Adherence to aerobic and muscle-strengthening activities guidelines: a systematic review and metaanalysis of 3.3 million participants across 32 countries

Antonio Garcia-Hermoso $(1)^{1}$ José Francisco López-Gil $(2)^{2}$ Robinson Ramírez-Vélez $(2)^{1,3}$ Alicia María Alonso-Martínez $(2)^{1,3}$ Mikel Izquierdo $(2)^{1,3}$ Yasmin Ezzatvar $(2)^{4}$

ABSTRACT

Objective To estimate the global prevalence of meeting the WHO guidelines for both aerobic and musclestrengthening activities (MSA) in populations aged ≥5 years, and whenever possible to explore this prevalence according to sociodemographic and lifestyle factors. **Design** A systematic review and meta-analysis. **Data sources** Five databases were systematically searched for studies published from inception to September 2022.

Eligibility criteria for selecting studies Articles with representative samples aged \geq 5 years reporting the prevalence of meeting both aerobic and MSA guidelines were included.

Results Twenty-one studies comprising 3 390 001 individuals from 32 countries were included. Overall adherence to the aerobic and MSA guidelines was 17.12% (95% CI 15.42% to 18.88%) in adults \geq 18 years (n=3 346 723). Among adolescents aged 12–17 years, adherence to both guidelines was 19.74% (95% CI 14.72% to 25.31%) (n=43 278). No studies reported data for children aged 5–11 years. Women, older age, low/medium education levels, underweight or obesity, and poor and moderate self-rated health were associated with lower adherence to the physical activity guidelines (p<0.001) among adults, although the prevalence remained very low in all cases. Subgroup analyses were not conducted with children and adolescents due to a lack of studies.

Conclusions Only one out of five adolescents and adults met the recommended combined aerobic and MSA guidelines. Large-scale public health interventions promoting both types of exercise are needed to reduce the associated burden of non-communicable diseases. **PROSPERO registration number** CRD42022338422.

health priority.¹ Indeed, the WHO recognises phys-

ical inactivity as a key risk factor in the prevention

and control of chronic diseases.¹ Evidence-based

physical activity guidelines for health have been

issued since 1995 by the US Centers for Disease

Control and Prevention and the American College

of Sports Medicine.² Traditionally, these guidelines

focused solely on moderate-to-vigorous aerobic

 Additional supplemental material is published online only. To view, please visit the journal online (http://dx.doi. org/10.1136/bjsports-2022-106189).

 ¹Navarrabiomed, Hospital Universitario de Navarra (HUN), Public University of Navarra (UPNA), IdISNA, Pamplona, Navarra, Spain
 ²Health and Social Research Center, Universidad de Castilla-La Mancha, Cuenca, Spain
 ³CIBER of Frailty and Healthy Aging (CIBERFES), Instituto de Salud Carlos III, Madrid, Spain
 ⁴Department of Nursing, Universitat de València, Valencia, Spain

Correspondence to

Antonio Garcia-Hermoso, Navarrabiomed, Hospital Universitario de Navarra (HUN), Public University of Navarra (UPNA), IdiSNA, Pamplona, Navarra 31008, Spain; antonio.garciah@unavarra.es

Accepted 9 November 2022 Published Online First 23 November 2022



Check for updates INTRODUCTION Increasing physical activity levels is a worldwide

© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2023. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

To cite: Garcia-Hermoso A, López-Gil JF, Ramírez-Vélez R, et al. Br J Sports Med 2023;57:225–229.



adults³ and 2008 in youth⁴ global physical activity guidelines for public health have included two or more days of muscle-strengthening activities (MSA) (eg, weight/resistance training). Specifically, the 2020 WHO guidelines on physical activity call for children and adolescents to accumulate at least an average of 60 min of MVPA per day and also vigorous physical activities and MSA should each be incorporated at least 3 days per week.¹ Among adults and older adults, the recommendation calls to accumulate an average weekly volume of 150–300 min of moderate intensity or 75–150 min of vigorous intensity, or an equivalent combination of MVPA and 2 or more days a week of MSA at moderate or greater intensity.¹

Considering the estimates for physical activity levels in 122 countries from the WHO Global Health Observatory data repository,⁵ nearly one out of three adults (31.1%) do not meet public health guidelines for recommended levels of aerobic physical activity. A later study from 358 populationbased surveys across 168 countries with 1.9 million adults confirmed these findings, showing a prevalence of insufficient aerobic physical activity of 27.5%.⁶ Global prevalence of insufficient aerobic physical activity among adolescents is even more worrying, reaching prevalence rates of ~81%.⁵⁷ However, MSA were overlooked in these studies in which those meeting only aerobic guidelines were considered as 'physically active'. Of note, estimates of physical activity prevalence based on aerobic physical activity guidelines are likely to underestimate the true extent of physical inactivity at the population level. For example, based on data from the National Health Interview Survey (NHIS) 1997– 2014,⁸ the prevalence of meeting aerobic guidelines was 23.7%, whereas the prevalence of meeting both exception and MSA guidelines was 15.90%. Similarly aerobic and MSA guidelines was 15.9%. Similarly, data from the Youth Risk Behavior Survey 2019 in US adolescents revealed differences between the prevalence of meeting aerobic (31% and 15.4% in boys and girls, respectively) and both guidelines (23.1% and 10.2% in boys and girls, respectively).⁹

The value of combining aerobic and MSA guidelines is based on the epidemiological evidence showing that each activity type has independent and cumulative health benefits among adults.¹⁰⁻¹² Moreover, meeting guidelines for both activities in

BAsem

comparison with meeting the guidelines for only one activity was prospectively related to a lower risk of all-cause mortality in adults.⁸¹³ A recent meta-analysis examining the health outcomes of aerobic and MSA found a greater benefit for all-cause, cardiovascular disease, and total cancer mortality when both guidelines were combined.¹⁰

Despite being recommended globally, studies exploring the prevalence of both aerobic and MSA and its correlates among general populations are limited compared with those reporting the compliance with aerobic physical activities. Exploring physical activity guidelines adherence across key sociodemographic/ lifestyle factors (eg, education, self-rated health, body mass index) is essential to assist policy makers to implement noncommunicable diseases prevention strategies.¹⁴ Accordingly, the main aim of this study was to determine the global prevalence of meeting both aerobic and MSA guidelines in the general population. Whenever possible, we also explored prevalence according to sociodemographic and lifestyle-related correlates categories.

METHODS

We used the methods proposed in the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines.15

Eligibility criteria

To be eligible for inclusion in the present meta-analysis, studies had to meet the following criteria: (1) participants: individuals aged ≥ 5 years; (2) outcome: adherence to both aerobic and MSA guidelines assessed with questionnaires and/or devicebased measures (ie, accelerometers, heart rate monitors) and (3) study design: cross-sectional, prospective and retrospective cohort studies with representative samples. Studies were excluded if they reported duplicate data from the same source and year and those that only included populations diagnosed with chronic diseases (eg, cancer, arthritis). When two studies included duplicate data from the same source and year, the study with the larger sample size was selected.

Information sources

Two authors (YE and AG-H) independently searched PubMed, Web of Science, SportDiscus, EMBASE and Scopus databases for studies listed from inception to September 2022. Searching was restricted to articles in English and Spanish language in peerreviewed journals. A professional librarian was consulted to verify the quality of the search strategy.

Search strategy

The following string of terms was used: 'aerobic exercise' AND 'muscle-strengthening' AND 'representative' AND 'guidelines'. Reference lists of eligible studies were manually examined for further identification of relevant articles and included if appropriate. Any disagreement was resolved by consensus with a third author (JFL-G). Full search strategies for all databases are shown in online supplemental emethod 1.

Selection process

After removing duplicates and reviewing the title and abstract of potential studies, two authors (YE and AG-H) systematically assessed the full text of identified manuscripts for eligibility.

Data collection process and data items

The following data were extracted from each study by two authors (YE and AG-H), using a Microsoft Excel spreadsheet

specifically designed for the present study: (1) study characteristics (ie, first author's name, publication year, country, sample size and population representativeness) and study design; (2) participants' information (eg, sex and age); (3) physical activity assessment details (ie, self-reported, device-based measures, definition) and (4) the proportion of participants meeting both aerobic and MSA guidelines.

Study risk of bias assessment

The risk of study bias assessment was evaluated using a specific tool for prevalence studies.¹⁶ The tool consists of 10 items that address both the external and internal validity of prevalence studies. Each item can be classified as 'yes (low risk)' or 'no (high risk)', which equals to 0 and 1 points, respectively. The overall risk of study bias is deemed to be at 'low risk of bias', 'moderate risk of bias' or 'high risk of bias', if the points scored are 0–3, 4–6 or 7–9, respectively. **Effect measures** Prevalence estimations and its 95% CIs were calculated based on the total number of people in the sample and the total number of individuals who meet both aerobic and MSA guidelines in the sample. **Synthesis methods** We used Stata V.17.0 (StataCorp) and the *metaprop* proce-dure¹⁷ to pool data from multiple studies by applying a randomrisk)', which equals to 0 and 1 points, respectively. The overall

dure¹⁷ to pool data from multiple studies by applying a randomeffects model that displayed the results as forest plots using the DerSimonian and Laird method. The exact or Clopper-Pearson method was used to establish 95% CIs for prevalence from the selected individual studies¹⁸ and a Freeman-Tukey transformation was used to normalise the results before calculating the pooled prevalence.¹⁹ When a study includes data from several year, a pooled prevalence of all years was calculated.

Metaprop tests for intragroup heterogeneity of pooled proportions were calculated using the I² statistic and its p value.

mining, A The Luis Furuya-Kanamori (LFK) index and the Doi plot were used to assess potential small-study effects due to publication bias. When the values of the LFK index were -1, between -1 and -2, and >-2, were deemed to represent no, minor and major asymmetry, respectively.²⁰

Whenever possible, subgroup analyses were conducted by age group (adolescents, adults and older adults), sex, body weight status (underweight, normal weight, overweight and obesity), education level (low, medium and high), smoking status (former/ non-smoker and current smoker) and self-rated health status (poor, moderate and good/excellent).

Finally, a sensitivity analysis was conducted to assess the robustness of the summary estimates and to determine whether a particular study accounted for the inconsistency. To examine the effects of each result from each study on the overall prevalence, results were analysed with each study removed from the model once.

RESULTS

Study selection

The electronic search strategy retrieved 3777 studies. After removing duplicates and screening titles, 67 studies were assessed for eligibility based on full text. A total of 21 studies were finally included in the present meta-analysis.⁸ 9 21-39 The PRISMA flow diagram illustrating the number of studies excluded at each stage of the systematic review and metaanalysis is shown in figure 1. A reference list of excluded




Figure 1 PRISMA flow diagram. PRISMA, Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses.

articles and reasons for exclusion based on the full text is detailed in online supplemental emethod 2.

Study characteristics

The main characteristics of the included studies are described in online supplemental eTable 1. Twenty-one studies fulfilled eligibility criteria and were included in the systematic review, including 3 390 001 participants (51.1% women). Age of the participants ranged between 12 and 95 years, since no representative studies reported data for children aged 5-11 years.

Studies were conducted in Australia,²¹ Austria,^{30 32} Bulgaria,²⁶ Croatia,²⁶ Cyprus,²⁶ Czechia,²⁶ Denmark,²⁶ Estonia,²⁶ Finland,²² ²⁶ France,²⁶ Germany,²⁵ ²⁶ Greece,²⁶ Hungary,²⁶ Innand, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary,¹⁷ Iceland,²⁶ Ireland,²⁶ Italy,²⁶ Latvia,²⁶ Lithuania,²⁶ Luxemburg,²⁶ Malta,²⁶ The Netherlands,^{26 31} Norway,²⁶ Poland,²⁶ Portugal,²⁶ Romania,²⁶ Slovakia,²⁶ Slovenia,²⁶ South Korea,^{24 33 36} Spain,²⁶ Sweden,²⁶ the UK²⁶ and the USA.^{8 9 23 27 29 35 38 39} Sources of information included the National Nutrition and Physical Activity Survey,²¹ Regional Health and Well-being Study,²² US Behavioural Risk Factor Surveillance System surveys,^{23 27} Korea National Health and Nutritional Examination Survey,24 36 German Health Update Survey,²⁵ European Health Interview Survey,²⁶ National Youth Physical Activity and Nutrition Study,³⁹ Youth Risk Behaviour Survey,9 Health Survey for England study,²⁸ National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey,^{29 35} Austrian Health Interview Surveys, 30 32 Dutch Health Survey/ Lifestyle Monitor by Statistics Netherlands,³¹ National Health Insurance Service of South Korea,³³ Active Lives Survey^{34 37 37} and the NHIS.^{8 38} Aerobic and MSA were self-reported in most studies, although one study²⁹ directly measured aerobic physical activity through accelerometers.




Figure 2 Forest plot of adherence to both aerobic and musclestrengthening activities guidelines in adolescents aged 12-17 years and adults \geq 18 years old.

Risk of bias in studies

All studies were deemed to be at low risk of bias, presenting scores ranging between 0 and 2 points. The main sources of bias were related to the reliability and validity of the study instrument that measured physical activity,²² 24-26 28 30 34 37 39 or to response rates lower than 75%.²² ²³ ²⁸ ²³ ²³ A summary of the risk of bias scoring is shown in online supplemental eTable 2.

Results of individual studies and synthesis

Figure 2 shows the overall adherence to both aerobic and MSA guidelines in adults. A total of 670 505 participants met both physical activity guidelines. The overall adherence to both physical activity guidelines in participants ≥ 18 years was 17.12% (95% CI 15.42% to 18.88%, p<0.001, I^2 =99.92%) (n=3 346 723) and 19.74% (95% CI 14.72% to 25.31%, p<0.001, $I^2 = 99.34\%$) in individuals aged 12-17 years (n=43 278) (figure 2).

Subgroup analyses according to sex, age, body mass index, education level, smoking status and self-rated health status among adults are shown in figure 3. Unfortunately, sociodemographic and lifestyle factors among children and adolescents were not explored due to insufficient information in this population. Adherence to both physical activity guidelines was higher in men (23.50%, 95% CI 20.46% to 26.67%, p<0.001, I^2 =99.91%) than in women (17.42%, 95% CI 14.73% to 20.30%, p<0.001, $I^2 = 99.92\%$) (difference between groups p<0.001) (online supplemental eFigure 1). According to age group, adherence to physical activity guidelines was 21.21% (95% CI 17.45% to 25.22%, p<0.001, I²=99.90%) and 13.63% (95% CI 8.18% to 20.20%, p<0.001, $I^2=99.79\%$) in adults and older adults (difference between groups p=0.049), respectively (online supplemental eFigure 2). Regarding weight status, adherence to the physical activity guidelines was 12.62% (95% CI 9.92% to 15.60%, p<0.001, $I^2=82.72\%$), 19.87% (95% CI 17.93% to 21.87%, p<0.001, I²=99.31%), 14.98% (95% CI 12.76% to 17.35%, p<0.001, I²=99.62%), and 9.77% (95% CI 7.98%

and

training

les




Figure 3 Forest plot of adherence to aerobic and musclestrengthening activities guidelines by age group, sex, body weight status, education level, smoking status and self-rated health status. *Difference between groups. BMI, body mass index.

to 11.71%, p<0.001, I²=99.16%) in participants with underweight, normal weight, overweight and obesity (difference between groups p < 0.001), respectively (online supplemental eFigure 3). In the case of education level, the adherence to both aerobic and MSA guidelines was 8.26% (95% CI 4.63% to 12.80%, p<0.001, I²=99.85%), 19.56% (95% CI 14.23%) to 25.50%, p < 0.001, $I^2 = 99.93\%$) and 26.50% (95% CI 20.53% to 32.95%, p<0.001, I²=99.85%), in participants with low, medium and high education (difference between groups p < 0.001), respectively (online supplemental eFigure 4). In terms of smoking status, adherence to the physical activity guidelines was similar in former/non-smokers (17.23%, 95% CI 14.88% to 19.72%, p<0.001, I^2 =99.59%) and current smokers (14.30%, 95% CI 11.48% to 17.36%, p<0.001, I²=98.98%) (difference between groups p=0.136) (online supplemental eFigure 5). Finally, participants who self-reported very good/excellent/ good health presented higher adherence to the physical activity guidelines (20.56%, 95% CI 16.47% to 24.99%, p<0.001, I^2 =99.75%) than peers reporting fair/moderate (8.16%, 95% CI 4.55% to 12.69%, p<0.001, I^2 =99.66%) and very poor/poor $(5.06\%, 95\% \text{ CI } 3.72\% \text{ to } 6.59\%, \text{p} < 0.001, \text{I}^2 = 94.25\%)$ health (difference between groups p < 0.001) (online supplemental eFigure 6).

The LFK index for the Doi plots showed minor asymmetry in adults ≥ 18 years old (LFK=-1.20) (online supplemental eFigure 7) and adolescents aged 12-17 years old (LFK=1.02) (online supplemental eFigure 8).

Sensitivity analyses show that percentages remain similar even removing studies/countries with lower (17.71% 95% CI 16.11% to 19.37%, p<0.001, $I^2=99.91\%$)²⁶ and higher physical activity guidelines adherence (16.46, 95% CI 14.81% to 18.19%, p<0.001, I²=99.92%)²⁶ in adults. Among adolescents, range of prevalence was from 16.92% (95% CI 14.37% to 19.62%, p<0.001)³¹ to 22.56% (95% CI 17.13% to 28.51%, p < 0.001).³⁹

DISCUSSION

The key finding of the present meta-analysis is that only one out of five adolescents and adults meet guidelines for aerobic and MSA in large representative population samples from 32 countries. Regarding sociodemographic and lifestyle factors,

women, older age and individuals with low/medium education levels, underweight or obesity, and poorer or moderate self-rated health showed lower adherence to the physical activity guidelines, although the prevalence remained very low in all cases.

Two studies that pooled adult aerobic physical activity levels from large population surveys found that the global prevalence was 69%⁵ and 73%.⁶ The physical activity prevalence estimates presented in the present study suggest that physical inactivity among global population is underestimated. Our findings indicate that the prevalence of meeting both aerobic and MSA guidelines is threefold lower. Lower prevalence of meeting physical activity guidelines was found among adults from Southern and Central European countries (Romania, Poland, Croatia, Cyprus and Malta) and the USA when compared with those from Northern European countries (Iceland, Sweden, The Å Netherlands and Denmark). Within the context of the current copyright meta-analysis, we are unable to identify the key causes of the geographical differences; however, a possible explanation for this might be the different instruments used to measure physical activity. Another possible explanation could be the adoption of different exercise promotion policies between countries. For example, The Netherlands, one of the countries with higher prevalence, adopted the physical activity guidelines in 2017, and aimed for 75% of the Dutch population to adhere to them. The government launched several national policies or action plans for the promotion of physical activity for health through the collaboration between central government, the sports sector, municipalities, businesses, care providers and civil society organisations.⁴⁰ In this country, Duijvestijn et al^{31} reported a positive trend in adherence rates, with 39.9% adherence in 2001 to 46.0% in 2018. Furthermore, wealth inequalities across text countries, which likely impact an individual's access to fitness facilities or the availability of free time to engage in aerobic and MSA, could also explain some of these differences. In addidata tion, environmental and security factors, such as safe access to public transport, walkability (eg, access to parks, green space, mining, street connectivity), and engagement in active commuting (ie, walking, cycling and other physical modes of travel to work, school, parks, cafes, shops, a friend's house or other destinations) could be other important aspects to consider.⁵ By contrast, among adolescents the results are strikingly similar to previous estimates from large sample sizes and aerobic guidelines.⁵⁷ This could be attributable to the greater number of days and time needed to meet the aerobic guidelines compared with the MSA guidelines (ie, daily vs at least 3 days per week). Representative studies in populations aged 5-11 years are necessary.

