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Abstract

Minutes of IEEE 802 JTC1 Standing Commitee sessions at the IEEE 802.11 Working Group meeting in San Diego on 17-19 July 2012

* IEEE 802 JTC1 Standing Committee met on
  1. 17 July 2012 PM1
  2. 18 July 2012 PM1
  3. 19 July 2012 PM1
* The following provides a summary of the meeting on 17 July 2012 PM1
* Chair called the meeting to order at 1:30 pm local time
* Chair reviewed the proposed agenda items.
* Motion
  1. *The IEEE 802 JTC1 SC approves the agenda for its meeting in San Diego in July 2012, as documented on pages 9-11 of 11-12-0778r5.* ***CHECK DOC revision***
  2. Moved: Mike Montemurro
  3. Seconded: Ian Sherlock
  4. Result: Unanimous Approval
* Motion
  1. *The  IEEE 802 JTC1 SC approves the minutes for its meeting in Atlanta in May 2012, as documented in* [*11-12-0777r0*](https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/12/11-12-0777-00-0jtc-minutes-of-ieee-802-jtc1-sc-for-may-2012.doc)
  2. Moved: Mike Montemurro,
  3. Seconded: Ian Sherlock
  4. Result: Unanimous Approval
* Slide 14 -16: Chair reviewed slides
  1. Chair reviewed slide: 802.11-2012 submitted for ISO approval as an International Standard
  2. 802.1, 802.3 may submit, but those groups have concerns re: changes that could be made by ISO
* Slide 17: Chair reviewed slide
  1. Jodi met with ISO to review PSDO agreement.
  2. Paul Smith was responsible for PSDO agreement. Sadly, he passed away unexpectedly.
  3. Agreement includes “Additionally, SC can invite…”
  4. Was prior agreement that 60 day ballot not needed for SC invited documents.
  5. 2 weeks ago, view from ISO that 60 day ballot needed in all cases, in addition to 5 month ballot.
  6. 802.11-2012 in ballot now; assumed to be grandfathered under old rules. To be  
     confirmed by IEEE staff with ISO staff.
* Slide 18: Chair reviewed slide
* Slides 19-22: Chair reviewed slides.
  1. Have information on regulations that require WAPI
  2. Chair will add to slides
* Slide 23-31: Chair reviewed slides
  1. Nufront a company.
  2. Response from IEEE was as for any other company.
* Slide 32-33: Chair reviewed slides
  1. Liaison from ECMA may have input on TVWS
* Motion
  1. *The IEEE 802 JTC1 Standing Committee recommends that Bruce Kraemer be appointed as HoD of the IEEE 802 delegation to the SC6 meeting in Sept 2012 and be authorised to:*
     1. *Appoint the IEEE 802 delegation*
     2. *Approve any necessary submissions*
     3. *Call any necessary preparation teleconferences*
  2. Moved: Ian Sherlock
  3. Seconded: Michael Montemurro
  4. Result: Unanimous Approval
  5. Motion will go to the EC on Friday
* Slide 36-37: Chair reviewed the slides
  1. Is this automatic for re-affirmation?
  2. Believe so.
  3. Ballot closes in December.
  4. Believe automatic process of “systematic review” in parallel to approved standards as agreed by SC6.
  5. Believe not a major issue.
* Slide 38-45: Chair reviewed slides
  1. Reviewed N15335 contents
  2. Reviewed N15325 contents
  3. Reviewed [11-12-0767r1](https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/12/11-12-0767-01-0jtc-proposed-ieee-802-response-to-chinese-nb-liaison-n15335.doc) for proposed response to China NB comments
     1. Revision projects are largely underway
     2. Why is the 15serier, 11 series and 8802 series numbering used? Older version numbering
     3. New documents would go in as 8802 series. NEED TO HIGHLIGHT THIS TO NBs.
     4. 15 and 11 series are historical. Retain until replaced.
     5. Want to make sure that other older documents don’t put in a loophole into which  
        changes could be introduced.
     6. Edits to text based on discussion, changes incorporated into document
     7. Have a fundamental difference in proposed approached
     8. Need to show value in the approach that IEEE is suggesting
     9. Theoretical situation: an NB has standardized a technology. Could contribute to ISO. Would not want  
        ISO to significantly extend and modify?
     10. Modify to apply to 802 standards generally – versus .11 or .3.
  4. Reviewed [11-12-0768r2](https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/12/11-12-0768-02-0jtc-proposed-ieee-802-response-to-swiss-nb-liaison-n15325.doc) for proposed response to Switzerland NB comments
  5. Reviewed [11-12-0769r1](https://mentor.ieee.org/802.11/dcn/12/11-12-0769-01-0jtc-proposed-agreement-between-sc6-and-iee-802.doc) for a slightly modified proposed agreement
* Recessed at 3:30 local time
* . The following provides a summary of the meeting on 18-19 July 2012 PM1
* The detailed notes were lost but the results are documented in 12/0792r1
* Outputs were approved by the 802 EC