

IEEE Power Engineering Society
Switchgear Committee
C37.20.7 Task Force Report
28-September-2010

The task force met on Tuesday, September 28, at 8:00AM.

Patents:

IEEE-SA rules on Patents were reviewed prior to further discussions. The IEEE-SA patent slides of 2008 were shown and will govern the activities of the task force.

General:

This is a task force, as no PAR has been submitted yet.

Attendance included 29 task force members and 19 guests. Attendance is as shown below:

Members	Members	Members	Guests	Guests
C. Ball (P) **	J. Giacetti (P)	T. Olsen (P) **	G. Arce (P)	D. Moser (P)
P. Barnhart (P) **	R. Hartzel (P)	M Orosz (P) **	J. Beaver (P)	S. Panetta (P)
J. Baskin (P)	C. Kennedy (P)	A. Patel (P)	G. Carmona (P)	R. Parthasarathi (P)
R. Bugaris (P)	M. Lafond (P)	C. Schneider (P)	B. Cohn (P)	A. Patel (P)
E. Byron (P) **	D. Lemmerman (P) **	J. Smith (P) **	J. Hidaka (P)	A. Rowell (P)
J. Earl (P)	F. Mayle (P)	P. Sullivan (P)	R. Hughes (P)	M. Schacker (P)
D. Edwards (P)	D. Mazumdar (P) **	C. Tailor (P)	A. Jivanani (P)	G. Schoonenberg (P)
D. Gohil (P)	D. Mohla (P)	M. Wactor (P) **	H. Josten (P)	J. Shullaw (P)
M. Flack (P)	A. Morgan (P)	J. Zawadzki (P) **	T. Lagerstrom (P)	R. Warren (P)
K. Flowers (P)	A. Morse (P)		R. Morris (P)	

P = present, E = excused, A = absent, ** indicates members of the working group for C37.20.7-2007.

- The task force has been discussing proposals for revision of the present document.

Proposal for testing of MV motor control centers:

- One proposal is for revisions to address testing of MVMCC (medium-voltage motor control center) equipment. This proposal was submitted for consideration by NEMA. It was briefly reviewed and it was agreed unanimously to accept this proposal as a starting point for further discussion.

Request for interpretation:

- A request for interpretation has been received regarding accessibility type 2BC, excerpted below. The generic IEEE-SA policies on handling of requests for interpretation were reviewed.

Subject -IEEE C37.20.7-2007 - Request for Interpretation of Accessibility Type designation 1 BC and 2BC (ref - NOTE under Annex A. paragraph A.3)

Dear Sir/Madam,

We need IEEE interpretation of accessibility type designation 2BC for an arc resistant switchgear assembly.

I have noticed that certain manufacturers are claiming type 2BC for their arc resistant switchgear but at the same time they also state the suffix C is applicable only to certain compartments within the assembly and does not apply to certain other compartments, e.g. does not apply to cable compartment accessible from the rear of the equipment. According to IEEE C37.20.7, Annex A, paragraph A.3, suffix "C" is designated for equipment where isolation from the effects of an internal arcing fault is desired between all adjacent compartments within a switchgear assembly. Therefore, if certain compartments within an assembly do not meet suffix C requirements, can the manufacturer still use accessibility designation containing suffix letter "C" to describe

arc resistant characteristics of this equipment and still comply with IEEE C37.20.7?

To me if switchgear is to be designated Arc Resistant Type 2BC, all requirements for Type 2, all requirements for suffix B, and all requirements for suffix C must met.

Please provide your interpretation of what requirements must be met if the equipment is to be designated as Type 2BC.

The fundamental question is whether the existing guide allows for a portion of the equipment to have one accessibility type while allowing another accessibility type for another portion of the equipment.

The text of the present document is excerpted as follows:

A.3 Suffix "C"

This suffix is designated for equipment where isolation from the effects of an internal arcing fault is desired between all adjacent compartments within a switchgear assembly:

The application of suffix "C" to Accessibility Type 1 or Type 2 indicates that the equipment meets the additional requirements of A.3.1 and A.3.2. It does not imply that the equipment may be operated with doors, covers, or panels opened or removed and maintain its intended degree of protection (refer to 1.2.2).

Equipment qualified to the conditions described in A.3.1 and A.3.2 should be labeled as Type 1C or Type 2C (as appropriate) to differentiate it from the types described in 4.1. Note that this feature may not be applicable to all types of switchgear construction discussed in this guide. The suffix "C" designation is not applicable to equipment using open bus or open frame construction.

Subclause A.3.2 further states:

A.3.2

Assessment of the test requires that all criteria indentified in 6.1 apply with the following modification of Criterion 3:

...