The sociodemographic and lifestyle-related correlates of adherence to aerobic and MSA guidelines observed here are largely concordant with previous research using aerobic recommendations alone.^{5 6} Specifically, our data show that the population subgroups at higher risk of not meeting the guidelines were women, older adults, individuals with low/medium education levels, those classified as underweight or obese, and those with poorer and moderate self-rated health. The lowest likelihood of meeting the combined guidelines was identified among those with poorer self-rated health, low education and in adults with obesity, all of them directly related.⁴¹ Along this line, a study by Bennie et al^{23} in ~1.7 million US adults found that meeting both aerobic and MSA guidelines was associated with a lower prevalence of obesity, and associations were stronger for higher obesity classes. Similar differences in adherence to physical activity guidelines have been reported in other countries, including Austria,³⁰ Australia,²¹ Finland,²² Germany,²⁵ The Netherlands³¹ and South Korea,^{33 36} indicating that these

Protectec

incl

g

Bul

₫

uses related

õ

tand

⊳

training

, and

simi

lar

technolog

lles

population subgroups should be the target for future large-scale aerobic and MSA interventions and health promotion strategies.

Our study provides an accurate estimate of prevalence of physical activity at the population level, which is an important modifiable chronic disease risk factor.¹⁰ ¹¹ The importance of meeting both guidelines from a clinical perspective has previously been highlighted in some studies included in the present meta-analysis. For example, adults engaging in aerobic and MSA at recommended levels showed important reductions in the risk of all-cause and cause-specific mortality⁸ in addition to a lower risk for multimorbidity (eg, cardiovascular risk and type 2 diabetes).^{24 29 30}

The main strength of this study is the large representative sample sizes across 32 countries. Our study also has a number of limitations. The most important limitation is that the metaanalysis included only a small number of worldwide regions, mainly countries from Europe. Second, only two countries represented the adolescent populations (The Netherlands and USA) and no studies analysed children aged 5-11 years old. Third, the included studies evaluated aerobic and MSA guidelines compliance using different self-reported questionnaires or interview methods and used different instruments for measuring physical activity, which may have contributed to the heterogeneity in our findings. Actually, it has been shown that even in the same study population, responses may vary depending on the used survey and how a question is formulated,⁴² which could affect comparability between studies. Fourth, the prevalence of physical activity was mostly determined by self-reported survey data, which is subject to recall bias, desirability bias and respondent knowledge. Nonetheless, self-report assessments remain the most common method used to assess physical activity in large population samples. Fifth, the assessment of MSA did not include non-exercise activities (eg, carrying shopping bags, gardening and walking upstairs). Sixth, it could be that individuals included MSA within overall training but, because these activities consume a small percentage of the session, they do not report it as MSA, partially explaining some differences across studies. Finally, most studies reported weighted prevalence rates, which may explain the slightly different confidence intervals

What is already known

- ⇒ Nearly one out of three adults and one out of five adolescents do not meet public health guidelines for recommended levels of aerobic physical activity.
- ⇒ Current physical activity guidelines recommend a combination of both aerobic and muscle-strengthening activities, however, the adherence to these guidelines across countries remains unknown.
- ⇒ Estimates of physical activity prevalence based on aerobic physical activity guidelines are likely to underestimate the true extent of physical inactivity at the population level.

What are the new findings

- ⇒ Only one out of five adolescents and adults meet international guidelines for combined aerobic and musclestrengthening activities.
- ⇒ Physical activity remains very low independent of sex, age, body mass index, education level, smoking status and selfrated health status.

shown in figure 2 compared with those reported by individual studies.

CONCLUSION

In large samples of individuals from 32 countries, only 19% of adolescents and 17% of adults met the guidelines for aerobic and MSA. These low prevalence levels are concerning from a public health perspective, and emphasise the need to provide large-scale physical activity interventions that must be supported by long-term political commitment and paired with coordinated and sustained dissemination and communication strategies across sectors.⁴³ Also, representative studies among children aged 5–11 years are needed.

Correction notice This article has been corrected since it published Online First. The title and results section have been updated as well as figures 2 and 3.

Contributors AG-H conceived the study, drafted the analysis plan and manuscript, and conducted statistical analyses; JFL-G reviewed the analysis plan and helped to draft the manuscript; YE assisted with data cleaning and preparation and helped to draft the manuscript; MI helped to draft the manuscript; RR-V and AMA-M helped to draft the manuscript and provided input on the analysis plan. All authors have read and approved the final version of the manuscript, and agree with the order of presentation of the authors.

Funding The authors have not declared a specific grant for this research from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Disclaimer AG-H had full access to all the data in the study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis. Also, AG-H is the responsible for the data analysis.

Competing interests YE is an associate editor of BJSM.

Patient consent for publication Not applicable.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Supplemental material This content has been supplied by the author(s). It has not been vetted by BMJ Publishing Group Limited (BMJ) and may not have been peer-reviewed. Any opinions or recommendations discussed are solely those of the author(s) and are not endorsed by BMJ. BMJ disclaims all liability and responsibility arising from any reliance placed on the content. Where the content includes any translated material, BMJ does not warrant the accuracy and reliability of the translations (including but not limited to local regulations, clinical guidelines, terminology, drug names and drug dosages), and is not responsible for any error and/or omissions arising from translation and adaptation or otherwise.

ORCID iDs

Antonio Garcia-Hermoso http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1397-7182 José Francisco López-Gil http://orcid.org/0000-0002-7412-7624 Robinson Ramírez-Vélez http://orcid.org/0000-0003-3075-6960 Alicia María Alonso-Martínez http://orcid.org/0000-0002-7204-696X Mikel Izquierdo http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1506-4272 Yasmin Ezzatvar http://orcid.org/0000-0003-4998-4162

REFERENCES

- 1 Bull FC, Al-Ansari SS, Biddle S, *et al*. World Health organization 2020 guidelines on physical activity and sedentary behaviour. *Br J Sports Med* 2020;54:1451–62.
- 2 Pate RR, Pratt M, Blair SN, et al. Physical activity and public health. A recommendation from the centers for disease control and prevention and the American College of sports medicine. JAMA 1995;273:402–7.
- 3 Haskell WL, Lee I-MIN, Pate RR, *et al*. Physical activity and public health. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2007;39:1423–34.
- 4 Services UD of H and H. 2008 physical activity guidelines for Americans. Washington, DC: US Department, 2008.
- 5 Hallal PC, Andersen LB, Bull FC, et al. Global physical activity levels: surveillance progress, pitfalls, and prospects. *Lancet* 2012;380:247–57.
- 6 Guthold R, Stevens GA, Riley LM, et al. Worldwide trends in insufficient physical activity from 2001 to 2016: a pooled analysis of 358 population-based surveys with 1·9 million participants. Lancet Glob Health 2018;6:e1077–86.
- 7 Guthold R, Stevens GA, Riley LM, et al. Global trends in insufficient physical activity among adolescents: a pooled analysis of 298 population-based surveys with 1-6 million participants. Lancet Child Adolesc Health 2020;4:23–35.
- 8 Zhao M, Veeranki SP, Magnussen CG, et al. Recommended physical activity and all cause and cause specific mortality in US adults: prospective cohort study. BMJ 2020;370:1–10.

Review

- 9 Chen TJ, Watson KB, Michael SL, et al. Sex-Stratified trends in meeting physical activity guidelines, participating in sports, and attending physical education among US adolescents, youth risk behavior survey 2009-2019. J Phys Act Health 2021;18:S102–13.
- 10 Momma H, Kawakami R, Honda T, *et al*. Muscle-strengthening activities are associated with lower risk and mortality in major non-communicable diseases: a systematic review and meta-analysis of cohort studies. *Br J Sports Med* 2022;56:755–63.
- 11 Garber CE, Blissmer B, Deschenes MR, et al. Quantity and quality of exercise for developing and maintaining cardiorespiratory, musculoskeletal, and neuromotor fitness in apparently healthy adults. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2011;43:1334–59.
- 12 Izquierdo M, Merchant RA, Morley JE, et al. International exercise recommendations in older adults (ICFSR): expert consensus guidelines. J Nutr Health Aging 2021;25:824–53.
- 13 Stamatakis E, Lee I-M, Bennie J, et al. Does Strength-Promoting exercise confer unique health benefits? A pooled analysis of data on 11 population cohorts with all-cause, cancer, and cardiovascular mortality endpoints. Am J Epidemiol 2018;187:1102–12.
- 14 Bauman AE, Reis RS, Sallis JF, *et al*. Correlates of physical activity: why are some people physically active and others not? *The Lancet* 2012;380:258–71.
- 15 Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuyt PM, *et al*. The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. *BMJ* 2021;372:n71.
- 16 Hoy D, Brooks P, Woolf A, et al. Assessing risk of bias in prevalence studies: modification of an existing tool and evidence of interrater agreement. J Clin Epidemiol 2012;65:934–9.
- 17 Nyaga VN, Arbyn M, Aerts M. Metaprop: a Stata command to perform meta-analysis of binomial data. Arch Public Health 2014;72:1–10.
- 18 Newcombe RG. Two-Sided confidence intervals for the single proportion: comparison of seven methods. *Stat Med* 1998;17:857–72.
- 19 Barendregt JJ, Doi SA, Lee YY, *et al*. Meta-Analysis of prevalence. *J Epidemiol Community Health* 2013;67:974–8.
- 20 Furuya-Kanamori L, Barendregt JJ, Doi SAR. A new improved graphical and quantitative method for detecting bias in meta-analysis. *Int J Evid Based Healthc* 2018;16:195–203.
- 21 Bennie JA, Pedisic Z, van Uffelen JGZ, et al. The descriptive epidemiology of total physical activity, muscle-strengthening exercises and sedentary behaviour among Australian adults--results from the National Nutrition and Physical Activity Survey. BMC Public Health 2016;16:73.
- 22 Bennie JA, Pedisic Z, Suni JH, et al. Self-Reported health-enhancing physical activity recommendation adherence among 64,380 Finnish adults. Scand J Med Sci Sports 2017;27:1842–53.
- 23 Bennie JA, De Cocker K, Pavey T, *et al*. Muscle strengthening, aerobic exercise, and obesity: a pooled analysis of 1.7 million us adults. *Obesity* 2020;28:371–8.
- 24 Bennie JA, Ding D, Khan A, et al. Run, lift, or both? associations between concurrent aerobic-muscle strengthening exercise with adverse cardiometabolic biomarkers among Korean adults. Eur J Prev Cardiol 2020;27:738–48.
- 25 Bennie JA, De Cocker K, Tittlbach S. The epidemiology of muscle-strengthening and aerobic physical activity guideline adherence among 24,016 German adults. *Scand J Med Sci Sports* 2021;31:1096–104.
- 26 Bennie JA, Wiesner GH. Health-Enhancing physical activity in Europe-Combined aerobic physical activity and Muscle-Strengthening exercise guideline adherence

among 280,605 adults from 28 European countries. J Phys Act Health 2022;19:56–62.

- 27 Churilla JR, Johnson TM, Richardson MR. Mode of physical activity participation in US adults: a regional perspective. *South Med J* 2022;115:118–24.
- 28 De Cocker K, Teychenne M, White RL, *et al*. Adherence to aerobic and musclestrengthening exercise guidelines and associations with psychological distress: a cross-sectional study of 14,050 English adults. *Prev Med* 2020;139:106192.
- 29 Dankel SJ, Loenneke JP, Loprinzi PD. Combined associations of Muscle-Strengthening activities and Accelerometer-Assessed physical activity on multimorbidity: findings from NHANES. Am J Health Promot 2017;31:274–7.
- 30 Dorner TE, Lackinger C, Haider S, *et al*. Lifestyle parameters in patients with diabetes mellitus and in the general adult Population-Trends over five years: results of the Austrian National health interview series. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2021;18:9910.
- 31 Duijvestijn M, van den Berg SW, Wendel-Vos GCW. Adhering to the 2017 Dutch physical activity guidelines: a trend over time 2001–2018. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2020;17:681.
- 32 Lackinger C, Dorner TE. Achievement of physical activity recommendation and activity levels in students of human medicine compared with the general Austrian population aged between 20 and 29 years. *Wien Med Wochenschr* 2015;165:116–23.
- 33 Lee SW, Lee J, Moon SY, et al. Physical activity and the risk of SARS-CoV-2 infection, severe COVID-19 illness and COVID-19 related mortality in South Korea: a nationwide cohort study. Br J Sports Med 2022;56:901–12.
- 34 Sandercock GRH, Moran J, Cohen DD. Who is meeting the strengthening physical activity guidelines by definition: a cross-sectional study of 253 423 English adults? *PLoS One* 2022;17:e0267277–18.
- 35 Song M, Carroll DD, Fulton JE. Meeting the 2008 physical activity guidelines for Americans among U.S. youth. *Am J Prev Med* 2013;44:216–22.
- 36 Sung H, Kim G, Ma X, et al. Physical activity trends in Korean adults from Korea National health and nutritional examination survey from 2014 to 2019. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2022;19:5213.
- 37 Wennman H, Borodulin K. Associations between physical activity types and reaching the physical activity guidelines: the FinHealth 2017 study. *Scand J Med Sci Sports* 2021;31:418–26.
- 38 Whitfield GP, Carlson SA, Ussery EN, et al. Trends in meeting physical activity guidelines among urban and rural Dwelling adults — United States, 2008–2017. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2019;68:513–8.
- 39 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Physical activity levels of high school students --- United States, 2010. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2011;60:773–7.
- 40 World Health Organization. Netherlands physical activity Factsheet 2021, 2021. Available: https://www.who.int/europe/publications/m/item/physical-activityfactsheet-netherlands-2021
- 41 Adler NE, Rehkopf DH. U.S. disparities in health: descriptions, causes, and mechanisms. *Annu Rev Public Health* 2008;29:235–52.
- 42 Brown WJ, Trost SG, Bauman A, et al. Test-Retest reliability of four physical activity measures used in population surveys. J Sci Med Sport 2004;7:205–15.
- 43 Ding D, Mutrie N, Bauman A, et al. Physical activity guidelines 2020: comprehensive and inclusive recommendations to activate populations. *Lancet* 2020;396:1780–2.

Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, AI training, and similar technologies

Electronic supplementary material

Adherence to aerobic and muscle-strengthening activities guidelines: A systematic review and meta-analysis of 3.3 million participants across 31 countries

Online supplemental emethod 1. Electronic search strategy.

Online supplemental emethod 2. Excluded studies and reasons for exclusion.

Online supplemental eTable 1. Results of the quality assessment checklist for prevalence studies.

Online supplemental eFigure 1. Forest plot of adherence to aerobic and musclestrengthening activities guidelines by sex.

Online supplemental eFigure 2. Forest plot of adherence to aerobic and muscle-strengthening activities guidelines by age.

Online supplemental eFigure 3. Forest plot of adherence to aerobic and muscle-strengthening activities guidelines by weight status.

Online supplemental eFigure 4. Forest plot of adherence to aerobic and musclestrengthening activities guidelines by education level.

Online supplemental eFigure 5. Forest plot of adherence to aerobic and musclestrengthening activities guidelines by smoking status.

Online supplemental eFigure 6. Forest plot of adherence to aerobic and musclestrengthening activities guidelines by self-rated health status.

Online supplemental eFigure 7. Doi plot for adults ≥ 18 years old.

Online supplemental eFigure 8. Doi plot for adolescents aged 12-17 years old.

Online supplemental emethod 1. Electronic search strategy.

PubMed

#1 ((("aerobic"[All Fields] AND "aerobic"[All Fields]) OR OR "exercise"[MeSH Terms] OR "exercise" [All Fields] AND ("exercise" [MeSH Terms] OR "exercise" [All Fields] OR ("physical"[All Fields] AND "activity"[All Fields]) OR "physical activity"[All Fields]) AND ("muscle-strengthening"[All Fields] AND ("activable"[All Fields] OR "activate" [All Fields] OR "activated" [All Fields] OR "activates" [All Fields] OR "activating" [All Fields] OR "activation" [All Fields] OR "activations" [All Fields] OR "activator" [All Fields] OR "activator s" [All Fields] OR "activators" [All Fields] OR "active" [All Fields] OR "actived" [All Fields] OR "actively" [All Fields] OR "actives" [All Fields] OR "activities" [All Fields] OR "activity s" [All Fields] OR "activitys" [All Fields] OR "motor activity" [MeSH Terms] OR ("motor" [All Fields] AND "activity" [All Fields]) OR "motor activity" [All Fields] OR "activity" [All Fields])) AND ("strengthen" [All Fields] OR "strengthened" [All Fields] OR "strengthening" [All Fields] OR "strengthens" [All Fields])) OR ("muscle-strengthening" [All Fields] AND ("exercise" [MeSH Terms] OR "exercise" [All Fields] OR "exercises" [All Fields] OR "exercise therapy" [MeSH Terms] OR ("exercise" [All Fields] AND "therapy" [All Fields]) OR "exercise therapy" [All Fields] OR "exercise s" [All Fields] OR "exercised" [All Fields] OR "exerciser" [All Fields] OR "exercisers" [All Fields] OR "exercising"[All Fields])))

#2 ("adherance"[All Fields] OR "adhere"[All Fields] OR "adhered"[All Fields] OR "adherence"[All Fields] OR "adherences"[All Fields] OR "adherent"[All Fields] OR "adherents"[All Fields] OR "adherer"[All Fields] OR "adherers"[All Fields] OR "adheres"[All Fields] OR "adhering"[All Fields]) AND ("guideline"[Publication Type] OR "guidelines as topic"[MeSH Terms] OR "guidelines"[All Fields] OR "recommendation as topic"[MeSH Terms] OR "recommendation"[All Fields])

#3 #1 AND #2

Web of Science

#1 TOPIC: ("aerobic physical activity" OR "exercise")

#2 TOPIC: ("muscle-strengthening" OR "strengthening" OR "strengthen" OR "strengthened" OR "strengthens")

#3 TOPIC: ("adherence" OR "adhering")

#4 TOPIC: ("guideline" OR "guidelines" OR "recommendation")

#5 #4 AND #3 AND #2 AND #1

SPORTDiscus

S1 (MH " aerobic physical activity") OR (MH "exercise")

S2 (MH " muscle-strengthening") OR 'strengthening' OR 'strengthene' OR 'strengthened' OR 'strengthenes'

S3 ((MH "adherence") OR 'adhering'

S4 (MH "guideline") OR 'guideline' OR 'recommendation'

S5 S4 AND S3

EMBASE

(('adherence'/exp OR adherence OR adhering:ti,ab,kw) AND 'physical activity':ti,ab,kw OR exercise:ti,ab,kw) AND ('resistance training':ti,ab,kw OR 'strengthening exercise':ti,ab,kw) AND (guideline:ti,ab,kw OR recommendation:ti,ab,kw OR guidelines)

Scopus

(TITLE-ABS-KEY (adherence OR adhering) AND TITLE-ABS-KEY ("aerobic physical activity" OR exercise OR "physical activity") AND TITLE-ABS-KEY (strength OR strengthening OR muscle-strengthening OR strengthen OR strengthening) AND TITLE-ABS-KEY (guidelines OR guideline OR recommendations))

Online supplemental emethod 2. Excluded studies and reasons for exclusion.

