



PSCCC WG P15 Meeting Minutes

Designation: P15	Name: 1815.2 DNP3 Profile for DER Communications
---------------------	---

Meeting Location: Las Vegas, NV	Meeting Time: 13:00 PST	Meeting Date: 2023/05/08	Minutes Revised: N/A	Minutes Approved: 2023-09-18
------------------------------------	----------------------------	-----------------------------	-------------------------	---------------------------------

PAR Output: New	PAR Output: Standard	PAR Approval Date: 2019-11-07	PAR Expiration Date: 2023-12-31	Target Sponsor Ballot Date: 2023-09-01	Target Completion Date: 2023-12-31
--------------------	-------------------------	----------------------------------	------------------------------------	---	---------------------------------------

Presiding Officer: Eric Thibodeau	Recorded by: James Formea	Draft Number: 1.0
--------------------------------------	------------------------------	----------------------

Attendance:				
Name		Affiliation	Attending via Phone (P) / Web (W) or Local (L) M/CM/G	

Anthony Johnson	SCE	L	M
Eric Thibodeau	Hydro-Québec	W	M
Frances Cleveland	Xanthus Consulting	W	M
Grant Gilchrist	TESCO Automation	W	M
James Formea	Eaton	L	M
Mike Dood	SEL	L	M
Andrew West	SUBNET Solutions	W	CM
Justin Turner	GE	W	CM
Alla Deronja	ATC	L	G
Benton Vandiver	Hitachi Energy	L	G
Brian Smith	SCE	L	G
Byungtae Jang	KEPCO	W	G
Darcy Wheelles	MESA Standards	W	G
Dean Ouellette	RTDS	L	G
Ed Cenon	SEL	W	G
Galina Antonova	Hitachi Energy	W	G
Jason Lombardo	S & C	W	G
Joel Greene	SISCO	L	G
Laurel Brandt	TVA	L	G
Peiman Dadkhah	NuGrid Power	L	G
Priya Raghuraman	Siemens	L	G

M:Member
CM: Corresponding Member
G: Guest

Item no.	Notes	Action by
CALL TO ORDER	<p>No quorum present at the start of the meeting. Short by 1; 5/10 members present. Meeting proceeded forward for informational purposes.</p> <p>After participant behavior slides were shown, another member arrived and the meeting was called to order with 6/10 members present.</p>	
INTRODUCTIONS AND QUORUM	<p>Introductions were made in the room and on the conference line.</p>	
CALL FOR PATENTS, COPYRIGHT POLICY, PARTICIPANT BEHAVIOR	<p>Patent slides were displayed in the room and online and a call for essential patent claims was made. Participant Behavior slides were shown in the room and online.</p>	
CHAIR'S REMARKS		
AGENDA APPROVAL	<p>James Formea motioned to approve the agenda as posted. Tony Johnson made a second.</p>	
UPDATE TO SCOPE/PURPOSE	<p>Item taken out of order.</p> <p>The original scope was displayed. A new proposed scope was displayed. The new scope was developed during informal workshops. The new scope intends to clarify the existing scope statement. The chair asserts that the revised scope statement does not extend or alter the scope of the work.</p> <p>A question was raised regarding the need for the revision, and a working group member commented that clarification was required because the scope must be included in the document. Another member responded that such clarification could be made elsewhere in the document if the current scope is not technically correct.</p> <p>This PAR revision would need to go to NesCom, which opens up the entire PAR for reconsideration and potential rejection.</p> <p>An IEEE-SA representative in the room confirmed that a PAR revision is not required provided the work is within the original PAR scope, and the WG can resolve any questions regarding a mismatched scope between the final document and the PAR.</p> <p>Discussion was had regarding the desire to have the scope match between the PAR and balloted document, and it was concluded that there is no such requirement, but rather a high potential for comments from balloters regarding the mismatch.</p> <p>Conclusion was to proceed with the original scope from the PAR, and use the proposed revised scope text elsewhere in the informative text of the standard. This avoids the need to go back to NesCom.</p> <p>Same discussion was had regarding the Purpose as stated in the PAR vs. a new revised Purpose statement.</p> <p>Tony Johnson made a motion to update the scope and purpose language in the Standard document, but not to propose a revision to the PAR. Grant Gilchrist seconded the motion. Motion carried with no dissent or abstention.</p>	

Item no.	Notes	Action by
<p>APPROVAL OF PREVIOUS MINUTES</p>	<p>The minutes of the January 2023 meeting were displayed and briefly reviewed.</p> <p>Frances Cleveland made a motion to approve the minutes as circulated. Tony Johnson seconded the motion. Motion carried with no dissent or abstention.</p>	
<p>STATUS OF APPLICATION NOTE REVIEW</p>	<p>Review and conversion is underway. Several comments received from the MESA group. Working Group now needs to do another review.</p> <p>WG has been and will continue meeting every 2 weeks; next meeting is May 22, 2023.</p> <p>Target is to have the draft completely reviewed and ready for balloting by the end of August 2023 to allow a vote to go to ballot at the September 2023 meeting.</p> <p>Quorum was lost at this point in the meeting (1336 hrs).</p>	
<p>STATUS OF COPYRIGHT ISSUES, DOCUMENT OWNERSHIP, ETC.</p>	<p>Darcy Wheelers with MESA Standards Alliance provided a brief presentation on the status of a Memorandum of Understanding between the DNP-UG and MESA. This MOU describes “the mutual goals and responsibilities for a cooperative agreement” between MESA and the DNP-UG.</p> <p>MOU calls out revision of the existing DNP-UG / IEEE sharing agreement and the creation of a new MESA / IEEE sharing agreement.</p> <p>Other actions are assigned to the various parties involved as outlined in the presentation.</p> <p>Meeting scheduled with IEEE Program and SA staff for week of May 15, 2023.</p> <p>A question was raised regarding the timeline of getting the IEEE sharing agreements created/revised, specifically with respect to getting everything done by the September target deadline for moving to ballot.</p>	
<p>DISTRIBUTION OF THE COMPANION DOCUMENT</p>	<p>Tables have been removed from the draft document and now reside in a companion Microsoft Excel spreadsheet. The best way to distribute this document has been discussed recently. IEEE Open Source initiative and statis “IEEE SA Downloads” processes have been considered.</p> <p>IEEE-SA has not yet provided a definitive response on whether or not digital content, i.e. a spreadsheet document, can be considered normative.</p> <p>A comment was made that Open Source may not be correct approach if there is not to be an understood invitation for continuous changes.</p> <p>Additional discussion was had regarding the challenges of maintaining access to and/or verifying “the” normative copy of the digital asset, which could be achieved using checksum publishing, digital signatures, etc.</p>	
<p>ITEMS REPORTED OUT OF EXECUTIVE SESSION</p>	<p>None</p>	
<p>TIME OF FINAL ADJOURNMENT</p>	<p>Upon reaching the end of the approved agenda, the meeting adjourned at 1406 PST.</p>	

Item no.	Notes	Action by
<p>NEXT FACE TO FACE MEETINGS</p>		
<p>FUTURE MEETING ROOM REQUIREMENTS</p>	<p>Room Size: 25 Projector: Yes Phone: Yes Web Meeting: Yes Conflicts: PSCC S16 and S17 and PSRC I47</p>	