

Improving IEEE 802 Process

Why discuss this? (opinion)

- IEEE 802 needs to remain market relevant
 - We should know how we are viewed by our customers
 - What do they like about IEEE 802 and what do they dislike
- For example, are we perceived as being an efficient process for standards development?
- How do we collect feedback regarding the perception of our group?

Topics for discussion

- Should we measure the time from idea to standard?
- Should we keep track of this time for all our standards process?
- Should we communicate this externally?
- Are there areas in which we can improve this time?
-

Reduce Friction

- For a new group, technical editor and secretary can be difficult positions to fill
- For technical editor
 - Cost of Framemaker (floating license owned by IEEE 802?)
 - Difficulty using the Word template
 - Training to use Frame or the Word template
 - Pre-ballot editorial review to conform to style manual?
- For the secretary
 - Should we hire/pay for a rapporteur?

Outside feedback?

- How can we get outside feedback (i.e., people who don't attend our meetings) on our efficacy?