the packet type.
	+ Eugene: True. In implementation, some different packets may trigger the same CCA detection procedure. But in spec text, we’d better list them individually.
	+ Hongyuan: why not just simply say there’re totally only two operations for 1MHz bandwidth and >= 2MHz bandwidth?
	+ Eugene: open to make changes, just make sure the changes keep consistent with context.
	+ Eugene: regarding explanation of resolution of CID 4003, remove the last sentence.
	+ Yongho: the proposed modification doesn’t indicate the relation between the modification and each accepted/revised comment.
	+ Eugene: accepted and will update the document.
* Revision 1 was presented after offline discussion.
* Pre-motion: Do you agree the comment resolutions for all CID as shown in 11-14/1126r1?
	+ Result: passed unanimously
	1. **11-14-1125-00-00ah-cid-resolutions-for-lb203-section-24-3-8 (Eugene, Qualcomm)**
* This comment resolution addresses following comments in sub-clause 24.3.8 of TGah Draft 2.1: 3070, 3071, 3072,3527, 3528, 3529, 3530, 3532,3563, 3564, 3565, 3566,
* The contribution was presented with following discussion:
	+ Yongho: suggest modifying the instruction to editor part to keep it consistent with normal format.
	+ Eugene: accepted and reflect in revision 1.
* Pre-motion: Do you agree the comment resolutions for all CID as shown in 11-14/1125r1?
	+ Result: passed unanimously
	1. **11-14-1097-01-00ah-LB203-PHY-Resolution-Clause-24.4 (Bo Sun, ZTE)**
* This comment resolution addresses following comments in sub-clause 24.4 of TGah Draft 2.0: 3074, 3075, 4183, 4189 and 4190
* The contribution was presented with following discussion:
	+ Yonghu: the Inter-Frame Spacing item should not be there and we’d better remove it.
	+ Bo: we can remove it since it never appears in VHT or HT tables. The change is reflected in revision 1.
* Pre-motion: Do you agree the comment resolutions for all CID as shown in 11-14/1097r1?
	+ Result: passed unanimously
	1. **11-14-1120-00-00ah-lb2-0-s1g-phy-comment-resolutions (Hongyuan, Marvell)**
* This comment resolution addresses following comments in TGah Draft 2.0:
	+ Clause 9.33: 3348, 3950;
	+ Clause 24: 3204, 3205, 3400, 3414, 3531, 3559, 3603, 3604, 3605, 3607, 3608, 3956, 3957, 3958, 3959, 3960, 3961, 4020, 4157, 4158
* The contribution was presented with following discussion:
	+ Hongyuan: Will add reference in comment resolution column, and reflect the changes in revision 1.
* Pre-motion: Do you agree the comment resolutions for all CID as shown in 11-14/1120r1?
	+ Result: passed unanimously