BMJ Open Risk factors for fear of falling in stroke patients: a systematic review and metaanalysis

Qi Xie,¹ Juhong Pei,² Ling Gou ^(b),³ Yabin Zhang ^(b),⁴ Juanping Zhong,^{1,5} Yujie Su,¹ Xinglei Wang,⁶ Li Ma,¹ Xinman Dou ^(b),^{1,7}

ABSTRACT

To cite: Xie Q. Pei J. Gou L. et al. Risk factors for fear of falling in stroke patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. BMJ Open 2022;12:e056340. doi:10.1136/ bmjopen-2021-056340

Prepublication history and additional supplemental material for this paper are available online. To view these files, please visit the journal online (http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/ bmjopen-2021-056340).

Received 11 August 2021 Accepted 20 May 2022



C Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2022. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BM.J.

For numbered affiliations see end of article.

Correspondence to Dr Xinman Dou:

douxm@lzu.edu.cn

Objective Even though 32%–83% for fear of falling (FoF) in patients with stroke, very little is known about the predictors of the problems. Therefore, we systematically reviewed the literature on risk factors for FoF in patients with stroke.

Design A systematic review and meta-analysis Data sources PubMed, Embase, Cochrane Library database, Web of Science, CINAHL, PsycINFO, Grey literature and other relevant databases for related publications were searched (from inception to 17 July 2021).

Results Eight studies involving 1597 participants were selected to analyse risk factors for patients with stroke with FoF. The quality of all included studies was assessed and categorised as medium or high quality. Review Manager V.5.3 merged the OR value and 95% CI of the potential risk factors. Meta-regression and Egger's test were performed by Stata V.15.1. The risk factors for FoF in patients with stroke were women (OR=2.13, 95% CI 1.47 to 3.09), impaired balance ability (OR=5.54; 95% CI 3.48 to 8.81), lower mobility (OR=1.12; 95% CI 1.05 to 1.19), history of falls (OR=2.33; 95% CI 1.54 to 3.53) and walking aid (OR=1.98; 95% CI 1.37 to 2.88), anxiety (OR=2.29; 95% CI 1.43 to 3.67), depression (OR=1.80; 95% CI 1.22 to 2.67), poor lower limb motor function (OR=1.14; 95% CI 1.00 to 1.29) and physically inactiveness (OR=2.04: 95% CI 1.01 to 4.12). Measurement of heterogeneity between studies was high for all outcomes ($l^2=0\%-93\%$), indicating that the substantial interstudy heterogeneity in estimated proportions was not attributed to the sampling error. Sensitivity analysis (leave-one-out method) showed that the pooled estimate was stable.

Conclusion This meta-analysis indicated that female population, impaired balance ability, lower mobility, history of falls and walking aid in patients with stroke might be at greater risk for FoF. Future studies are recommended to determine other risk factors specific to patients with stroke.

INTRODUCTION

Stroke is the second leading cause of death worldwide,¹ creating a serious burden on caregivers.^{2 3} In 2010, an estimated 16.9 million stroke incidents occurred, increasing the number of 33 million stroke survivors all over the world.⁴ As a result, there were 5.9 million

STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS OF THIS STUDY

- \Rightarrow This study has been reported per the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses reporting checklist.
- \Rightarrow Reported risk factors of fear of falling in patients with stroke using validated screening tools.
- \Rightarrow Searches included published and unpublished sources of literature to reduce the risk of omitting potentially eligible data.
- \Rightarrow Many risk factors were examined by a single study, thereby limiting our ability to meta-analyse these potential risk factors.
- \Rightarrow The variability in methods of assessing risk and reporting the frequency of risk characteristics limited analyses.

and people who died, whereas 102 million people data with disability-adjusted life years were lost because of the stroke.

On the other hand, it is well known that stroke can cause physical damage, such as weakness, paralysis, sensory disturbances, ٩ impaired postural control,⁵ mental fatigue, depression and impaired cognitive function.² ⁶ According to the WHO,⁷ a fall is defined as 'an event which results in a person coming to rest inadvertently on the ground a or floor or other lower level, with or without injury'. Both physical and mental impairments can contribute to a fall, a common complication after a stroke.⁸ Among those who survived a stroke, 22%-48% have experienced at least one fall in the hospital⁸⁻¹⁰ \mathbf{G} or the rehabilitation facility.¹¹⁻¹³ There is a reported prevalence of 32%-83% for fear of falling (FoF) between the first 6 months and just over 4 years after stroke onset.¹⁴

A high level of FoF psychology that limits the patient's active rehabilitation exercise behaviour reduces their mobility, flexibility and independence and increases their anxiety and depression.¹⁵ The FoF psychology hinders the recovery of the adults' physical

Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text

BMJ

and mental functions, thereby increasing the risk of falling and forming a vicious circle.¹⁶

In clinical practice, identifying FoF risk factors in patients with stroke is more helpful in guiding clinical practice. Many reports have mentioned that identifying the FoF status of patients with stroke and strengthening the comprehensive interventions in this field can plausibly help break the vicious circle, relieve anxiety,¹⁷ promote community reintegration¹⁸ and improve the quality of life.¹⁹ Some previous studies have proposed the correlation between many potential risk factors and FoF, intervention measures to reduce FoF incidence during stroke and risk factors for falls in patients with stroke.²⁰ However, the risk factors identified for FoF in different studies are inconsistent. These reports have neither comprehensively explored sociodemographic, psychological and physical risk factors, nor included systematic reviews and meta-analyses of risk factors for FoF in patients with stroke.²¹⁻²³ Therefore, we conducted this systematic review and meta-analysis to identify risk factors for FoF in patients with stroke.

