An Introduction to Free-Field Measurements of Wireless Devices in Reverberation Chambers

Kate A. Remley, Group Leader Metrology for Wireless Systems





What is a Reverberation Chamber?

A shielded, highly reflective free-field test chamber
 What you can do with one:

- Create known fields (EMC/susceptibility)
- Radiated emissions and power (CW and modulated-signal)
- Antenna parameters (Γ, efficiency, etc.)

You can also do communication system tests

- Receiver sensitivity
- Throughput
- EVM, BER, etc.
- DUT needs realistic channel





NIST measurements of prototype 4G MIMO cellular telephone antennas

Fields in a Metal Box (A Shielded Room)*



- In a metal box, the fields have well defined modal distributions.
 - Some locations have very high field values
 - Some locations have very low field values
 - * With thanks to Chris Holloway

Fields in a Metal Box with Large, Rotating Scatterer (Paddle)



- The paddle changes locations where the high and low field values occur
- After one mode-stirring sequence, all locations in the chamber will have experienced nearly the same collection of field maxima and minima

A "Statistical" Test Chamber

- Quantities measured in the reverberation chamber are averaged over a mode-stirring sequence
- Randomize fields with
 - Mode-stirring paddles
 - Changing physical position
 - Using multiple antennas: various locations, polarizations



Reverberation Chambers Come in All Shapes and Sizes

Lowest frequency of operation, uncertainties determined by chamber size, wall loss

> Reverberation Chamber with Moving Walls

NASA: Glenn Research Center (Sandusky, OH)

Chamber Electrical Characteristics

Constructive and destructive interference for each mode-stirring sample

 Frequency domain (|S₂₁|²): Reflections create multipath





 Time domain (power delay profile): Decay time of reflections depends on chamber reflectivity

Original Applications

Radiated Immunity

- components
- large systems
- Radiated Emissions
- Shielding
 - cables
 - connectors
 - enclosures

- Antenna efficiency
- Calibrate RF probes
- RF/MW Spectrograph
 - absorption properties
- Material heating
- Biological effects
- Conductivity and material properties

Wireless Applications

Multipath environments

- Rayleigh, Rician multipath channels: with/without channel emulators
- Time response: power delay profile, delay spread
- Channel models
- Biological effects of modulated-signal exposure
- Gain from multiple antenna systems
 - TX or RX diversity
 - MIMO

Standardized overthe-air test methods

- Radiated power of mobile wireless devices
- Receiver sensitivity
- Large-form-factor and body-worn devices (with phantoms)
- Public-safety emergency equipment

Cellular Wireless: Over-the-Air Tests Required

- Network providers assess performance of every wireless device model on their network:
 - Total Radiated Power (TRP)
 - Total Isotropic Sensitivity (TIS)

OTA testing traditionally done in anechoic chambers





Reverberation chambers can be used as well!

Modulated Signals in RCs: What is different from EMC Testing?

- Receiver needs a realistic "frequency flat" channel
- Loading required: Add RF absorber to chamber
- Coherence bandwidth should match DUT design
- Spatial uniformity decreases
- Position stirring required

Real Channel: Slow Variations with Frequency





Cellular Device Testing: How is it done?

RF

abs

- Reference measurement provides:
 - Chamber loss: Transfer function Gref of chamber
 - Spatial uniformity of averaged fields in chamber
 - Rotating platform: Gref = <Gref,p>
 - DUT measurement:
 - Same set-up as Ref
 - Assume $G_{DUT} = G_{ref}$

Reverberation Chamber Measurement antenna Mode-stirring-paddle G_{DUT} Reference antenna

Device

under

test

Platform

RF

abs

Calibrated

cable

Base station

emulator

Total Radiated Power from Cell Phones

Data from CTIA working group shows good agreement between anechoic and reverberation chambers



The Machine-to-Machine Revolution

- By 2019: 11.5 billion mobile devices (world population 7.6 B)* M2M/IoT growing faster than smart phones



Testing Large Form-Factor Devices

- Integrated antennas: test of entire device required
- Reverberation chamber: now only option for SISO tests
- Device placement not critical within chamber
- Relatively low cost
- OTA test issues: Large, lossy DUTs





Loading Decreases Spatial Uniformity Loading helps with demodulation but introduces other "nonideal" effects



Loading and Position Stirring go Hand in Hand

- Spatial lack of uniformity a necessity:
 - unstirred energy
 - correlated samples: paddle position, location, frequency
- Industry uses position, polarization, source stirring to improve estimate of DUT performance

Set-up: Absorber Placement

- Standing on floor
- Lying on floor
- Stacked

Considerations:

- Exposed absorber surface area
- Exposed metal surfaces
- Proximity to antennas

Comparable Loading, Different Uncertainty

PCS band measurement (~1950 MHz)

- Load chamber for approximately the same CBW
- Chamber loss approximately the same as well
 - $\sigma_{G_{ref}}$ is higher when absorbers lie on floor
 - Less exposed metal surface
 - Higher proximity effect

	Distributed on Floor: Standing	Stacked	Distributed on Floor: Lying
CBW (MHz)	3.13	3.32	3.48
No. abs	3	7	4
G _{ref} (dB)	-29.46	-29.69	-29.78
σ_{G} ref (dB)	0.15	0.30	0.35

Set-up: Stirring Sequence is Important

- Stirring mechanisms influence results differently
- Each chamber will have a different "mix" of optimal stirring

Measure effects of paddle angle and antenna position at three locations in a loaded chamber

K.A Remley, R.J Pirkl, H.A Shah, and C.-M. Wang, "Uncertainty from choice of mode-stirring technique in reverberation-chamber measurements," *IEEE Trans. Electromagnetic Compat.*, vol. 55, no.6, pp. 1022-1030, Dec. 2013.