Ahn, H., Choi, H. Y., & Ki, M. (2010). The association between levels of physical activity and low handgrip strength: Korea National. People, 39(4), 412-23.

Reason for exclusion: Duplicated

Bennie, J. A., De Cocker, K., Teychenne, M. J., Brown, W. J., & Biddle, S. J. (2019). The epidemiology of aerobic physical activity and muscle-strengthening activity guideline adherence among 383,928 US adults. International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity, 16(1), 1-11.

Reason for exclusion: Duplicated

Bennie, J. A., Teychenne, M. J., De Cocker, K., & Biddle, S. J. (2019). Associations between aerobic and muscle-strengthening exercise with depressive symptom severity among 17,839 US adults. Preventive medicine, 121, 121-127.

Reason for exclusion: Duplicated

Bennie, J. A., De Cocker, K., Biddle, S. J., & Teychenne, M. J. (2020). Joint and dosedependent associations between aerobic and muscle-strengthening activity with depression: A cross-sectional study of 1.48 million adults between 2011 and 2017. Depression and anxiety, 37(2), 166-178.

Reason for exclusion: Duplicated

Bennie, J. A., De Cocker, K., & Duncan, M. J. (2021). Associations of musclestrengthening and aerobic exercise with self-reported components of sleep health among a nationally representative sample of 47,564 US adults. Sleep Health, 7(2), 281-288.

Reason for exclusion: Duplicated

Bennie, J. A., Ding, D., & De Cocker, K. Dose-dependent associations of joint aerobic and muscle-strengthening exercise with obesity: A cross-sectional study of 280,605 adults. Journal of sport and health science, S2095-2546.

Reason for exclusion: Duplicated

Blackwell, D. L., & Clarke, T. C. (2016). Occupational Differences Among Employed Adults Who Met 2008 Federal Guidelines for Both Aerobic and Muscle-strengthening Activities: United States, 2008-2014. National health statistics reports, (94), 1-12.

Reason for exclusion: Duplicated

Blackwell, D. L., & Clarke, T. C. (2018). State variation in meeting the 2008 federal guidelines for both aerobic and muscle-strengthening activities through leisure-time physical activity among adults aged 18-64: United States, 2010-2015. National health statistics reports, (112), 1-22.

Reason for exclusion: Duplicated

Branscum, P., & Fairchild, G. (2019). Differences in determinants of aerobic and muscle strengthening physical activity among college students: a reasoned action approach. Journal of Sports Sciences, 37(1), 90-99.

Reason for exclusion: Non-representative sample

Buckner, S. L., Loenneke, J. P., & Loprinzi, P. D. (2017). Single and combined associations of accelerometer-assessed physical activity and muscle-strengthening activities on plasma homocysteine in a national sample. Clinical physiology and functional imaging, 37(6), 669-674.

Reason for exclusion: Duplicated

Carlson, S. A., Fulton, J. E., Schoenborn, C. A., & Loustalot, F. (2010). Trend and prevalence estimates based on the 2008 Physical Activity Guidelines for Americans. American journal of preventive medicine, 39(4), 305-313.

Reason for exclusion: Duplicated

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC. (2013). Suicide among adults aged 35-64 years--United States, 1999-2010. MMWR. Morbidity and mortality weekly report, 62(17), 321-325.

Reason for exclusion: Duplicated

Harris, C. D., Watson, K. B., Carlson, S. A., Fulton, J. E., Dorn, J. M., & Elam-Evans, L. (2013). Adult participation in aerobic and muscle-strengthening physical activities— United States, 2011. Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report, 62(17), 326-330.

Reason for exclusion: Duplicated

Chen, S., Malete, L., & Ling, J. An examination of physical activity guidelines and health-related quality of life among US older adults. Preventive medicine, 156, 106986.

Reason for exclusion: Duplicated

Dankel, S. J., Loenneke, J. P., & Loprinzi, P. D. (2016). The individual, joint, and additive interaction associations of aerobic-based physical activity and muscle strengthening activities on metabolic syndrome. International journal of behavioral medicine, 23(6), 707-713.

Reason for exclusion: Duplicated

Desmond, R., Jackson, B. E., & Hunter, G. (2015). Utilization of 2013 BRFSS Physical Activity Data for State Cancer Control Plan Objectives: Alabama Data. Southern Medical Journal, 108(5), 290-297.

Reason for exclusion: Duplicated

Du, Y., Liu, B., Sun, Y., Snetselaar, L. G., Wallace, R. B., & Bao, W. (2019). Trends in adherence to the physical activity guidelines for Americans for aerobic activity and time spent on sedentary behavior among US adults, 2007 to 2016. JAMA network open, 2(7), e197597.

Reason for exclusion: Study design

Lange, C., & Manz, K. (2017). Health-enhancing physical activity during leisure time among adults in Germany. Journal of Health Monitoring, 2(2).

Reason for exclusion: Duplicated

Grøntved, A., Pan, A., Mekary, R. A., Stampfer, M., Willett, W. C., Manson, J. E., & Hu, F. B. (2014). Muscle-strengthening and conditioning activities and risk of type 2 diabetes: a prospective study in two cohorts of US women. PLoS medicine, 11(1), e1001587.

Reason for exclusion: Non-representative sample

Hyde, E. T., Whitfield, G. P., Omura, J. D., Fulton, J. E., & Carlson, S. A. (2021). Trends in meeting the Physical Activity Guidelines: muscle-strengthening alone and combined with aerobic activity, United States, 1998–2018. Journal of Physical Activity and Health, 18(S1), S37-S44.

Reason for exclusion: Duplicated

Hyde, E. T., Watson, K. B., Omura, J. D., Janz, K. F., Lee, S. M., Fulton, J. E., & Carlson, S. A. (2021). Surveillance of Meeting the Youth Physical Activity Guideline: Impact of Including Vigorous-Intensity and Bone-Strengthening Activities. Research Quarterly for Exercise and Sport, 1-6.

Reason for exclusion: Duplicated

Kim, J. (2017). Longitudinal trend of prevalence of meeting physical activity guidelines among korean adults. Exercise Medicine, 1.

Reason for exclusion: Study design

Lim, J., Park, S., & Kim, J. S. (2021). Joint association of aerobic physical activity and muscle-strengthening activities with metabolic syndrome: the Korean National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 2014-2015. Epidemiology and health, 43, e2021096.

Reason for exclusion: Duplicated

Mama, S. K., Bhuiyan, N., Foo, W., Segel, J. E., Bluethmann, S. M., Winkels, R. M., ... & Schmitz, K. H. (2020). Rural-urban differences in meeting physical activity recommendations and health status in cancer survivors in central Pennsylvania. Supportive Care in Cancer, 28(10), 5013-5022.

Reason for exclusion: Clinical population

Mekary, R. A., Grøntved, A., Despres, J. P., De Moura, L. P., Asgarzadeh, M., Willett, W. C., ... & Hu, F. B. (2015). Weight training, aerobic physical activities, and long-term waist circumference change in men. Obesity, 23(2), 461-467.

Reason for exclusion: Non-representative sample

Merlo, C. L., Jones, S. E., Michael, S. L., Chen, T. J., Sliwa, S. A., Lee, S. H., ... & Park, S. (2020). Dietary and Physical Activity Behaviors Among High School Students-Youth Risk Behavior Survey, United States, 2019. MMWR supplements, 69(1), 64-76.

Reason for exclusion: Duplicated

Mu, L., Cohen, A. J., & Mukamal, K. J. (2015). Prevalence and predictors of resistance and aerobic exercise among hypertensive adults in the United States. Journal of human hypertension, 29(6), 394-395.

Reason for exclusion: Duplicated

Murphy, L. B., Hootman, J. M., Boring, M. A., Carlson, S. A., Qin, J., Barbour, K. E., ... & Helmick, C. G. (2017). Leisure Time Physical Activity Among US Adults With Arthritis, 2008–2015. American Journal of Preventive Medicine, 53(3), 345-354.

Reason for exclusion: Clinical population

Nie, J., Haberstroh, M., Acosta, T., Huang, W., Wang, Y., & Barengo, N. C. (2021). Independent and joint associations between leisure time physical activity and strength activities with mortality outcomes in older adults at least 65 years of age: a prospective cohort study. The Journals of Gerontology: Series A, 76(12), 2122-2131.

Reason for exclusion: Study design

Oftedal, S., Smith, J., Vandelanotte, C., Burton, N. W., & Duncan, M. J. (2019). Resistance training in addition to aerobic activity is associated with lower likelihood of depression and comorbid depression and anxiety symptoms: a cross sectional analysis of Australian women. Preventive Medicine, 126, 105773.

Reason for exclusion: Non-representative sample

Oftedal, S., Holliday, E. G., Reynolds, A. C., Bennie, J. A., Kline, C. E., & Duncan, M. J. (2022). Prevalence, Trends, and Correlates of Joint Patterns of Aerobic and Muscle-Strengthening Activity and Sleep Duration: A Pooled Analysis of 359,019 Adults in the National Health Interview Survey 2004–2018. Journal of Physical Activity and Health, 19(4), 246-255.

Reason for exclusion: Duplicated

Quinn, T. D., Wu, F., Mody, D., Bushover, B., Mendez, D. D., Schiff, M., & Fabio, A. (2019). Associations Between Neighborhood Social Cohesion and Physical Activity in the United States, National Health Interview Survey, 2017. Preventing Chronic Disease, 16, E163.

Reason for exclusion: Duplicated

Schoenborn, C. A., & Stommel, M. (2011). Adherence to the 2008 adult physical activity guidelines and mortality risk. American journal of preventive medicine, 40(5), 514-521.

Reason for exclusion: Duplicated

Siahpush, M., Levan, T. D., Nguyen, M. N., Grimm, B. L., Ramos, A. K., Michaud, T. L., & Johansson, P. L. (2019). The association of physical activity and mortality risk reduction among smokers: Results from 1998–2009 national health Interview surveys–national death index linkage. Journal of Physical Activity and Health, 16(10), 865-871.

Reason for exclusion: Duplicated

Song, M., Nam, S., Buss, J., & Lee, S. J. (2020). Assessing the prevalence of meeting physical activity recommendations among US healthcare workers: Data from the 2015 National Health Interview Survey. Archives of Environmental & Occupational Health, 75(7), 422-430.

Reason for exclusion: Duplicated

Strain, T., Fitzsimons, C., Kelly, P., & Mutrie, N. (2016). The forgotten guidelines: cross-sectional analysis of participation in muscle strengthening and balance & co-ordination activities by adults and older adults in Scotland. BMC public health, 16(1), 1-12.

Reason for exclusion: Study design

Sudeck, G., Geidl, W., Abu-Omar, K., Finger, J. D., Krauß, I., & Pfeifer, K. (2021). Do adults with non-communicable diseases meet the German physical activity recommendations?. German Journal of Exercise and Sport Research, 51(2), 183-193.

Reason for exclusion: Duplicated

Sung, J. H., Son, S. R., Baek, S. H., & Kim, B. J. (2021). Association of occupation with the daily physical activity and sedentary behaviour of middle-aged workers in Korea: a cross-sectional study based on data from the Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. BMJ open, 11(11), e055729.

Reason for exclusion: Study design

Tarasenko, Y., Chen, C., & Schoenberg, N. (2017). Self-reported physical activity levels of older cancer survivors: Results from the 2014 National Health Interview Survey. Journal of the American Geriatrics Society, 65(2), e39-e44.

Reason for exclusion: Duplicated

Tarasenko, Y. N., Linder, D. F., & Miller, E. A. (2018). Muscle-strengthening and aerobic activities and mortality among 3+ year cancer survivors in the US. Cancer Causes & Control, 29(4), 475-484.

Reason for exclusion: Duplicated

Tittlbach, S. A., Hoffmann, S. W., & Bennie, J. A. (2022). Association of meeting both muscle strengthening and aerobic exercise guidelines with prevalent overweight and obesity classes-results from a nationally representative sample of German adults. European Journal of Sport Science, 22(3), 436-446.

Reason for exclusion: Duplicated

Visaria, A., Nagaraj, B., Shah, M., Kethidi, N., Modak, A., Shahani, J., ... & Raghuwanshi, M. (2022). Low Amount and Intensity of Leisure-time Physical Activity in Asian Indian Adults. American Journal of Health Promotion, 36(3), 440-449.

Reason for exclusion: Duplicated

Walker, T. J., Tullar, J. M., Diamond, P. M., Kohl, H. W., & Amick, B. C. (2017). The relation of combined aerobic and muscle-strengthening physical activities with presenteeism. Journal of Physical Activity and Health, 14(11), 893-898.

Reason for exclusion: Non-representative sample

Watson, K. B., Whitfield, G., Chen, T. J., Hyde, E. T., & Omura, J. D. (2021). Trends in Aerobic and Muscle-Strengthening Physical Activity by Race/Ethnicity Across Income Levels Among US Adults, 1998–2018. Journal of Physical Activity and Health, 18(S1), S45-S52.

Reason for exclusion: Duplicated

Xin, F., Zhu, Z., Chen, S., Chen, H., Hu, X., Ma, X., ... & Tang, Y. (2022). Prevalence and correlates of meeting the muscle-strengthening exercise recommendations among Chinese children and adolescents: Results from 2019 Physical Activity and Fitness in China—The Youth Study. Journal of Sport and Health Science, 11(3), 358-366.

Reason for exclusion: Study design

Zhao, G., Li, C., Ford, E. S., Fulton, J. E., Carlson, S. A., Okoro, C. A., ... & Balluz, L. S. (2014). Leisure-time aerobic physical activity, muscle-strengthening activity and mortality risks among US adults: the NHANES linked mortality study. British journal of sports medicine, 48(3), 244-249.

Reason for exclusion: Duplicated

Online supplemental eTable 1. Characteristics of studies included in the metaanalysis.

Author, year	Country	Study design	Source of information	Study period	N (% females) / Age	Physical activity assessment and physical active definition	Overall prevalence
Bennie et al. 2016 [21]	Australia	Cross- sectional	National Nutrition and Physical Activity Survey (NNPAS)	2011- 2012	9,284 (54.1) / 18-85 years	Active Australia Survey ≥150 MVPA min per week and ≥2 sessions per week of strength or toning activities	15%
Bennie et al. 2017 [22]	Finland	Cross- sectional	Regional Health and Well-being Study	2013- 2014	69,032 (52.0) / ≥ 18 years	Self-reported Finnish recommendations: ≥150 moderate- intensity min per week or ≥75 vigorous-intensity min per week or an equivalent combination of both and reporting MVPA on ≥3 days per week and ≥2 times per week of MSA and/or balance training	10.8%
Bennie et al. 2020 A [23]	USA	Cross- sectional	US Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS) surveys	2011- 2017	1,677,108 (51.6) / ≥ 18 years	Behavioural Risk Factor Surveillance System Meeting both 150 min per week of moderate- intensity aerobic physical activity, or 75 min per week of vigorous- intensity aerobic physical activity, or an equivalent combination of both and ≥ 2 sessions per week of MSA	20.2%

Bennie et al. 2020 B [24]	South Korea	Cross- sectional	Korea National Health and Nutritional Examination Survey (KNHANES)	2014- 2015	9,120 (50.3) / 20-80 years	GPAQ Meeting both MVPA ≥150 minutes per week and muscle strengthening exercise ≥2 sessions per week	15.4%
Bennie et al. 2021 [25]	Germany	Cross- sectional	German Health Update survey	2014	24,016 (51.1) / ≥ 18 years	Interview Survey Physical Activity Questionnaire Meeting both MVPA ≥150 minutes per week and muscle strengthening exercise ≥2 sessions per week	22.6%
Bennie and Wiesner 2022 [26]	28 European countries	Cross- sectional	European Health Interview Survey	2013- 2014	280,605 (52.1) / ≥ 18 years	European Health Interview Survey – Physical Activity Questionnaire (EHIS-PAQ) Aerobic physical activity \geq 150 min/ per week and muscle strengthening exercise \geq 2 sessions per week	15.0%
CDC 2011 [39]	USA	Cross- sectional	National Youth Physical Activity and Nutrition Study (NYPANS)	2010	9,701 (NR) / 14–18 years	NYPANS questions Aerobic physical activity and muscle- strengthening activity participation in ≥60 minutes of aerobic activity per day, 7 days per week and MSA on ≥3 days per week)	15.3%
Chen et al. 2021 [9]	USA	Cross- sectional	Youth Risk Behavior Survey (YRBS)	2011- 2019	86,869 (49.3) / 14-18 years	YRBS questions Aerobic physical activity and muscle- strengthening activity	19.2%

						participation of \geq 60 min of aerobic activity per day, 7 days per week and MSA on \geq 3 days per week	
Churilla et al. 2022 [27]	USA	Cross- sectional	US Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS) surveys	2019	323,435 (49.6) / ≥ 18 years	Behavioural Risk Factor Surveillance System Aerobic physical activity ≥ 150 min per week and muscle strengthening exercise ≥ 2 sessions per week	23.5%
de Cocker et al. 2020 [28]	UK	Cross- sectional	Health Survey for England (HSE) study	2012- 2016	14,050 (56.0%) / ≥ 16 years	Self-reported questionnaire ≥ 150 min of moderate activity or 75 min of vigorous activity per week or an equivalent combination of both; and undertaking MSA on at least two days per week	25.7%
Dankel et al. 2016 [29]	USA	Cross- sectional	National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES)	2003- 2006	4,587 (49.0) / ≥ 20 years	Accelerometry (ActiGraph 7164) and questionnaire Accelerometer- determined physical activity ≥ 150 min per week of MVPA and ≥ 8 days of MSA within the past 30 days	11.0%
Dorner et al. 2021 [30]	Austria	Cross- sectional	Austrian Health Interview Surveys	2014 and 2019	31,232 (51.2%) / ≥ 15 years	European Health Interview Survey – Physical Activity Questionnaire (EHIS-PAQ) Aerobic physical activity ≥ 150 min per week and muscle	23.8%

						strengthening	
						exercise ≥ 2	
						sessions per week	
						Short Questionnaire to Assess Health- enhancing physical activity (SQUASH)	
Duijvestijn et al. 2020 [31]	The Netherlands	Cross- sectional	Dutch Health Survey/Lifestyle Monitor by Statistics Netherlands	2018	226,083 (52.0%) / ≥ 12 years	Adolescents: Aerobic physical activity and muscle- strengthening activity participation in \geq 60 min of aerobic activity per day, 7 days per week and MSA on \geq 3 days per week Adults: Aerobic physical activity \geq 150 min per week and muscle strengthening exercise \geq 2 sessions per week	33.9% (12- 17 years old) 43.5% (≥18 years old)
Lackinger and Dorner, 2015 [32]	Austria	Cross- sectional	Austrian Health Interview Survey	2006- 2007	467 (46.7) / 20-29 years	IPAQ Aerobic physical activity ≥ 150 min per week and muscle strengthening exercise ≥ 2 sessions per week	39.4%
Lee et al. 2022 [33]	South Korea	Cross- sectional	National Health Insurance Service of South Korea	2018- 2019	Cohort A: 76,395 $(51.2) / \ge$ 20 years Cohort B: 2,295 $(53.5) / \ge$ 20 years	Self-reported questionnaire ≥ 150 min of moderate activity or 75 min of vigorous activity per week or an equivalent combination of both; and undertaking MSA on at least two days per week	Cohort A: 14.5% Cohort B: 12.7%
Sandercock et al. 2022 [34]	UK	Cross- sectional	Active Lives Survey	2015- 2017	275,182 (48.9)/	Active Lives dataset	26.5%

