

IEEE 802 LMSC Operations Manual, IEEE 802 LMSC Working Group Policies, and Procedures and IEEE 802 LMSC Chair's Guidelines proposed changes

4.1.5 Revision of OM and WG P&P

- Revisions to these documents shall be submitted by a Sponsor member to the Sponsor no less than 30 day in advance of a Sponsor Vote to approve them. The Sponsor member proposing the revision may modify the proposed revision during the 30 days prior to a Sponsor Vote (in response to comments). Insufficient time to consider complex modifications is a valid reason to vote disapprove. A motion to revise these documents shall require a vote of approve by at least two thirds of all voting members of the Sponsor. Votes to approve revisions shall be taken at a plenary session. If approved, revisions become effective at the end of the plenary session where the votes were taken.
- We have 6 officers, 10 voting WG/TAG chairs
 - 16 voting members, 2/3 approval is 11 affirmative votes

Overview

- One change to OM
- 3 changes to WG P&P
- Potential update for CG

IEEE 802 LMSC OM proposed changes

8.2.2(a)

- 8.2.2(a) says “Sponsor subgroup communications with government bodies shall not be released without prior approval by 75% of the Sponsor subgroup.” Strictly speaking, this requires that 75% of the subgroups members have voted to approve. However, I think that many WGs interpret it more casually and simply check for 75% approval in a vote. I would prefer to remove any ambiguity. Here are two solutions:
 - (1) .. without prior approval of at least 75% of all the members of the Sponsor subgroup
 - (2) .. without prior approval by an action of the Sponsor subgroup, per the “Approval of an Action” requirements of IEEE Project 802 LMSC Working Group Policies and Procedures, with a 75% approval threshold.
- Adopt 2?

IEEE 802 LMSC Working Group Policies & Procedures proposed changes

Reference in 4.3

- 4.3 Review of Membership
- ... Voting members are expected to fulfill the obligations of active participation as defined in Clause ~~4.1.1~~4.2. When a voting member is found in habitual default of these obligations, the Chair shall consider the matter for appropriate action, which may include termination of membership.
- Note that 4.2 does not define “active participation”

New subclause, 6.6

- Add new subclause “6.6 WG Subgroup Meetings”
- “A subgroup of a WG shall announce all electronic meetings at least 10 days in advance, except for electronic meetings concerning only regulatory communications, which shall be announced at least 5 days in advance. All face to face meetings of a subgroup of a WG shall be announced at least 30 days in advance.”
- Delete Clause 7 in the OM.

Other editorial changes

- At the end of 6.0, delete the "j" sitting on a line by itself.
- In 4.2.3, "as described in 4.1.1.2 Retention" should be "as described in 4.2.2 Retention"

IEEE 802 LMSC Chair's Guidelines proposed changes

Consent agenda

The following should be considered for inclusion on the consent agenda upon request:

Information items such as:

1. Presentation of the Tutorial schedule at the opening meeting, only if all presentations have been given to the Recording Secretary according to the guidelines.
2. Other pro-forma information items

Motions such as:

1. First renewal of a Study Group (either ECSG or WGSG)
2. Public statements (e.g., press releases, responses to regulatory bodies, liaison statements, etc.) that have been announced to the EC email reflector made available to the EC members
3. Approval of meeting minutes from previous meetings if they have been announced to the EC email reflector and distributed 1 week in advance of the plenary
4. Other motions that are expected to pass without discussion including motions to progress drafts to Sponsor ballot or RevCom.

Consent agenda (2)

Requests for items to be on the consent agenda, including the exact wording of the motion, shall be made to the Recording Secretary and copied to the EC reflector (with email subject tag of ???) at least 48 hours before the meeting start. All supporting documentation for items on the consent agenda other than minutes and WG vote counts should be uploaded to the consent agenda group on the EC document server *or a link to the document sent to the EC reflector* at least 24 hours before the start of the EC meeting.

Working Group vote counts should be provided to ??? by one hour before the start of the closing EC meeting.

(no consensus at this time, continue to discuss)

Additional guidelines for IEEE 802 meetings

- Presented words regarding operation in IEEE 802.
- Comment about “must not vote”, do we have the authority to do this?
- Should be in formal policies, possibly IEEE SA? In IEEE 802 OM or IEEE 802 P&P
- Needs to be consistent with exiting rules. Note that directed position of the chair is an exception. Challenge is to show rule for each item.

Additional comments

- It is good, but it is fraught with peril. Should go to SA/lawyers early rather than later. No clear what last bullet means. In third bullet, “shall” instead of “may” and exception for directed positions.
- Is there backup in the rules for item 3?
- The word retaliate is too vague.
- “direction” versus “influence” in first bullet?

Motions for closing

Motion

- This motion is brought under the process described in the subclause “Revision of the IEEE 802 LMSC OM” of the IEEE 802 LMSC Operation Manual.
- IEEE 802 EC approves IEEE_802_OM_v18.2.pdf (to be renumbered as v19) as the new IEEE 802 LMSC Operations Manual
- Moved: Gilb
- Second: TBD

Motion

- This motion is brought under the process described in the subclause “Revision of the IEEE 802 LMSC Working Group Policies and Procedures” of the IEEE 802 LMSC Working Group Policies and Procedures.
- IEEE 802 EC approves IEEE_802_WG_PandP_v18.3.doc (to be renumbered as v19) as the new IEEE 802 LMSC Working Group Policies and Procedures and
- Moved: Gilb
- Second: TBD