METHODS

Search strategy

We searched PubMed, Embase, Cochrane Library, Web of Science, CINAHL, PsycINFO, Grey literature and other databases (from inception to July 2021) for studies that identified risk factors for FoF in patients with stroke.

Our search strategy used medical subject heading and natural language text words. The first author designed specific search strategies and peer-reviewed electronic search strategies. The specific search strategy for each database is mentioned in online supplemental file 1. References from relevant papers or reviews were handsearched for additional studies. For missing relevant data from studies, we contacted the study's authors via email. All studies that were classified as FoF studies were then screened. On 20 July 2021, another search was performed on the previously mentioned database to search the articles published since the initial examination date.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

The inclusion criteria: (1) published case-control studies, cohort studies and cross-sectional studies; (2) all participants 18 years and above and clinically diagnosed with either first stroke or recurrent stroke; (3) studies published in the English or Chinese language; (4) reported risk factors of FoF in patients with stroke using validated screening tools, (5) the data can be extracted, including the spreadsheet of the pretest in the study.

The exclusion criteria: (1) review papers, case reports, meeting abstracts, qualitative studies; (2) duplicate literature or research with the same data; (3) research on quality evaluation results is low.

Endnote X V.9 software was used to remove duplicates and facilitate the screening process. All titles and abstracts were screened for inclusion/exclusion based on the eligibility criteria. The full texts were evaluated if the title and abstract could not accurately identify the possibly eligible studies (online supplemental file 2).

Data extraction and quality assessment

The literature extraction was independently conducted **u** based on the search, reviewed and selected according to predefined criteria. The data were collected from studies: first author, year of publication, geographical location, the measured/collected tools, study type, research period, total sample size, sociodemographic 8 data and risk factors. The odds ratio (OR) or the risk ratio (RR) and its 95% CI was directly extracted from the included studies. All the information was recorded in especially standardised forms. For the missing relevant data of studies, we contacted the study's authors via email; however, if the relevant data could not be obtained, the study was excluded (online supplemental file 3).

The methodologic quality assessment of casecontrol studies and cohort studies was assessed by the control studies and cohort studies was assessed by the **new** Newcastle Ottawa Scale (NOS)²⁴ for the study population (four items), comparability (one item) and outcome evaluation (three items). The scale's total ð score was kept as 9 points, where 0 to 3 were divided te into low-quality research, 4 to 6 were divided into medium-quality research and 7-9 were divided into high-quality research. In addition, the risk of bias in a a cross-sectional study was assessed using the instrument Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality **E** (AHRQ).²⁵ The tool had a total of 11 items as follows: if the answer to an object was 'no' or 'UNCLEAR', the item's score was '0'; if the answer was 'yes', the ≥ training, item score '1', with a total score of 0-11 points, 0-3 points=low quality, 4-7 points=medium quality, 8-11 points=high quality.²⁶ The process of study selection, data extraction and quality assessment were all conducted in duplicate (Q Xie and JH Pei) with thirdsimilar technol party adjudication (XM Dou) for disagreements.

Statistical analysis

To assess the risk factors of FoF, we conducted a metaanalysis by the RevMan V.5.3 software to pool the OR/ RR value with 95% CI. Meta-regression and Egger's test were performed by the Stata V.15.1, whereas all other **8** statistical analyses were conducted with the RevMan V.5.3 software. Statistical heterogeneity between studies was quantified by the I² statistics and formally tested by Cochran's Q statistic. A random-effects model for meta-analysis was an obvious conservative choice based on the heterogeneity of geographic settings and the variability of screening and diagnostic tools. However, when the number of studies was small (n < 5), a fixed-effects model was used.²⁷⁻²⁹ The findings were



Figure 1 Flow diagram of study selection in the meta-analysis.

illustrated in the form of forest plots. Publication bias was identified using a funnel plot and Egger's test.³⁰ We planned to conduct subgroup and meta-regression analyses based on sample size and proportion of women.³¹ As previous studies have shown that SwePASS scores and age were influencing factors, we performed the post hoc subgroup and meta-regression analyses on these two factors when the number of studies >2.³¹⁻³³ Statistical significance was set at p value <0.05. Sensitivity analyses were performed using the leave-one-out method.

Patient and public involvement

No patient was involved in the study.

RESULTS

Literature selection

Initially, 2731 records were searched from the six databases and other resources (figure 1). After the exclusion of duplicates, the remaining 1646 records were screened. After analysing the title and abstract, ultimately, 92 publications were selected for the full-text assessment. Finally, eight full-text studies with 1597 participants were found eligible and included in this meta-analysis.

