

IEEE Power Engineering Society  
Switchgear Committee  
C37.20.3 Working Group Report  
2 October, 2010

The working group met Tuesday, September 28, at 2:00 pm.

Attendance of the members was as shown below.

C. Ball (P)	A. Jivanani (A)	R. Puckett (E)
P. Barnhart (P)	H. Josten (P)	T. Robirds (A)
J. Baskin (P)	S. Lapidus (A)	C. Schneider (P)
E. Byron (P)	A. Livshitz (A)	J. Smith (P)
L. Davis (A)	S. Meiners (A)	P. Sullivan (P)
L. Farr (A)	T. Olsen (P)	C. Tailor (P)
D. Giraud (P)	M. Orosz (P)	J. Toney (P)
D. Gohil (P)	R. Parthasarathi (P)	W. VonMiller (A)
R. Hartzel (P)	A. Patel (P)	L. Younce (P)

P = present, E = excused, A = absent

Attendance also included the following guests.

J. Beaver	M. Lafond	A. Rowell
B. Cohn	T. Lagerstrom	M. Schaecker
T. Esco	F. Mayle	J. Shullaw
M. Flack	D. Mazumdar	R. Warren
R. Hughes	A. Morgan	

Patents:

IEEE-SA rules on Patents were reviewed. The introductory slide and slides #1 through #5 of the IEEE-SA Patents Slide Set dated 25-March-2008 were shown. The WG attendees were advised:

- The IEEE's patent policy is consistent with the ANSI patent policy and is described in Clause 6 of the IEEE-SA Standards Board Bylaws;
- Early identification of patent claims which may be essential for the use of standards under development is encouraged;
- There may be Essential Patent Claims of which the IEEE is not aware. Additionally, neither the IEEE, nor the WG, nor the WG chair can ensure the accuracy or completeness of any assurance or whether any such assurance is, in fact, of a Patent Claim that is essential for the use of the standard under development.

The participants were provided an opportunity to identify patent claim(s)/patent application claim(s) and/or the holder of patent claim(s)/patent application claim(s) that the participant believes may be essential for the use of the standard which will result from the activity of the WG.

No responses were received during the meeting regarding patent claim(s)/patent application claim(s) and/or the holder of the patent claim(s)/patent application claim(s) that were identified (if any) and by whom.

All text revised in previous meetings was entered into the IEEE template and distributed before the meeting. Any areas that may not have been completely reviewed were noted in the document. Chuck Ball will update the draft in accordance with the fall meeting distribute to the working group by Oct 15. The working group will review clause 9, Annex A and any items not covered in the fall meeting and send their response back by Nov 12.

The WG covered three subjects that had not been previously addressed. First was the text proposed by the task force on the flame test and track-resistance test. This text was accepted with some modifications. Second was the proposed change to the paint finish test to include other finishes. The WG was unable to resolve all the issues. Paul Barnhart will lead a task force to revise the proposed text. Third was the proposed change to include fixed and drawout breakers. Time ran out before the WG could complete the review and revisions. Eldridge Byron will work with Chand Tailor to revise the text. Paul and Eldridge will send the revised text to Chuck Ball. He will distribute the revised text to the WG and ask for their response. The goal is to have the document ready for ballot by the fall meeting.

All text has been coordinated with C37.100.1. Clauses 1 through 9 will follow the original order in the present standard. Subclauses will follow the order of the corresponding subclauses in C37.100.1. Matt Ceglia confirmed that this does not violate IEEE Style Manual.

Report submitted by Chuck Ball, Working Group Chair