					18-95 years	150 min per week equivalent moderate physical activity including two sessions of strengthening activities	
Song et al. 2013 [35]	USA	Cross- sectional	National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES)	1999- 2006	6547 (48.9) / 12-17 years	Self-reported questionnaire Aerobic physical activity and MSA participation in \geq 60 min of aerobic activity per day, 7 days per week and MSA on \geq 3 days per week	16.3%
Sung et al. 2022 [36]	South Korea	Cross- sectional	Korea National Health and Nutritional Examination Survey (KNHANES)	2016- 2019	23,505 (50.5) / ≥ 20 years	GPAQ Aerobic physical activity \geq 150 min per week and muscle strengthening exercise \geq 2 sessions per week	14.5%
Wennman and Borodulin, 2020 [37]	Finland	Cross- sectional	FinHealth 2017 Study	2017	5335 (56.0) / ≥ 18 years	FinHealth Health- Enhancing Physical Activity Questionnaire ≥ 150 min of moderate activity or 75 min of vigorous activity per week or an equivalent combination of both; and undertaking MSA on at least two days per week	34.2%
Whitfield et al. 2019 [38]	USA	Cross- sectional	National Health Interview Survey	2017	23,006 (51.8) / ≥ 18 years	Sample Adult Core questions 150–300 min of moderate- intensity, or 75– 150 min of vigorous-intensity aerobic physical activity per week, or an equivalent combination of	24.3%

						moderate- and vigorous-intensity aerobic physical activity and MSA of at least moderate intensity that involve all major muscle groups on ≥ 2 days per week	
Zhao et al. 2020 [8]	USA	Prospective	National Health Interview Survey	1997- 2014	479,856 (48.2) / ≥ 18 years	Sample Adult Core questions ≥ 150 min of moderate activity or 75 min of vigorous activity per week or an equivalent combination of both; and undertaking MSA on at least two days per week	15.9%
						al physical activity quivity; NR, not reporte	

Study	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	Total score	Summary on the overall risk of study bias
Bennie et al. 2016	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Low risk
Bennie et al. 2017	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	2	Low risk
Bennie et al. 2020 A	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	Low risk
Bennie et al. 2020 B	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	Low risk
Bennie et al. 2021	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	Low risk
Bennie & Wiesner 2022	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	Low risk
CDC 2011	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	Low risk
Chen et al. 2021	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Low risk
Churilla et al. 2022	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Low risk
De Cocker et al. 2020	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	2	Low risk
Dankel et al. 2016	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Low risk
Dorner et al. 2021	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	Low risk
Duijvestijn et al. 2020	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Low risk
Lackinger & Dorner, 2015	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Low risk
Lee et al. 2022	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	Low risk
Sandercock et al. 2022	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	Low risk
Song et al. 2013	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	Low risk
Sung et al. 2022	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Low risk
Wennman & Borodulin, 2020	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	Low risk
Whitfield et al. 2019	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	Low risk
Zhao et al. 2020	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Low risk

Online supplemental eTable 2. Results of the quality assessment checklist for prevalence studies.

Online supplemental eFigure 1. Forest plot of adherence to aerobic and muscle-strengthening activities guidelines by sex.

Study	% Prevalence (95% CI) Weigt
Men	
Bennie et al. 2016 +	16.91 (15.82, 18.06) 7.64
Bennie et al. 2017 $igstarrow$	9.60 (9.28, 9.93) 7.72
Bennie et al. 2021	24.70 (23.90, 25.52) 7.70
Bennie and Wiesner 2022	17.30 (17.09, 17.51) 7.73
CDC 2013	23.40 (23.28, 23.52) 7.73
Chen et al. 2021 +	23.10 (22.09, 24.15) 7.67
Duijvestijn et al. 2020	
Lee et al. 2022	19.31 (18.91, 19.71) 7.72
Sandercock et al. 2022	29.00 (28.76, 29.24) 7.73
Sung et al. 2022	19.84 (19.08, 20.62) 7.69
Wennman and Borodulin, 2020	→ <u>34.00 (32.11, 35.94)</u> 7.56
Whitfield et al. 2019	28.80 (27.97, 29.65) 7.70
Zhao et al. 2020	18.70 (18.54, 18.87) 7.73
Subtotal (l ² = 99.91%, p = 0.00)	23.50 (20.46, 26.67) 100.0
Women	
Bennie et al. 2016 🔶	13.10 (12.20, 14.06) 7.65
Bennie et al. 2017	11.90 (11.56, 12.25) 7.72
Bennie et al. 2021	20.50 (19.82, 21.20) 7.70
Bennie and Wiesner 2022	12.90 (12.74, 13.07) 7.73
CDC 2013 •	17.90 (17.79, 18.01) 7.73
Chen et al. 2021	10.20 (9.50, 10.95) 7.67
Duijvestijn et al. 2020	
Lee et al. 2022	9.91 (9.62, 10.21) 7.72
Sandercock et al. 2022	24.00 (23.78, 24.22) 7.73
Sung et al. 2022	9.28 (8.79, 9.78) 7.70
Wennman and Borodulin, 2020	29.51 (27.90, 31.17) 7.59
Whitfield et al. 2019	20.10 (19.39, 20.83) 7.69
Zhao et al. 2020 🔶	13.33 (13.20, 13.46) 7.73
Subtotal (I ² = 99.92%, p = 0.00)	17.42 (14.73, 20.30) 100.0
0 20	40 60

Online supplemental eFigure 2. Forest plot of adherence to aerobic and muscle-strengthening activities guidelines by age.

Study	Prevalence (95% C	% I) Weigh
Adults 18-64 years		
Bennie et al. 2016	19.86 (18.69, 21.09	7.69
Bennie et al. 2017	18.00 (17.54, 18.48) 7.74
Bennie et al. 2021	24.75 (23.88, 25.64) 7.73
Bennie and Wiesner 2022	21.06 (20.81, 21.31	7.75
Duijvestijn et al. 2020	✤ 50.10 (49.16, 51.04)	7.73
Lackinger and Dorner, 2015	39.40 (35.07, 43.90) 7.24
Lee et al. 2022	18.70 (18.23, 19.18) 7.74
Sung et al. 2022	16.23 (15.65, 16.82) 7.74
Zhao et al. 2020	22.65 (22.46, 22.84) 7.75
Bennie et al. 2016 🔶	12.71 (11.58, 13.93)	7.67
Bennie et al. 2017 🔶	8.55 (8.20, 8.92)	7.74
Bennie et al. 2021	21.90 (21.06, 22.77) 7.72
Bennie and Wiesner 2022	12.80 (12.60, 13.01) 7.75
Subtotal (I ² = 99.90%, p = 0.00)	21.21 (17.45, 25.22) 100.00
Older adults >64 years		
Bennie et al. 2016 +	6.18 (5.23, 7.29)	14.30
Bennie et al. 2017 🔶	4.80 (4.47, 5.15)	14.41
Bennie et al. 2021 +	20.20 (19.19, 21.25) 14.38
Bennie and Wiesner 2022	9.10 (8.90, 9.31)	14.42
Duijvestijn et al. 2020	36.99 (35.39, 38.62) 14.35
Sung et al. 2022	6.29 (5.58, 7.07)	14.36
Wennman and Borodulin, 2020	- 23.06 (19.13, 27.51) 13.78
Subtotal (I ² = 99.79%, p = 0.00)	13.63 (8.18, 20.20)	100.00
0 20	40 60	

Online supplemental eFigure 3. Forest plot of adherence to aerobic and musclestrengthening activities guidelines by weight status.

Study	Prevalence (95% CI)	% Weigh
Underweight		
Bennie et al. 2016	8.26 (4.55, 14.55)	13.74
Bennie et al. 2021	18.60 (15.21, 22.55)	24.12
Bennie and Wiesner 2022 +	11.70 (11.08, 12.35)	33.67
Sung et al. 2022	11.49 (9.55, 13.75)	28.47
Subtotal (l ² = 82.72%, p = 0.00)	12.62 (9.92, 15.60)	100.00
Normalweight		
Bennie et al. 2016	20.51 (19.04, 22.07)	18.47
Bennie et al. 2021	✤ 27.20 (26.37, 28.06)	20.06
Bennie and Wiesner 2022	18.60 (18.38, 18.82)	20.62
Sung et al. 2022 🔶	15.08 (14.50, 15.67)	20.21
Zhao et al. 2020	18.80 (18.62, 18.97)	20.64
Subtotal (I ² = 99.31%, p = 0.00)	19.87 (17.93, 21.87)	100.0
Overweight		
Bennie et al. 2016	15.80 (14.52, 17.18)	13.84
Bennie et al. 2017	9.00 (8.64, 9.37)	14.40
Bennie et al. 2021 +	21.90 (21.00, 22.83)	14.24
Bennie and Wiesner 2022	13.40 (13.19, 13.61)	14.46
_ee et al. 2022 •	15.00 (14.53, 15.47)	14.39
Sung et al. 2022 🔶	14.56 (13.74, 15.41)	14.20
Zhao et al. 2020	16.58 (16.40, 16.76)	14.47
Subtotal (l ² = 99.62%, p = 0.00)	14.98 (12.76, 17.35)	100.0
Dbesity		
Bennie et al. 2016	9.71 (8.55, 11.02)	13.88
Bennie et al. 2017 ♦	4.40 (4.04, 4.79)	14.61
Bennie et al. 2021	13.00 (11.97, 14.10)	14.26
Bennie and Wiesner 2022	9.10 (8.84, 9.37)	14.75
_ee et al. 2022 →	12.48 (11.56, 13.46)	14.35
Sung et al. 2022	10.61 (9.08, 12.35)	13.38
Zhao et al. 2020	10.62 (10.45, 10.79)	14.78
Subtotal ($I^2 = 99.16\%$, p = 0.00)	9.77 (7.98, 11.71)	100.0

Online supplemental eFigure 4. Forest plot of adherence to aerobic and musclestrengthening activities guidelines by education level.

Study	Prevalence (95% CI)	% Weigh
Low		
Bennie et al. 2016	8.49 (7.48, 9.63)	16.55
Bennie et al. 2017	2.75 (2.48, 3.06)	16.70
Bennie and Wiesner 2022	3.20 (3.03, 3.37)	16.73
Duijvestijn et al. 2020 -	34.31 (32.87, 35.78)	16.62
Sung et al. 2022	5.88 (5.35, 6.45)	16.67
Zhao et al. 2020	5.54 (5.39, 5.70)	16.73
Subtotal (I ² = 99.85%, p = 0.00)	8.26 (4.63, 12.80)	100.00
Medium		
Bennie et al. 2016 +	15.39 (14.35, 16.50)	16.60
Bennie et al. 2017 •	10.95 (10.61, 11.30)	16.70
Bennie and Wiesner 2022	17.55 (17.38, 17.72)	16.71
Duijvestijn et al. 2020		16.63
Sung et al. 2022 +	23.67 (22.73, 24.64)	16.65
Zhao et al. 2020 •	10.43 (10.27, 10.60)	16.71
Subtotal (I ² = 99.93%, p = 0.00)	19.56 (14.23, 25.50)	100.00
High		
Bennie et al. 2016	20.50 (18.93, 22.17)	16.52
Bennie et al. 2017	16.40 (15.87, 16.94)	16.71
Bennie and Wiesner 2022	22.10 (21.59, 22.62)	16.72
Duijvestijn et al. 2020	➡ 56.49 (55.18, 57.80)	16.64
Sung et al. 2022 +	25.25 (24.35, 26.16)	16.68
Zhao et al. 2020	22.31 (22.15, 22.47)	16.74
Subtotal (l ² = 99.85%, p = 0.00)	26.50 (20.53, 32.95)	100.00
I I 0 20	40 60	


Online supplemental eFigure 5. Forest plot of adherence to aerobic and musclestrengthening activities guidelines by smoking status.

			%
Study		Prevalence (95% CI)	Weight
Current smoke			
Bennie et al. 2016		10.08 (8.77, 11.57)	19.27
Bennie et al. 2021	~	18.40 (17.39, 19.46)	20.05
Lee et al. 2022	+	16.31 (15.70, 16.93)	20.30
Sung et al. 2022	~	15.44 (14.38, 16.57)	19.94
Zhao et al. 2020	•	11.79 (11.60, 11.99)	20.44
Subtotal (l ² = 98.98%, p = 0.00)	\diamond	14.30 (11.48, 17.36)	100.00
Former/non-smokers			
Bennie et al. 2016	+	16.20 (15.39, 17.04)	19.71
		00 00 (00 00 04 50)	19.99
	+	23.90 (23.29, 24.52)	13.33
Bennie et al. 2021	* *	23.90 (23.29, 24.52) 14.10 (13.83, 14.37)	20.13
Bennie et al. 2021 Lee et al. 2022	* * *		
Bennie et al. 2021 Lee et al. 2022 Sung et al. 2022 Zhao et al. 2020	* * *	14.10 (13.83, 14.37)	20.13


Online supplemental eFigure 6. Forest plot of adherence to aerobic and musclestrengthening activities guidelines by self-rated health status.

					%
Study				Prevalence (95% CI)	Weight
Very poor/Poor					
Bennie et al. 2016	-			5.24 (3.57, 7.62)	18.94
Bennie et al. 2017	•			4.01 (3.56, 4.51)	28.30
Bennie et al. 2021	-			8.68 (7.13, 10.51)	23.61
Bennie and Wiesner 2022	•			3.60 (3.38, 3.83)	29.15
Subtotal (I ² = 94.25%, p = 0.00)	\diamond			5.06 (3.72, 6.59)	100.00
Fair/moderate					
Bennie et al. 2016	+			5.42 (4.26, 6.87)	24.38
Bennie et al. 2017	•			4.20 (3.91, 4.51)	25.23
Bennie et al. 2021	+			16.10 (15.16, 17.09)	25.10
Bennie and Wiesner 2022	•			8.90 (8.69, 9.11)	25.28
Subtotal (I ² = 99.66%, p = 0.00)	\diamond			8.16 (4.55, 12.69)	100.00
Very good/Good/Excelent					
Bennie et al. 2016	-	•		18.14 (17.29, 19.01)	24.84
Bennie et al. 2017	•			16.05 (15.69, 16.41)	25.06
Bennie et al. 2021		+		29.35 (28.66, 30.06)	24.99
Bennie and Wiesner 2022		•		19.55 (19.37, 19.73)	25.11
Subtotal (I ² = 99.75%, p = 0.00)	<	\sim		20.56 (16.47, 24.99)	100.00
	0	20	40	Г 60	

Online supplemental eFigure 7. Doi plot for adults \geq 18 years old.



Online supplemental eFigure 8. Doi plot for adolescents aged 12-17 years old.



LFK index = 2.15 (major asymmetry)

Electronic supplementary material

Adherence to aerobic and muscle-strengthening activities guidelines: A systematic review and meta-analysis of 3.3 million participants across 32 countries

Online supplemental emethod 1. Electronic search strategy.

Online supplemental emethod 2. Excluded studies and reasons for exclusion.

Online supplemental eTable 1. Results of the quality assessment checklist for prevalence studies.

Online supplemental eFigure 1. Forest plot of adherence to aerobic and musclestrengthening activities guidelines by sex.

Online supplemental eFigure 2. Forest plot of adherence to aerobic and muscle-strengthening activities guidelines by age.

Online supplemental eFigure 3. Forest plot of adherence to aerobic and muscle-strengthening activities guidelines by weight status.

Online supplemental eFigure 4. Forest plot of adherence to aerobic and musclestrengthening activities guidelines by education level.

Online supplemental eFigure 5. Forest plot of adherence to aerobic and musclestrengthening activities guidelines by smoking status.

Online supplemental eFigure 6. Forest plot of adherence to aerobic and muscle-strengthening activities guidelines by self-rated health status.

Online supplemental eFigure 7. Doi plot for adults \geq 18 years old.

Online supplemental eFigure 8. Doi plot for adolescents aged 12-17 years old.

Online supplemental emethod 1. Electronic search strategy.