Study characteristics and methodologic quality

The included eight studies were conducted in three regions, that is, Asia (n=4), North America (n=1) and Europe (n=3). Among these eight studies, two were cross-sectional, four were case–control and two were prospective cohort studies. A summary of literature characteristics used in the analysis is shown in table 1.

The NOS assessed the quality of the case–control studies and prospective cohort studies. The NOS scores ranged from 7 to 9, indicating a high level of studies quality. In the two cross-sectional studies, the AHRQ scores ranged from 4 to 6, indicating a moderate level of quality. The overall score indicated the relatively high quality of the literature included in this study.

	SS‡∕ HRQ tores§								ontinued
cteristics of the included studies	NG Adjusted risk Ah factors† sc	 Age Marital status History of falls Anxiety Depression 	 Berg balance force (min) TUG mobile capability(s) History of falls within six metres 	 Fugl-Meyer Scale 8 score Timed Up and Go score 	 Female 8 SwePASS total score < 24 Using a walking aid 	 Grasp reactions 7 Assists 	FAC ≤4 7	History of falls 6	O
	Stroke reference period	The first-onset stroke recovery period	Medically diagnosed	Patients with cerebral stroke for more than 3 months	Patients aged 18 years or older with a diagnosis of a first-ever or recurrent clinical stroke, acute stroke	In-patient stroke rehabilitation	Aged 60 years or older, had stroke onset more than 3 months ago	They had a stroke onset more than 1 month prior	
	Research period	May 2017–January 2019	March 2013–August 2013	23 August-10 February 2019.	1 October 2014–30 June 2016.	October 2009 and September 2012	NN	Я	
	Outcome ascertainment	The self-made questionnaire, ADL, SAS, SDS, SFES-I	The self-made questionnaire, MMSE, The single-item question, MFES, BBS, TUGT	TUGT, FM, PHQ- 9, the single-item question	The single- item question, the SwePASS, SGPALS, using a walking aid and/ or a wheelchair, NIHSS	The single-item question, ABC	FAC, FM, BBS, MoCA, PHQ-9, FES-I, FSS	The single-item question, FES-S, STS, FMA, BBS, TUGT, SIS mood and emotion	
	Female N (%)	88 (39.8)†	76 (44.70)†	22 (26.8)	226 (48.9)	FoF:52 (61.9) No FoF: 43 (34.7)	26 (35)	19 (38)	
	Age, years (mean±SD)	6 0.13±8.72†	73.54† Male: 73.0±8.4 Female: 74.2±7.6	51.6±12.13†	74.8±12	FoF: 68.6±11.6 No FoF: 65.3±13.6	66.6±6.9	59.9±11.9	
	Sample size (N)	221	170	82	462	208	125	50	
	Study design	/ Cross-sectional study	Case-control study	Case-control study	Prospective cohort study	Case-control study	Case-control study	cross-sectional study	
Table 1 Chara	Author, year,* country	Zhang Qin <i>et</i> a 2020, China ³⁶	Li Ying <i>et al</i> 2014, China ³⁴	Yadav <i>et al</i> 2020, India ⁴⁰	Amanda Larén <i>et al</i> 2018, Sweden ¹⁴	Schinkel-lvy <i>et al 2</i> 016, Canada ⁴¹	Goh <i>et al</i> 2016, China ⁶⁴	Beliz Belgen <i>et</i> <i>al</i> 2006, Sweden ³⁷	

6

Xie Q, et al. BMJ Open 2022;12:e056340. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2021-056340

Table 1 Conti	nued								
Author, year,* country	Study design	Sample size (N)	Age, years (mean±SD)	Female N (%)	Outcome ascertainment	Research period	Stroke reference period	Adjusted risk factors†	NOS‡/ AHRQ scores§
Netha Hussain <i>et al</i> 2021 Sweden ³⁵	Prospective cohort study	279	75.83±11.17 FoF: 78.05±11.13 No FoF: 74.22±10.95	Total:143 (51.3) FoF:71 (60.7) No FoF: 72 (44.4)	NIHSS, MoCA, the single- item question, SwePASS, SGPALS	Between 1 October 2014 and 30 June 2016	All the Falls GOT cohort participants were still alive 6 months after a stroke.	 Age Female History of falls Use of walking aid SwePASS score (0-24) SGPALS score- Physically inactive 	ω
*Year of publicati †Data as reporte ‡The Newcastle- §The instrument. ABC, The Activiti International; FES MMSE, The mini-	on of the study. d by the authors. Ottawa Scale. Agency for Healthcar es-Specific Balance (es-Sralls Efficacy Sc mental state examin	e Research ar Confidence Sc ale-Swedish V ation; MoCA, T	id Quality. ale; ADL, The modified E (ersion; FM/FMA, The Fug	tarthel Index; BBS gl-Meyer Scale; Fc ssessment; NIHS6	t, The Berg Balance S of, fear of falling; FSS S, The National Institu	Scale; FAC, The Fur 3, The Fatigue Seve utes of Health Strok	nctional Ambulation rity Scale; MFES, T e Scale ; NR, not re	Category; FES-I, Fall Effi he Modified Fall Efficacy	cacy Scale Scale; Heatth

Questionnaire-9; S-AI, State Anxiety Inventory; SAS, The Self-rating Anxiety Scale; CES-D Scale, Centre for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale; SDS, The Self-rating Depression Scale; SFES-I, Short Falls Efficacy Scale International; SGPALS, the Saltin-Grimby Physical Activity Level Scale; SIS, Stroke Impact Scale; SSRS, Social Support Rating Scale; STS, timed sit-to-

stand test; The SwePASS, the Swedish modified version of the Postural Assessment Scale for Stroke; T-AI, Trait Anxiety Inventory; TUGT, The Timed Up and Go test.



