

## **PSCC Subcommittee P1 Meeting Minutes**

Designation: Name:

P1 Standard Profile for Use of IEEE 1588 Precision Time Protocol in Power System Applications. Amendment

1: Adding a TLV to indicate the latest IERS-specified UTC Leap Second Event.

Meeting Location:	Meeting Time:	Meeting Date:	Minutes Revised:	Minutes Approved:	
Teams	10:00 CDT	2022/08/19	2022/08/23	2022/09/13	
PAR Submission Date:	PAR Approval Date:	PAR Expiration Date:	Target Sponsor Ballot Date:	Target Completion Date:	
2020-01-23	2020-03-05	2024-12-31	N/A	N/A	
 Presiding Officer:		Recorded by:	Draft Nu	 ımber:	
Chris Huntley, Chair		n/a	PC37.2	PC37.238a_D2.4m_003e	

Attendance:

Nan	ne	Affiliation	Attending via Phone (P) / Web (W) or Local (L)	M/CM/G
Members	Present?			
Christopher Huntley	X	SEL	W	М
Jay Anderson	Х	SEL	W	M
Galina Antonova	Χ	Hitachi Energy	W	М
Ken Fodero	Χ	SEL	W	М
Jalal Gohari	X	WSP	W	М
Nicholas Kraemer		NuGrid Power Corp	W	М
Ya-Shian Li-Baboud	Χ	NIST	W	М
Sakis Meliopoulos		Georgia Tech.	W	М
Bruce Muschlitz		Novatech	W	М
Benton Vandiver	Χ	Hitachi Energy	W	М
Harsh Vardhan	X	GE	W	М
Dean Ouelette	Х	RTDS	w	G
Erin Morales	Χ	IEEE SA	W	G
Jeff Dagle	X	PNNL		

M:Member

CM: Corresponding Member

G: Guest

PSCC Committee Minutes of Meeting

## Minutes of meeting (continued)

Page 2 of 4

Item no.	Notes	Action by
CALL TO ORDER	Performed by Chair 10:02 CDT	C. Huntley
CALL FOR PATENTS	IEEE-SA slides presented to group.	C. Huntley
	Response : negative.	**
COPYRIGHT POLICY	IEEE-SA slides presented to group.	C. Huntley
BEHAVIOR SLIDES	Participant behavior in IEEE-SA activities slides presented to group.	C. Huntley
Attendees/Quorum	8 of 11 members attending; 11 total. Quorum achieved.	All
APPROVAL OF	Minutes of 2022-07-29 meeting were displayed. The Minutes were	Motion to
PREVIOUS MINUTES	approved.	approve from K.
		Fodero 2 <sup>nd</sup> J.
		Gohari
AGENDA APPROVAL		Motion to
	attendees and was presented. Agenda was approved.	approve from K.
		Fodero; 2 <sup>nd</sup> B.
		Vandiver

PSCC Committee Minutes of Meeting

Item no.	Notes	Action by
Presentation &	Vote on approval of TLV being mandatory	C. Huntley and
Discussion	<ul> <li>Galina: wanted to have a survey on where people stand for a "soft" understanding on making the TLV mandatory</li> </ul>	members
	<ul> <li>Floor open to discussion to discuss the topic. Galina pointed out</li> </ul>	
	that two members were missing.	
	Ya-Shian: question on holdover to use the TLV. How much accurate	
	holdover was required to be able to use the TLV (longer than 2 ms)?	
	A member pointed out that during holdover a clock may not be able	
	to maintain 2 ms accuracy for the duration of the length of the TLV	
	information interval ( $\sim$ 3 months).	
	The Chair pointed out that clock models are on the market that can	
	hold 100 ns for 3 weeks.	
	Galina stated that her concern was that the Amendment would give	
	the impression that the TLV would imply holdover accuracy for	
	longer than the capability of a clock to maintain 1 µsec accuracy.	
	<ul> <li>The Chair pointed out that the 1 µsec accuracy requirement is only</li> </ul>	
	applicable during steady state (connected to a reference source)	
	Ya-Shian pointed out that clockClass and currentUTCOffsetValid	
	would provide an understanding of the capabilities of the clock	
	during holdover.	
	<ul> <li>The Chair requested a return to the approved Agenda: Motion to approve TLV being mandatory</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Galina asked that the agenda be changed to use an electronic survey</li> </ul>	
	rather than a vote	
	K Fodero moved to ask the question. Jay seconded.	
	Galina objected as she was withdrawing (today) her motion from	Motion to
	the last meeting (which was in the distributed minutes and in the	
	approved Agenda). The Chair asked B. Vandiver for clarity on the	20.70
	process. Benton pointed out that there was a moved and seconded	
	and called the vote.	
	Galina objected.	
	<ul> <li>Harsh asked for a sent-out survey.</li> </ul>	
	Jay Anderson called the Vote. Galina said that the Chair and both	
	the person moving the vote and the second represented the same	
	company. Both K. Fodero and Jay Anderson stated that they were	
	acting for themselves only.	
	We had a motion to approve the motion and a second. Galina then	
	objected saying that she was withdrawing her request from the last meeting to hold a vote on the TLV being mandatory at this meeting	
	and to replace that with an emailed survey. It was pointed out that	
	the Question had been sent out in both minutes and the Agenda to	
	the members, and that both had been approved by the members	
	present.	
	Erin suggested that K. Fodero could amend his motion to perform a	
	survey, however Ken had already dropped from the call for another	
	engagement as he announced announced early in the meeting. As a	
	result, Jay rescinded the Second.	
	The Chair then pointed out that the agreed-upon process to resolve	
	questions on the Amendment was failing as new ideas were	
	constantly being introduced, and challenged the Subgroup to	
	finalize an acceptable Draft to be approved with a date certain and	
	no additional changes (note: note: Galina proposed clarification	
ommittee	UTC timescale expectations that reached consensus among some	ites of Meeting

## Minutes of meeting (continued)

Page 4 of 4

Item no.	Notes	Action by
Next steps	Next meeting date at the Fall PSRC-PSCCC meeting.  The minutes for today's meeting will be distributed by email for review, to be voted on at that meeting.  Edited documents will be sent to members.  The WG subgroup will send a proposed Survey to the Chair for circulation, in time for review/response by the WG members before the next meeting; along with any new proposed changes to the Amendment meeting the subgroup's consensus.  The existing document will be sent to the IEEE editors for clean-up.  The agenda for the next meeting will be sent out. Any changes will be incorporated and the agenda will be re-sent if required.	Action by
TIME OF FINAL ADJOURNMENT	11:32 CDT	Meeting adjourned.

PSCC Committee Minutes of Meeting