Type 2C – That arcing does not cause holes in the freely accessible front, sides, and rear of the enclosure or in the walls separating the compartment in which the arc is initiated from all adjacent compartments..."

The consensus is that the language of the existing document is clear and does not allow multiple accessibility types for different portions of the assembly. Accordingly, an interpretation is not necessary.

It was agreed to draft an explanation. The explanation was prepared and considered by the task force, and is attached to these minutes. A vote was taken of the members of the working group for the 2007 edition of C37.20.7, of whom 10 of the 15 members (one now deceased) were present. 9 members voted for the explanation draft, one member being absent from the meeting at the time of the vote. The explanation will be considered by the Switchgear Assemblies SubCommittee, and modified editorially to include the Switchgear Committee letterhead, and then forwarded to IEEE-SA for transmittal to the requestor.

Issues arising from IEC 62271-200:

- IEC limits current to ground to 100A or less during arcing tests. IEEE requires that the enclosure be connected to the source in such a manner that there is no significant limitation on ground current. Significant discussion occurred relative to which testing arrangement produces the most

onerous conditions. Several report that testing with solid grounding produces more dramatic results during testing. Others report that testing with limited ground current forces the arcing to remain as three-phase arcing and produces the highest pressure on the enclosure.

Other issues:

- One firm has been asked to provide a full neutral bus in equipment. How does this affect an internal arcing rating? Is there a need for additional arcing tests?
- Do we wish to extend the document to cover metal-enclosed bus as covered in C37.23? Metal-enclosed bus always connects to differing equipment at each end, e.g., to metal-enclosed switchgear at one end and to a power transformer at the other end, we would have consider the connecting equipment transitions.
- Testing of low-voltage motor control centers introduces several different considerations than for higher voltage equipment or for metal-enclosed low-voltage switchgear. Do we wish to revise C37.20.7 to encompass low-voltage MCCs? It was suggested that the NEMA working group on low-voltage MCCs be requested to prepare a suggestion for appropriate revisions to address testing of low-voltage MCCs. This is similar to medium-voltage MCCs, where the NEMA proposal was discussed earlier in this meeting.

Future Steps:

- We should resolve whether major changes (e.g., metal-enclosed bus, low-voltage MCCs, etc.) are to be incorporated before we prepare for a PAR submittal. The objective is to resolve these issues by the next meeting, and submit a PAR following the Spring 2011 meeting.

The meeting adjourned at 11:54AM.

Report submitted by:

M. Wactor, WG Chair



IEEE POWER & ENERGY SOCIETY / SWITCHGEAR COMMITTEE

CHAIR

Bill Long
Eaton Corp.
1000 Cherrington Parkway
Moon Township, PA 15108
412 – 893 - 3791
bill.long@ieee.org

VICE CHAIR & TCPC

Ken Edwards
Bonneville Power Admin.
TESM/AMPN-2, POB 491
Vancouver, WA 98666
360 – 418 - 8204
k.s.edwards@ieee.org

STANDARDS COORDINATOR

Michael Wactor
Powell Electrical Systems, Inc.
8550 Mosley Drive
Houston, TX 77075
713 – 948 – 4918
mwactor@ieee.org

SECRETARY/TREASURER

T. W. (Ted) Olsen
Siemens Energy, Inc.
7000 Siemens Road
Wendell, NC 27591
919 – 365 - 2208
t.olsen@ieee.org

SUBCOMMITTEE CHAIRS

AdSCOM

Ken Edwards

ERP (EDUCATION RECOGNITION, AND PUBLICATIONS)

Mietek Glinkowski
ABB Inc.
940 Main Campus Drive
Raleigh, NC 27606
919 – 856 – 3861
mietek.glinkowski@us.abb.com

HVCB (HIGH VOLTAGE CIRCUIT BREAKERS)

Rod Sauls
Alabama Power Co. – Power Delivery
9N-0762 CORP HDQS
600 N 18th St.
Birmingham, AL 35203-2206
205 – 257 -- 4143
rodsauls@ieee.org

HVF (HIGH VOLTAGE FUSES)

Dr. John G. Leach
Consultant - Hi-Tech Fuses
4461 16th Street PL, NE
Hickory, NC 28601
828 – 256 – 3744
j.g.leach@ieee.org

HVS (HIGH VOLTAGE SWITCH)

Alexander Dixon
Alex Dixon Inc.
2 Hobart Court
P.O. Box 357
Randallstown, MD 21133
410 – 922 – 0014
dixon.alex@ieee.org

LVSD (LOW VOLTAGE SWITCHGEAR DEVICES)

Keith Flowers
Siemens Energy & Automation, Inc.
4395 Diplomacy Road
Fort Worth, TX 76155
817 – 785 - 2179
keith.flowers@ieee.org