Figure 2 Meta-analyses for the association between sociodemographic factors and fear of falling: (A) age, (B) female gender. The solid vertical line indicates no effect. The solid squares indicate the mean difference and are proportional to the weights used in the meta-analysis. The diamond indicates the weighted mean difference, and the lateral tips of the diamond indicate the associated confidence intervals (CI). The horizontal lines represent the 95% CI.

RESULTS OF THE META-ANALYSIS

Sociodemographic factors

Three of the eight studies reported the relationship between sociodemographic factors and FoF, whereas the two reported predictors were age and women. Due to the limited number of studies, the ability to assess the publication bias by the funnel plot and Egger's test was unsuccessful.³⁰

Age

Two studies with 500 participants reported the relationship between age and FoF in patients with stroke. Metaanalysis using a fixed-effects model showed that there was no statistically significant association (OR=1.00, 95% CI 0.98 to 1.03, p=0.81, I^2 =82%; figure 2A).

Women

Two studies with 741 participants reported the correlation between women and FoF in patients with stroke. A pooled analysis using a fixed-effects model demonstrated that women experienced a significantly higher incidence of FoF than men (*OR*=2.13, 95% CI 1.47 to 3.09, p<0.0001, $I^2 = 0\%$; figure 2B).

Physical factors

Balance ability

Three studies reported the correlation between balance ability and FoF¹⁴³⁴³⁵ (911 participants). Based on the metaanalysis of the three studies on the risk factors of FoF, the results show large heterogeneity (p=0.003, I^2 =97%). The sensitivity analysis revealed clinical heterogeneity from different assessment tools. Ying *et al*^{δ 4} measured balance ability with the Berg Balance Scale (BBS) score, whereas Larén *et al*¹⁴ and Hussain *et al*³⁵ defined it by using the SwePASS score (postural control). Subgroup analysis of the SwePASS score showed that patients with stroke with

Protected by copyright, including for uses lower balance levels were significantly more susceptible to FoF than higher balance levels (figure 3A). The results related to text showed that the risk of FoF with a SwePASS score <24 $(OR=5.54; 95\% \text{ CI } 3.48 \text{ to } 8.81; I^2=86\%)$ was higher than a SwePASS score 25-30 (OR=2.30; 95% CI 1.47 to 3.58; $I^2=0\%$). This subgroup difference was statistically significant (p=0.007). There was no evidence of publication bias and based on the Egger's test (p=0.135).

Mobility

data m A meta-analysis using a fixed-effects model included three studies on the risk factors of FoF (377 participants) demonstrated a significantly higher incidence of FoF in lower mobility patients with stroke (OR=1.12; 95% CI 1.05 ⋗ to 1.19; figure 3B) and revealed a considerable heterogeneity between the studies (p=0.0003, I^2 =84%). Metaregression was performed to explore potential sources of heterogeneity based on an a priori list of factors related to clinical prognosis.³³ Meta-regression analysis showed subgroup effects for age (p $_{interaction}$ =0.017), sample size ($p_{interaction} = 0.019$) and proportion of women ($p_{interaction} = 0.019$). Sensitivity analysis (leave-one-out method) showed that the pooled estimate was stable. In addition, nologies there was no evidence of publication bias according to a funnel plot (online supplemental file 4) and the Egger's test (p=0.619).

History of falls

Four studies reported the correlation between experience of falls and FoF³⁴⁻³⁷ (720 participants). Furthermore, Watanabe³⁸ reported that 87.9% of those who have experienced a fall would have a FoF for patients with stroke. Fixed-effects model analysis included four studies that revealed that the risk of FoF in patients with stroke with a history of falls was 2.33 times higher than no falls



Figure 3 Meta-analyses for the association between physical risk factors and fear of falling: (A) balance ability and (B) mobility.

(*OR*=2.33; 95% CI 1.54 to 3.53; $I^2=0\%$; figure 4). There was no evidence of publication bias according to a funnel plot (online supplemental file 5) and the Egger's test (p=0.205).

Use of walking aid

Two studies listed the relationship between the walking aid for patients with stroke and FoF^{14 35} (741 participants). Larén *et al*¹⁴ reported valuable insight into those involved in stroke rehabilitation during the acute phase after stroke. FoF was associated with the use of a walking aid, whereas Hussain *et al*,³⁵ using the multivariable regression model, showed that the walking support for FoF was not statistically significant. A meta-analysis using a fixed-effects model that included two studies revealed that the risk of FoF in patients with stroke who used a walker is 1.98 times that of those who did not use a walker (*OR*=1.98; 95% CI 1.37 to 2.88, I^2 =93%; figure 5).

