# **BMJ Open** Organised colorectal cancer screening in Lampang Province, Thailand: preliminary results from a pilot implementation programme

Thiravud Khuhaprema,<sup>1</sup> Suleeporn Sangrajrang,<sup>1</sup> Somkiat Lalitwongsa,<sup>2</sup> Vanida Chokvanitphong,<sup>1</sup> Tawarat Raunroadroong,<sup>2</sup> Tawee Ratanachu-ek,<sup>3</sup> Richard Muwonge,<sup>4</sup> Eric Lucas,<sup>4</sup> Christopher Wild,<sup>5</sup> Rengaswamy Sankaranarayanan<sup>4</sup>

## ABSTRACT

To cite: Khuhaprema T, Sangrajrang S. Lalitwongsa S, et al. Organised colorectal cancer screening in Lampang Province, Thailand: preliminary results from a pilot implementation programme. BMJ Open 2014:4:e003671. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2013-003671

Prepublication history and additional material for this paper is available online. To view these files please visit the journal online (http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/ bmjopen-2013-003671).

Received 26 July 2013 Revised 4 December 2013 Accepted 6 December 2013



For numbered affiliations see end of article.

**Correspondence to** Dr Rengaswamy Sankaranarayanan; SankarR@iarc.fr

Objective: Colorectal cancer (CRC) is the third-most and fifth-most common cancer in men and women, in Thailand. The increasing CRC incidence and mortality can be reduced by screening and treating adenomas and early cancers. A pilot CRC screening programme using immunochemical faecal occult blood testing (iFOBT) and colonoscopy for test-positives were implemented through the routine Government Health Services in Lampang Province, to inform the acceptability, feasibility and scaling-up of screening in Thailand. This report describes the implementation, coverage and performance indicators of this project. **Design:** A target population aged 50–65 years was informed about and invited face to face to undergo CRC screening by community health workers (HWs). The HWs provided faecal sample collection kits and participants brought their samples to one of the primary health units or community hospitals where nurses performed iFOBT. iFOBT-positive persons were referred for colonoscopy at the Lampang cancer hospital, and endoscopic polypectomy/biopsies were performed according to the colonoscopic findings. Those with confirmed CRC received appropriate treatment.

**Results:** Of the 127 301 target population, 62.9% were screened using iFOBT between April 2011 and November 2012. Participation was higher among women (67.8%) than men (57.8%) and lower in 50-54 year-old persons than in 60–65-year-olds. Of those screened, 873 (1.1%) were found positive; positivity was higher in men (1.2%) than in women (1.0%). To date 627 (72.0%) iFOBTpositive persons have had colonoscopy in which 3.7% had CRC and 30.6% had adenomas.

**Conclusions:** The successful implementation of the pilot CRC screening with satisfactory process measures indicate the feasibility of scaling-up organised CRC screening through existing health services in Thailand.

### **INTRODUCTION**

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is a major cancer in Thailand with age-standardised incidence rates of 12.9/100 000 in men and 9.2/100 000

## Strengths and limitations of this study

- This pilot study documents the feasibility, acceptance and safety of colorectal cancer (CRC) screening in a lower-middle-income country.
- The study has been carried out in real-life conditions using the existing routine healthcare services, allowing a realistic and pragmatic assessment of the feasibility, sustenance and intermediate outcomes of colorectal screening in a lower-middle-income country.
- No information is provided on the extent of falsenegative tests and the impact of the intervention on CRC incidence and mortality due to lack of long-term follow-up of the study population at this instance. This information will be eventually generated by active and passive follow-up of the study population in future.
- The study did not include population aged between 66 and 74 years.

Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, AI training in women in 2005 and an incidence which is steadily increasing over time.<sup>1</sup> Sedentary life-, and style, lack of physical activity, smoking, drinking alcohol, a high consumption of red and processed meats as well as a low consumption of whole grains, fibre, fruits and vegetables are associated with an elevated risk of CRC. Most technologies CRCs occur in pre-existing adenomatous polyps; a small percentage of the colonic polyps may become cancerous and spread elsewhere.<sup>2</sup> This progression takes at least 10 years in most people. Early detection and removal of polyps in the colon and rectum may prevent the development of invasive CRC.<sup>3</sup>

Early detection and prevention of CRC through screening is an effective intervention to reduce the considerable human and financial costs.<sup>4</sup> Tests considered for screening include variants of faecal occult blood tests (FOBTs), flexible sigmoidoscopy and colonoscopy. Of these, FOBTs are the most

simila

feasible, non-invasive, affordable and acceptable for population screening as they detect intermittent microscopic blood losses from early CRC to advanced adenomas. Chemical FOBT (cFOBT) not only uses guaiac to detect peroxidase in human blood, but also reacts to the peroxidase present in dietary constituents such as red meat, cruciferous vegetables and some fruits, while the recently developed immunochemical FOBT (iFOBT) uses an antigen-antibody reaction to specifically detect globin which, together with haeme, constitutes human haemoglobin. iFOBT is not subject to false-negative results in the presence of high-dose vitamin C supplements, which block the peroxidase reaction; since globin is degraded by digestive enzymes in the upper gastrointestinal tract, iFOBT is more specific for lower gastrointestinal bleeding, thus improving their specificity for colorectal neoplasia.

It has been shown in pooled analysis of four randomised controlled trials that annual or biennial screening with cFOBT reduced overall CRC mortality by 16% for those allocated to CRC screening and reduced CRC mortality by 25% for those attending at least one round of screening with cFOBT.<sup>4</sup> The iFOBT provides a suitable and better alternative to cFOBT as a screening test due to its higher sensitivity, simplicity, ease of use and the fact that it does not require any dietary restriction.<sup>5–8</sup>

CRC screening programmes are increasingly organised at regional and national levels in many countries. Programmes in countries such as Australia, Canada, Finland, France and the UK use either direct mail invitations or invitations initiated by general practitioners to target populations aged 50-65 or 55-69 or 50-74 years. Programmes in Canada and Finland use cFOBT, whereas iFOBT is used in Korea and Japan.9-12 Although FOBT and colonoscopy are opportunistically provided in urban hospitals, there is no populationbased organised CRC screening programme in Thailand. The public health authorities in Thailand, faced with the increasing risk of CRC, due to the transition to westernised lifestyles with socioeconomic development, would like to take measures to reduce its incidence and deaths by primary prevention and screening.