Measured and modeled uncertainty at the three locations

M = antenna positions N = paddle angles

Set-up: Antenna Placement is Important

Unstirred energy: increased K factor, reduced spatial uniformity

Antenna placement guidelines:

- Orient away from each other
- Cross polarize
- Aim toward stirrers
- DUT: Unknown pattern?

Relationship between antennas and absorber is also important

Good Set-up = Good Results

- Must account for
 - placement and amount of RF absorber
 - number, type, and correlation of mode-stirring samples
 - antenna type and placement
- Good comparison between chambers: throughput vs. input power

35000		Lab	Good	Nominal	Bad
 Stand Stand Stand		AC1	-100.50	-99.00	-94.20
	← CTIA Good CTIA Good	AC2	-102.80	-100.00	-94.20
	- CTIA Nominal - ★- CTIA Nominal	RC1	-103.58	-100.29	-93.40
	CTIA Bad	RC2	-101.46	-98.22	-92.12
	8	RC3	-101.93	-98.66	-90.91
Power [dBm/15kHz]		Spread+/-	1.54	1.04	1.65

Results show good repeatability and comparison with anechoic methods

From MOSG131207

TRP for Large M2M Device

- Wireless, solar-powered trash compactor
- MHz) MHz) abs N)

OTA Tests to Model Multipath Environment

Apartment Building

Oil Refinery

Office Corridor

Automobile Plants

Subterranean Tunnels

NIST channel measurements: Standards development for electronic safety equipment such as firefighter beacons

Channel Measurements: Denver High Rise

Replicate Environment in Reverberation Chamber

Reverberation chamber with absorbing material

- Add RF absorbing material to "tune" the decay time of the chamber
- Distributed multipath (reflections) matched by chamber's decay profile

Time response of channel replicated in chamber

Emulating Other Reflective Environments Oil Refinery

Emulating Other Reflective Environments

Automobile Factories

Urban Canyon Multipath Effects

•Measurements made in Denver urban canyon 2009

•Channel characterization: LOS and NLOS

Channel Models Used for Standardized OTA tests

- Outdoor-to-indoor channel model for 700 MHz
 - 8 environments, hundreds of measurements
- "NIST Model" included in 3GPP reverberationchamber-based test methods

Excess tap delay [ns]	Relative power [dB]
0	0.0
40	-1.7
120	-5.2
180	-7.8
210	-9.1
260	-11.3
350	-15.2

Discrete version of the "NIST Model" for anechoic-chamber measurements

D.W. Matolak, K.A. Remley, C.L. Holloway, and C. Gentile, "Outdoor-to-Indoor Channel Dispersion and Power-Delay Profile Models for the 700 MHz and 4.9 GHz Bands," *IEEE Antennas and Wireless Propagat. Lett.*, vol. 15, 2016, pp. 441-443.

Millimeter-Wave Wireless for "5G"

5G Wireless Concepts:

- Massive MIMO
- Tiered spectrum (licensed and unlicensed)
- Millimeter-wave frequencies

Low Uncertainty Required:

- High carrier frequencies
- High modulation bandwidths Stay tuned ...

Reverberation Chambers for Wireless Test

Some issues:

- Angle-of-arrival information lacking
 - Advanced transmission, multiple antenna systems
 - Test methods (CTIA, 3GPP groups)
- Instantaneous channel can be problematic for receiver (even if mean characteristics are OK)
- Field non-uniformity increases with loading and loading is often required for receiver tests
- Testing devices with repeaters is difficult

Reverberation Chambers for Wireless Test Some benefits:

- Capable of simulating key characteristics of many multipath environments for the testing of wireless devices
- For OTA test reverberation chambers are:
 - Accurate uncertainties on par with anechoic methods
 - Able to provide realistic distributed power delay profile
 - Suitable for testing diversity and MIMO gain (due to multipath)
 - Cost effective
 - Space efficient

The "NIST Model" for building penetration: 8 environments RMS DS: 80 ns @ 700 MHz

Excess tap delay [ns]	Relative power [dB]
0	0.0
40	-1.7
120	-5.2
180	-7.8
210	-9.1
260	-11.3
350	-15.2

D.W. Matolak, K.A. Remley, C.L. Holloway, and C. Gentile, "Outdoor-to-Indoor Channel Dispersion and Power-Delay Profile Models for the 700 MHz and 4.9 GHz Bands," *IEEE Antennas and Wireless Propagat. Lett.*, vol. 15, 2016, pp. 441-443. Watch this space for more information on over-the-air testing with reverberation chambers