RODE (RE ClosERS AND OTHER DISTRIBUTION EQUIPMENT)

Steven Meiners
GE Consumer & Industrial
41 Woodford Avenue
Plainville, CT 06062
860 – 747 – 7817
smeiners@ieee.org

SA (SWITCHGEAR ASSEMBLIES)

Anne Morgan
PEPCO
701 Ninth St NW
Washington, DC 20068
202 – 872 - 3449
afmorgan@ieee.org

PAST CHAIR

Ted Burse
Powell Electrical Systems, Inc.
8550 Mosley Drive
Houston, TX 77075
713 – 948 – 4599
tburse@ieee.org

29-September-2010

Eaton Corporation
Electrical Assemblies Division
2210 Highway 72/221 East
Greenwood, South Carolina 29649

Attention: Chand Tailor, PE
Manager, Product Applications
chandztailor@eaton.com

SUBJECT: IEEE C37.20.7-2007 – Request for Interpretation
of Accessibility Type designation 1BC and 2BC

We have your request for interpretation (copy attached) concerning
C37.20.7 IEEE Guide for Testing Metal-Enclosed Switchgear Rated
up to 38kV for Internal Arcing Faults.

In response, the Switchgear Assemblies Subcommittee referred this
request to the working group for C37.20.7-2007, who provides the
following explanation:

The Guide, IEEE C37.20.7 Guide for Testing Metal-Enclosed
Switchgear Rated up to 38kV for Internal Arcing Faults, is clear with
regard to this subject. There is no need for an interpretation.

Subclause A.3 of the guide specifically states that to apply the Suffix
C rating to equipment, all compartments must meet the
requirements.

A.3 Suffix “C”

This suffix is designated for equipment where isolation from the effects
of an internal arcing fault is desired between all adjacent compartments
within a switchgear assembly.

One exception is identified in subclause A.3.2 for the main bus
compartment.

Type 2C—That arcing does not cause holes in the freely accessible
front, sides, and rear of the enclosure or in the walls separating the
compartment in which the arc is initiated from all adjacent
compartments. Exception: In metal-clad (IEEE Std C37.20.2-1999)
equipment, a fault in a main bus bar compartment of a vertical section is
allowed to propagate into the main bus bar compartment of the adjacent
vertical sections if the main bus bars are in the same circuit but not if the
main bus bars are in different circuits. Connections from the main bus
bar to switchgear components are not considered to be part of the main
bus, and propagation of a fault along these connections into the
compartment containing the component is not allowed.



THE INSTITUTE OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERS, Inc.

WEBSITE : <http://www.ewh.ieee.org/soc/pes/switchgear/index.htm>

This wording states the intent of the Suffix C rating applies to all compartments within the assembly and to claim this rating, all compartments must meet the requirements as stated above.

The requirements to meet a rating of Type 2BC are exactly as stated in Annex A of C37.20.7. The requirements for each specific rating, Type 2, Suffix B, and Suffix C must be met as described in the document.

Sincerely;

M. Wactor
Chair, C37.20.7-2007 Working Group

Attachment: Request for interpretation as received:

JUL 20 2010



Eaton Corporation
Electrical Assemblies Division
2210 Highway 72/221 East
Greenwood, South Carolina 29649
Tel: 864 942-6201

July 9, 2010

Secretary, IEEE-SA Standards Board
445 Hoes Lane
Piscataway NJ 08854
USA

Subject – IEEE C37.20.7-2007 – Request for Interpretation of Accessibility Type designation 1BC and 2BC (ref – NOTE under Annex A. paragraph A.3)

Dear Sir/Madam,

We need IEEE interpretation of accessibility type designation 2BC for an arc resistant switchgear assembly.

I have noticed that certain manufacturers are claiming type 2BC for their arc resistant switchgear but at the same time they also state the suffix C is applicable only to certain compartments within the assembly and does not apply to certain other compartments, e.g. does not apply to cable compartment accessible from the rear of the equipment. According to IEEE C37.20.7, Annex A, paragraph A.3, suffix "C" is designated for equipment where isolation from the effects of an internal arcing fault is desired between all adjacent compartments within a switchgear assembly. Therefore, if certain compartments within an assembly do not meet suffix C requirements, can the manufacturer still use accessibility designation containing suffix letter "C" to describe arc resistant characteristics of this equipment and still comply with IEEE C37.20.7?

To me if switchgear is to be designated Arc Resistant Type 2BC, all requirements for Type 2, all requirements for suffix B, and all requirements for suffix C must met

Please provide your interpretation of what requirements must be met if the equipment is to be designated as Type 2BC.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Chand Taylor".

Chand Taylor, PE
Manager, Product Applications
chandztaylor@eaton.com