Thailand has a well-developed public health services with an extensive primary care network well integrated with district, provincial hospitals and tertiary care centres such as several comprehensive regional cancer centres and advanced university hospitals. Four decades ago when Thailand was a low-income country, it invested early in healthcare and transportation infrastructure that has reached most remote rural communities and helped it to achieve healthcare at low costs, given the fact that 4.1% of its gross domestic product (GDP) was spent for health, a figure far lower than the 10% average in highincome countries. Thailand has been successful in training doctors, nurses, auxillary and paramedical health workers (HWs) and technicians in large numbers for its health system, with innovative distribution of human

р

<u>0</u>

resources to rural areas. In addition, health volunteers recruited from local communities play important support, prevention and early detection roles, thereby enhancing community involvement. The entire Thai population is covered through a comprehensive healthcare package through universal health coverage (UHC) for financing an extensive range of preventive, diagnostic, treatment and follow-up care and hospitalisations due to any illness.13

In this context, a decision was made to implement a pilot CRC screening programme with iFOBT followed by full colonoscopy for test-positive persons using existing facilities and personnel in government health services in Lampang Province. This pilot study was implemented to assess the feasibility, acceptability, safety of CRC screening in urban and rural settings and to inform whether and how to introduce a nationwide, organised CRC screening programme through the existing public health services in a phased manner. Lampang Province was chosen to implement the pilot project, due to its representativeness of the Вu wider Thai population in terms of demographic and socioeconomic profiles, a relatively high incidence rate of CRC  $\vec{\mathbf{Q}}$ availability of comprehensive cancer diagnosis, treatment **s** and follow-up care facilities in second related to histopathology and CRC treatment services in particular, at the Lampang provincial hospital (803 beds) and the Lampang Cancer Hospital (137 beds) and the existing text population-based cancer registry that will help to evaluate the impact of the pilot intervention on CRC incidence and and mortality in the province. We report the organisation, data implementation, coverage and performance of the pilot CRC screening in this manuscript.

#### **MATERIAL AND METHODS** Project proposal development

mining, Al training A detailed project proposal describing the background, project procedures, study questionnaires and forms to capture participant data and details of investigations, the project database, quality assurance methods and means of monitoring and evaluation were jointly developed between June 2010 and March 2011 by the National Cancer Institute (NCI), Bangkok, Thailand, and the provincial health authorities with technical assistance from the Screening Group of the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) of the WHO, Lyon, France. Following the finalisation of the project proposal, its approval by national authorities and completion of training for the providers, screening started in April 2011 and recruitment of eligible participants was closed in November 2012.

## Target population and involved healthcare facilities and personnel

All apparently healthy, ambulant men and women aged 50-65 years with no history of CRC and resident in Lampang Province were the target population for

**Open Access** 

### Screening invitation and organisation

The existing healthcare infrastructure and personnel in the PCUs and the CHs were used to disseminate information, to invite and provide iFOBT and to refer those testing positive for colonoscopy. The HWs from the PCUs and CHs distributed a faecal sample collection kit to the eligible persons in the households under their jurisdiction as per a regular schedule and explained how to collect the specimen and when to bring the collected specimen to the PCU/CH for testing. The colonoscopy, histopathology and treatment services at the Lampang provincial hospital and the Lampang Cancer Hospital were used to diagnose and treat patients in the programme.

#### Screening with iFOBT and referral

All faecal samples were subjected to one-step iFOBT (Hemosure, EL Monte, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The test was carried out at the PCU/CH by the nurse in front of the participant. In less than 5 min, a level of haemoglobin as low as 200 ng/mL can be detected by this test. A pink-rose band appearing in the 'C' region and the 'T' region of the test device indicates a positive iFOBT. A negative iFOBT is characterised by only one colour band in the 'C' region. In this study, faecal material was assessed from one sample taken from each participant (1-day iFOBT). Verbal informed consent was obtained from all the participants before analysing the stool sample. The nurse at the PCU/CH fixed an appointment for colonoscopy at the Lampang cancer hospital for iFOBT-positive persons and explained the precolonoscopy bowel preparation and the colonoscopy procedure in detail, provided a prescription for bowel cleansing and encouraged them to comply with the referral.

### Colonoscopy

Colonoscopy was provided to iFOBT-positive individuals on scheduled days every week at the Lampang Regional Cancer Center. A second informed consent was obtained from people undergoing colonoscopy, biopsy and treatment. The outcome of colonoscopy was reported as normal, polyps, suspected cancer or invasive cancer. Polyps and small lesions were removed and subjected to histopathology. Large lesions were biopsied for histological assessment. Those diagnosed with CRC received further investigations for clinical staging and treatment as per the standard protocol developed in Thailand. The findings of colonoscopy, any severe adverse events within 30 days following colonoscopy (such as bleeding, perforation, administration of blood transfusion, hospitalisations for severe abdominal pain, paralytic ileus, cardiovascular events, hypotension, syncope, shock, dehydration, anaphylactic reactions, cardiorespiratory arrest, etc), histology, stage, treatment and

screening in the pilot project, totalling 127 301 eligible participants, as identified from the National Statistics office and from the population registers with the health centres in the province. The Government healthcare infrastructure consisting of 154 primary care units (PCUs), 12 community hospitals (CHs), Lampang provincial hospital and Lampang Cancer Hospital and the doctors, nurses, HWs and technicians in these public facilities provided various services such as information dissemination, invitation, testing, diagnosis, treatment and follow-up care to the screening project. These tasks were assigned as additional responsibility to these facilities and personnel and no added financial incentives were provided to them for these added tasks. No additional workers were hired for this project. The screening programme was coordinated by the NCI and the provincial health authority and was technically supported by the IARC.

### Training for screening and colonoscopy providers

The registered nurses, community HWs of the 154 PCUs and 12 CHs of Lampang Province were trained on information dissemination on CRC screening, awareness creation, motivation of the target population and invitation of eligible persons to participate in CRC screening during a one-day session with a faculty from the NCI and provincial health authority. They were trained to explain to the participants how to collect the faecal specimen in the sample collection tube and bring it to the PCU or the CH within 3 h from collection for occult blood testing. The training also covered the performance and interpretation of the iFOBT test. Attractive pamphlets and posters in Thai language describing the prevention and early detection of CRC, the method of collecting the faecal samples, the iFOBT test procedure, colonoscopy and treatment of CRC were developed and printed by the Thai Health Services with technical support from the NCI and IARC. The HWs routinely visit all households under the jurisdiction of each PCU once in 6 months to provide preventive care. The eligible participants for CRC screening in this study were met, educated, invited and encouraged to participate in screening and the pamphlets and the faecal collection pots were distributed by HWs to eligible participants during these routine house visits. Family history of CRC among first-degree and second-degree relatives was enquired into during the house visits. The posters were prominently displayed in all healthcare facilities, educational institutions, public offices and public places.

