SUPPLEMENTARY TABLE

Supplementary Table 1. Sensitivity analysis of percentage of young social media users who were often exposed to TAPS on social media, stratified by city.

	Total	%		Cities						Dualua	Variance (SD) ^b	
	users	exposed	Malang	Pekanbaru	Pontianak	Gorontalo	Denpasar	Samarinda	Cimahi	P-value ^a	City	School
Instagram	2,580	32.4	37.2	30.2	21.8	33.6	38.9	28.1	44.4	0.47	0.53 (0.19)	0.39 (0.12)
Facebook	2,613	28.9	34.3	18.9	30.2	30.3	20.6	35.1	28.9	0.83	0.24 (0.39)	0.80 (0.18)
YouTube	2,559	26.1	26.8	19.4	24.3	35.5	18.2	28.3	27.2	0.63	0.19 (0.16)	0.39 (0.11)
Twitter	2,159	9.59	10.0	8.0	6.4	15.7	8.5	7.0	12.4	0.88	0.24 (0.18)	0.41 (0.14)

^a P-value was derived from the Wald test in age-adjusted multilevel logistic regression model with no exposure vs often exposure through internet, outdoor advertising, broadcast media, and tobacco industry sponsorship as the outcomes, and cities as independent variable.

^b Presents the variance in exposure between schools and cities, respectively.

Supplementary Table 2. Sensitivity analysis of percentage of young social media users who were often exposed to TAPS on social media stratified by individual characteristics.

	I	Age in years	Dualua	Gender		P-value ^{a,b} –	Smoking status		P-value ^{a,b}	
	13 - 14	15 - 16	17 - 18	P-value ^a	Girls	Boys	r-value	Non-smoker	Smoker	r-value
Internet										
Instagram	26.5	35.0	35.9	0.20	31.9	32.9	0.46	31.4	36.6	0.33
Facebook	25.1	32.5	28.9	0.01	26.5	31.5	0.03	27.7	34.2	0.56
YouTube	22.9	29.3	31.1	0.22	28.7	26.4	< 0.01	27.4	28.6	< 0.01
Twitter	7.0	11.4	10.1	0.02	6.6	12.8	< 0.01	8.3	15.0	< 0.01

^a P-value was derived from the Wald test in multilevel logistic regression model with no exposure vs often exposure.

^b Models comparing boys and girls, and smokers and non-smokers were adjusted for age.

^c Responses were only 'no' and 'yes'.