Other risk factors

Only six factors were assessed in more than one study and found eligible for meta-analysis. All other risk factors estimated are described narratively based on the findings of the associated individual study. Among them, anxiety (OR=2.29; 95% CI 1.43 to 3.67), depression (OR=1.80; 95% CI 1.22 to 2.67), poor lower limb motor function (OR=1.14; 95% CI 1.00 to 1.29) and physically inactiveness (OR=2.04; 95% CI 1.01 to 4.12) increased the risk of FoF in patients with stroke.

Qin *et al*³⁶ and Schmid et al³⁹ reported that anxiety, depression and marital status were some of the risk factors for FoF. Specifically, marital status with a spouse was protected against the development of FoF. Yadav *et al*⁴⁰ identified that every 1 unit increase in lower extremity Fugl-Meyer score had a 1.36 times chance of a person belonging to no FoF group. Thus, improving the



Figure 4 Meta-analyses for the association between history of falls and fear of falling.



Figure 5 Meta-analyses for the association between using walking aid and fear of falling.

lower extremity motor function can reduce the chances of belonging to no FoF.

Furthermore, Schinkel-Ivy *et al*⁴¹ reported that FoF was positively correlated to the walking velocity in individuals with stroke. This research used a 4.6-meter-long pressure pad system (Gaitrite, CIR Systems, Clifton, New Jersey) to measure gait, where walking velocity and double support time were used as an outcome indicator.⁴² Data on other risk factors are found in table 2.

DISCUSSION

This study included observational studies with 1597 stroke participants. Out of the eight studies, two were cross-sectional studies, four were case-control studies, and two were prospective cohort studies with a wide range of patient characteristics. Furthermore, the reliability of the results was confirmed by the sensitivity analysis. This meta-analysis revealed that the female population, impaired balance ability, lower mobility, the experience of falling and walking aid were strongly associated with FoF among stroke individuals. Pooled results of these eight studies and another meta-analysis on fall risk factors in community stroke survivors²⁰ were consistent for reduced balance (OR 3.87),²⁰ depression (OR 2.11)²⁰ and history of falls associated with the falls and FoF. Furthermore, this study showed the history of fall lead to a higher risk of FoF in patients with stroke (OR 2.33) than in falls (OR 1.67).²⁰ Similarly, the reduced balance was more likely to contribute to the FoF. The present study's findings highlighted that having a history of falls, either in-home, in the community or hospital setting, have a higher risk of recurrent falling in the stroke group (OR 4.19) than in

the older community. In addition, in concurrence with another systematic review study about the risk factors of FoF in the elderly,⁴³ our analysis also revealed that the problems of fall history and gait were related to FoF. Furthermore, our study highlighted that having a history of falls indicates that the risk of falling fear in the stroke group (OR 2.33) was higher than that of the elderly (OR 0.21).

The relationship between balance ability and FoF was further analysed. For example, Oguz et al⁴⁴ found a strong negative correlation between objective balance (measured by BBS scores) and Fall Efficacy Scale (FES) scores (r=-0.808); however, there was a strong positive correlation between perceived sense of balance and FES score (*r*=0.714). Furthermore, the present study's balance related to ability and mobility analysis results were in-concurrence with the study of Cho et al,⁴⁵ who showed that the FoF and they were positively correlated (respectively, r=0.669; r=0.545). Other studies, such as Akosile *et al*,⁴⁶ showed a text negative correlation between physical function and fall efficacy (r=-0.66). Kim *et al*¹⁹ revealed that the physical factors, including the functional ambulation category, hip abductor strength, knee extensor and ankle plantar flexor had a negative correlation with FoF (respectively, r=-0.673; r=-0.534; r=-0.478; r=-0.501). Of note, the above results are contrary, which can result from different statis-≥ tical analyses and research focuses used in these studies. training, Further, gait speed was related to the ability to maintain balance, where gait disorders limited the independent life of patients with stroke.⁴⁷ Due to reduced weight transfer capacity and stability, many stroke survivors might find it challenging to maintain their balance.⁴⁷ A previous study l similar technologies

Table 2 Detailed data on other risk factors for the patient of FoF after stroke								
Risk factors	OR RR	LL-95%CI	UL-95%CI	P value				
Anxiety ³⁶	2.29	1.43	3.67	< 0.001				
Depression ³⁶	1.80	1.22	2.67	0.003				
Marital status ³⁶	0.62	0.44	0.88	0.006				
Lower limb motor function ⁴⁰	1.14	1.00	1.29	0.047				
SGPALS score—physically inactive ³⁵	2.04	1.01	4.12	0.048				
Reactive stepping ⁴¹								
Grasp reactions	0.98	0.95	1.01	0.23				
Assists	0.98	0.96	1.00	0.086				

LL, lower limit; OR, odds ratio; RR, relative risk; SGPALS, the Saltin-Grimby Physical Activity Level Scale; UL, upper limit.

showed that the stroke patient's gait patterns were slow and required excessive exertion; however, these patient's legs were not well coordinated. Thus, increased foot support time and decreased gait speed in these patients with balance disorders were the risk of falls and increased anxiety.⁴⁸ Combined with clinical analysis, stroke mainly occurs in the 60 to 70 years old, where the decline of body function inevitably leads to the FoF. Impaired balance can easily cause patients to fall and, thus, cause them to be aware of the surrounding environment and the safety of their activities, which eventually increases the patient's psychological tension, worry and FoF.⁴⁹ Therefore, it is vital to explore the relationship between FoF and body function in clinical practice using large-scale prospective studies.

