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Abstract

This submission proposes resolution to 303r0: point 2

And submission 302r0 point 8

1. Page 38, line 28 ("The TBTT Information Length subfield.."): In P802.11af, " A value of 0 indicates one TBTT Information field is present.", but in P802.11ai, " The TBTT Information Count subfield value is nonzero." Is the difference intentional? Neither seems to be right (a value of 0 would seem to indicate would there are none of these fields rather than one, but the 11ai version indicates that such fields would never exist).

**A proposed resolution is required.**

**Proposed resolution:**

From Page 38, line 28, replace

“The TBTT Information Count subfield contains the number of TBTT Information fields that are included in the Neighbor AP Information field. The TBTT Information Count subfield value is nonzero.”

by the exisiting baseline 11af text

“The TBTT Information Count subfield ~~is 4 bits in length and~~ contains the number of TBTT Information fields that are included in the Neighbor AP Information field, minus one. A value of 0 indicates one TBTT Information field is present.”

302r0

1. Clause 8.4.2.171: In P802.11af, Figure 8-401cl—Neighbor AP Information field format, the right-most column octet sizes are "0 or n" but we have "variable". In Figure 8-401cm—TBTT Information Header subfield the columns in our table do not match those in P802.11af. In Figure 8-401cn—TBTT Information field the first column is missing "in TUs" that appears in 11af. Were these intentional changes? If so they need to be underlined. Also, the umarked (which should indicate unchanged) text in this clause does not match that of P802.11af. There is also a whole paragraph in P802.11af that is missing in our draft. It is assumed that none of these diferences from 11af were intentional.

**Propose to modify the figures and text in clause 8.4.2.171 to match 11af execpt where already explicitly identified as a change.**

**Proposed resolution:**

Clause 8.4.2.171: In P802.11af, Figure 8-401cl—Neighbor AP Information field format, the right-most column octet sizes are "0 or n" but we have "variable". – change the figure to be same as 11af, including the figure number

In Figure 8-401cm—TBTT Information Header subfield the columns in our table do not match those in P802.11af. – change the figure to be same as 11af, including the figure number. Also include the whole paragraph refereed in the comment (starting as “The Filtered Neighbor AP subfield … ” ) in the 11ai text

In Figure 8-401cn—TBTT Information field the first column is missing "in TUs" that appears in 11af. – Add the “in TUs” as in comment and also change the figure number to be the same as in 11af draft