# **1** SUPPLEMENTARY METHODS

#### 2 **Ethical approval**

This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board Committee at the National Center for Child Health and Development, and was performed after obtaining written informed consent from all individuals. Furthermore, we obtained written informed consent to publish molecular, clinical information and facial photographs of two patients with maternal uniparental disomy of chromosome 16 (UPD(16)mat).

# 8 Patients

9 We summarised the inclusion criteria in online supplementary figure 1. A total of 330 patients were referred to us for genetic testing for Silver-Russell syndrome (SRS) by 10 11 their presenting physicians from 2002 to 2017. Because presenting physicians did not 12accurately evaluate clinical features related to the Netchine-Harbison clinical scoring system (NH-CSS) before 2017 when an international consensus statement for SRS was 13published,[1] we did not have enough clinical information of NH-CSS criteria in all of 14our patients. After excluding patients with loss of methylation on chromosome 11p15 1516(11p15 LOM) (n=90) and with maternal uniparental disomy of chromosome 7 17(UPD(7)mat) (n=24), we asked the attending physicians of the remaining patients about clinical features related to NH-CSS using questionnaires. Attending physicians were 18 mainly general paediatricians unfamiliar with SRS and some paediatric endocrinologists 19and paediatric geneticists who were more familiar with SRS. Because of insufficient 2021clinical information, 51 patients were excluded from this study, and 43 patients were 22also excluded from this study due to low score in NH-CSS. A total of 122 patients without 11p15 LOM and UPD(7)mat satisfied NH-CSS or met three NH-CSS criteria, 23but were clinically suspected as having SRS. For these patients, we performed 24methylation analysis for six differentially methylated regions (DMRs), namely, 25PLAGL1:alt-TSS-DMR, KCNQ10T1:TSS-DMR, MEG3/DLK1:IG-DMR, MEG3:TSS-2627DMR, SNURF:TSS-DMR and GNAS A/B:TSS-DMR to detect other imprinting

1

disorders, and array comparative genomic hybridisation (aCGH) analysis to detect 28pathogenic CNVs (PCNVs) causing known genetic diseases. After excluding 21 patients 2930 with abnormal methylation levels of these DMRs and seven patients with PCNVs, 94 aetiology-unknown patients with SRS phenotype were included in our study. Of the 94 31patients, 63 satisfied NH-CSS and the remaining 31 patients met only three NH-CSS 3233 criteria, but were clinically suspected as having SRS. We performed aCGH analysis using the SurePrint G3 Human CGH Array Kit  $8 \times 60$ K (catalog number G4450A, 34Agilent Technologies, Palo Alto, CA, USA).[2] Methylation analysis was performed by 35combined bisulfite restriction analysis or pyrosequencing as previously reported.[3, 4] 36 All patients were Japanese except for two patients who were from Canada and the USA. 3738 Clinical diagnosis as SRS was based on the NH-CSS, which includes six items: (1) small for gestational age (birth weight and/or birth length  $\leq -2$  SD score (SDS) for 39 gestational age), (2) postnatal growth retardation (height at  $24 \pm 1$  months  $\leq -2$  SDS or 40 height  $\leq -2$  SDS below mid-parental target height), (3) relative macrocephaly at birth 41 (head circumference at birth  $\geq 1.5$  SDS above birth weight and/or length SDS), (4) 4243protruding forehead (forehead projecting beyond the facial plane from the side view 44among toddlers), (5) body asymmetry (leg length discrepancy (LLD) of  $\geq 0.5$  cm or arm asymmetry or LLD <0.5 cm with at least two other asymmetrical body parts (one non-45face)), (6) feeding difficulties and/or low body mass index (BMI) (BMI  $\leq -2$  SDS at 24 46months or current use of a feeding tube or cyproheptadine for appetite stimulation).[1] 4748Patients meeting four or more of these six criteria received a diagnosis of SRS.[1] In addition, patients meeting NH-CSS criteria including both protruding forehead and 49relative macrocephaly, but normal in all molecular testing, received a diagnosis of 50clinical SRS.[1] In our patients, 25 out of 63 patients got a diagnosis of clinical SRS. 5152For patients younger than 23 months old, the criterion for postnatal growth retardation 53was excluded from the NH-CSS criteria. 54The diagnosis of SRS can be difficult, as the condition varies widely in