In addition to the factors mentioned in the various studies, elements such as poststroke psychological factors, long-term sitting and quality of life research have been studied for the relationship with the FoF. Anxiety and depression (r=0.400), energy, mobility, self-care and upper extremity function of quality of life (Pearson's correlation coefficients were r=-0.476; r=-0.615; r=-0.617; r=-0.507)¹⁹ were correlated with FoF. A significantly positive correlation was seen between FES-I and sitting time (r=0.579).⁵⁰ The study on differences in gait and balance measures in patients with chronic stroke with the different levels of attention related to falls showed that patients with chronic strokes and slight concern about falling have better gait and balance capabilities than patients with high levels of concern.⁵¹ Therefore, these results are potentially clinically relevant and would be useful to study if reducing FoF can improve gait, quality of life, physical function and balance performance in these patients. Furthermore, it would also be useful to measure FoF as the assessment of psychological factors, quality of life and physical function in these patients. Although stroke itself is not a direct factor in causing the FoF, as a long-term chronic disease, it indicates that the patient's body functions are further declining. Importantly, the treatment of long-term chronic diseases further declines or loses the patient's self-efficacy and self-confidence in behavioural activities, which eventually leads to FoF. The decreases in self-esteem can directly cause depression, anxiety and limited self-care ability and affect FoF. Additionally, in the recovery stage of the first stroke, the walking function is the main factor affecting the occurrence of falls. Since most stroke patients have limb dysfunction, the need to assist in walking during the initial stage of recovery or within a certain period increases the risk of falls.

Furthermore, there is a particular aspect regarding the causal relationship between falling and FoF. Some studies have confirmed that FoF is an essential predictor of falls in patients with stroke,^{52–54} and several other studies have suggested that people who have experienced a fall were more likely to have FoF.^{55–56} A recent study has confirmed that the history of falls in the recent time was a good predictor for the FoF, but the FoF is a predictor of falls during follow-up only in the unadjusted model.⁵⁷ In the current study, differences were observed among the included studies in terms of evaluation for the fall history. The fall history was defined as whether a fall was occurred in the past 6 months, within the past 1 year, or within 6 metres of walking. During these different periods, the probability of falling in stroke patients was different, which affects the likelihood of occurrence of FoF.⁵⁸

Considering the global prevalence of stroke-related falls or FoF, this study provided evidence for developing appropriate preventable measures for decreasing the FoF risk in patients with stroke. The risk factors of FoF for stroke patients in Asia included marital status, social support status and payment methods for medical insurance⁵⁹; However, current guidelines for stroke management provide no specific recommendations for psychological monitoring or the FoF management.⁶⁰ Therefore, more studies are required for developing effective evaluation methods and treatment strategies against FoF among patients with stroke to improve their physical function, mental health and quality of life.

This meta-analysis had several significant findings. First, most of the included studies were relatively high quality, with robust evidence. Second, under the high quality, with robust evidence. Second, under the premise of a large sample size, the risk factors of falling fear in stroke patients were ensured by quantitative analysis. Hence, our findings may be more convincing compared with the individual studies. Additionally, the research data included in this study were adjusted, and the results of the data analysis were not affected by the patient's baseline characteristics. We also explored the patient's baseline characteristics. We also explored the assources of heterogeneity using meta-regression if the analysis included more than two studies. We prespecified sample size and the proportion of women as the meta-regression variables because we considered that ≥ studies with smaller sample size and a larger proportion of women could have a larger impact on FoF.³¹ In the post hoc analyses, we also added age and SwePASS the post hoc analyses, we also added age and SwePASS iing, score as potential regressors because previous studies showed that older populations and smaller SwePASS scores could lead to a larger impact on FoF.³¹⁻³³

Despite the above important findings, this study had some limitations. (1) Two of the included reports were cross-sectional studies, and, thus, the ability to hypothesise aetiology was weak, (2) all the included studies were observational studies, and, therefore, the role of confounding factors should be considered. However, & due to the limited number of studies, a multivariate **3** meta-analysis could not be performed to assess the robustness of our findings and analyse the effect size of multiple risk factors at the same time, 61 (3) the effects of the patient's inner anxiety and depression, as well as the motor function of the lower limbs on the risk of falling fear in stroke patients, have been reported in fewer studies. Therefore, the conclusions may vary for individual studies, (4) this meta-analysis only included English and Chinese studies; thus, it probably missed

the relevant studies in other languages, which leads to biases in estimates in Western countries. However, there is currently no evidence suggesting that the meta-analysis of language limitations can lead to such bias.⁶² ⁶³ In the end, the analysis was based on the overall research level and not on personal data.