Gastroenterologists and surgeons at the Lampang provincial hospital and the Lampang Cancer Hospital were reoriented and retrained in key aspects of colonoscopy to improve their hands-on colonoscopy/polyp excision/ biopsy skills in live sessions during 5 days. They were taught and evaluated by skilled gastroenterologists, with several years of experience in colonoscopy and endoscopic removal of polyps and mucosal resection of small lesions from the NCI and the Thai Association of follow-up assessment were recorded in a diagnosis and treatment form and were entered into the database.

#### Data management, monitoring and evaluation

Personal identification (name, age, address and citizen ID number), sociodemographic information, screening test result, results of colonoscopy and other investigations, treatment details and follow-up information were collected in specifically developed forms and entered in a multiuser programme database with inbuilt validation checks called CRCreg developed by the IARC. The database was continuously updated as the pilot project progressed. It is possible to link the screening programme and the cancer registry databases using the unique citizen ID numbers, which will enable the identification of false-negative cases and interval cancers.

The study database was analysed to document participant characteristics, participation rates for screening and test positivity rates by sex, age, the district of residence and the proportion of test-positive individuals complying with referral for colonoscopy, colonoscopy results, final diagnosis, stage of invasive cancer, treatment details and adverse events. Comparison of proportions was carried out using a two-sided test on the equality of proportions using large-sample statistics, which also gives exact p values. Assessment of the effect of patient characteristics on the attendance for colonoscopy was carried out using logistic regression analysis.

#### RESULTS

The flow chart of the programme organisation and procedures is shown in figure 1. All 154 PCUs and 12 CHs (100%) in the province participated in the project. Of the 127 301 eligible participants (63 274 men and 64 027 women), 80 012 (62.9%; 36 601 men and 43 411 women) were enrolled. The distribution of sex, education, occupation and family history of CRC among the participants are given in table 1. The mean age was 56.6 (SD=4.3) among women and 56.8 (SD=4.3) among men. More than 80% of the participants had only primary school education; three-fourths were involved in agriculture; and 0.7% had family history of CRC.

The overall participation rate for screening (62.9%)was much higher in women (67.8%, 95% CI 67.4% to 68.2%) than in men (57.8%, 95% CI 57.5% to 58.2%, table 2; p<0.001). Participation in screening varied between the 13 districts in the province: generally being higher in rural (73.2%, 95% CI 72.9% to 73.5%) than in urban districts (45.1%, 95% CI 44.6% to 45.5%, table 2; p<0.001), categorised as defined by the National Statistics Office based on demography, economy, educational, occupational and migration criteria. The highest iFOBT uptake was in the rural district of Theon (86% in women and 73.4% in men) while the lowest participation was in the urban district of Mueang (47.7% in women and 35.1% in men). Screening participation an

rates increased from 52.9% in those aged 50-54 years to 78.9% among those aged 60-65 years (table 3).

Using a cut-off faecal haemoglobin concentration of 200 ng/mL, 873 of 80 012 (1.1%) participants were reported as positive on iFOBT. The iFOBT positivity rate was slightly higher in men (1.2%) than in women (1.0%, p=0.001; table 2). Test positivity rate increased from 0.8% in those aged 50-54 years to 1.5% in those aged 60-65 years (table 2).

Protected As of 21 February 2013, 627 (71.8%) iFOBT-positive persons had colonoscopy; no serious adverse event was reported following colonoscopy. On colonoscopy, 206 were found to have polyps and cancer was suspected in 27 persons (table 3). Polyps were excised and biopsies 8 were directed in growths. On histological examination of excised polyps, adenoma was confirmed in 187 persons (table 3). CRC was histologically confirmed in 23 persons (3.7%). The detection rate of histologically confirmed CRC was 2.9/10 000 screened persons and that of adenomatous polyp was 23.4/10 000 screened ß persons. CRC and adenomas were detected in 3.6% and 29.8%, respectively, of iFOBT-positive individuals who had colonoscopy. lses

Among the 187 persons with histologically confirmed adenomatous polyps, 75 (40.1%) had advanced related adenoma. Advanced adenoma denotes adenomatous polyps having one or more of the following features: >10 mm in diameter, high-grade dysplasia and significant text villous histology (>25%). The stage-distribution of the detected invasive cancers was as follows: 2 stage I, 12 stage II, 7 stage III and 2 missing stage information. Compliance for colonoscopy referral was lower among individuals living in urban compared with rural areas and in those with relatively high compared with those ining, Al training with low monthly family incomes (table 4).

#### DISCUSSION

Among the CRC screening approaches in people at , and average risk (persons aged 50-74 years), annual or biennial FOBT followed by colonoscopy triage of screenpositive individuals is the most widely used strategy due to its low cost, feasibility, safety and non-invasiveness. Significant reduction in CRC incidence and mortality following cFOBT screening has been shown in four randomised controlled trials.<sup>4</sup> This evidence, the fact that iFOBT is a better alternative to cFOBT and the declines in CRC mortality following widespread CRC screening in Japan, South Korea, Hong Kong and Singapore, despite increasing CRC incidence rates,<sup>15</sup> and in other high-income countries,<sup>16</sup><sup>17</sup> supported our decision to implement a pilot project based on 1-day iFOBT screening integrated into the routine public health services in Lampang province in Thailand.

