

IEEE 802 November 2019 Rules Meeting

Agenda

- P&P Responses from AudCom
- Proposed OM changes
- Proposed CG changes

Updates to the IEEE 802 LMSC Policies and Procedures and IEEE 802 LMSC Working Group Policies and Procedure

Update to 2018 Baselines

- LMSC P&P
 - Proposed: ec-18-0102-09
 - Comparison of proposal and current version: ec-18-0129-04
 - AudCom checklist:
-

1.5 Fundamental Principles of Standards Development

- Marked not acceptable
 - R2: Use full name of Standards Committee
- We have:
 - “IEEE 802 LMSC”
- Suggest we spell it out:
 - “IEEE 802 LAN/MAN Standards Committee (LMSC)”

3.4.1 Chair

- Substantive:
 - R0: 1) Deleting “b) Forming study groups, as necessary.” text is not allowed
 - R2: Comment not addressed “b)” should be reinserted per instruction no modification except addition
- Marked as not acceptable
- In 5.5 PAR Development the AudCom text says:
 - “the Standards Committee may form a PAR Study Group as a subgroup of the Standards Committee or as a subgroup of an existing Working Group to examine the proposal”
- Actions:
 - Suggest asking SASB for an interpretations:
 - 1) Are PAR Study Groups formed by the Standards Committee Chair or by the Standards Committee?
 - 2) in item b), does this refer to PAR Study Groups or just a generic study group?
 - Note that 5.5 assigns responsibility for forming a PAR Study Group to the Standards Committee and not the Standards Committee Chair.

3.4.2 Vice Chair

- R2: since a first and a second vice chairs have been noted, the duties of these two distinct officers should be noted. Perhaps as 3.4.2.1 and 3.4.2.2 or as done with the multiple secretary titles.
- Disagree: The roles are essentially identical, except to indicate which Vice Chair takes over for the Standards Committee Chair.

4.3.2 Request to the Chair for Voting Membership

- Editorial
 - 1) Changing Section title from “Request to the Chair” to “Request to the Standards Committee Chair” is usually not allowed with exception of advised in instructional text and special circumstance
 - R2: Comment not addressed. Section Title should be changed to the template text.
- Marked as not acceptable
 - Note: Throughout the document, for consistency and to avoid confusion, the Chair is always referred to as the “Standards Committee Chair”. In the baseline, it is sometimes “Sponsor Chair” and sometimes “Chair”
- This section is marked “Not Applicable” in our proposed P&P
- Actions:
 - Point out desire for consistency in document and ask it if would be OK.
 - If not, change back to Chair

5.5 PAR Study Group

- Marked not acceptable
 - R2: Substantive
 - First Paragraph, changes –
 - 1) “as a subgroup of the Standards Committee”
 - 2) ”as a subgroup of”
 - Are not permitted per instruction
- Suggest asking SASB for an interpretation
 - Are PAR Study Groups a subgroup of a working group? The SASB OpsMan 5.2 Project Authorization states: “A PAR Study Group is a subgroup of the Standards Committee or Working Group ...”

5.5.1 PAR Study Group

- Substantive
 - R0
 - 1) 1st Paragraph, Changes are not permitted.
 - 2) 2nd Paragraph, it is a new paragraph, is not permitted.
 - 3) 3rd Paragraph, Changes are not permitted.
 - R2: Comments 2) and 3) have been addressed. Comment 1) is still outstanding
- Marked as not acceptable
- Suggest asking SASB for an interpretation
 - Are PAR Study Groups a subgroup of a working group? The SASB OpsMan 5.2 Project Authorization states: “A PAR Study Group is a subgroup of the Standards Committee or Working Group ...”

5.5.3 Participation and Voting

- Marked as not acceptable
 - R0
 - 1) 1st Paragraph, Changes are not permitted.
 - 2) 2nd Paragraph, No wordsmith is permitted and changes are permitted
 - a. remove brackets
 - b. increase numbers
 - R2: Modifications made; however, the three changes made in first paragraph (although possibly technically correct) are not permitted per the instructions.
- Suggest asking SASB for an interpretation
 - Are PAR Study Groups a subgroup of a working group? The SASB OpsMan 5.2 Project Authorization states: “A PAR Study Group is a subgroup of the Standards Committee or Working Group ...”