PubMed

#1 ((("aerobic"[All Fields] AND "aerobic"[All Fields]) OR OR "exercise"[MeSH Terms] OR "exercise"[All Fields] AND ("exercise"[MeSH Terms] OR "exercise"[All Fields] OR ("physical"[All Fields] AND "activity"[All Fields]) OR "physical activity"[All Fields]) AND ("muscle-strengthening"[All Fields] AND ("activable"[All Fields] OR "activate" [All Fields] OR "activated" [All Fields] OR "activates" [All Fields] OR "activating" [All Fields] OR "activation" [All Fields] OR "activations" [All Fields] OR "activator" [All Fields] OR "activator s" [All Fields] OR "activators" [All Fields] OR "active" [All Fields] OR "actived" [All Fields] OR "actively" [All Fields] OR "actives" [All Fields] OR "activities" [All Fields] OR "activity s" [All Fields] OR "activitys" [All Fields] OR "motor activity" [MeSH Terms] OR ("motor" [All Fields] AND "activity" [All Fields]) OR "motor activity" [All Fields] OR "activity" [All Fields])) AND ("strengthen" [All Fields] OR "strengthened" [All Fields] OR "strengthening" [All Fields] OR "strengthens" [All Fields])) OR ("muscle-strengthening" [All Fields] AND ("exercise" [MeSH Terms] OR "exercise" [All Fields] OR "exercises" [All Fields] OR "exercise therapy" [MeSH Terms] OR ("exercise" [All Fields] AND "therapy" [All Fields]) OR "exercise therapy"[All Fields] OR "exercise s"[All Fields] OR "exercised" [All Fields] OR "exerciser" [All Fields] OR "exercisers" [All Fields] OR "exercising"[All Fields])))

#2 ("adherance" [All Fields] OR "adhere" [All Fields] OR "adhered" [All Fields] OR
"adherence" [All Fields] OR "adherences" [All Fields] OR "adherent" [All Fields] OR
"adherents" [All Fields] OR "adherer" [All Fields] OR "adherers" [All Fields] OR
"adheres" [All Fields] OR "adhering" [All Fields]) AND ("guideline" [Publication Type]
OR "guidelines as topic" [MeSH Terms] OR "guidelines" [All Fields] OR
"recommendation as topic" [MeSH Terms] OR "recommendation" [All Fields])

#3 #1 AND #2

Web of Science

#1 TOPIC: ("aerobic physical activity" OR "exercise")

#2 TOPIC: ("muscle-strengthening" OR "strengthening" OR "strengthen" OR "strengthened" OR "strengthens")

#3 TOPIC: ("adherence" OR "adhering")

#4 TOPIC: ("guideline" OR "guidelines" OR "recommendation")

#5 #4 AND #3 AND #2 AND #1

SPORTDiscus

S1 (MH " aerobic physical activity") OR (MH "exercise")

S2 (MH " muscle-strengthening") OR 'strengthening' OR 'strengthene' OR 'strengthened' OR 'strengthenes'

S3 ((MH "adherence") OR 'adhering'

S4 (MH "guideline") OR 'guideline' OR 'recommendation'

S5 S4 AND S3

EMBASE

(('adherence'/exp OR adherence OR adhering:ti,ab,kw) AND 'physical activity':ti,ab,kw OR exercise:ti,ab,kw) AND ('resistance training':ti,ab,kw OR 'strengthening exercise':ti,ab,kw) AND (guideline:ti,ab,kw OR recommendation:ti,ab,kw OR guidelines)

Scopus

(TITLE-ABS-KEY (adherence OR adhering) AND TITLE-ABS-KEY ("aerobic physical activity" OR exercise OR "physical activity") AND TITLE-ABS-KEY (strength OR strengthening OR muscle-strengthening OR strengthen OR strengthening) AND TITLE-ABS-KEY (guidelines OR guideline OR recommendations))

Online supplemental emethod 2. Excluded studies and reasons for exclusion.

Ahn, H., Choi, H. Y., & Ki, M. (2010). The association between levels of physical activity and low handgrip strength: Korea National. People, 39(4), 412-23.

Reason for exclusion: Duplicated

Bennie, J. A., De Cocker, K., Teychenne, M. J., Brown, W. J., & Biddle, S. J. (2019). The epidemiology of aerobic physical activity and muscle-strengthening activity guideline adherence among 383,928 US adults. International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity, 16(1), 1-11.

Reason for exclusion: Duplicated

Bennie, J. A., Teychenne, M. J., De Cocker, K., & Biddle, S. J. (2019). Associations between aerobic and muscle-strengthening exercise with depressive symptom severity among 17,839 US adults. Preventive medicine, 121, 121-127.

Reason for exclusion: Duplicated

Bennie, J. A., De Cocker, K., Biddle, S. J., & Teychenne, M. J. (2020). Joint and dosedependent associations between aerobic and muscle-strengthening activity with depression: A cross-sectional study of 1.48 million adults between 2011 and 2017. Depression and anxiety, 37(2), 166-178.

Reason for exclusion: Duplicated

Bennie, J. A., De Cocker, K., & Duncan, M. J. (2021). Associations of musclestrengthening and aerobic exercise with self-reported components of sleep health among a nationally representative sample of 47,564 US adults. Sleep Health, 7(2), 281-288.

Reason for exclusion: Duplicated

Bennie, J. A., Ding, D., & De Cocker, K. Dose-dependent associations of joint aerobic and muscle-strengthening exercise with obesity: A cross-sectional study of 280,605 adults. Journal of sport and health science, S2095-2546.

Reason for exclusion: Duplicated

Blackwell, D. L., & Clarke, T. C. (2016). Occupational Differences Among Employed Adults Who Met 2008 Federal Guidelines for Both Aerobic and Muscle-strengthening Activities: United States, 2008-2014. National health statistics reports, (94), 1-12.

Reason for exclusion: Duplicated

Blackwell, D. L., & Clarke, T. C. (2018). State variation in meeting the 2008 federal guidelines for both aerobic and muscle-strengthening activities through leisure-time physical activity among adults aged 18-64: United States, 2010-2015. National health statistics reports, (112), 1-22.

Reason for exclusion: Duplicated

Branscum, P., & Fairchild, G. (2019). Differences in determinants of aerobic and muscle strengthening physical activity among college students: a reasoned action approach. Journal of Sports Sciences, 37(1), 90-99.

Reason for exclusion: Non-representative sample

Buckner, S. L., Loenneke, J. P., & Loprinzi, P. D. (2017). Single and combined associations of accelerometer-assessed physical activity and muscle-strengthening activities on plasma homocysteine in a national sample. Clinical physiology and functional imaging, 37(6), 669-674.

Reason for exclusion: Duplicated

Carlson, S. A., Fulton, J. E., Schoenborn, C. A., & Loustalot, F. (2010). Trend and prevalence estimates based on the 2008 Physical Activity Guidelines for Americans. American journal of preventive medicine, 39(4), 305-313.

Reason for exclusion: Duplicated

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC. (2013). Suicide among adults aged 35-64 years--United States, 1999-2010. MMWR. Morbidity and mortality weekly report, 62(17), 321-325.

Reason for exclusion: Duplicated

Harris, C. D., Watson, K. B., Carlson, S. A., Fulton, J. E., Dorn, J. M., & Elam-Evans, L. (2013). Adult participation in aerobic and muscle-strengthening physical activities— United States, 2011. Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report, 62(17), 326-330.

Reason for exclusion: Duplicated

Chen, S., Malete, L., & Ling, J. An examination of physical activity guidelines and health-related quality of life among US older adults. Preventive medicine, 156, 106986.

Reason for exclusion: Duplicated

Dankel, S. J., Loenneke, J. P., & Loprinzi, P. D. (2016). The individual, joint, and additive interaction associations of aerobic-based physical activity and muscle strengthening activities on metabolic syndrome. International journal of behavioral medicine, 23(6), 707-713.

Reason for exclusion: Duplicated

Desmond, R., Jackson, B. E., & Hunter, G. (2015). Utilization of 2013 BRFSS Physical Activity Data for State Cancer Control Plan Objectives: Alabama Data. Southern Medical Journal, 108(5), 290-297.

Reason for exclusion: Duplicated

Du, Y., Liu, B., Sun, Y., Snetselaar, L. G., Wallace, R. B., & Bao, W. (2019). Trends in adherence to the physical activity guidelines for Americans for aerobic activity and time spent on sedentary behavior among US adults, 2007 to 2016. JAMA network open, 2(7), e197597.

Reason for exclusion: Study design

Lange, C., & Manz, K. (2017). Health-enhancing physical activity during leisure time among adults in Germany. Journal of Health Monitoring, 2(2).

Reason for exclusion: Duplicated

Grøntved, A., Pan, A., Mekary, R. A., Stampfer, M., Willett, W. C., Manson, J. E., & Hu, F. B. (2014). Muscle-strengthening and conditioning activities and risk of type 2 diabetes: a prospective study in two cohorts of US women. PLoS medicine, 11(1), e1001587.

Reason for exclusion: Non-representative sample

Hyde, E. T., Whitfield, G. P., Omura, J. D., Fulton, J. E., & Carlson, S. A. (2021). Trends in meeting the Physical Activity Guidelines: muscle-strengthening alone and combined with aerobic activity, United States, 1998–2018. Journal of Physical Activity and Health, 18(S1), S37-S44.

Reason for exclusion: Duplicated

Hyde, E. T., Watson, K. B., Omura, J. D., Janz, K. F., Lee, S. M., Fulton, J. E., & Carlson, S. A. (2021). Surveillance of Meeting the Youth Physical Activity Guideline: Impact of Including Vigorous-Intensity and Bone-Strengthening Activities. Research Quarterly for Exercise and Sport, 1-6.

Reason for exclusion: Duplicated

Kim, J. (2017). Longitudinal trend of prevalence of meeting physical activity guidelines among korean adults. Exercise Medicine, 1.

Reason for exclusion: Study design

Lim, J., Park, S., & Kim, J. S. (2021). Joint association of aerobic physical activity and muscle-strengthening activities with metabolic syndrome: the Korean National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 2014-2015. Epidemiology and health, 43, e2021096.

Reason for exclusion: Duplicated

Mama, S. K., Bhuiyan, N., Foo, W., Segel, J. E., Bluethmann, S. M., Winkels, R. M., ... & Schmitz, K. H. (2020). Rural-urban differences in meeting physical activity recommendations and health status in cancer survivors in central Pennsylvania. Supportive Care in Cancer, 28(10), 5013-5022.

Reason for exclusion: Clinical population

Mekary, R. A., Grøntved, A., Despres, J. P., De Moura, L. P., Asgarzadeh, M., Willett, W. C., ... & Hu, F. B. (2015). Weight training, aerobic physical activities, and long-term waist circumference change in men. Obesity, 23(2), 461-467.

Reason for exclusion: Non-representative sample

Merlo, C. L., Jones, S. E., Michael, S. L., Chen, T. J., Sliwa, S. A., Lee, S. H., ... & Park, S. (2020). Dietary and Physical Activity Behaviors Among High School Students-Youth Risk Behavior Survey, United States, 2019. MMWR supplements, 69(1), 64-76.

Reason for exclusion: Duplicated

Mu, L., Cohen, A. J., & Mukamal, K. J. (2015). Prevalence and predictors of resistance and aerobic exercise among hypertensive adults in the United States. Journal of human hypertension, 29(6), 394-395.

Reason for exclusion: Duplicated

Murphy, L. B., Hootman, J. M., Boring, M. A., Carlson, S. A., Qin, J., Barbour, K. E., ... & Helmick, C. G. (2017). Leisure Time Physical Activity Among US Adults With Arthritis, 2008–2015. American Journal of Preventive Medicine, 53(3), 345-354.

Reason for exclusion: Clinical population

Nie, J., Haberstroh, M., Acosta, T., Huang, W., Wang, Y., & Barengo, N. C. (2021). Independent and joint associations between leisure time physical activity and strength activities with mortality outcomes in older adults at least 65 years of age: a prospective cohort study. The Journals of Gerontology: Series A, 76(12), 2122-2131.

Reason for exclusion: Study design

Oftedal, S., Smith, J., Vandelanotte, C., Burton, N. W., & Duncan, M. J. (2019). Resistance training in addition to aerobic activity is associated with lower likelihood of depression and comorbid depression and anxiety symptoms: a cross sectional analysis of Australian women. Preventive Medicine, 126, 105773.

Reason for exclusion: Non-representative sample

Oftedal, S., Holliday, E. G., Reynolds, A. C., Bennie, J. A., Kline, C. E., & Duncan, M. J. (2022). Prevalence, Trends, and Correlates of Joint Patterns of Aerobic and Muscle-Strengthening Activity and Sleep Duration: A Pooled Analysis of 359,019 Adults in the National Health Interview Survey 2004–2018. Journal of Physical Activity and Health, 19(4), 246-255.

Reason for exclusion: Duplicated

Quinn, T. D., Wu, F., Mody, D., Bushover, B., Mendez, D. D., Schiff, M., & Fabio, A. (2019). Associations Between Neighborhood Social Cohesion and Physical Activity in the United States, National Health Interview Survey, 2017. Preventing Chronic Disease, 16, E163.

Reason for exclusion: Duplicated

Schoenborn, C. A., & Stommel, M. (2011). Adherence to the 2008 adult physical activity guidelines and mortality risk. American journal of preventive medicine, 40(5), 514-521.

Reason for exclusion: Duplicated

Siahpush, M., Levan, T. D., Nguyen, M. N., Grimm, B. L., Ramos, A. K., Michaud, T. L., & Johansson, P. L. (2019). The association of physical activity and mortality risk reduction among smokers: Results from 1998–2009 national health Interview surveys–national death index linkage. Journal of Physical Activity and Health, 16(10), 865-871.

Reason for exclusion: Duplicated

Song, M., Nam, S., Buss, J., & Lee, S. J. (2020). Assessing the prevalence of meeting physical activity recommendations among US healthcare workers: Data from the 2015 National Health Interview Survey. Archives of Environmental & Occupational Health, 75(7), 422-430.

Reason for exclusion: Duplicated

Strain, T., Fitzsimons, C., Kelly, P., & Mutrie, N. (2016). The forgotten guidelines: cross-sectional analysis of participation in muscle strengthening and balance & co-ordination activities by adults and older adults in Scotland. BMC public health, 16(1), 1-12.

Reason for exclusion: Study design

Sudeck, G., Geidl, W., Abu-Omar, K., Finger, J. D., Krauß, I., & Pfeifer, K. (2021). Do adults with non-communicable diseases meet the German physical activity recommendations?. German Journal of Exercise and Sport Research, 51(2), 183-193.

Reason for exclusion: Duplicated

Sung, J. H., Son, S. R., Baek, S. H., & Kim, B. J. (2021). Association of occupation with the daily physical activity and sedentary behaviour of middle-aged workers in Korea: a cross-sectional study based on data from the Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. BMJ open, 11(11), e055729.

Reason for exclusion: Study design

Tarasenko, Y., Chen, C., & Schoenberg, N. (2017). Self-reported physical activity levels of older cancer survivors: Results from the 2014 National Health Interview Survey. Journal of the American Geriatrics Society, 65(2), e39-e44.

Reason for exclusion: Duplicated

Tarasenko, Y. N., Linder, D. F., & Miller, E. A. (2018). Muscle-strengthening and aerobic activities and mortality among 3+ year cancer survivors in the US. Cancer Causes & Control, 29(4), 475-484.

Reason for exclusion: Duplicated

Tittlbach, S. A., Hoffmann, S. W., & Bennie, J. A. (2022). Association of meeting both muscle strengthening and aerobic exercise guidelines with prevalent overweight and obesity classes-results from a nationally representative sample of German adults. European Journal of Sport Science, 22(3), 436-446.

Reason for exclusion: Duplicated

Visaria, A., Nagaraj, B., Shah, M., Kethidi, N., Modak, A., Shahani, J., ... & Raghuwanshi, M. (2022). Low Amount and Intensity of Leisure-time Physical Activity in Asian Indian Adults. American Journal of Health Promotion, 36(3), 440-449.

Reason for exclusion: Duplicated

Walker, T. J., Tullar, J. M., Diamond, P. M., Kohl, H. W., & Amick, B. C. (2017). The relation of combined aerobic and muscle-strengthening physical activities with presenteeism. Journal of Physical Activity and Health, 14(11), 893-898.

Reason for exclusion: Non-representative sample

Watson, K. B., Whitfield, G., Chen, T. J., Hyde, E. T., & Omura, J. D. (2021). Trends in Aerobic and Muscle-Strengthening Physical Activity by Race/Ethnicity Across Income Levels Among US Adults, 1998–2018. Journal of Physical Activity and Health, 18(S1), S45-S52.

Reason for exclusion: Duplicated

Xin, F., Zhu, Z., Chen, S., Chen, H., Hu, X., Ma, X., ... & Tang, Y. (2022). Prevalence and correlates of meeting the muscle-strengthening exercise recommendations among Chinese children and adolescents: Results from 2019 Physical Activity and Fitness in China—The Youth Study. Journal of Sport and Health Science, 11(3), 358-366.

Reason for exclusion: Study design

Zhao, G., Li, C., Ford, E. S., Fulton, J. E., Carlson, S. A., Okoro, C. A., ... & Balluz, L. S. (2014). Leisure-time aerobic physical activity, muscle-strengthening activity and mortality risks among US adults: the NHANES linked mortality study. British journal of sports medicine, 48(3), 244-249.

Reason for exclusion: Duplicated

Online supplemental eTable 1. Characteristics of studies included in the metaanalysis.