Since CRC screening is a major undertaking and integrating a cancer screening programme into routine government health services in low-middle income countries has its own complexities and challenges, it was decided to



Figure 1 A schematic diagram of the pilot colorectal cancer screening programme in Lampang province, Thailand. iFOBT, immunochemical faecal occult blood testing.

pilot its introduction in one of the provinces of Thailand which already has a population-based cancer registry. In high-income countries, large-scale population-based organised CRC screening has been preceded by well-planned pilot introductions to evaluate the feasibility, practicality and acceptability of introducing a population screening programme with biennial FOBT in government health services (table 5).<sup>18–21</sup> The UK CRC screening pilot study was launched in 2000 in England and Scotland, which reported an FOBT uptake rate of 57% and a CRC detection rate of 1.62/1000 screened (table 5).<sup>18</sup> <sup>19</sup> In the Australian pilot CRC screening project, 56 907 women aged 55-74 years were invited to iFOBT screening during November 2002 to June 2004. Of them, 25 840 (45.4%) persons participated, 25 688 correctly completed iFOBT screening and 2317 (9%) persons were positive on iFOBT. The pilot project detected 176 persons with advanced adenoma and 67 with suspected cancer, yielding a positive predictive value of 19.2% (table 5); the estimated cost per additional life year saved in the Australian pilot project was \$A24 000.<sup>21</sup> In the Finnish pilot project, the participation rate was 71% among 52 994 invited persons and 2.1% of the participants were positive on FOBT and 51.8% of those

who underwent colonoscopy were detected with adenomas or suspected cancer.<sup>20</sup> The national expansion of CRC screening in the UK, Australia and Finland following the pilot studies was phased over 6 years.

The results on participation and detection rates of colorectal neoplasia in our pilot project in Lampang are consistent with findings from pilot (table 5) and national programmes elsewhere. For example, the participation rate of eligible participants in our Thai study was similar to rates reported from pilot demonstration projects in the UK (57%), Haut-Rhin, France (55%) and in Finland (71%) and in service programmes in the UK (54%)<sup>18 20 22 23</sup> and was higher than those reported from the Australian pilot project (45.4%), a systematic review of participation in CRC screening programmes (42%) and from the national CRC screening programmes in Australia (35%),<sup>24</sup> South Korea (<25%) and Croatia (10%).<sup>11</sup> <sup>21</sup> <sup>25</sup> <sup>26</sup> Our results confirm that the use of primary HWs outreach to promote and provide CRC screening through existing Government health services is feasible in Thailand.

A higher uptake of iFOBT was observed among women and in older age groups in our programme.

Table 1     Characteristic of participants in the Lampang					
pilot colorectal cancer screening project					
Characteristics	Number	Per cent			
Screened	80 012				
Sex					
Men	36 601	45.7			
Women	43 411	54.3			
Age (in years)					
50–54	28 956	36.2			
55–59	27 825	34.8			
60–65	23 231	29.0			
Education					
None	6192	7.7			
Primary	66 583	83.2			
Secondary	5208	6.5			
College/university	2028	2.5			
Occupation					
Agriculture	57 266	75.8			
Manual labour	11 381	15.1			
Support/service	4718	6.2			
Crafts/machinery	371	0.5			
Family income in Thai Baht	s per month (US	\$1=30 Bahts)			
<5000	44 940	58.4			
5000-10 000	26 463	34.4			
>10 000	5533	7.2			
Colorectal cancer in first-de	gree and second	-degree			
relatives	550	0.7			
Yes	552	0.7			
NO	72971	91.2			
Unknown	6489	8.1			
Setting	50.070	70.0			
Rurai	58873	73.6			
Urban	21 139	26.4			
	10.010	10.0			
Mueang (U)	13016	16.3			
Mae Mon (U)	2//2	3.5			
$\begin{array}{c} \text{KOII KIIA} (U) \\ \text{Soom Nace } (D) \end{array}$	0301	0.7			
	5060	4.7			
Chao Hom (R)	0902 6474	7.5			
	7490	0.1			
Theon (P)	7409	9.4 10.6			
Mao Phrik (P)	0400	10.0			
Mae Tha $(R)$	0025	11 2			
Son Pran $(\mathbf{R})$	3025	5.0			
Hang Chat (P)	6447	9.0 8.1			
Mueang Pan (R)	4743	5.0			
R rural: IL urban	4740	0.0			

A similar observation has been reported from CRC screening programmes in other countries.<sup>11 18 20 23–25 27</sup> We observed a higher uptake of iFOBT screening in rural populations than in urban populations of Lampang province. Information on CRC screening and invitations for iFOBT screening in rural districts were mostly delivered to the target population by direct person-to-person contact and face-to-face communication, while in urban areas the information on the programme was predominantly delivered through poster

advertisements due to the challenges in face-to-face contacts. The proportion of households visited was much higher in rural areas than in urban areas, where the sample collection kits were mostly collected by the eligible individuals during their routine and opportunistic visits to the health centres or CHs for routine health check-ups for early detection of diabetes, hypertension and helminthiasis and for other medical problems. Unfortunately, we could not exactly quantify the proportion of participation from home visits or by visits to the PCUs/CHs as this was not documented in the database. The higher screening uptake in rural populations than urban areas that in suggests more direct person-to-person contacts and personalised invitations 8 and personalised delivery of collection kits improved the participation. A personalised approach to participant recruitment has also been reportedly associated with a higher uptake of CRC screening in other settings.<sup>26</sup> A higher participation in rural populations than in urban and metropolitan populations has been reported from other countries as well.<sup>26</sup><sup>28</sup> Higher participation for colonoscopy among the low-income and rural population reflects the faith and dependence of the socioeconomically weaker sections on public health services than those with higher incomes and living in urban areas. related

The overall iFOBT positivity rate in our pilot project was in the lower range of test positivity rates reported from high-risk, high-income countries. FOBT test-positive rates ranged from 2.1% to 9% in high-risk, high-income countries.<sup>11 19–21 24 25 29–31</sup> Our results show that positivity rate in men (1.2%) was slightly higher than in women (1%), which is consistent with a higher CRC incidence in men (14.7/100 000 men) than in women (10.1/100 000 women) in Lampang province. A higher test positivity rate in men than in women has also been reported in other settings.<sup>18–21 24 29 30</sup>

FOBT screening for CRC is effective only when a high proportion of those with a positive result attend further full colonoscopy diagnostic evaluation of the colon. Two-thirds of test-positive persons complied with referral for colonoscopy in our programme, which is within the range of adherence to colonoscopy referrals in highincome countries. In many national programmes, compliance of test-positive participants to colonoscopy ranged between 38% and 88%. <sup>11</sup>  $^{23-25}$   $^{32}$   $^{33}$  There was no serious adverse event following colonoscopy in our programme. The risks of serious adverse events such as perforation, haemorrhage, peritonitis and acute diverticulitis following colonoscopy performed as part of CRC screening are low, but increase with age and following polypectomy; the rate of adverse events reportedly varied between 3 and 6/1000 colonoscopies performed.34 The value of short and intensive retraining and reorientation courses for colonoscopists of varying experience in achieving high standards has been well established.<sup>35</sup> Teaching practical hands-on skills in short intensive reorientation sessions to our programme gastroenterologists and assessment of their performance