CONCLUSION

This study is the first systematic analysis for assessing the risk factors for FoF in patients with stroke, including the history of falls, walking aids, sociodemographic factors, physical characteristics and psychological factors. This study results suggest that women, impaired balance, mobility impairment, history of falls, walking aids, anxiety, depression, poor lower limb motor function and physical inactiveness might be associated with FoF in patients with stroke, especially impaired balance. In addition, the collective evidence was primarily consistent, and the effect size of FoF was large. A comprehensive analysis of these risk factors would help screen and differentiate patients at risk for FoF, thereby helping to prevent and optimise timely interventions.

Overall, there is a paucity of empirical data in this area. Many of the factors identified, in general, that population samples have not been studied in patients with stroke. In addition, other risk factors specific to patients with stroke (eg, gait speed and gait-related factors) need to be evaluated to identify patients with stroke at risk for FoF. Finally, researchers should explore how some variables (ie, anxiety and depression) interact with FoF and how to better protect patients with stroke from it. This intervention will reduce the personal and financial burden and promote these patients' early recovery.

Author affiliations

¹School of Nursing, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou, Gansu, China

²The First Clinical Medical College, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou, Gansu, China ³Department of Nursing, Qinghai Provincial People's Hospital, Xining, Qinghai, China ⁴Neurological Intensive Care Unit, The First Affiliated Hospital of Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou, Henan, China

⁵Medical Department of Neurology, Lanzhou University Second Hospital, Lanzhou, Gansu, China

⁶Department of Liver Diseases Branch, Lanzhou University Second Hospital, Lanzhou, Gansu, China

⁷Department of Nursing, Lanzhou University Second Hospital, Lanzhou, Gansu, China

Contributors QX and J-HP contributed equally to this work. Study design: QX, J-HP, X-MD. Analysis and interpretation of data: QX, J-HP, Y-BZ, LG. Drafting of the manuscript: QX, J-PZ, X-MD. Critical revision of the manuscript: Y-JS, X-MD, LG, X-LW, LM. X-MD accepted full responsibility for the work of the study, had access to the data, and controlled the decision to publish as the guarantor. All authors have read and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Funding This work was supported in part by the 2020 Cui Ying Science and Technology Plan Project-General Project, Lanzhou, Gansu, China (CY2020-MS19). Scientific Research Project of Health Industry in Gansu Province, China (GSWSHL2021-011).

Competing interests None declared.

Patient and public involvement Patients and/or the public were not involved in the design, or conduct, or reporting, or dissemination plans of this research.

Patient consent for publication Not applicable.

Ethics approval Not applicable.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data availability statement All data relevant to the study are included in the article or uploaded as supplemental information.

Supplemental material This content has been supplied by the author(s). It has not been vetted by BMJ Publishing Group Limited (BMJ) and may not have been peer-reviewed. Any opinions or recommendations discussed are solely those of the author(s) and are not endorsed by BMJ. BMJ disclaims all liability and responsibility arising from any reliance placed on the content. Where the content includes any translated material, BMJ does not warrant the accuracy and reliability of the translations (including but not limited to local regulations, clinical guidelines, terminology, drug names and drug dosages), and is not responsible for any error and/or omissions arising from translation and adaptation or otherwise.

Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, Al training, and similar technologies. Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/.

ORCID iDs

Ling Gou http://orcid.org/0000-0002-7781-1253 Yabin Zhang http://orcid.org/0000-0002-2479-9385 Xinman Dou http://orcid.org/0000-0001-5663-721X

REFERENCES

- 1 GBD 2016 Causes of Death Collaborators. Global, regional, and national age-sex specific mortality for 264 causes of death, 1980-2016: a systematic analysis for the global burden of disease study 2016. Lancet 2017;390:1151-210.
- Loh AZ, Tan JS, Zhang MW, et al. The global prevalence of anxiety and depressive symptoms among caregivers of stroke survivors. J Am Med Dir Assoc 2017;18:111-6.
- Chong WFW, Ng LH, Ho RM-H, et al. Stroke rehabilitation use and caregiver psychosocial health profiles in Singapore: a latent profile transition analysis. J Am Med Dir Assoc 2021;22:2350-7
- Feigin VL, Forouzanfar MH, Krishnamurthi R, et al. Global and regional burden of stroke during 1990-2010: findings from the global burden of disease study 2010. Lancet 2014;383:245-54.
- 5 Katan M, Luft A. Global burden of stroke. Semin Neurol 2018:38:208-11
- 6 Johansson B, Rönnbäck L. Mental fatigue and cognitive impairment after an almost neurological recovered stroke. ISRN Psychiatry 2012:2012:686425.
- 7 World Health Organization. WHO Global Report on Falls Prevention in Older Age [Internet]. 2007.
- Nyberg L. Gustafson Y. Patient falls in stroke rehabilitation. A challenge to rehabilitation strategies. Stroke 1995;26:838-42.
- 9 Davenport RJ, Dennis MS, Wellwood I, et al. Complications after acute stroke. Stroke 1996;27:415-20.
- 10 Teasell R, McRae M, Foley N, et al. The incidence and consequences of falls in stroke patients during inpatient rehabilitation: factors associated with high risk. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 2002;83:329–33.
- 11 Goh HT, Nadarajah M, Hamzah NB. Falls and fear of falling after stroke: a case-control study. Pm&R 2016;8:1173-80.
- 12 Persson CU, Hansson PO, Sunnerhagen KS. Clinical tests performed in acute stroke identify the risk of falling during the first year: postural stroke study in Gothenburg (POSTGOT). J Rehabil Med 2011;43:348-53.
- 13 Slade SC, Carey DL, Hill A-M, et al. Effects of falls prevention interventions on falls outcomes for hospitalised adults: protocol for a systematic review with meta-analysis. BMJ Open 2017;7:e017864.
- 14 Larén A, Odqvist A, Hansson P-O, et al. Fear of falling in acute stroke: the fall study of Gothenburg (FallsGOT). Top Stroke Rehabil 2018:25:256-60.
- Kovács Éva, Erdős RL, Petridisz AN, et al. [Fear of falling among 15 community-living older adults]. Orv Hetil 2019;160:191-7.
- Sakurai R, Fujiwara Y, Yasunaga M, et al. Older adults with fear of 16 falling show deficits in motor imagery of gait. J Nutr Health Aging 2017;21:721-6.