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

severity among affected individuals and many of its features are nonspecific.[1]
Furthermore, in our study, many general paediatricians unfamiliar with SRS evaluated
the clinical features for their patients. Because triangular face, fifth finger clinodactyly
and/or brachydactyly were frequently observed in SRS patients[3] and many general
paediatricians suspected their patients as having SRS based on these features before
2017 when the consensus statement was published, we adopted these features as clinical
features related to continued clinical suspicion of SRS.

### 62 Molecular analysis

63 To detect UPD(16)mat, we first performed methylation analysis with pyrosequencing for the paternally methylated ZNF597:TSS-DMR on chromosome 16 using bisulfite-treated 64 65 genomic DNA (gDNA) from the leucocytes as previously reported.[4, 5] Furthermore, we examined the methylation levels of the maternally methylated ZNF597:3' DMR in the 66 patients with low methylation levels of the ZNF597:TSS-DMR. Next, we performed 67 68 microsatellite analysis for chromosome 16 in patients with abnormal methylation levels of these DMRs using gDNA from the leucocytes of these patients and their parents. In 69 addition, to detect hidden mosaic trisomy 16, we also examined patients' gDNA from 7071buccal cells obtained with Oragene OG-575 (DNA Genotek, Ottawa, Canada). Because 72the mother of patient 1 had severe short stature, we also performed methylation analysis for nine DMRs related to imprinting disorders and the ZNF597:TSS-DMR using gDNA 73 samples from her leucocytes. Sequences of the primer sets for pyrosequencing and 7475microsatellite analyses are shown in online supplementary table 1. Furthermore, we 76carried out aCGH and SNP array analysis using the SurePrint G3 Human CGH+SNP 4×180K Kit (catalog number G4890A, Agilent Technologies, Palo Alto, CA, USA) in 77 patients with the abnormal methylation levels of the ZNF597:TSS-DMR and ZNF597:3' 78DMR. When we could not diagnose UPD(16)mat based on the results of the microsatellite 79 and SNP array analyses, we carried out SNP array analysis in the parents of the patients 80 81 with abnormal methylation levels of these DMRs.

Finally, for the patients with UPD(16)mat, we performed whole-exome 82 sequencing of these patients and their parents to detect gene mutations associated with 83 84 their phenotypes. Because the mother of patient 1 showed severe short stature, we also searched causative genes for growth failure in her. Enriched libraries generated with 85 SureSelect Human All ExonV6 kit (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA) were 86 87 sequenced on a Hiseq 4000 (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA) operated in a 150 bp paired-end mode (patient 1) and a Hiseq 2500 (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA) 88 89 operated in a 101 bp paired-end mode (patient 2). Sequence reads were processed, 90 mapped and analysed as previously reported.[6] In brief, the paired-end reads were mapped against the human reference genome data (hg19/GRCh37) using the Burrows-9192Wheeler Aligner 0.7.13. The PCR duplicates were removed by Picard 2.1.1. The Genome Analysis Toolkit 3.5 was then used to produce calibrated BAM files for each 93 sample. Subsequently, we excluded common variants on the basis of the 1000 Genomes 94 95 Project data, Exome Aggregation Consortium, Human Genetic Variation Database and in-house control data. Then, the rare variants were screened for 356 genes related to 96 97 growth failure[7] and 25 known causative/candidate/susceptible genes for non-98 syndromic hypospadias[8] (online supplementary table 2). Furthermore, these rare variants were also examined as to whether they would lead to known genetic syndromes 99 or not, based on the Online Mendelian Inheritance in Man.[9] 100 **Statistical analysis** 101 102The frequencies of clinical feature differences between patients with UPD(16)mat, 103 11p15 LOM and UPD(7)mat were analysed by Fisher's exact test using the R

- $104 \qquad environment (http://cran.r-project.org/bin/windows/base/old/2.15.1/). A value of p < 0.05$
- 105 was considered significant.