	Men			Women			Overall		
	Eligible persons	Number screened with iFOBT (%)	Number screen positive (%)	Eligible persons	Number screened with iFOBT (%)	Number screen positive (%)	Eligible persons	Number screened with iFOBT (%)	Number screen positive (%)
Overall	63 274	36 601 (57.8)	447 (1.2)	64 027	43 411 (67.8)	426 (1.0)	127 301	80 012 (62.9)	873 (1.1)
Sex									
Men	63 274	36 601 (57.8)	447 (1.2)				63 274	36 601 (57.8)	447 (1.2)
Women				64 027	43 411 (67.8)	426 (1.0)	64 027	43 411 (67.8)	426 (1.0)
p Value								<0.001	0.001
Age (in years)									
50–54	27 148	12 927 (47.6)	106 (0.8)	27 597	16 029 (58.1)	131 (0.8)	54 745	28 956 (52.9)	237 (0.8)
55–59	21 328	12 711 (59.6)	146 (1.1)	21 777	15 114 (69.4)	130 (0.9)	43 105	27 825 (64.6)	276 (1.0)
60–65	14 798	10 963 (74.1)	195 (1.8)	14 653	12 268 (83.7)	165 (1.3)	29 451	23 231 (78.9)	360 (1.5)
Setting									
Rural	40 289	27 692 (68.7)	317 (1.1)	40 108	31 181 (77.7)	295 (0.9)	80 397	58 873 (73.2)	612 (1.0)
Urban	22 985	8909 (38.8)	130 (1.5)	23 919	12 230 (51.1)	131 (1.1)	46 904	21 139 (45.1)	261 (1.2)
p Value								<0.001	0.019
District									
Mueang (U)	15 188	5327 (35.1)	60 (1.1)	16 127	7689 (47.7)	64 (0.8)	31 315	13 016 (41.6)	124 (1.0)
Mae Moh (U)	2999	1300 (43.3)	30 (2.3)	2690	1472 (54.7)	24 (1.6)	5689	2772 (48.7)	54 (1.9)
Koh Kha (U)	4798	2282 (47.6)	40 (1.8)	5102	3069 (60.2)	43 (1.4)	9900	5351 (54.1)	83 (1.6)
Soem Ngam (R)	2838	1757 (61.9)	16 (0.9)	2581	1990 (77.1)	9 (0.5)	5419	3747 (69.1)	25 (0.7)
Wang Nua (R)	4712	2988 (63.4)	46 (1.5)	4429	2974 (67.1)	51 (1.7)	9141	5962 (65.2)	97 (1.6)
Chae Hom (R)	4324	3123 (72.2)	42 (1.3)	4385	3351 (76.4)	38 (1.1)	8709	6474 (74.3)	80 (1.2)
Ngao (R)	5603	3642 (65.0)	18 (0.5)	5289	3847 (72.7)	22 (0.6)	10 892	7489 (68.8)	40 (0.5)
Thoen (R)	5196	3814 (73.4)	17 (0.4)	5431	4672 (86.0)	25 (0.5)	10 627	8486 (79.9)	42 (0.5)
Mae Phrik (R)	1490	1087 (73.0)	11 (1.0)	1683	1436 (85.3)	10 (0.7)	3173	2523 (79.5)	21 (0.8)
Mae Tha (R)	5970	4161 (69.7)	61 (1.5)	6030	4864 (80.7)	45 (0.9)	12 000	9025 (75.2)	106 (1.2)
Sop Prap (R)	2946	1876 (63.7)	29 (1.5)	2993	2101 (70.2)	16 (0.8)	5939	3977 (67.0)	45 (1.1)
Hang Chat (R)	4009	2991 (74.6)	41 (1.4)	4206	3456 (82.2)	42 (1.2)	8215	6447 (78.5)	83 (1.3)
Mueang Pan (R)	3201	2253 (70.4)	36 (1.6)	3081	2490 (80.8)	37 (1.5)	6282	4743 (75.5)	73 (1.5)

T

N Ν Ν С

iF

	Men	Women	Total
Imber screened with iFOBT	36 601	43 411	80 012
umber screen positive (%)	447 (1.2)	426 (1.0)	873 (1.1)
umber attended colonoscopy referral (%)	319 (71.4)	308 (72.3)	627 (71.8)
blonoscopy result (per 1000 screened)			
Normal	165	215	380
Polyp	133 (3.6)	73 (1.7)	206 (2.6)
Suspected cancer	16 (0.4)	11 (0.3)	27 (0.3)
Inadequate/unknown	5	9	14
nal diagnosis (per 1000 screened)			
Normal	158	206	364
Inflammatory bowel disease	5 (0.1)	2 (0.0)	7 (0.1)
Adenomatous polyp	12 (0.3)	9 (0.2)	21 (0.3)
Adenomatous polyp with dysplasia	108 (3.0)	51 (1.2)	159 (2.0)
Hyperplastic polyp	4 (0.1)	1 (0.0)	5 (0.1)
Colitis	4 (0.1)	6 (0.1)	10 (0.1)
Adenocarcinoma in situ	3 (0.1)	4 (0.1)	7 (0.1)
Colorectal cancer	13 (0.4)	10 (0.2)	23 (0.3)
Other	11 (0.3)	18 (0.4)	29 (0.4)
Unknown	1 (0.0)	1 (0.0)	2 (0.0)

and competency in key aspects of colonoscopy by direct observation in live case sessions by experienced colonoscopists were valuable in ensuring the high-quality colonoscopy services in this programme. Based on our experience in this pilot project, we have developed a beginner's manual for colonoscopy for use in lowincome and middle-income countries.