Author, year	Country	Study design	Source of information	Study period	N (% females) / Age	Physical activity assessment and physical active definition	Overall prevalence
Bennie et al. 2016 [21]	Australia	Cross- sectional	National Nutrition and Physical Activity Survey (NNPAS)	2011- 2012	9,284 (54.1) / 18-85 years	Active Australia Survey ≥150 MVPA min per week and ≥2 sessions per week of strength or toning activities	15%
Bennie et al. 2017 [22]	Finland	Cross- sectional	Regional Health and Well-being Study	2013- 2014	69,032 (52.0) / ≥ 18 years	Self-reported Finnish recommendations: ≥150 moderate- intensity min per week or ≥75 vigorous-intensity min per week or an equivalent combination of both and reporting MVPA on ≥3 days per week and ≥2 times per week of MSA and/or balance training	10.8%
Bennie et al. 2020 A [23]	USA	Cross- sectional	US Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS) surveys	2011- 2017	1,677,108 (51.6) / ≥ 18 years	Behavioural Risk Factor Surveillance System Meeting both 150 min per week of moderate- intensity aerobic physical activity, or 75 min per week of vigorous- intensity aerobic physical activity, or an equivalent combination of both and ≥ 2 sessions per week of MSA	20.2%

Bennie et al. 2020 B [24]	South Korea	Cross- sectional	Korea National Health and Nutritional Examination Survey (KNHANES)	2014- 2015	9,120 (50.3) / 20-80 years	GPAQ Meeting both MVPA ≥150 minutes per week and muscle strengthening exercise ≥2 sessions per week	15.4%
Bennie et al. 2021 [25]	Germany	Cross- sectional	German Health Update survey	2014	24,016 (51.1) / ≥ 18 years	Interview Survey Physical Activity Questionnaire Meeting both MVPA ≥150 minutes per week and muscle strengthening exercise ≥2 sessions per week	22.6%
Bennie and Wiesner 2022 [26]	28 European countries	Cross- sectional	European Health Interview Survey	2013- 2014	280,605 (52.1) / ≥ 18 years	European Health Interview Survey – Physical Activity Questionnaire (EHIS-PAQ) Aerobic physical activity \geq 150 min/ per week and muscle strengthening exercise \geq 2 sessions per week	15.0%
CDC 2011 [39]	USA	Cross- sectional	National Youth Physical Activity and Nutrition Study (NYPANS)	2010	9,701 (NR) / 14–18 years	NYPANS questions Aerobic physical activity and muscle- strengthening activity participation in ≥60 minutes of aerobic activity per day, 7 days per week and MSA on ≥3 days per week)	15.3%
Chen et al. 2021 [9]	USA	Cross- sectional	Youth Risk Behavior Survey (YRBS)	2011- 2019	86,869 (49.3) / 14-18 years	YRBS questions Aerobic physical activity and muscle- strengthening activity	19.2%

Churilla et al. 2022 [27]	USA	Cross- sectional	US Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS) surveys	2019	323,435 (49.6) / ≥ 18 years	participation of \geq 60 min of aerobic activity per day, 7 days per week and MSA on \geq 3 days per week Behavioural Risk Factor Surveillance System Aerobic physical activity \geq 150 min per week and muscle strengthening exercise \geq 2	23.5%
de Cocker et al. 2020 [28]	UK	Cross- sectional	Health Survey for England (HSE) study	2012- 2016	14,050 (56.0%) / ≥ 16 years	sessions per week Self-reported questionnaire ≥ 150 min of moderate activity or 75 min of vigorous activity per week or an equivalent combination of both; and undertaking MSA on at least two days per week	25.7%
Dankel et al. 2016 [29]	USA	Cross- sectional	National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES)	2003- 2006	4,587 (49.0) / ≥ 20 years	Accelerometry (ActiGraph 7164) and questionnaire Accelerometer- determined physical activity \geq 150 min per week of MVPA and \geq 8 days of MSA within the past 30 days	11.0%
Dorner et al. 2021 [30]	Austria	Cross- sectional	Austrian Health Interview Surveys	2014 and 2019	31,232 (51.2%) / ≥ 15 years	European Health Interview Survey – Physical Activity Questionnaire (EHIS-PAQ) Aerobic physical activity \geq 150 min per week and muscle	23.8%

						strengthening exercise ≥ 2 sessions per week	
						Short Questionnaire to Assess Health- enhancing physical activity (SQUASH)	
Duijvestijn et al. 2020 [31]	The Netherlands	Cross- sectional	Dutch Health Survey/Lifestyle Monitor by Statistics Netherlands	2018	226,083 (52.0%) / ≥ 12 years	Adolescents: Aerobic physical activity and muscle- strengthening activity participation in ≥ 60 min of aerobic activity per day, 7 days per week and MSA on ≥3 days per week Adults: Aerobic physical activity ≥ 150 min per week and muscle strengthening exercise ≥ 2 sessions per week	33.9% (12- 17 years old) 43.5% (≥18 years old)
Lackinger and Dorner, 2015 [32]	Austria	Cross- sectional	Austrian Health Interview Survey	2006- 2007	467 (46.7) / 20-29 years	IPAQ Aerobic physical activity ≥ 150 min per week and muscle strengthening exercise ≥ 2 sessions per week	39.4%
Lee et al. 2022 [33]	South Korea	Cross- sectional	National Health Insurance Service of South Korea	2018- 2019	Cohort A: 76,395 $(51.2) / \ge$ 20 years Cohort B: 2,295 $(53.5) / \ge$ 20 years	Self-reported questionnaire ≥ 150 min of moderate activity or 75 min of vigorous activity per week or an equivalent combination of both; and undertaking MSA on at least two days per week	Cohort A: 14.5% Cohort B: 12.7%
Sandercock et al. 2022 [34]	UK	Cross- sectional	Active Lives Survey	2015- 2017	275,182 (48.9) /	Active Lives dataset	26.5%

					18-95 years	150 min per week equivalent moderate physical activity including two sessions of strengthening activities	
Song et al. 2013 [35]	USA	Cross- sectional	National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES)	1999- 2006	6547 (48.9) / 12-17 years	Self-reported questionnaire Aerobic physical activity and MSA participation in \geq 60 min of aerobic activity per day, 7 days per week and MSA on \geq 3 days per week	16.3%
Sung et al. 2022 [36]	South Korea	Cross- sectional	Korea National Health and Nutritional Examination Survey (KNHANES)	2016- 2019	23,505 (50.5) / ≥ 20 years	GPAQ Aerobic physical activity ≥ 150 min per week and muscle strengthening exercise ≥ 2 sessions per week	14.5%
Wennman and Borodulin, 2020 [37]	Finland	Cross- sectional	FinHealth 2017 Study	2017	5335 (56.0) / ≥ 18 years	FinHealth Health- Enhancing Physical Activity Questionnaire ≥ 150 min of moderate activity or 75 min of vigorous activity per week or an equivalent combination of both; and undertaking MSA on at least two days per week	34.2%
Whitfield et al. 2019 [38]	USA	Cross- sectional	National Health Interview Survey	2017	23,006 (51.8) / ≥ 18 years	Sample Adult Core questions 150–300 min of moderate- intensity, or 75– 150 min of vigorous-intensity aerobic physical activity per week, or an equivalent combination of	24.3%

						moderate- and vigorous-intensity aerobic physical activity and MSA of at least moderate intensity that involve all major muscle groups on	
Zhao et al. 2020 [8]	USA	Prospective	National Health Interview Survey	1997- 2014	479,856 (48.2) / ≥ 18 years	 ≥ 2 days per week Sample Adult Core questions ≥ 150 min of moderate activity or 75 min of vigorous activity per week or an equivalent combination of both; and undertaking MSA on at least two days per week 	15.9%
						al physical activity quivity; NR, not reporte	

Study	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	Total score	Summary on the overall risk of study bias
Bennie et al. 2016	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Low risk
Bennie et al. 2017	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	2	Low risk
Bennie et al. 2020 A	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	Low risk
Bennie et al. 2020 B	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	Low risk
Bennie et al. 2021	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	Low risk
Bennie & Wiesner 2022	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	Low risk
CDC 2011	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	Low risk
Chen et al. 2021	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Low risk
Churilla et al. 2022	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Low risk
De Cocker et al. 2020	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	2	Low risk
Dankel et al. 2016	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Low risk
Dorner et al. 2021	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	Low risk
Duijvestijn et al. 2020	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Low risk
Lackinger & Dorner, 2015	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Low risk
Lee et al. 2022	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	Low risk
Sandercock et al. 2022	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	Low risk
Song et al. 2013	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	Low risk
Sung et al. 2022	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Low risk
Wennman & Borodulin, 2020	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	Low risk
Whitfield et al. 2019	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	Low risk
Zhao et al. 2020	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Low risk

Online supplemental eTable 2. Results of the quality assessment checklist for prevalence studies.

Online supplemental eFigure 1. Forest plot of adherence to aerobic and musclestrengthening activities guidelines by sex.

Study	% Prevalence (95% CI) Weigh
Men	
Bennie et al. 2016 🔶	16.91 (15.82, 18.06) 7.64
Bennie et al. 2017	9.60 (9.28, 9.93) 7.72
Bennie et al. 2021 🔶	24.70 (23.90, 25.52) 7.70
Bennie and Wiesner 2022	17.30 (17.09, 17.51) 7.73
CDC 2013 •	23.40 (23.28, 23.52) 7.73
Chen et al. 2021 🔶	23.10 (22.09, 24.15) 7.67
Duijvestijn et al. 2020	
Lee et al. 2022	19.31 (18.91, 19.71) 7.72
Sandercock et al. 2022	29.00 (28.76, 29.24) 7.73
Sung et al. 2022 🔶	19.84 (19.08, 20.62) 7.69
Wennman and Borodulin, 2020	34.00 (32.11, 35.94) 7.56
Whitfield et al. 2019	28.80 (27.97, 29.65) 7.70
Zhao et al. 2020 🔹	18.70 (18.54, 18.87) 7.73
Subtotal (l ² = 99.91%, p = 0.00)	23.50 (20.46, 26.67) 100.0
Women	
Bennie et al. 2016 🔶	13.10 (12.20, 14.06) 7.65
Bennie et al. 2017	11.90 (11.56, 12.25) 7.72
Bennie et al. 2021 •	20.50 (19.82, 21.20) 7.70
Bennie and Wiesner 2022	12.90 (12.74, 13.07) 7.73
CDC 2013 •	17.90 (17.79, 18.01) 7.73
Chen et al. 2021	10.20 (9.50, 10.95) 7.67
Duijvestijn et al. 2020	
Lee et al. 2022 •	9.91 (9.62, 10.21) 7.72
Sandercock et al. 2022	24.00 (23.78, 24.22) 7.73
Sung et al. 2022	9.28 (8.79, 9.78) 7.70
Wennman and Borodulin, 2020	29.51 (27.90, 31.17) 7.59
Whitfield et al. 2019	20.10 (19.39, 20.83) 7.69
Zhao et al. 2020 🔶	13.33 (13.20, 13.46) 7.73
Subtotal (I ² = 99.92%, p = 0.00)	17.42 (14.73, 20.30) 100.0
0 20	40 60

Online supplemental eFigure 2. Forest plot of adherence to aerobic and muscle-strengthening activities guidelines by age.

				%
Study			Prevalence (95% CI)	Weigh
Adults 18-64 years				
Bennie et al. 2016	+		19.86 (18.69, 21.09)	7.69
Bennie et al. 2017	•		18.00 (17.54, 18.48)	7.74
Bennie et al. 2021	+		24.75 (23.88, 25.64)	7.73
Bennie and Wiesner 2022	•		21.06 (20.81, 21.31)	7.75
Duijvestijn et al. 2020		+	50.10 (49.16, 51.04)	7.73
Lackinger and Dorner, 2015		—	39.40 (35.07, 43.90)	7.24
Lee et al. 2022	•		18.70 (18.23, 19.18)	7.74
Sung et al. 2022	•		16.23 (15.65, 16.82)	7.74
Zhao et al. 2020	•		22.65 (22.46, 22.84)	7.75
Bennie et al. 2016	+		12.71 (11.58, 13.93)	7.67
Bennie et al. 2017 🔹 🔶	•		8.55 (8.20, 8.92)	7.74
Bennie et al. 2021	+		21.90 (21.06, 22.77)	7.72
Bennie and Wiesner 2022	•		12.80 (12.60, 13.01)	7.75
Subtotal (l ² = 99.90%, p = 0.00)	\diamond		21.21 (17.45, 25.22)	100.00
Older adults >64 years				
Bennie et al. 2016 🔶 🔶			6.18 (5.23, 7.29)	14.30
Bennie et al. 2017 🔶			4.80 (4.47, 5.15)	14.41
Bennie et al. 2021	+		20.20 (19.19, 21.25)	14.38
Bennie and Wiesner 2022	•		9.10 (8.90, 9.31)	14.42
Duijvestijn et al. 2020			36.99 (35.39, 38.62)	14.35
Sung et al. 2022 🔶			6.29 (5.58, 7.07)	14.36
Wennman and Borodulin, 2020			23.06 (19.13, 27.51)	13.78
Subtotal (l ² = 99.79%, p = 0.00)	\bigcirc		13.63 (8.18, 20.20)	100.00
I 0	1 20	I 40	Г 60	

Online supplemental eFigure 3. Forest plot of adherence to aerobic and musclestrengthening activities guidelines by weight status.

Study		% Weight
Underweight		
Bennie et al. 2016		13.74
Bennie et al. 2021		24.12
Bennie and Wiesner 2022 +	(, , ,	33.67
Sung et al. 2022	11.49 (9.55, 13.75) 2	28.47
Subtotal (I ² = 82.72%, p = 0.00)	12.62 (9.92, 15.60)	100.00
lormalweight		
ennie et al. 2016	20.51 (19.04, 22.07) 1	18.47
ennie et al. 2021	➡ 27.20 (26.37, 28.06) 2	20.06
ennie and Wiesner 2022	18.60 (18.38, 18.82) 2	20.62
ung et al. 2022 🔶	15.08 (14.50, 15.67) 2	20.21
hao et al. 2020	18.80 (18.62, 18.97) 2	20.64
Subtotal (I ² = 99.31%, p = 0.00)	19.87 (17.93, 21.87)	100.00
Dverweight		
ennie et al. 2016	15.80 (14.52, 17.18) 1	13.84
ennie et al. 2017 🔶	9.00 (8.64, 9.37)	14.40
ennie et al. 2021 🔶	21.90 (21.00, 22.83)	14.24
ennie and Wiesner 2022	13.40 (13.19, 13.61)	14.46
ee et al. 2022 🔶	15.00 (14.53, 15.47)	14.39
ung et al. 2022 🔶	14.56 (13.74, 15.41) 1	14,20
hao et al. 2020		14.47
ubtotal ($I^2 = 99.62\%$, p = 0.00)		100.00
Desity		
ennie et al. 2016 -	9.71 (8.55, 11.02)	13.88
ennie et al. 2017	4.40 (4.04, 4.79)	14.61
ennie et al. 2021	13.00 (11.97, 14.10)	14.26
ennie and Wiesner 2022	9 10 (8 84, 9 37)	14.75
ee et al. 2022 🔶	12.48 (11.56, 13.46)	14.35
ung et al. 2022	10.61 (9.08, 12.35)	13.38
′hao et al. 2020 ♦		14.78
Subtotal (I ² = 99.16%, p = 0.00)		100.00
0 20	40	

Online supplemental eFigure 4. Forest plot of adherence to aerobic and musclestrengthening activities guidelines by education level.


Study	Prevalence (95% CI)	% Weight
Low		
Bennie et al. 2016	8.49 (7.48, 9.63)	16.55
Bennie et al. 2017	2.75 (2.48, 3.06)	16.70
Bennie and Wiesner 2022	3.20 (3.03, 3.37)	16.73
Duijvestijn et al. 2020	→ 34.31 (32.87, 35.78)	16.62
Sung et al. 2022	5.88 (5.35, 6.45)	16.67
Zhao et al. 2020	5.54 (5.39, 5.70)	16.73
Subtotal (I ² = 99.85%, p = 0.00)	8.26 (4.63, 12.80)	100.00
Medium		
Bennie et al. 2016 +	15.39 (14.35, 16.50)	16.60
Bennie et al. 2017	10.95 (10.61, 11.30)	16.70
Bennie and Wiesner 2022	17.55 (17.38, 17.72)	16.71
Duijvestijn et al. 2020		16.63
Sung et al. 2022 +	23.67 (22.73, 24.64)	16.65
Zhao et al. 2020	10.43 (10.27, 10.60)	16.71
Subtotal (I ² = 99.93%, p = 0.00)	19.56 (14.23, 25.50)	100.00
High		
Bennie et al. 2016 -	20.50 (18.93, 22.17)	16.52
Bennie et al. 2017 •	16.40 (15.87, 16.94)	16.71
Bennie and Wiesner 2022	22.10 (21.59, 22.62)	16.72
Duijvestijn et al. 2020	➡ 56.49 (55.18, 57.80)	16.64
Sung et al. 2022 +	25.25 (24.35, 26.16)	16.68
Zhao et al. 2020	22.31 (22.15, 22.47)	16.74
Subtotal (I ² = 99.85%, p = 0.00)	26.50 (20.53, 32.95)	100.00
0 20	40 60	

Online supplemental eFigure 5. Forest plot of adherence to aerobic and musclestrengthening activities guidelines by smoking status.


			%
Study		Prevalence (95% CI)	Weight
Current smoke			
Bennie et al. 2016	—	10.08 (8.77, 11.57)	19.27
Bennie et al. 2021	~	18.40 (17.39, 19.46)	20.05
.ee et al. 2022	+	16.31 (15.70, 16.93)	20.30
Sung et al. 2022	+	15.44 (14.38, 16.57)	19.94
Zhao et al. 2020	•	11.79 (11.60, 11.99)	20.44
Subtotal (I ² = 98.98%, p = 0.00)	\diamond	14.30 (11.48, 17.36)	100.00
Former/non-smokers			
Bennie et al. 2016	~	16.20 (15.39, 17.04)	19.71
Bennie et al. 2021	*	23.90 (23.29, 24.52)	19.99
.ee et al. 2022	•	14.10 (13.83, 14.37)	20.13
Sung et al. 2022	*	15.54 (15.04, 16.06)	20.00
Zhao et al. 2020	•	17.03 (16.91, 17.15)	20.18

Online supplemental eFigure 6. Forest plot of adherence to aerobic and musclestrengthening activities guidelines by self-rated health status.


Study				Prevalence (95% CI)	% Weight
Very poor/Poor					
Bennie et al. 2016	—			5.24 (3.57, 7.62)	18.94
Bennie et al. 2017	•			4.01 (3.56, 4.51)	28.30
Bennie et al. 2021	-+			8.68 (7.13, 10.51)	23.61
Bennie and Wiesner 2022	•			3.60 (3.38, 3.83)	29.15
Subtotal (I ² = 94.25%, p = 0.00)	\diamond			5.06 (3.72, 6.59)	100.00
Fair/moderate					
Bennie et al. 2016	-			5.42 (4.26, 6.87)	24.38
Bennie et al. 2017	•			4.20 (3.91, 4.51)	25.23
Bennie et al. 2021		+		16.10 (15.16, 17.09)	25.10
Bennie and Wiesner 2022	٠			8.90 (8.69, 9.11)	25.28
Subtotal (I ² = 99.66%, p = 0.00)	\diamond			8.16 (4.55, 12.69)	100.00
Very good/Good/Excelent					
Bennie et al. 2016		+		18.14 (17.29, 19.01)	24.84
Bennie et al. 2017		•		16.05 (15.69, 16.41)	25.06
Bennie et al. 2021			+	29.35 (28.66, 30.06)	24.99
Bennie and Wiesner 2022		•		19.55 (19.37, 19.73)	25.11
Subtotal (I ² = 99.75%, p = 0.00)		<>		20.56 (16.47, 24.99)	100.00



LFK index = -1.20 (minor asymmetry)



Online supplemental eFigure 8. Doi plot for adolescents aged 12-17 years old.



Electronic supplementary material

Adherence to aerobic and muscle-strengthening activities guidelines: A systematic review and meta-analysis of 3.3 million participants across 31 countries

Online supplemental emethod 1. Electronic search strategy.

Online supplemental emethod 2. Excluded studies and reasons for exclusion.

Online supplemental eTable 1. Results of the quality assessment checklist for prevalence studies.

Online supplemental eFigure 1. Forest plot of adherence to aerobic and musclestrengthening activities guidelines by sex.

Online supplemental eFigure 2. Forest plot of adherence to aerobic and muscle-strengthening activities guidelines by age.

Online supplemental eFigure 3. Forest plot of adherence to aerobic and muscle-strengthening activities guidelines by weight status.

Online supplemental eFigure 4. Forest plot of adherence to aerobic and musclestrengthening activities guidelines by education level.

Online supplemental eFigure 5. Forest plot of adherence to aerobic and musclestrengthening activities guidelines by smoking status.

Online supplemental eFigure 6. Forest plot of adherence to aerobic and musclestrengthening activities guidelines by self-rated health status.

Online supplemental eFigure 7. Doi plot for adults ≥ 18 years old.

Online supplemental eFigure 8. Doi plot for adolescents aged 12-17 years old.

Online supplemental emethod 1. Electronic search strategy.

