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Abstract

This document provides resolutions for CIDs:

* 337
* 148
* 493
* 488
* 489
* 490
* 491
* 492
* 530
* 540
* 849
* 483

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **CID** | **Page**  | **Line** | **Clause** | **Resn Status** | **Comment** | **Proposed Change** | **Resolution** |
| 337 | 26.00 | 6 | 8.2.4.1.1 | J | Should the "10" be "6"? | Change "10" to "6" in quite a few places in this subclause including in the captions of figures. | **Rejected**.The Subtype value “10”, which indicates PS Poll, is correct. Figure 8-3a is for S1G control frames except Subtype = “TACK” (currently not assigned a number and indicated as <ANA>) and Subtype = “PS Poll”. TACK and PS Poll frames have different FC formats, which are described in Figure 8-3b and Figure 8-3c.  |
| 148 | 27.00 | 40 | 8.2.4.1.3 | V | There are two Table 8-2. And the content in these two tables doesn't match. | Clarify. | **Revised**.The commenter is correct. The proposed resolution is as follows:Delete the first row of the second Table 8-2 (P27L57). |
| 493 | 39.00 | 41 | 8.3.3.10 | A | The Order column of the Table 8-31- Probe Response frame body has TBDs. Define TBDs. | Tgac D5.0 added Order 61 to 67. Define TBDs by adding Order 68 to 75 starting from the RPS element to the S1G Capabilities element. | **Accepted.**Make the changes as proposed by the commenter. |
| 488 | 36.00 | 32 | 8.3.3.5 | A | The Order column of the Table 8-26 - Association Request frame body has TBDs. Define the TBDs. | Tgac D5.0 added Order 22 to 23. Define TBDs by adding Order 24 to 27 starting from the TWT element to the S1G Capabilities element. | **Accepted.**Make the changes as proposed by the commenter. |
| 489 | 37.00 | 10 | 8.3.3.6 | A | The Order column of the Table 8-27 - Association Response frame body has TBDs. Define TBDs. | Tgac D5.0 added Order 27 to 29. Define TBDs by adding Order 30 to 36 starting from the Sector Operation element to the Group ID List element. | **Accepted.**Make the changes as proposed by the commenter. |
| 490 | 38.00 | 4 | 8.3.3.7 | A | The Order column of the Table 8-28 - Reassociation Request frame body has TBDs. Define TBDs. | Tgac D5.0 added Order 28 to 29. Define TBDs by adding Order 30 to 33 starting from the TWT element to the S1G Capabilities element. | **Accepted.**Make the changes as proposed by the commenter. |
| 491 | 38.00 | 41 | 8.3.3.8 | A | The Order column of the Table 8-29- Reassociation Response frame body has TBDs. Define TBDs. | Tgac D5.0 added Order 31 to 33 Define TBDs by adding Order 34 to 39 starting from the Sector Operation element to the AID Response element. | **Accepted.**Make the changes as proposed by the commenter. |
| 492 | 39.00 | 12 | 8.3.3.9 | A | The Order column of the Table 8-30- Probe Request frame body has TBDs. Define TBDs. | Tgac D5.0 added Order 17. Define TBDs by adding Order 18 to 21 starting from the Change Sequence element to the S1G Capabilities element. | **Accepted.**Make the changes as proposed by the commenter. |
| 530 | 58.00 | 2 | 8.4.1.52a | A | Title of Table 8-53m is wrong. | Correct title of Table 8-53m to "Subfields of the Synch Control field". | **Accepted** – the commenter is correct. Make the changes as proposed by the commenter. |
| 540 | 92.00 | 48 | 8.4.2.170k.2 | A | From MIB naming convention, it is better to use "dot11TWTOptionActivated" than "dot11TWTOptionActive" in subclause 8.4.2.170k.2 and 9.32f.1. | Modify "dot11TWTOptionActive" to "dot11TWTOptionActivated". | **Accepted** – the commenter is correct. Make the changes as proposed by the commenter. |
| 849 | 93.00 | 14 | 8.4.2.170k.2 S1G Capabilities info field | V | S1G capabilities says that : "If sent by a non-AP STA:Set to 0 if the STA is both Sensor" How the AP manages STA which is both.type and non-Sensor type STA." | Remove this option, STA should be either sensor or a non-sensor | **Revised** – the commenter is correct. The proposed changes are as follows:1. Delete “Set to 0 if the STA is both Sensor type and non-Sensor type STA.” at P93L14-15.
2. Modify P93L21 “3 is reserved.” to “0 and 3 are reserved.”
 |
| 483 | 95.00 | 50 | 8.4.2.170o | J | The unit of the Authentication Control Threshold field is not defined. Define the unit of the Authentication Control Threshold field. | Change the following sentence "The Authentication Control Threshold is a number and varies from 0 to 65535." to "The Authentication Control Threshold field represents the time in 100 TUs." | **Rejected** – Based on the resolution of CID#186 that describes the centralized authentication control in subclause 10.3.7.1, the Authentication Control Threshold does not have a unit. |
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