Test-positive rate, CRC and adenomatous polyp detection rates per 1000 screened persons in our programme were lower than those reported from high-risk countries; however, the detection frequencies of the CRC and polyps as a proportion of iFOBT-positive persons (2.6% for CRC and 21.4% for adenoma) and of those receiving colonoscopy (3.7% for CRC and 29.8% for adenoma) are within the range reported (1.9-7% for CRC and 20-43% for adenoma) from high-incidence countries, indicating the high quality of interventions in our pilot programme.<sup>18-21 31 32</sup> The low test positive and detection rates as a proportion of screened participants is not surprising given the comparatively low-incidence rates of CRC in Thailand as compared with high-incidence countries.<sup>1 14 36</sup>

Most CRCs develop from adenomas, among which 'advanced' adenomas are considered to be the clinically relevant precursors. Among the adenomatous polyps, advanced adenoma is considered to be the most valid neoplastic surrogate marker for present and future CRC risk, and detecting advanced adenomas is a major focus in CRC screening. A high detection rate of advanced adenoma as compared with the more common, but less clinically significant small adenomas, is an important target of CRC screening and an indicator of high screening efficacy.<sup>37</sup> It has been shown that the cumulative risk of malignancy in advanced polyps ranges between 25%

Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and 45% in persons aged 55 years and above.<sup>38</sup> Thus, advanced adenomas may be considered as surrogate for CRC. In our pilot project, two-fifths of detected adenomas were advanced adenoma. Two-thirds of CRC detected in our pilot project was in early stages. The high detection rate of advanced adenoma and early detection of CRC could have a higher impact on future CRC incidence and mortality in this pilot cohort.

While FOBT screening is repeated annually or biennially in high-risk countries, we have decided to repeat CRC screening once in 5 years in our pilot project and in Thailand when CRC screening is scaled up nationally, ۷. in view of the comparatively low CRC incidence. From a practical and sustainable perspective, particularly from the aspect of providing high-quality colonoscopy services, performing iFOBT screening once in 5 years is an , and attractive option given the level of development of healthcare infrastructure. The national scale-up of screening following the pilot project in Australia since 2006 occurs in a phased manner.<sup>39</sup> It introduced National Bowel Cancer Screening Programme (NBCSP) in 2006 as one-off test for those turning 55 and 65 years, and testing for 50-year olds was added in 2008 and for 60-year olds in 2013; testing for 70-year olds will be added in 2015. It would then progressively shift to two yearly screening of all Australians aged 50-74 years from 2017 to 2018. Thus, a full-scale national scale-up in Australia will take 13 years from introduction.<sup>39</sup> In Thailand, as we phase out the scale-up over several years, the performance of screening, particularly falsenegative rates and interval cancers, needs to be carefully assessed and the need for appropriate mid-course corrections for this policy should be promptly addressed as the programme evolves. Based on the preliminary

and

	Number	Number attended		
Characteristics	screen positive	colonoscopy clinic (%)	Adjusted* OR (95% CI)	p Value
Participants	873	627 (71.8)		
Sex				
Men	447	319 (71.4)	1.0	
Women	426	308 (72.3)	1.1 (0.8 to 1.5)	0.534
Age (in years)				
50–54	237	177 (74.7)	1.0	
55–59	276	196 (71.0)	0.8 (0.5 to 1.3)	0.355
60–65	360	254 (70.6)	0.8 (0.5 to 1.1)	0.186
Education				
None	97	73 (75 3)	10	
Primary	704	504 (71.6)	0.7 (0.4  to  1.3)	0.301
Secondary	48	34 (70.8)	0.9 (0.4  to  2.4)	0.881
College/university	24	16 (66 7)	0.6(0.1102.1)	0.556
	27	10 (00.7)	0.0 (0.110 2.0)	0.000
Agriculture	600	474 (75.0)	1.0	
Managarial/professional	002	474 (75.0)	1.0	0 707
	22	15 (68.2)	0.8 (0.2 to 3.5)	0.787
Support/service	54	34 (63.0)	0.7 (0.4 to 1.2)	0.174
Crafts/machinery	4	3 (75.0)	1.0 (0.1 to 10.9)	0.989
Manual labour	95	60 (63.2)	0.7 (0.4 to 1.1)	0.097
Family income				
<5000	531	399 (75.1)	1.0	
5000-10 000	254	166 (65.4)	0.6 (0.4 to 0.9)	0.007
>10 000	59	42 (71.2)	0.9 (0.4 to 2.1)	0.887
Family history of colorectal ca	ancer			
Yes	19	15 (78.9)	1.0	
No	774	551 (71.2)	0.9 (0.3 to 2.9)	0.827
Unknown	80	61 (76.3)	1.1 (0.3 to 4.4)	0.846
Setting		· · ·	<b>`</b> ```	
Rural	612	460 (75.2)	1.0	
Urban	261	167 (64.0)	0.7 (0.5  to  1.0)	0.043
District				
Mueana (U)	124	72 (58 1)	10	
Mae Moh (LI)	54	39 (72 2)	21(0.9  to  4.6)	0.070
Koh Kha (LI)	83	56 (67 5)	15(0.8  to  2.9)	0.225
Soom Ngam (B)	25	21 (84 0)	1.3(0.0  to  2.3)	0.225
Wang Nua (P)	07	69 (70.1)	1.0(0.4 to 7.7)	0.417
Chao Hom (D)	97	60(70.1)	1.4 (0.7 to 2.7)	0.290
	80 40	03 (70.0)	2.0(1.0104.1)	0.062
Ngao (R)	40	29 (72.5)	1.6 (0.7 to 3.8)	0.267
Inoen (R)	42	30 (71.4)	2.0 (0.8 to 4.6)	0.119
Mae Phrik (R)	21	18 (85.7)	3.2 (0.9 to 12.1)	0.084
Mae Tha (R)	106	84 (79.2)	2.5 (1.3 to 4.8)	0.007
Sop Prap (R)	45	31 (68.9)	1.4 (0.6 to 3.2)	0.462
Hang Chat (R)	83	63 (75.9)	3.2 (1.5 to 6.8)	0.003
Mueang Pan (R)	73	53 (72.6)	1.6 (0.8 to 3.2)	0.185

\*Estimates for a characteristic factor adjusted for other character

R, rural; U, urban.

findings from our pilot study, we are conducting a formal cost-effectiveness analysis in collaboration with the Health Intervention and Technology Assessment Programme of the Thailand Government to determine the costs of all services provided along the screening pathway to estimate screening cost-effectiveness and funding required for the national programme.