PubMed

#1 ((("aerobic"[All Fields] AND "aerobic"[All Fields]) OR OR "exercise"[MeSH Terms] OR "exercise" [All Fields] AND ("exercise" [MeSH Terms] OR "exercise" [All Fields] OR ("physical"[All Fields] AND "activity"[All Fields]) OR "physical activity"[All Fields]) AND ("muscle-strengthening"[All Fields] AND ("activable"[All Fields] OR "activate" [All Fields] OR "activated" [All Fields] OR "activates" [All Fields] OR "activating" [All Fields] OR "activation" [All Fields] OR "activations" [All Fields] OR "activator" [All Fields] OR "activator s" [All Fields] OR "activators" [All Fields] OR "active" [All Fields] OR "actived" [All Fields] OR "actively" [All Fields] OR "actives" [All Fields] OR "activities" [All Fields] OR "activity s" [All Fields] OR "activitys" [All Fields] OR "motor activity" [MeSH Terms] OR ("motor" [All Fields] AND "activity" [All Fields]) OR "motor activity" [All Fields] OR "activity" [All Fields])) AND ("strengthen" [All Fields] OR "strengthened" [All Fields] OR "strengthening" [All Fields] OR "strengthens" [All Fields])) OR ("muscle-strengthening" [All Fields] AND ("exercise" [MeSH Terms] OR "exercise" [All Fields] OR "exercises" [All Fields] OR "exercise therapy" [MeSH Terms] OR ("exercise" [All Fields] AND "therapy" [All Fields]) OR "exercise therapy" [All Fields] OR "exercise s" [All Fields] OR "exercised" [All Fields] OR "exerciser" [All Fields] OR "exercisers" [All Fields] OR "exercising"[All Fields])))

#2 ("adherance"[All Fields] OR "adhere"[All Fields] OR "adhered"[All Fields] OR "adherence"[All Fields] OR "adherences"[All Fields] OR "adherent"[All Fields] OR "adherents"[All Fields] OR "adherer"[All Fields] OR "adherers"[All Fields] OR "adheres"[All Fields] OR "adhering"[All Fields]) AND ("guideline"[Publication Type] OR "guidelines as topic"[MeSH Terms] OR "guidelines"[All Fields] OR "recommendation as topic"[MeSH Terms] OR "recommendation"[All Fields])

#3 #1 AND #2

Web of Science

#1 TOPIC: ("aerobic physical activity" OR "exercise")

#2 TOPIC: ("muscle-strengthening" OR "strengthening" OR "strengthen" OR "strengthened" OR "strengthens")

#3 TOPIC: ("adherence" OR "adhering")

#4 TOPIC: ("guideline" OR "guidelines" OR "recommendation")

#5 #4 AND #3 AND #2 AND #1

SPORTDiscus

S1 (MH " aerobic physical activity") OR (MH "exercise")

S2 (MH " muscle-strengthening") OR 'strengthening' OR 'strengthene' OR 'strengthened' OR 'strengthenes'

S3 ((MH "adherence") OR 'adhering'

S4 (MH "guideline") OR 'guideline' OR 'recommendation'

S5 S4 AND S3

EMBASE

(('adherence'/exp OR adherence OR adhering:ti,ab,kw) AND 'physical activity':ti,ab,kw OR exercise:ti,ab,kw) AND ('resistance training':ti,ab,kw OR 'strengthening exercise':ti,ab,kw) AND (guideline:ti,ab,kw OR recommendation:ti,ab,kw OR guidelines)

Scopus

(TITLE-ABS-KEY (adherence OR adhering) AND TITLE-ABS-KEY ("aerobic physical activity" OR exercise OR "physical activity") AND TITLE-ABS-KEY (strength OR strengthening OR muscle-strengthening OR strengthen OR strengthening) AND TITLE-ABS-KEY (guidelines OR guideline OR recommendations))

Online supplemental emethod 2. Excluded studies and reasons for exclusion.

Ahn, H., Choi, H. Y., & Ki, M. (2010). The association between levels of physical activity and low handgrip strength: Korea National. People, 39(4), 412-23.

Reason for exclusion: Duplicated

Bennie, J. A., De Cocker, K., Teychenne, M. J., Brown, W. J., & Biddle, S. J. (2019). The epidemiology of aerobic physical activity and muscle-strengthening activity guideline adherence among 383,928 US adults. International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity, 16(1), 1-11.

Reason for exclusion: Duplicated

Bennie, J. A., Teychenne, M. J., De Cocker, K., & Biddle, S. J. (2019). Associations between aerobic and muscle-strengthening exercise with depressive symptom severity among 17,839 US adults. Preventive medicine, 121, 121-127.

Reason for exclusion: Duplicated

Bennie, J. A., De Cocker, K., Biddle, S. J., & Teychenne, M. J. (2020). Joint and dosedependent associations between aerobic and muscle-strengthening activity with depression: A cross-sectional study of 1.48 million adults between 2011 and 2017. Depression and anxiety, 37(2), 166-178.

Reason for exclusion: Duplicated

Bennie, J. A., De Cocker, K., & Duncan, M. J. (2021). Associations of musclestrengthening and aerobic exercise with self-reported components of sleep health among a nationally representative sample of 47,564 US adults. Sleep Health, 7(2), 281-288.

Reason for exclusion: Duplicated

Bennie, J. A., Ding, D., & De Cocker, K. Dose-dependent associations of joint aerobic and muscle-strengthening exercise with obesity: A cross-sectional study of 280,605 adults. Journal of sport and health science, S2095-2546.

Reason for exclusion: Duplicated

Blackwell, D. L., & Clarke, T. C. (2016). Occupational Differences Among Employed Adults Who Met 2008 Federal Guidelines for Both Aerobic and Muscle-strengthening Activities: United States, 2008-2014. National health statistics reports, (94), 1-12.

Reason for exclusion: Duplicated

Blackwell, D. L., & Clarke, T. C. (2018). State variation in meeting the 2008 federal guidelines for both aerobic and muscle-strengthening activities through leisure-time physical activity among adults aged 18-64: United States, 2010-2015. National health statistics reports, (112), 1-22.

Reason for exclusion: Duplicated

Branscum, P., & Fairchild, G. (2019). Differences in determinants of aerobic and muscle strengthening physical activity among college students: a reasoned action approach. Journal of Sports Sciences, 37(1), 90-99.

Reason for exclusion: Non-representative sample

Buckner, S. L., Loenneke, J. P., & Loprinzi, P. D. (2017). Single and combined associations of accelerometer-assessed physical activity and muscle-strengthening activities on plasma homocysteine in a national sample. Clinical physiology and functional imaging, 37(6), 669-674.

Reason for exclusion: Duplicated

Carlson, S. A., Fulton, J. E., Schoenborn, C. A., & Loustalot, F. (2010). Trend and prevalence estimates based on the 2008 Physical Activity Guidelines for Americans. American journal of preventive medicine, 39(4), 305-313.

Reason for exclusion: Duplicated

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC. (2013). Suicide among adults aged 35-64 years--United States, 1999-2010. MMWR. Morbidity and mortality weekly report, 62(17), 321-325.

Reason for exclusion: Duplicated

Harris, C. D., Watson, K. B., Carlson, S. A., Fulton, J. E., Dorn, J. M., & Elam-Evans, L. (2013). Adult participation in aerobic and muscle-strengthening physical activities— United States, 2011. Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report, 62(17), 326-330.

Reason for exclusion: Duplicated

Chen, S., Malete, L., & Ling, J. An examination of physical activity guidelines and health-related quality of life among US older adults. Preventive medicine, 156, 106986.

Reason for exclusion: Duplicated

Dankel, S. J., Loenneke, J. P., & Loprinzi, P. D. (2016). The individual, joint, and additive interaction associations of aerobic-based physical activity and muscle strengthening activities on metabolic syndrome. International journal of behavioral medicine, 23(6), 707-713.

Reason for exclusion: Duplicated

Desmond, R., Jackson, B. E., & Hunter, G. (2015). Utilization of 2013 BRFSS Physical Activity Data for State Cancer Control Plan Objectives: Alabama Data. Southern Medical Journal, 108(5), 290-297.

Reason for exclusion: Duplicated

Du, Y., Liu, B., Sun, Y., Snetselaar, L. G., Wallace, R. B., & Bao, W. (2019). Trends in adherence to the physical activity guidelines for Americans for aerobic activity and time spent on sedentary behavior among US adults, 2007 to 2016. JAMA network open, 2(7), e197597.

Reason for exclusion: Study design

Lange, C., & Manz, K. (2017). Health-enhancing physical activity during leisure time among adults in Germany. Journal of Health Monitoring, 2(2).

Reason for exclusion: Duplicated

Grøntved, A., Pan, A., Mekary, R. A., Stampfer, M., Willett, W. C., Manson, J. E., & Hu, F. B. (2014). Muscle-strengthening and conditioning activities and risk of type 2 diabetes: a prospective study in two cohorts of US women. PLoS medicine, 11(1), e1001587.

Reason for exclusion: Non-representative sample

Hyde, E. T., Whitfield, G. P., Omura, J. D., Fulton, J. E., & Carlson, S. A. (2021). Trends in meeting the Physical Activity Guidelines: muscle-strengthening alone and combined with aerobic activity, United States, 1998–2018. Journal of Physical Activity and Health, 18(S1), S37-S44.

Reason for exclusion: Duplicated

Hyde, E. T., Watson, K. B., Omura, J. D., Janz, K. F., Lee, S. M., Fulton, J. E., & Carlson, S. A. (2021). Surveillance of Meeting the Youth Physical Activity Guideline: Impact of Including Vigorous-Intensity and Bone-Strengthening Activities. Research Quarterly for Exercise and Sport, 1-6.

Reason for exclusion: Duplicated

Kim, J. (2017). Longitudinal trend of prevalence of meeting physical activity guidelines among korean adults. Exercise Medicine, 1.

Reason for exclusion: Study design

Lim, J., Park, S., & Kim, J. S. (2021). Joint association of aerobic physical activity and muscle-strengthening activities with metabolic syndrome: the Korean National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 2014-2015. Epidemiology and health, 43, e2021096.

Reason for exclusion: Duplicated

Mama, S. K., Bhuiyan, N., Foo, W., Segel, J. E., Bluethmann, S. M., Winkels, R. M., ... & Schmitz, K. H. (2020). Rural-urban differences in meeting physical activity recommendations and health status in cancer survivors in central Pennsylvania. Supportive Care in Cancer, 28(10), 5013-5022.

Reason for exclusion: Clinical population

Mekary, R. A., Grøntved, A., Despres, J. P., De Moura, L. P., Asgarzadeh, M., Willett, W. C., ... & Hu, F. B. (2015). Weight training, aerobic physical activities, and long-term waist circumference change in men. Obesity, 23(2), 461-467.

Reason for exclusion: Non-representative sample

Merlo, C. L., Jones, S. E., Michael, S. L., Chen, T. J., Sliwa, S. A., Lee, S. H., ... & Park, S. (2020). Dietary and Physical Activity Behaviors Among High School Students-Youth Risk Behavior Survey, United States, 2019. MMWR supplements, 69(1), 64-76.

Reason for exclusion: Duplicated

Mu, L., Cohen, A. J., & Mukamal, K. J. (2015). Prevalence and predictors of resistance and aerobic exercise among hypertensive adults in the United States. Journal of human hypertension, 29(6), 394-395.

Reason for exclusion: Duplicated

Murphy, L. B., Hootman, J. M., Boring, M. A., Carlson, S. A., Qin, J., Barbour, K. E., ... & Helmick, C. G. (2017). Leisure Time Physical Activity Among US Adults With Arthritis, 2008–2015. American Journal of Preventive Medicine, 53(3), 345-354.

Reason for exclusion: Clinical population

Nie, J., Haberstroh, M., Acosta, T., Huang, W., Wang, Y., & Barengo, N. C. (2021). Independent and joint associations between leisure time physical activity and strength activities with mortality outcomes in older adults at least 65 years of age: a prospective cohort study. The Journals of Gerontology: Series A, 76(12), 2122-2131.

Reason for exclusion: Study design

Oftedal, S., Smith, J., Vandelanotte, C., Burton, N. W., & Duncan, M. J. (2019). Resistance training in addition to aerobic activity is associated with lower likelihood of depression and comorbid depression and anxiety symptoms: a cross sectional analysis of Australian women. Preventive Medicine, 126, 105773.

Reason for exclusion: Non-representative sample

Oftedal, S., Holliday, E. G., Reynolds, A. C., Bennie, J. A., Kline, C. E., & Duncan, M. J. (2022). Prevalence, Trends, and Correlates of Joint Patterns of Aerobic and Muscle-Strengthening Activity and Sleep Duration: A Pooled Analysis of 359,019 Adults in the National Health Interview Survey 2004–2018. Journal of Physical Activity and Health, 19(4), 246-255.

Reason for exclusion: Duplicated

Quinn, T. D., Wu, F., Mody, D., Bushover, B., Mendez, D. D., Schiff, M., & Fabio, A. (2019). Associations Between Neighborhood Social Cohesion and Physical Activity in the United States, National Health Interview Survey, 2017. Preventing Chronic Disease, 16, E163.

Reason for exclusion: Duplicated

Schoenborn, C. A., & Stommel, M. (2011). Adherence to the 2008 adult physical activity guidelines and mortality risk. American journal of preventive medicine, 40(5), 514-521.

Reason for exclusion: Duplicated

Siahpush, M., Levan, T. D., Nguyen, M. N., Grimm, B. L., Ramos, A. K., Michaud, T. L., & Johansson, P. L. (2019). The association of physical activity and mortality risk reduction among smokers: Results from 1998–2009 national health Interview surveys–national death index linkage. Journal of Physical Activity and Health, 16(10), 865-871.

Reason for exclusion: Duplicated

Song, M., Nam, S., Buss, J., & Lee, S. J. (2020). Assessing the prevalence of meeting physical activity recommendations among US healthcare workers: Data from the 2015 National Health Interview Survey. Archives of Environmental & Occupational Health, 75(7), 422-430.

Reason for exclusion: Duplicated

Strain, T., Fitzsimons, C., Kelly, P., & Mutrie, N. (2016). The forgotten guidelines: cross-sectional analysis of participation in muscle strengthening and balance & co-ordination activities by adults and older adults in Scotland. BMC public health, 16(1), 1-12.

Reason for exclusion: Study design

Sudeck, G., Geidl, W., Abu-Omar, K., Finger, J. D., Krauß, I., & Pfeifer, K. (2021). Do adults with non-communicable diseases meet the German physical activity recommendations?. German Journal of Exercise and Sport Research, 51(2), 183-193.

Reason for exclusion: Duplicated

Sung, J. H., Son, S. R., Baek, S. H., & Kim, B. J. (2021). Association of occupation with the daily physical activity and sedentary behaviour of middle-aged workers in Korea: a cross-sectional study based on data from the Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. BMJ open, 11(11), e055729.

Reason for exclusion: Study design

Tarasenko, Y., Chen, C., & Schoenberg, N. (2017). Self-reported physical activity levels of older cancer survivors: Results from the 2014 National Health Interview Survey. Journal of the American Geriatrics Society, 65(2), e39-e44.

Reason for exclusion: Duplicated

Tarasenko, Y. N., Linder, D. F., & Miller, E. A. (2018). Muscle-strengthening and aerobic activities and mortality among 3+ year cancer survivors in the US. Cancer Causes & Control, 29(4), 475-484.

Reason for exclusion: Duplicated

Tittlbach, S. A., Hoffmann, S. W., & Bennie, J. A. (2022). Association of meeting both muscle strengthening and aerobic exercise guidelines with prevalent overweight and obesity classes-results from a nationally representative sample of German adults. European Journal of Sport Science, 22(3), 436-446.

Reason for exclusion: Duplicated

Visaria, A., Nagaraj, B., Shah, M., Kethidi, N., Modak, A., Shahani, J., ... & Raghuwanshi, M. (2022). Low Amount and Intensity of Leisure-time Physical Activity in Asian Indian Adults. American Journal of Health Promotion, 36(3), 440-449.

Reason for exclusion: Duplicated

Walker, T. J., Tullar, J. M., Diamond, P. M., Kohl, H. W., & Amick, B. C. (2017). The relation of combined aerobic and muscle-strengthening physical activities with presenteeism. Journal of Physical Activity and Health, 14(11), 893-898.

Reason for exclusion: Non-representative sample

Watson, K. B., Whitfield, G., Chen, T. J., Hyde, E. T., & Omura, J. D. (2021). Trends in Aerobic and Muscle-Strengthening Physical Activity by Race/Ethnicity Across Income Levels Among US Adults, 1998–2018. Journal of Physical Activity and Health, 18(S1), S45-S52.

Reason for exclusion: Duplicated

Xin, F., Zhu, Z., Chen, S., Chen, H., Hu, X., Ma, X., ... & Tang, Y. (2022). Prevalence and correlates of meeting the muscle-strengthening exercise recommendations among Chinese children and adolescents: Results from 2019 Physical Activity and Fitness in China—The Youth Study. Journal of Sport and Health Science, 11(3), 358-366.

Reason for exclusion: Study design

Zhao, G., Li, C., Ford, E. S., Fulton, J. E., Carlson, S. A., Okoro, C. A., ... & Balluz, L. S. (2014). Leisure-time aerobic physical activity, muscle-strengthening activity and mortality risks among US adults: the NHANES linked mortality study. British journal of sports medicine, 48(3), 244-249.

Reason for exclusion: Duplicated

Online supplemental eTable 1. Characteristics of studies included in the metaanalysis.

