

Designation:	Name:							
P10	P2664 Strea	ming Telemetry Trai	nsport Protocol (STTP)					
Meeting Locatio	on:	Meeting Time:	Meeting Date:	Minutes Revised:	Minutes Revised:		Minutes Approved:	
Web only		08:00 AM PE	OT 2023/06/13	[YYYY/MM/DD]		2023/24/10		
PAR Output:	R Output: PAR Output: PAR Approx		e: PAR Expiration Date:	Target Sponsor Bal	et Sponsor Ballot Date: Target Completic		ate:	
n/a	n/a	2018/09	2024/12	2023/06	j	2023/12	2	
Presiding Officer	r:		Recorded by:		Draft Nu	lmber:		
Ken Martin, (Chair		Scott Mix , Vice-Chair 3.00					
Attendance:			•					
	Name		Δ	ffiliation		Attending via Phone (P) / Web (W) or Local (L)	M/NVM/ G	
Ken Martin	Nume		EPG	Timation		W	М	
Scott Mix			PNNL			W	М	
Alex Apostolo	ov		Omicron					
Marc Benou			Iniven					
Steven Blair			Synaptic					
Dan Brancaco	cio		Quanta					
Ritchie Carro	ill		GPA			W	М	
Matt Donnell	ly		Hungry Horse Engineering			W	М	
Jean-Sebastie	en Gagnon		VisiMax					
Vasudev Gharpure			Quanta					
Allen Goldstein			NIST					
6 1 11								
Shane Haver	on		Amatek			\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	N 4	
Yi Hu Chris Huntley			Quanta SEL			W	M	
Cillis nulluey	у		SEL					
Mahendra Pa	atel		EPRI					
Benton Vandiver			Hitachi Energy					
	····							
M:Member CM: Corresponding G: Guest	g Member				_			

Item no.	Notes	Action by
CALL TO ORDER	The chair called the meeting to order at 8:00 AM PDT	Chair
INTRODUCTIONS	Remote attendees introduced themselves. A total of 5 (of 10) members were	
AND QUORUM	present, with 0 guests. Quorum was not met	
AGENDA APPROVAL	The agenda was approved	

Com**R\$66**\$\text{\text{text}\text{vi-fcomfile}}\tial Minutes of Meeting

Item no.	Notes	Action by
APPROVAL OF PREVIOUS MINUTES	Not done, no quorum	Chair
CALL FOR PATENTS Patent awareness and policy slides were shown.		Chair
Review of Copyright	IEEE SA copyright policy slides were reviewed.	Chair
Old Business	Old Business Chair Ken Martin reviewed the status of the WG activity. The PSCCC authorized going to ballot at the May meeting. Ken initiated the ballot pool formation and the MEC review. The MEC review was received on May 23. Ken and Ritchie hav both done some of the required editing on the current draft (3.00). furthe clarification is needed for some of the items in the MEC review, so Ken ha requested a meeting with the IEEE SA liaison Tom Thompson.	
New Business	After the old business review, Ken reported we currently have 39 people signed up to ballot. 704 invitations were sent. Of these 10 are general interest, 9 are consulting, 6 are producer, 3 each for user and academic, and 8 others in 7 categories. This is sufficiently diverse to qualify. The invitation closes June 16. The WG went through the current draft and discussed the suggested edits by Ken and Ritchie. It was decided several of the note material needs to become normative and one place should remain noted with the proper wording. The use of processing interval was superseded by replay interval so these instances were changed. The use of the word 'client' was also incorrect, so these were changed to subscriber where needed. The WG also finished going through the list of definitions with some edited, some dropped, and some left as is. The WG thought it would be best to make these changes before going to ballot so that there are fewer comments to deal with after ballot. We will ask Tom T. if we can do that.	
Post Meeting Business	Members who wished to attended a web meeting with Tom Thompson, the IEEE SA representative for the WG. (Attendees were Ken, Scott, Ritchie, Tom). The MEC requirements were reviewed. Section I, which must be resolved, include copyright and open-source licensing. Tom will consult IEEE legal to find the appropriate answers. He plans to get back to the WG initially within a week and with final answers within 3 weeks. He said we can go ahead and make the MEC required changes before going to ballot, including the changes in the definitions.	Chair
		Chair
[AGENDA ITEM 5]		
[AGENDA ITEM 6]		
ITEMS REPORTED OUT OF EXECUTIVE SESSION	n/a	
TIME OF FINAL ADJOURNMENT	09:40 AM PDT	

Minutes of meeting (continued) Page 3 of 3

Item no.	Notes	Action by
NEXT FACE TO FACE	Myrtle Beach, SC in September	
MEETINGS		
FUTURE MEETING	Room Size for 20, Projector, web conference support	
ROOM		
REQUIREMENTS		