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Abstract

This document comprises the minutes for the IEEE 802.11 Task Group aq (TGaq) teleconference call on Tuesday, February 25th, 2014.

Chair: Stephen McCann (BlackBerry)
Vice Chair: Yunsong Yang (Huawei)

Secretary: Dapeng Liu (CMCC)

Technical Editor: Dan Gal (Alcatel-Lucent)

**Call to order**

Meeting called to order on Tuesday, February 25th, 2014 by TGaq Chair, Stephen McCann, at 10:00 ET.

**Agenda**

The chair showed the call’s agenda. The chair called for changes/additions to the agenda – none were brought up. The agenda was approved by unanimous consent.

**IEEE patent policy**

The chair reviewed the IEEE patent policy and read the call for Potentially Essential Patents. No such claims were made.

**IEEE meeting guidelines**

The chair reviewed the meeting guidelines for IEEE WG meetings.

**Roll call**

Stephen McCann (BlackBerry)

Jing-Rong Hsieh (HTC)

Filip Mestanov (Ericsson)

Cheol RYU (ETRI)

Yunsong Yang (Huawei)

James Lepp (BlackBerry)

Michael Montemurro (BlackBerry)

Jae-ho Lee (ETRI)

**Presentation by Yunsong Yang (Huawei) on “TGaq Protocol Name” (14/260r0)**

Yunsong Yang (Huawei) presented document 14/260r0. The chair asked if there were any questions and/or comments. None were brought up.

Yunsong Yang (Huawei) wished to hold a straw poll. The straw poll was organized by the chair, going through all the participants and asking them for their response. More than one option could be selected by the participants.

**Straw Poll** – “Do you support that TGaq adopt an 11aq protocol name (acronym) as:

* Pre-association Discovery Protocol (PADP):
* Pre-association Message Protocol (PAMP):
* Pre-association Query Protocol (PAQP):
* Pre-association Transaction Protocol (PATP):”

**Results**:

Option 1: 5 votes

Option 2: 1 vote

Option 3: 1 vote

Option 4: 1 vote

Chair: You have a clear preference there.

Mike Montemurro (BlackBerry): The name of the protocol is rather easy to change at a later stage too. It is good to give it some direction now, but we shouldn’t worry too much if we want to change it at a later point.

Yunsong Yang (Huawei): If the members of the group are comfortable with the name “Pre-association Discovery Protocol (PADP)” I see no need to hold motions during the Beijing meeting.

**Presentation by Cheol RYU (ETRI) on “”Service Discovery Proxy at STA” (14/22r0)**

Cheol RYU (ETRI) presented the document. The chair asked if there were any questions and/or comments.

Mike Montemurro (BlackBerry): This is pretty much along the lines of what I was thinking. I have two comments:

1. Providing the pre-association service discovery in a library is a logical way forward. Do you envision that the APIs of this library are provided to the particular service only? Or it could be also the case that the application writes in that library?
2. In terms of the use-case, I don't see this as particularly valuable for infrastructure networks. The real compel in this use-case is that when the device connects it can use service discovery. Or the service discovery invokes the application on the device (after the device has connected).

Cheol RYU (ETRI): I believe that the application needs to be aware of the pre-association service discovery. It needs to understand why the pre-association is required and how it can use it. There needs to be some logic changes in the application. As for the use case, I think this is a very straight-forward example.

Mike Montemurro (BlackBerry): At the application level, since OS implement things rather differently, the only common thing is that these discovery services usually run as a service in the OS. Then the application might need to know much about the details of the pre-association discovery per se. I think that we will get a better understanding when we start writing the normative text.

**AOB**

Nothing brought up.

**Adjourn**

Meeting was adjourned at 10:39 ET.