

IEEE-SA: Policy Changes

Switchgear Committee
Nashville, TN
October 13, 2011

Policy Changes

- ▣ Reaffirmation/Stabilization
- ▣ Interpretations
- ▣ ANSI Balance Rule
- ▣ Invited Experts
- ▣ Match Rule
- ▣ Public Notice/Patent Disclaimer

Reaffirmation/Stabilization

New Process for Maintaining Active Standards

- In June 2011, the IEEE-SA Board of Governors (BoG) and Standards Board (SASB) approved a new process for maintaining active standards.
- Changes are reflected in the policies and procedures:
 - SASB Bylaws
 - SASB Operations Manual
- The changes are available online at <http://standards.ieee.org/about/sasb/procom/reaff-changes.pdf>

Benefits

- The IEEE-SASB, which is comprised of appointed volunteers who oversee the standards development process, determined that it was important to:
 - Streamline/simplify the maintenance process to assist participants in complying with the policies and procedures of both IEEE and ANSI
 - Offer Sponsors and Working Groups additional time to review and complete a revision cycle
 - Enable Sponsors to focus on revisions of standards that require maintenance action, rather than a diffusion of Sponsor efforts to meet administrative requirements for reaffirmation or stabilization
 - Have a process that permitted a standard to be revised when addressing comments during maintenance so that IEEE standards will remain pertinent and of high technical value

Rationale

- Various options were considered and it was determined that the new process:
 - Was simplest and least taxing on volunteer resources
 - Allowed standards developers to concentrate on keeping IEEE standards relevant
 - Reduced IEEE's legal risk associated with outdated standards by making needed revisions where warranted by the Sponsor, Working Group, and Sponsor Balloting Group

Changes

Effective Jan 1, 2012

- There will be no new reaffirmation or stabilization ballots
- The only actions available to Sponsors will be:
 - Revision
 - Amendment/Corrigendum (does not impact maintenance cycle)
 - Withdrawal
- Standards will have a 10 year maintenance cycle (i.e., extended from 5 years to 10 years after the last date of approval or maintenance action)
- The status for a standard will be either **active** or **inactive**
- All standards must have a revision approved by the IEEE-SASB prior to the close of Year 10 in order to remain active
- Any standard not approved as a revision will become inactive after Year 10

Categories of Inactive Standards

- ***inactive-superseded***: These standards have been replaced with a revised version of the standard, or by a compilation of the original active standard and all its existing amendments, corrigenda, and errata.
- ***inactive-reserved***: These standards are removed from active status through an administrative process for standards that have not undergone a revision process within 10 years.
- ***inactive-withdrawn*** (*valid for standards categorized after 1 January 2012*): These standards have been removed from active status through a ballot where the standard is made inactive as a consensus decision of the balloting group.

Revisions

- A revision ballot may result in:
 - Changes to the standard
 - Changes to only the references or bibliography
 - No changes at all

Reaffirmation/Stabilization Transition Plan

- A. Standards reaffirmed/stabilized prior to 1 Jan 2012 – use the latest of the following dates to complete the revision process or standard will be transferred to inactive status:
- By 31 December 2018 – 7 years after start of new program, or
 - 10 years from initial approval, or
 - 10 years from last maintenance action
- B. Reaffirmation/Stabilization in invitation/ballot on 1 Jan 2012:
- 1 year to complete (approved at the December 2012 SASB meeting)
 - If not completed by 31 Dec 2012, then item A applies
- C. No new reaffirmation/stabilization invitations permitted after 31 Dec 2011

Input from Users of a Standard

- Users (those who use or implement a standard) can notify Sponsors or the IEEE if they believe a revision should occur prior to 10 years:
 - 1) In the front matter of each standard, users are notified that they can contact the Secretary of the IEEE-SASB to submit issues/concerns
 - 2) Users can contact the Sponsor directly online, or can contact a Staff Liaison who would be able to provide contact information or pass along the issues/concerns to Sponsors
 - 3) Sponsors can revise, amend or withdraw their standards at any time prior to Year 10
 - 4) An inaction on the Sponsor's part can be appealed to the IEEE-SASB where an appeal hearing can be performed

American National Standards

- An ANS can be revised prior to Year 10 if deemed appropriate
- Any standard that is currently an ANS will need to report to the administrator of the Standards Review Committee (RevCom) during Year 5 and explain whether a revision is in progress, or whether a revision is slated to be completed within the next 5 years
- IEEE has informally submitted the procedural changes to ANSI. No objections were obtained. The updated policies and procedures will be submitted to ANSI for audit in early 2012 along with all other 2011 procedural changes.