5.5.4 Submission of PAR to the Sponsor

- Marked as not acceptable
 - Substantive
 - 1) 1st Paragraph, Change (deletion the phrase “or Working Group” is not permitted.
 - 2) 2rd Paragraph is a new paragraph which is not permitted
 - Note: The action described in this paragraph seems not belong to the Standard Committee
 - R2: comment 2) above addressed; however, : Modifications made; however, the change from ‘PAR Study Group” to ‘PAR Study Group or Working Group’ (although possibly technically correct) is not permitted per the instructions.
- Suggest asking SASB for an interpretation
 - Are PAR Study Groups a subgroup of a working group? The SASB OpsMan 5.2 Project Authorization states: “A PAR Study Group is a subgroup of the Standards Committee or Working Group ...”

7.1.1 Actions requiring approval by a majority vote

- Marked as not acceptable
 - 1) Editing Item e) violated the instruction only edit bracketed text
 - R2: Comment not addressed
- Actions
 - Change the document to delete the bracketed text and add a new item, both of which are allowed.
 - No actual change to proposed P&P, just to the track changes portion.

7.1.2 Actions requiring approval by a two-thirds vote

- Marked as not acceptable
 - Editing Item a) violated the instruction clause shall not be modified
 - 3rd Paragraph is a new paragraph contain notes which violated the instruction except to include additional voting actions
 - R2: Comment not addressed
- Note: This has to do with changes to the P&P
- Actions:
 - Discuss with AudCom reviewers, pointing out that the baseline allows a minority of the members to change the P&P. Example: 15 members, 8 present is a quorum, 6 in favor meets 2/3 but is only 2/5 (40%) of the members.
 - This was last approved by SASB in 2014
 - No change to proposed P&P

10.0 dominance

- Marked as acceptable (?)
 - R2: Revised language addresses the comment. The verbiage in the second paragraph needs to be checked for consistency of final Dominance language.
- Action:
 - Ask for feedback for consistency for LMSC to review.

12.0 Revision of Standards Committee P&P

- Marked as not acceptable
 - R2: The reviewers have considered the Standards Committee's comment; however, we do not agree that this is appropriate in the P&P. The section can either be removed or it will be a discussion item at the next AudCom meeting.
- Action
 - Ask for time on the next AudCom meeting. Request a fixed time for a call if possible.

Proposed OM Changes

See ec-19-0047-02

Procedural vs. Technical

- Ad-hoc reviewed ideas and Gilb drafted a document
 - Document ec-19-0113-00
- Further comments after review?

Changes to the Chair's Guidelines

Ombudsman

- No contributions at this meeting.
- Discussion during the rules meeting.
 - An issue currently with confidentiality of the complaint.
 - Can the complaints be submitted anonymously?
 - Ombudsman can speak in generalized terms about the complaint to others (staff and counsel).
 - Ombudsman does not expose any information without permission to do so the person or if required by a court of competent jurisdiction (this wording needs review).
 - Total trust of the ombudsman is crucial

Social Media

- John D'Ambrosia presented some slides.
 - He is going to draft text regarding limited IEEE 802
 - See document ec-19-0190-00 for more information
- **Modify Chair's Guidelines**
 - Create social media position (primary / secondary)
 - Limit social media messaging [at this time] to
 - Meeting announcements
 - Announcements of IEEE 802 EC Approved Press Releases
 - 40th anniversary
 - IEEE802social media messaging SHALL follow appropriate IEEE policies regarding social media

Release of Available Time on Tutorial Monday Evenings

- Per IEEE 802 LMSC Operations Manual
 - 5.1.1 IEEE 802 LMSC plenary
 - The IEEE 802 LMSC plenary session consists of optional IEEE 802 LMSC plenary meetings, Sponsor meetings, and WG meetings. The plenary session may also offer tutorial programs. If tutorials are offered on Monday, other meetings of IEEE 802 LMSC subgroups shall not be scheduled to overlap with the time of the tutorial programs.
- Proposal: Add to Chair's Guidelines
 - Modify 2.4 ["Tutorials"], Section #3, Item d
 - The Executive Secretary schedules the Tutorials and announces the schedule to the Standards Committee reflector at least 30 days before the meeting. **Any time not announced as being used for tutorials 30 days prior to a plenary session is automatically released to Working Groups for their use.**

Various changes

- Memorialize the use of the motion slides. Gilb to develop some text for the CG and publish it
- Review 2.9 and add notes for non-PAR and pre-PAR activity. Gilb will magic this into existence.
 - This is now more complicated as we have more slides
 - Gilb will attempt to draft text for this during this week.