Author, year	Country	Study design	Source of information	Study period	N (% females) / Age	Physical activity assessment and physical active definition	Overall prevalence
Bennie et al. 2016 [21]	Australia	Cross- sectional	National Nutrition and Physical Activity Survey (NNPAS)	2011- 2012	9,284 (54.1) / 18-85 years	Active Australia Survey ≥150 MVPA min per week and ≥2 sessions per week of strength or toning activities	15%
Bennie et al. 2017 [22]	Finland	Cross- sectional	Regional Health and Well-being Study	2013- 2014	69,032 (52.0) / ≥ 18 years	Self-reported Finnish recommendations: ≥150 moderate- intensity min per week or ≥75 vigorous-intensity min per week or an equivalent combination of both and reporting MVPA on ≥3 days per week and ≥2 times per week of MSA and/or balance training	10.8%
Bennie et al. 2020 A [23]	USA	Cross- sectional	US Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS) surveys	2011- 2017	1,677,108 (51.6) / ≥ 18 years	Behavioural Risk Factor Surveillance System Meeting both 150 min per week of moderate- intensity aerobic physical activity, or 75 min per week of vigorous- intensity aerobic physical activity, or an equivalent combination of both and ≥ 2 sessions per week of MSA	20.2%

Bennie et al. 2020 B [24]	South Korea	Cross- sectional	Korea National Health and Nutritional Examination Survey (KNHANES)	2014- 2015	9,120 (50.3) / 20-80 years	GPAQ Meeting both MVPA ≥150 minutes per week and muscle strengthening exercise ≥2 sessions per week	15.4%
Bennie et al. 2021 [25]	Germany	Cross- sectional	German Health Update survey	2014	24,016 (51.1) / ≥ 18 years	Interview Survey Physical Activity Questionnaire Meeting both MVPA ≥150 minutes per week and muscle strengthening exercise ≥2 sessions per week	22.6%
Bennie and Wiesner 2022 [26]	28 European countries	Cross- sectional	European Health Interview Survey	2013- 2014	280,605 (52.1) / ≥ 18 years	European Health Interview Survey – Physical Activity Questionnaire (EHIS-PAQ) Aerobic physical activity \geq 150 min/ per week and muscle strengthening exercise \geq 2 sessions per week	15.0%
CDC 2011 [39]	USA	Cross- sectional	National Youth Physical Activity and Nutrition Study (NYPANS)	2010	9,701 (NR) / 14–18 years	NYPANS questions Aerobic physical activity and muscle- strengthening activity participation in ≥60 minutes of aerobic activity per day, 7 days per week and MSA on ≥3 days per week)	15.3%
Chen et al. 2021 [9]	USA	Cross- sectional	Youth Risk Behavior Survey (YRBS)	2011- 2019	86,869 (49.3) / 14-18 years	YRBS questions Aerobic physical activity and muscle- strengthening activity	19.2%

						participation of \geq 60 min of aerobic activity per day, 7 days per week and MSA on \geq 3 days per week	
Churilla et al. 2022 [27]	USA	Cross- sectional	US Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS) surveys	2019	323,435 (49.6) / ≥ 18 years	Behavioural Risk Factor Surveillance System Aerobic physical activity ≥ 150 min per week and muscle strengthening exercise ≥ 2 sessions per week	23.5%
de Cocker et al. 2020 [28]	UK	Cross- sectional	Health Survey for England (HSE) study	2012- 2016	14,050 (56.0%) / ≥ 16 years	Self-reported questionnaire ≥ 150 min of moderate activity or 75 min of vigorous activity per week or an equivalent combination of both; and undertaking MSA on at least two days per week	25.7%
Dankel et al. 2016 [29]	USA	Cross- sectional	National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES)	2003- 2006	4,587 (49.0) / ≥ 20 years	Accelerometry (ActiGraph 7164) and questionnaire Accelerometer- determined physical activity ≥ 150 min per week of MVPA and ≥ 8 days of MSA within the past 30 days	11.0%
Dorner et al. 2021 [30]	Austria	Cross- sectional	Austrian Health Interview Surveys	2014 and 2019	31,232 (51.2%) / ≥ 15 years	European Health Interview Survey – Physical Activity Questionnaire (EHIS-PAQ) Aerobic physical activity ≥ 150 min per week and muscle	23.8%

						strengthening	
						exercise ≥ 2	
						sessions per week	
						Short Questionnaire to Assess Health- enhancing physical activity (SQUASH)	
Duijvestijn et al. 2020 [31]	The Netherlands	Cross- sectional	Dutch Health Survey/Lifestyle Monitor by Statistics Netherlands	2018	226,083 (52.0%) / ≥ 12 years	Adolescents: Aerobic physical activity and muscle- strengthening activity participation in \geq 60 min of aerobic activity per day, 7 days per week and MSA on \geq 3 days per week Adults: Aerobic physical activity \geq 150 min per week and muscle strengthening exercise \geq 2 sessions per week	33.9% (12- 17 years old) 43.5% (≥18 years old)
Lackinger and Dorner, 2015 [32]	Austria	Cross- sectional	Austrian Health Interview Survey	2006- 2007	467 (46.7) / 20-29 years	IPAQ Aerobic physical activity ≥ 150 min per week and muscle strengthening exercise ≥ 2 sessions per week	39.4%
Lee et al. 2022 [33]	South Korea	Cross- sectional	National Health Insurance Service of South Korea	2018- 2019	Cohort A: 76,395 $(51.2) / \ge$ 20 years Cohort B: 2,295 $(53.5) / \ge$ 20 years	Self-reported questionnaire ≥ 150 min of moderate activity or 75 min of vigorous activity per week or an equivalent combination of both; and undertaking MSA on at least two days per week	Cohort A: 14.5% Cohort B: 12.7%
Sandercock et al. 2022 [34]	UK	Cross- sectional	Active Lives Survey	2015- 2017	275,182 (48.9)/	Active Lives dataset	26.5%

					18-95 years	150 min per week equivalent moderate physical activity including two sessions of strengthening activities	
Song et al. 2013 [35]	USA	Cross- sectional	National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES)	1999- 2006	6547 (48.9) / 12-17 years	Self-reported questionnaire Aerobic physical activity and MSA participation in \geq 60 min of aerobic activity per day, 7 days per week and MSA on \geq 3 days per week	16.3%
Sung et al. 2022 [36]	South Korea	Cross- sectional	Korea National Health and Nutritional Examination Survey (KNHANES)	2016- 2019	23,505 (50.5) / ≥ 20 years	GPAQ Aerobic physical activity \geq 150 min per week and muscle strengthening exercise \geq 2 sessions per week	14.5%
Wennman and Borodulin, 2020 [37]	Finland	Cross- sectional	FinHealth 2017 Study	2017	5335 (56.0) / ≥ 18 years	FinHealth Health- Enhancing Physical Activity Questionnaire ≥ 150 min of moderate activity or 75 min of vigorous activity per week or an equivalent combination of both; and undertaking MSA on at least two days per week	34.2%
Whitfield et al. 2019 [38]	USA	Cross- sectional	National Health Interview Survey	2017	23,006 (51.8) / ≥ 18 years	Sample Adult Core questions 150–300 min of moderate- intensity, or 75– 150 min of vigorous-intensity aerobic physical activity per week, or an equivalent combination of	24.3%

						moderate- and vigorous-intensity aerobic physical activity and MSA of at least moderate intensity that involve all major muscle groups on ≥ 2 days per week	
Zhao et al. 2020 [8]	USA	Prospective	National Health Interview Survey	1997- 2014	479,856 (48.2) / ≥ 18 years	Sample Adult Core questions ≥ 150 min of moderate activity or 75 min of vigorous activity per week or an equivalent combination of both; and undertaking MSA on at least two days per week	15.9%
						al physical activity quivity; NR, not reporte	

Study	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	Total score	Summary on the overall risk of study bias
Bennie et al. 2016	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Low risk
Bennie et al. 2017	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	2	Low risk
Bennie et al. 2020 A	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	Low risk
Bennie et al. 2020 B	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	Low risk
Bennie et al. 2021	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	Low risk
Bennie & Wiesner 2022	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	Low risk
CDC 2011	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	Low risk
Chen et al. 2021	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Low risk
Churilla et al. 2022	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Low risk
De Cocker et al. 2020	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	2	Low risk
Dankel et al. 2016	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Low risk
Dorner et al. 2021	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	Low risk
Duijvestijn et al. 2020	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Low risk
Lackinger & Dorner, 2015	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Low risk
Lee et al. 2022	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	Low risk
Sandercock et al. 2022	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	Low risk
Song et al. 2013	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	Low risk
Sung et al. 2022	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Low risk
Wennman & Borodulin, 2020	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	Low risk
Whitfield et al. 2019	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	Low risk
Zhao et al. 2020	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Low risk

Online supplemental eTable 2. Results of the quality assessment checklist for prevalence studies.

Online supplemental eFigure 1. Forest plot of adherence to aerobic and muscle-strengthening activities guidelines by sex.

Study	% Prevalence (95% CI) Weigt
Men	
Bennie et al. 2016 +	16.91 (15.82, 18.06) 7.64
Bennie et al. 2017 $igstarrow$	9.60 (9.28, 9.93) 7.72
Bennie et al. 2021	24.70 (23.90, 25.52) 7.70
Bennie and Wiesner 2022	17.30 (17.09, 17.51) 7.73
CDC 2013	23.40 (23.28, 23.52) 7.73
Chen et al. 2021 +	23.10 (22.09, 24.15) 7.67
Duijvestijn et al. 2020	
Lee et al. 2022	19.31 (18.91, 19.71) 7.72
Sandercock et al. 2022	29.00 (28.76, 29.24) 7.73
Sung et al. 2022 🔶	19.84 (19.08, 20.62) 7.69
Wennman and Borodulin, 2020	→ <u>34.00 (32.11, 35.94)</u> 7.56
Whitfield et al. 2019	28.80 (27.97, 29.65) 7.70
Zhao et al. 2020	18.70 (18.54, 18.87) 7.73
Subtotal (l ² = 99.91%, p = 0.00)	23.50 (20.46, 26.67) 100.0
Women	
Bennie et al. 2016 🔶	13.10 (12.20, 14.06) 7.65
Bennie et al. 2017	11.90 (11.56, 12.25) 7.72
Bennie et al. 2021	20.50 (19.82, 21.20) 7.70
Bennie and Wiesner 2022	12.90 (12.74, 13.07) 7.73
CDC 2013 •	17.90 (17.79, 18.01) 7.73
Chen et al. 2021	10.20 (9.50, 10.95) 7.67
Duijvestijn et al. 2020	
Lee et al. 2022	9.91 (9.62, 10.21) 7.72
Sandercock et al. 2022	24.00 (23.78, 24.22) 7.73
Sung et al. 2022	9.28 (8.79, 9.78) 7.70
Wennman and Borodulin, 2020	29.51 (27.90, 31.17) 7.59
Whitfield et al. 2019	20.10 (19.39, 20.83) 7.69
Zhao et al. 2020 🔶	13.33 (13.20, 13.46) 7.73
Subtotal (I ² = 99.92%, p = 0.00)	17.42 (14.73, 20.30) 100.0
0 20	40 60

Online supplemental eFigure 2. Forest plot of adherence to aerobic and muscle-strengthening activities guidelines by age.

Study	Prevalence (95% C	% I) Weigh
Adults 18-64 years		
Bennie et al. 2016	19.86 (18.69, 21.09	7.69
Bennie et al. 2017	18.00 (17.54, 18.48) 7.74
Bennie et al. 2021	24.75 (23.88, 25.64) 7.73
Bennie and Wiesner 2022	21.06 (20.81, 21.31	7.75
Duijvestijn et al. 2020	✤ 50.10 (49.16, 51.04)) 7.73
Lackinger and Dorner, 2015	39.40 (35.07, 43.90) 7.24
Lee et al. 2022	18.70 (18.23, 19.18) 7.74
Sung et al. 2022	16.23 (15.65, 16.82) 7.74
Zhao et al. 2020	22.65 (22.46, 22.84) 7.75
Bennie et al. 2016 🔶	12.71 (11.58, 13.93)	7.67
Bennie et al. 2017 🔶	8.55 (8.20, 8.92)	7.74
Bennie et al. 2021	21.90 (21.06, 22.77) 7.72
Bennie and Wiesner 2022	12.80 (12.60, 13.01) 7.75
Subtotal (I ² = 99.90%, p = 0.00)	21.21 (17.45, 25.22) 100.00
Older adults >64 years		
Bennie et al. 2016 +	6.18 (5.23, 7.29)	14.30
Bennie et al. 2017 🔶	4.80 (4.47, 5.15)	14.41
Bennie et al. 2021 +	20.20 (19.19, 21.25) 14.38
Bennie and Wiesner 2022	9.10 (8.90, 9.31)	14.42
Duijvestijn et al. 2020	36.99 (35.39, 38.62) 14.35
Sung et al. 2022	6.29 (5.58, 7.07)	14.36
Wennman and Borodulin, 2020	- 23.06 (19.13, 27.51) 13.78
Subtotal (I ² = 99.79%, p = 0.00)	13.63 (8.18, 20.20)	100.00
0 20	40 60	

Online supplemental eFigure 3. Forest plot of adherence to aerobic and musclestrengthening activities guidelines by weight status.

Study	Prevalence (95% CI)	% Weigh
Underweight		
Bennie et al. 2016	8.26 (4.55, 14.55)	13.74
Bennie et al. 2021	18.60 (15.21, 22.55)	24.12
Bennie and Wiesner 2022 +	11.70 (11.08, 12.35)	33.67
Sung et al. 2022	11.49 (9.55, 13.75)	28.47
Subtotal (l ² = 82.72%, p = 0.00)	12.62 (9.92, 15.60)	100.00
Normalweight		
Bennie et al. 2016	20.51 (19.04, 22.07)	18.47
Bennie et al. 2021	✤ 27.20 (26.37, 28.06)	20.06
Bennie and Wiesner 2022	18.60 (18.38, 18.82)	20.62
Sung et al. 2022 🔶	15.08 (14.50, 15.67)	20.21
Zhao et al. 2020	18.80 (18.62, 18.97)	20.64
Subtotal (I ² = 99.31%, p = 0.00)	19.87 (17.93, 21.87)	100.0
Overweight		
Bennie et al. 2016	15.80 (14.52, 17.18)	13.84
Bennie et al. 2017	9.00 (8.64, 9.37)	14.40
Bennie et al. 2021 +	21.90 (21.00, 22.83)	14.24
Bennie and Wiesner 2022	13.40 (13.19, 13.61)	14.46
_ee et al. 2022 •	15.00 (14.53, 15.47)	14.39
Sung et al. 2022 🔶	14.56 (13.74, 15.41)	14.20
Zhao et al. 2020	16.58 (16.40, 16.76)	14.47
Subtotal (l ² = 99.62%, p = 0.00)	14.98 (12.76, 17.35)	100.0
Dbesity		
Bennie et al. 2016	9.71 (8.55, 11.02)	13.88
Bennie et al. 2017	4.40 (4.04, 4.79)	14.61
Bennie et al. 2021	13.00 (11.97, 14.10)	14.26
Bennie and Wiesner 2022	9.10 (8.84, 9.37)	14.75
_ee et al. 2022 →	12.48 (11.56, 13.46)	14.35
Sung et al. 2022	10.61 (9.08, 12.35)	13.38
Zhao et al. 2020	10.62 (10.45, 10.79)	14.78
Subtotal ($I^2 = 99.16\%$, p = 0.00)	9.77 (7.98, 11.71)	100.0

Online supplemental eFigure 4. Forest plot of adherence to aerobic and musclestrengthening activities guidelines by education level.

Study	Prevalence (95% CI)	% Weigh
Low		
Bennie et al. 2016	8.49 (7.48, 9.63)	16.55
Bennie et al. 2017	2.75 (2.48, 3.06)	16.70
Bennie and Wiesner 2022	3.20 (3.03, 3.37)	16.73
Duijvestijn et al. 2020 -	34.31 (32.87, 35.78)	16.62
Sung et al. 2022	5.88 (5.35, 6.45)	16.67
Zhao et al. 2020	5.54 (5.39, 5.70)	16.73
Subtotal (I ² = 99.85%, p = 0.00)	8.26 (4.63, 12.80)	100.00
Medium		
Bennie et al. 2016 +	15.39 (14.35, 16.50)	16.60
Bennie et al. 2017 •	10.95 (10.61, 11.30)	16.70
Bennie and Wiesner 2022	17.55 (17.38, 17.72)	16.71
Duijvestijn et al. 2020		16.63
Sung et al. 2022 +	23.67 (22.73, 24.64)	16.65
Zhao et al. 2020 •	10.43 (10.27, 10.60)	16.71
Subtotal (I ² = 99.93%, p = 0.00)	19.56 (14.23, 25.50)	100.00
High		
Bennie et al. 2016	20.50 (18.93, 22.17)	16.52
Bennie et al. 2017	16.40 (15.87, 16.94)	16.71
Bennie and Wiesner 2022	22.10 (21.59, 22.62)	16.72
Duijvestijn et al. 2020	➡ 56.49 (55.18, 57.80)	16.64
Sung et al. 2022 +	25.25 (24.35, 26.16)	16.68
Zhao et al. 2020	22.31 (22.15, 22.47)	16.74
Subtotal (l ² = 99.85%, p = 0.00)	26.50 (20.53, 32.95)	100.00
I I 0 20	40 60	


Online supplemental eFigure 5. Forest plot of adherence to aerobic and musclestrengthening activities guidelines by smoking status.

			%
Study		Prevalence (95% CI)	
Current smoke			
Bennie et al. 2016		10.08 (8.77, 11.57)	19.27
Bennie et al. 2021	~	18.40 (17.39, 19.46)	20.05
Lee et al. 2022	+	16.31 (15.70, 16.93)	20.30
Sung et al. 2022	~	15.44 (14.38, 16.57)	19.94
Zhao et al. 2020	•	11.79 (11.60, 11.99)	20.44
Subtotal (l ² = 98.98%, p = 0.00)	\diamond	14.30 (11.48, 17.36)	100.00
Former/non-smokers			
Bennie et al. 2016	+	16.20 (15.39, 17.04)	19.71
		00 00 (00 00 04 50)	19.99
	+	23.90 (23.29, 24.52)	13.33
Bennie et al. 2021	* *	23.90 (23.29, 24.52) 14.10 (13.83, 14.37)	20.13
Bennie et al. 2021 Lee et al. 2022	* * *		
Bennie et al. 2021 Lee et al. 2022 Sung et al. 2022 Zhao et al. 2020	* * *	14.10 (13.83, 14.37)	20.13


Online supplemental eFigure 6. Forest plot of adherence to aerobic and musclestrengthening activities guidelines by self-rated health status.

					%	
Study				Prevalence (95% CI)	Weight	
Very poor/Poor						
Bennie et al. 2016	—			5.24 (3.57, 7.62)	18.94	
Bennie et al. 2017	•			4.01 (3.56, 4.51)	28.30	
Bennie et al. 2021	-			8.68 (7.13, 10.51)	23.61	
Bennie and Wiesner 2022	•			3.60 (3.38, 3.83)	29.15	
Subtotal (I ² = 94.25%, p = 0.00)	\diamond			5.06 (3.72, 6.59)	100.00	
Fair/moderate						
Bennie et al. 2016	+			5.42 (4.26, 6.87)	24.38	
Bennie et al. 2017	•			4.20 (3.91, 4.51)	25.23	
Bennie et al. 2021		+		16.10 (15.16, 17.09)	25.10	
Bennie and Wiesner 2022	•			8.90 (8.69, 9.11)	25.28	
Subtotal (I ² = 99.66%, p = 0.00)	\diamond			8.16 (4.55, 12.69)	100.00	
Very good/Good/Excelent						
Bennie et al. 2016		+		18.14 (17.29, 19.01)	24.84	
Bennie et al. 2017		•		16.05 (15.69, 16.41)	25.06	
Bennie et al. 2021		•	•	29.35 (28.66, 30.06)	24.99	
Bennie and Wiesner 2022		•		19.55 (19.37, 19.73)	25.11	
Subtotal (I ² = 99.75%, p = 0.00)		\sim		20.56 (16.47, 24.99)	100.00	

Online supplemental eFigure 7. Doi plot for adults \geq 18 years old.



Online supplemental eFigure 8. Doi plot for adolescents aged 12-17 years old.



LFK index = 2.15 (major asymmetry)