Interpretations

Elimination of Interpretations

- The IEEE-SA Standards Board approved a proposal to eliminate issuing interpretations in June 2011
- Current practice: Interpretations should not constitute an alteration to the original standard
 - At present, they are permitted to provide meaning to text that is ambiguous

Interpretations - Rationale

- Inefficient and a risk
 - Interpretation responses made in an attempt to clarify ambiguous text to be derived from a process that does not inform all materially interested parties of the activity
 - Does not require consensus to be achieved through the Sponsor balloting process

Interpretations - Solution

- More sensible to simply funnel comments on standards to Sponsors for handling
 - Any document changes would appear in a revision amendment/corrigendum
 - All require PARs – an open process & consensus through balloting
- Therefore interpretations as discrete documents should be discontinued

Interpretations – Going Forward

- Elimination of Interpretations
 - In order to maintain ANSI accreditation, we are required to have an interpretations policy.
 - Our interpretations policy can be that we do not supply Interpretations
- Changes will be effective 1 January 2012
- Changes to Ops Man, ByLaws, etc
 - “The IEEE does not offer interpretations of its standards”

Balance Rule

ANSI Essential Requirements- Balance Rule

- ANSI Essential Requirements require that interest categories for “safety-related” standards balloting can not be greater than 1/3 of balloters
- ANSI’s audit of IEEE-SA’s procedures for 2009 determined that
 - IEEE SA’s current rule that balance is achieved if no one classification is 50% or more

ANSI – Balance Rule

- “Safety Standard” was not defined or included by ANSI’s rule
- IEEE left with three choices
 - Adopt the 1/3 rule across the board
 - Define “safety standards”
 - Implement the 1/3 rule if the word “safety” was included anywhere in the document

ANSI – Balance Rule Resolution

- Changing the ANSI Essential Requirement would be difficult
- After significant deliberation, the Standards Board approved a motion:
 - Balance will be achieved by not permitting any single interest category to comprise more than 1/3 of the Sponsor balloting group
- Other categories can be considered beyond user, producer, general interest, etc.

ANSI – Balance Rule Going Forward

- Changes to IEEE- SASB Ops Man to be implemented 1 March 2012
- A Standards Board AdHoc will continue to create education material and to address implementation issues

Invited Experts

Invited Experts - Elimination

- Prior to 1998, IEEE membership was required to ballot on an IEEE standard
 - IEEE membership requires certain technical/educational credentials
 - Having invited experts beneficial then
- 1999 IEEE-SA created & IEEE membership no longer needed, just IEEE-SA
 - No technical/educational credentials

Invited Experts – Going Forward

- Invited Experts in IEEE's balloting process is no longer needed
 - Anyone can qualify for membership or can pay the per-ballot fee
- Removing Invited Experts will create an equal participation field for all those interested in an IEEE ballot
- Effective 1 January 2012 "Invite an Expert" will not be available

Match Rule

Match Rule - Elimination

- Current Practice: Title/Scope/Purpose of the PAR for new and revision projects must match that of the draft document
- Proposed change: Update ballot announcement in myProject to make it clear that one of the balloters responsibilities is to ensure that the scope of the draft is within the scope of the work authorized by the PAR

Match Rule - Rationale

- If the scope of an approved standard were to go beyond the scope of the PAR materially interested persons may not have the opportunity to participate
 - Fail to meet openness
- RevCom not to make judgment if scope of document is within scope of PAR
- Match rule created unnecessary Modified PAR requests

Match Rule – Going Forward

- It is the job of the balloters to determine if the scope of the final standard is within the scope of the work authorized by the PAR
 - It is okay for the scope of the draft to be less than the scope of the PAR
- Eliminate the Match Rule on January 1, 2012

Patent Disclaimer

Public Notice/Patent Disclaimer

- For published documents, at present, there are two options for frontmatter disclaimer language based upon whether or not a patent letter of assurance (LOA) was on file at the time of publication
- Goal is to have 1 public disclaimer in the document
 - Avoid the possibility of incorrect statement

Public Notice/Patent Disclaimer

- Creation of 1 disclaimer will eliminate:
 - Miscommunication if an LOA is accepted
 - Timing of the receipt of the patent LOA if received after a standard is approved
 - Misunderstanding by implementers as to whether or not they should refer to the patent listing for LOAs
- Effective January 2012

Questions

- URL for FAQs:
<http://standards.ieee.org/faqs/reaff.html>
- Erin Spiewak
Email: E.Spiewak@ieee.org
Tel: 732 465 7806

Thank You!