A current limitation of our study is that it describes the process measures and intermediate outcomes such

as adenoma detection rates and stage distribution of screen-detected CRCs but no information is available on the extent of false-negative tests and the impact of the intervention on CRC incidence and mortality due to lack of long-term follow-up of the study population at this instance. This information will be eventually generated by the active and passive follow-up of the study population in future. Another limitation is that people aged 66–75 years were not included; however, this is

Table 5     Comparison of pilot colorectal cancer screening projects in Thailand, Australia and the UK					
Criteria	Thailand	Australia <sup>21</sup>	UK <sup>18</sup>		
Period	2011–2012	2002–2004	2000–2003		
Screening test used	iFOBT	iFOBT	gFOBT		
Targeted age group (years)	50-65	55–74	50–69		
Target population, n	127 301	56 907	478 250		
Individuals screened, n (%*)	80 012 (62.9)	25 840 (45.4)	271 646 (56.8)		
Individuals screen positive, n (%†)	873 (1.1)	2308 (8.9)	5050 (1.9)		
Colonoscopy performed, n (%‡)	627 (71.8)	1265 (54.8)	4116 (81.5)		
Adenoma detected, n (%§)	187 (29.8)	251 (19.8)	1388 (33.7)		
Advanced adenoma, n (%§)	75 (12.0)	176 (13.9)	-		
Colorectal cancer detected, n (%§)	23 (3.7)	67 (5.3)	552 (13.4)		
Stage I and II colorectal cancer, n (%¶)	14 (60.9)	-	345 (62.5)		
Colorectal cancer detection rate per 100 000 screened	28.7	259.3	203.2		
*Based on target population. †Based on individuals screened. ‡Based on individuals screen positive.					

§Based on colonoscopy performed.

¶Based on colorectal cancer detected.

gFOBT, guaiac faecal blood testing; iFOBT, immunochemical faecal occult blood testing.

unlikely to have a major implication in any future scaling-up of screening for this age group. On the other hand, a major strength is that the study reflects the reallife conditions and has been conducted using the existing routine healthcare services, which allows a realistic assessment of the feasibility of colorectal screening in a middle-income country. The fact that Thailand has developed an equitably accessible healthcare system with UHC and has experienced an inclusive socioeconomic progress covering all regions of the country suggests that the pilot experience in Lampang can be translated to the national population in due course. However, additional specific measures need to evolve by qualitative studies to ensure adequate participation in urban areas.

In addition to the specific application of these findings to the further development of a CRC screening programme in Thailand, the approach taken here illustrates some more general principles of note. First, middle or high human development index countries experiencing the cancer transition<sup>40</sup> may pre-empt projected increases in cancers of certain organs by implementing prevention or early detection before those increases become manifest. Second, the type of implementation research reported here is well suited to low-income and middle-income countries given the direct relevance to cancer control and the relatively low additional cost of integrating a research component into national public health programmes. Third, the research project converges with capacity building, in this case through training of different categories of health professionals, so that the programme once implemented benefits from the developments required by the research itself.

In conclusion, our results indicate the acceptability, feasibility, organisation and implementation of CRC screening in the general population setting in Thailand and the feasibility of integrating the programme within the existing public health services. Although we are

encouraged by the high participation rates from rural uses districts, the participation in urban areas needs to be improved by appropriate invitation logistics. It is our related belief that no associated direct financial costs to the eligible individuals due to UHC and the appropriate organisation, availability and access to services within the to text programme have minimised the barriers to access for socioeconomically disadvantaged populations. The implementation of the pilot programme has been sucand cessful as measured by the process measures of coverage, dai performance of the screening test, colonoscopy and ta mining, Al training, and similar technologies removal of colonoscopically detected polyps, provision of histopathology services and detection and treatment of CRC in early clinical stages to which have met the criteria of a successful public health programme.

#### Author affiliations

- <sup>1</sup>National Cancer Institute, Bangkok, Thailand
- <sup>2</sup>Lampang Cancer Hospital, Lampang, Thailand
- <sup>3</sup>Thai Association of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy. Thailand

<sup>4</sup>Screening Group, International Agency for Research on Cancer, Lyon, France <sup>5</sup>International Agency for Research on Cancer, Lyon, France

Acknowledgements The authors gratefully acknowledge the assistance provided by the public health authorities in Lampang province, staff of the Lampang province public health services, particularly the nurses and health workers in the primary care units and community hospitals and staff of the Lampang Provincial Hospital, Lampang Regional Cancer Center and the population-based cancer registry of Lampang province for the various components of this pilot project. The authors would specifically like to thank Dr Sirichai Pathranuthaporn and Mr Kitti Sriarwatchanakarn for their kind coordination of services from all healthcare facilities in Lampang province in the context of the pilot project. The assistance provided by Mrs Evelyn Bayle, Ms Sandrine Montigny and Mrs Krittika Guinot in the preparation of this manuscript is gratefully acknowledged.

Contributors All the authors involved in the conception and design, interpretation of data, revising the article critically for important intellectual content and final approval of the version to be published.

Funding The project was funded by the Ministry of Public Health, Thailand, through the National Cancer Institute (NCI), Bangkok, Thailand.

# **Open Access**

#### Competing interests None.

**Ethics approval** Ethical approval was obtained from Institutional Review Board and Ethics Committee of NCI and the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC).

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data sharing statement No additional data are available.

