## PRISMA-P Checklist

This checklist has been adapted for use with protocol submissions to Systematic Reviews from Table 3 in Moher D et al: Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015 statement. Systematic Reviews 2015 4:1

#		Information reported Line							
	Checklist item	Yes	No	number(s)					
ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION									
Title									
1a	Identify the report as a protocol of a systematic review			1					
1b	If the protocol is for an update of a previous systematic review, identify as such								
2	If registered, provide the name of the registry (e.g., PROSPERO) and registration number in the Abstract			1					
Authors									
За	Provide name, institutional affiliation, and e-mail address of all protocol authors; provide physical mailing address of corresponding author			1					
3b	Describe contributions of protocol authors and identify the guarantor of the review			7					
4	If the protocol represents an amendment of a previously completed or published protocol, identify as such and list changes; otherwise, state plan for documenting important protocol amendments								
Support									
5a	Indicate sources of financial or other support for the review			7					
5b	Provide name for the review funder and/or sponsor			7					
5c	Describe roles of funder(s), sponsor(s), and/or institution(s), if any, in developing the protocol								
6	Describe the rationale for the review in the context of what is already known			2,3					
7	Provide an explicit statement of the question(s) the review will address with reference to participants, interventions, comparators, and outcomes (PICO)			3					
	1a 1b 2 3a 3b 4 5a 5b 5c	1a   Identify the report as a protocol of a systematic review     1b   If the protocol is for an update of a previous systematic review, identify as such     2   If registered, provide the name of the registry (e.g., PROSPERO) and registration number in the Abstract     3a   Provide name, institutional affiliation, and e-mail address of all protocol authors; provide physical mailing address of corresponding author     3b   Describe contributions of protocol authors and identify the guarantor of the review     4   If the protocol represents an amendment of a previously completed or published protocol, identify as such and list changes; otherwise, state plan for documenting important protocol amendments     5a   Indicate sources of financial or other support for the review     5b   Provide name for the review funder and/or sponsor     5c   Describe roles of funder(s), sponsor(s), and/or institution(s), if any, in developing the protocol     6   Describe the rationale for the review in the context of what is already known     Provide an explicit statement of the question(s) the review will address with reference to	1a   Identify the report as a protocol of a systematic review	1a   Identify the report as a protocol of a systematic review					



1

2

Section/topic	<b> </b>	Checklist item	Information reported		Line		
	#		Yes	No	number(s)		
METHODS							
Eligibility criteria	8	Specify the study characteristics (e.g., PICO, study design, setting, time frame) and report characteristics (e.g., years considered, language, publication status) to be used as criteria for eligibility for the review			4		
Information sources	9	Describe all intended information sources (e.g., electronic databases, contact with study authors, trial registers, or other grey literature sources) with planned dates of coverage			4		
Search strategy	10	Present draft of search strategy to be used for at least one electronic database, including planned limits, such that it could be repeated			4		
STUDY RECORDS							
Data management	11a	Describe the mechanism(s) that will be used to manage records and data throughout the review			5		
Selection process	11b	State the process that will be used for selecting studies (e.g., two independent reviewers) through each phase of the review (i.e., screening, eligibility, and inclusion in meta-analysis)			5		
Data collection process	11c	Describe planned method of extracting data from reports (e.g., piloting forms, done independently, in duplicate), any processes for obtaining and confirming data from investigators			5		
Data items	12	List and define all variables for which data will be sought (e.g., PICO items, funding sources), any pre-planned data assumptions and simplifications					
Outcomes and prioritization	13	List and define all outcomes for which data will be sought, including prioritization of main and additional outcomes, with rationale			5		
Risk of bias in individual studies	14	Describe anticipated methods for assessing risk of bias of individual studies, including whether this will be done at the outcome or study level, or both; state how this information will be used in data synthesis			6		
DATA							
Synthesis	15a	Describe criteria under which study data will be quantitatively synthesized					
	15b	If data are appropriate for quantitative synthesis, describe planned summary measures, methods of handling data, and methods of combining data from studies, including any planned exploration of consistency (e.g., $I^2$ , Kendall's tau)			6		
	15c	Describe any proposed additional analyses (e.g., sensitivity or subgroup analyses, meta-regression)			6		
	15d	If quantitative synthesis is not appropriate, describe the type of summary planned					
Meta-bias(es)	16	Specify any planned assessment of meta-bias(es) (e.g., publication bias across studies, selective reporting within studies)			6		



3

Section/topic	# C	Checklist item	Information reported		Line
			Yes	No	number(s)
Confidence in cumulative evidence	17	Describe how the strength of the body of evidence will be assessed (e.g., GRADE)			5