106

# 107 **REFERENCES**

4

108	1	Wakeling EL, Brioude F, Lokulo-Sodipe O, O'Connell SM, Salem J, Bliek J,
109		Canton AP, Chrzanowska KH, Davies JH, Dias RP, Dubern B, Elbracht M,
110		Giabicani E, Grimberg A, Grønskov K, Hokken-Koelega AC, Jorge AA, Kagami
111		M, Linglart A, Maghnie M, Mohnike K, Monk D, Moore GE, Murray PG, Ogata T,
112		Petit IO, Russo S, Said E, Toumba M, Tümer Z, Binder G, Eggermann T, Harbison
113		MD, Temple IK, Mackay DJ, Netchine I. Diagnosis and management of Silver-
114		Russell syndrome: first international consensus statement. Nat Rev Endocrinol
115		2017;13:105–24.
116	2	Inoue T, Nakamura A, Fuke T, Yamazawa K, Sano S, Matsubara K, Mizuno S,
117		Matsukura Y, Harashima C, Hasegawa T, Nakajima H, Tsumura K, Kizaki Z, Oka
118		A, Ogata T, Fukami M, Kagami M. Genetic heterogeneity of patients with
119		suspected Silver-Russell syndrome: genome-wide copy number analysis in 82
120		patients without imprinting defects. Clin Epigenetics 2017;9:52.
121	3	Fuke T, Mizuno S, Nagai T, Hasegawa T, Horikawa R, Miyoshi Y, Muroya K,
122		Kondoh T, Numakura C, Sato S, Nakabayashi K, Tayama C, Hata K, Sano S,
123		Matsubara K, Kagami M, Yamazawa K, Ogata T. Molecular and clinical studies in
124		138 Japanese patients with Silver-Russell syndrome. PLoS One 2013;8:e60105.
125	4	Kagami M, Mizuno S, Matsubara K, Nakabayashi K, Sano S, Fuke T, Fukami M,
126		Ogata T. Epimutations of the IG-DMR and the MEG3-DMR at the 14q32.2
127		imprinted region in two patients with Silver-Russell Syndrome-compatible
128		phenotype. Eur J Hum Genet 2015;23:1062–7.
129	5	Kagami M, Matsubara K, Nakabayashi K, Nakamura A, Sano S, Okamura K, Hata
130		K, Fukami M, Ogata T. Genome-wide multilocus imprinting disturbance analysis in
131		Temple syndrome and Kagami-Ogata syndrome. Genet Med 2017;19:476-82.
132	6	Fukawatase Y, Toyoda M, Okamura K, Nakamura K, Nakabayashi K, Takada S,
133		Yamazaki-Inoue M, Masuda A, Nasu M, Hata K, Hanaoka K, Higuchi A, Takubo

 $\mathbf{5}$ 

134		K, Umezawa A. Ataxia telangiectasia derived iPS cells show preserved x-ray
135		sensitivity and decreased chromosomal instability. Sci Rep 2014;4:5421.
136	7	Wang SR, Carmichael H, Andrew SF, Miller TC, Moon JE, Derr MA, Hwa V,
137		Hirschhorn JN, Dauber A. Large-scale pooled next-generation sequencing of 1077
138		genes to identify genetic causes of short stature. J Clin Endocrinol Metab
139		2013;98:E1428–E1437.
140	8	van der Zanden LF, van Rooij IA, Feitz WF, Franke B, Knoers NV, Roeleveld N.
141		Aetiology of hypospadias: a systematic review of genes and environment. Hum
142		<i>Reprod Update</i> 2012;18:260–83.
143	9	OMIM. Online mendelian inheritance in man. https://www.omim.org (accessed 1
144		Mar 2018).