**Open Access** This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 3.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work noncommercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Khuhaprema T, Attasara P, Sriplung H, *et al. Cancer in Thailand Volume VI, 2004–2006.* Bangkok: NCIB, 2012.
- Gryfe R, Swallow C, Bapat B, et al. Molecular biology of colorectal cancer. Curr Probl Cancer 1997;21:233–300.
- Levin B, Lieberman DA, Mc Farland B, et al. Screening and surveillance for the early detection of colorectal cancer and adenomatous polyps, 2008: a joint guideline from the American Cancer Society, the US Multi-Society Task Force on Colorectal Cancer, and the American College of Radiology. CA Cancer J Clin 2008;58:130–60.
- Hewitson P, Glasziou P, Irwig L, et al. Screening for colorectal cancer using the faecal occult blood test, Hemoccult. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2007;(2):CD001216.
- Ouyang DL, Chen JJ, Getzenberg RH, et al. Noninvasive testing for colorectal cancer: a review. Am J Gastroenterol 2005;100:1393–403.
- Burch JA, Soares-Weiser K, St John DJ, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of faecal occult blood tests used in screening for colorectal cancer: a systematic review. J Med Screen 2007;14:132–7.
- van Rossum LG, van Rijn AF, Laheij RJ, et al. Random comparison of guaiac and immunochemical fecal occult blood tests for colorectal cancer in a screening population. *Gastroenterology* 2008;135:82–90.
- Rabeneck L, Rumble RB, Thompson F, *et al.* Fecal immunochemical tests compared with guaiac fecal occult blood tests for population-based colorectal cancer screening. *Can J Gastroenterol* 2012;26:131–47.
  Sewitch MJ, Fournier C, Ciampi A, *et al.* Colorectal cancer screening
- Sewitch MJ, Fournier C, Čiampi A, et al. Colorectal cancer screening in Canada: results of a national survey. Chronic Dis Can 2008;29:9–21.
- Sigurdsson JA, Getz L, Sjonell G, *et al.* Marginal public health gain of screening for colorectal cancer: modelling study, based on WHO and national databases in the Nordic countries. *J Eval Clin Pract* 2013;19:400–7.
- Shim JI, Kim Y, Han MA, *et al.* Results of colorectal cancer screening of the National Cancer Screening Program in Korea, 2008. *Cancer Res Treat* 2010;42:191–8.
- Saito H. Colorectal cancer screening using immunochemical faecal occult blood testing in Japan. *J Med Screen* 2006;13(Suppl 1):S6–7.
  Hanvoravongchai P. UNICO Study Series 20. Health financing reform in
- Hanvoravongchai P. UNICO Study Series 20. Health financing reform in Thailand: toward Universal coverage under fiscal constraints. Washington, District of Columbia: World Bank, 2013.
- Forman D, Bray F, Brewster DH, et al. Cancer incidence in five continents, Vol. X (electronic version). Lyon: IARC. http://ci5.iarc.fr (accessed 30 Nov 2013)
- Shin A, Jung KW, Won YJ. Colorectal cancer mortality in Hong Kong of China, Japan, South Korea, and Singapore. World J Gastroenterol 2013;19:979–83.
- Bosetti C, Levi F, Rosato V, et al. Recent trends in colorectal cancer mortality in Europe. Int J Cancer 2011;129:180–91.
- 17. Jemal A, Siegel R, Xu J, *et al.* Cancer statistics, 2010. *CA Cancer J Clin* 2010;60:277–300.
- UK Colorectal Cancer Screening Pilot Group. Results of the first round of a demonstration pilot of screening for colorectal cancer in the United Kingdom. *BMJ* 2004;329:133.

- Steele RJ, McClements PL, Libby G, *et al.* Results from the first three rounds of the Scottish demonstration pilot of FOBT screening for colorectal cancer. *Gut* 2009;58:530–5.
- Malila N, Oivanen T, Hakama M. Implementation of colorectal cancer screening in Finland: experiences from the first three years of a public health programme. *Z Gastroenterol* 2008;46(Suppl 1): S25–8.
- Commonwealth of Australia. The Australian Bowel Cancer Screening Pilot Program and Beyond, 2005. Screening monograph no.6/2005. 2005. http://www.health.gov.au/internet/screening/publishing.nsf/ Content/2DDFA95B20302107CA257 (accessed 30 Nov 2013)
- 22. Denis B, Ruetsch M, Strentz P, *et al.* Short term outcomes of the first round of a pilot colorectal cancer screening programme with guaiac based faecal occult blood test. *Gut* 2007;56:1579–84.
- von Wanger C, Baio G, Raine R, *et al.* Inequalities in participation in an organized national colorectal cancer screening programme: results from the first 2.6 million invitations in England. *Int J Epidemiol* 2011;40:712–18.
- Australian Institute of Health and Welfare. National Bowel Cancer Screening Program monitoring report: July 2011–June 2012. Cancer series no. 75 Cat. no. CAN 71. 2013. http://www.aihw.gov.au/ publication-detail/?id=60129543900 (accessed 30 Nov 2013)
- 25. Park MJ, Choi KS, Jun JK, *et al.* Trends in the National Cancer Screening Program for colorectal cancer in the Republic of Korea, 2004–2009. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev* 2011;12:3489–93.
- Khalid-de BC, Jonkers D, Smits K, *et al.* Participation in colorectal cancer screening trials after first-time invitation: a systematic review. *Endoscopy* 2011;43:1059–86.
- Moss SM, Campbell C, Melia J, *et al.* Performance measures in three rounds of the English bowel cancer screening pilot. *Gut* 2012;61:101–7.
- Van Hal G, Hoeck S, Van Roobroeck S. Screening for colorectal cancer: sense and sensibilities. *Eur J Cancer* 2011;47(Suppl 3): S156–63.
- Malaga LA, Salas TD, Sala FT, *et al.* [Programme of screening for colorrectal cancer in the Valencia community, Spain: results of the first round (2005–2008)]. *Rev Esp Salud Publica* 2010; 84:731–43.
- Grazzini G, Castiglione G, Ciabattoni C, et al. Colorectal cancer screening programme by faecal occult blood test in Tuscany: first round results. Eur J Cancer Prev 2004;13:19–26.
- Parente F, Boemo C, Ardizzoia A, et al. Outcomes and cost evaluation of the first two rounds of a colorectal cancer screening program based on immunochemical fecal occult blood test in northern Italy. Endoscopy 2013;45:27–34.
- Katicic M, Antoljak N, Kujundzic M, et al. Results of National Colorectal Cancer Screening Program in Croatia (2007–2011). World J Gastroenterol 2012;18:4300–7.
- Morris S, Baio G, Kendall E, *et al.* Socioeconomic variation in uptake of colonoscopy following a positive faecal occult blood test result: a retrospective analysis of the NHS Bowel Cancer Screening Programme. *Br J Cancer* 2012;107:765–71.
- Rutter CM, Johnson E, Miglioretti DL, et al. Adverse events after screening and follow-up colonoscopy. Cancer Causes Control 2012;23:289–96.
- Thomas-Gibson S, Bassett P, Suzuki N, et al. Intensive training over 5 days improves colonoscopy skills long-term. Endoscopy 2007;39:818–24.
- Curado MP, Edwards B, Shin HR, et al. Cancer incidence in five continents Vol IX. IARC Scientific Publications No. 160. Lyon: IARC, 2007.
- Winawer SJ, Zauber AG. The advanced adenoma as the primary target of screening. *Gastrointest Endosc Clin N Am* 2002;12:1–9, v.
- Brenner H, Hoffmeister M, Stegmaier C, et al. Risk of progression of advanced adenomas to colorectal cancer by age and sex: estimates based on 840,149 screening colonoscopies. Gut 2007;56:1585–9.
- Australian Government. Department of Health. National Bowel Cancer Screening Program. http://www.cancerscreening.gov.au/ internet/screening/publishing.nsf/Content/bowel-about (accessed 30 Nov 2013).
- Bray F, Jemal A, Grey N, *et al.* Global cancer transitions according to the Human Development Index (2008–2030): a population-based study. *Lancet Oncol* 2012;13:790–801.