IEEE P802.11
Wireless LANs

|  |
| --- |
| LB 200 MAC CID 2670-2454-2482-2484 Resolution |
| Date: 2014-4-8 |
| Author(s): |
| Name | Affiliation | Address | Phone | Email |
| Zander Lei | I2R | 1 Fusionopolis Way #21-01 Connexis | +65 6408 2436 | leizd@i2r.a-star.edu.sg |

Abstract

This submission proposes resolutions for miscellaneous comments with the following 4 CIDs:

* 2670, 2454, 2482, 2484

Interpretation of a Motion to Adopt

A motion to approve this submission means that the editing instructions and any changed or added material are actioned in the TGah Draft. This introduction is not part of the adopted material.

***Editing instructions formatted like this are intended to be copied into the TGah Draft (i.e. they are instructions to the 802.11 editor on how to merge the text with the baseline documents).***

***TGah Editor: Editing instructions preceded by “TGah Editor” are instructions to the TGah editor to modify existing material in the TGah draft. As a result of adopting the changes, the TGah editor will execute the instructions rather than copy them to the TGah Draft.***

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **CID** | **Page.Line** | **Clause** | **Comment** | **Propose Change** | **Resolution** |
| 2670 | 39.11 | 8.3.1.1 | Title of Figure 8-14a is confusing as "Type is equal to 1" refers to control frames which is already mentioned. | Change the title to:"Frame Control field subfield values within S1G control frames when Subtype is not equal to <ANA> and not equal to 10" | Agree with the commenter.Revised:TGah editor to make changes shown under heading CID2670 in 11-14-xxxxr0 |
| 2454 |  |  | When things like "(Modified)" and "(NDP)" are used parenthetically like this, it is not clear whether the meaning is clarification or alternation. That is, if I see "(NDP) ACK" does this mean "ACK or NDP ACK" or does it mean "an ACK, which is necessarily an NDP ACK in this context". Similarly "NDP (Modified) ACK" -- is an NDP ACK possible? | Either get rid of all thse parentheticals, or add something to 8.2.2 giving some examples after the QoS frame-based examples | Agree with the commenter. Revised. TGah editor to make changes shown under heading CID2454 in 11-14-xxxxr0 |
| 2482 |  |  | In some places "(Short)" has been added before various management frame names. I'm not sure it has been done in all places where it has, i.e. where a Short frame would be as good as a normal one | Assure me it's been done everywhere, so if I see something without a "(Short)" in the combination of baseline+this amendment it really does not apply to Short frames | Agree with the commenter in general. The draft has been amended accordingly for better clarification when addressing other comments. The parenthesis in “(Short)” has already been removed in Draft 1.2. Revised. No further action is needed for TGah editor. |
| 2484 |  |  | Why is there no dot11ShortBeaconOptionActivated? More generally, shouldn't there be an Activated for every Implemented, and vice-versa? | Make sure there's one of each, and the text refers to the right thing (generally Activated, I would expect) | Rejected. Short Beacon is mandatory (“always activated”) once dot11ShortBeaconOptionImplemented is true. It is not nessesory to define a new MIB entry “dot11ShortBeaconOptionActivated”. No action is needed for TGah editor. |

### [CID 2670]

**Instruction to TGah editor: Please modify the caption of Figure 8-14a in subclause 8.3.1.1 (Format of control frames) as**

Figure 8-14a—Frame Control field subfield values within S1G control frames when ~~Type is equal to 1 and~~ Subtype is not equal to <ANA> and not equal to 10

### [CID 2454]

**Instruction to TGah editor: Please apply the changes below throughout the draft**

- Replace “(NDP) ACK” with “ACK or NDP Ack” throughout the draft

- Replace “NDP (Modified) ACK” with “NDP Ack or NDP PS-Poll-Ack” throughout the draft