- 17 Schmid AA, Arnold SE, Jones VA, *et al*. Fear of falling in people with chronic stroke. *Am J Occup Ther* 2015;69:6903350020p1–6903 350020p5.
- 18 Liu JYW. Fear of falling in robust community-dwelling older people: results of a cross-sectional study. J Clin Nurs 2015;24:393–405.
- 19 Kim EJ, Kim DY, Kim WH, et al. Fear of falling in subacute hemiplegic stroke patients: associating factors and correlations with quality of life. Ann Rehabil Med 2012;36:797–803.
- 20 Xu T, Clemson L, O'Loughlin K, et al. Risk factors for falls in community stroke survivors: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 2018;99:563–73.
- 21 Liu T-W, Ng GYF, Chung RCK, *et al.* Cognitive behavioural therapy for fear of falling and balance among older people: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Age Ageing* 2018;47:520–7.
- 22 Kumar S, Selim MH, Caplan LR. Medical complications after stroke. Lancet Neurol 2010;9:105–18.
- 23 Maranesi E, Riccardi GR, Di Donna V, et al. Effectiveness of intervention based on end-effector gait trainer in older patients with stroke: a systematic review. J Am Med Dir Assoc 2020;21:1036–44.
- 24 Stang A. Critical evaluation of the Newcastle-Ottawa scale for the assessment of the quality of nonrandomized studies in metaanalyses. *Eur J Epidemiol* 2010;25:603–5.
- 25 Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (US). AHRQ methods for effective health care. Methods guide for effectiveness and comparative effectiveness reviews. Rockville, MD, 2008.
- 26 Hu J, Dong Y, Chen X, et al. Prevalence of suicide attempts among Chinese adolescents: a meta-analysis of cross-sectional studies. *Compr Psychiatry* 2015;61:78–89.
- 27 Borenstein M, Hedges LV, Higgins JPT, et al. A basic introduction to fixed-effect and random-effects models for meta-analysis. Res Synth Methods 2010;1:97–111.
- 28 Murad MH, Montori VM, Ioannidis JPA. Fixed-effects and randomeffects models. In: Guyatt G, Rennie D, Meade MO, eds. Users' guide to the medical literature. A manual for evidence-based clinical practice. 3rd ed. New York: McGrawHill, 2015.
- 29 Higgins JPT, Thompson SG, Spiegelhalter DJ. A re-evaluation of random-effects meta-analysis. *J R Stat Soc Ser A Stat Soc* 2009;172:137–59.
- 30 Egger M, Davey Smith G, Schneider M, *et al.* Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple, graphical test. *BMJ* 1997;315:629–34.
- 31 De Roza JG, Ng DWL, Mathew BK, et al. Factors influencing fear of falling in community-dwelling older adults in Singapore: a crosssectional study. BMC Geriatr 2022;22:186.
- 32 Persson CU, Kjellberg S, Lernfelt B, et al. Risk of falling in a stroke unit after acute stroke: the fall study of Gothenburg (FallsGOT). *Clin Rehabil* 2018;32:398–409.
- 33 Sions JM, Tyrell CM, Knarr BA, et al. Age- and stroke-related skeletal muscle changes: a review for the geriatric clinician. J Geriatr Phys Ther 2012;35:155–61.
- 34 Ying L, Yun C, Li-Rong Z. The current status and influencing factors of fear of falling among the stroke older patients. *Geriatr Health Care* 2014;20.
- 35 Hussain N, Hansson P-O, Persson CU. Prediction of fear of falling at 6 months after stroke based on 279 individuals from the Fall Study of Gothenburg. *Sci Rep* 2021;11:13503.
- 36 Qin Z, Ya L, Xiao-Jing H. Influencing factors of fear of falling in patients with first cerebral infarction in recovery period. *Chinese Journal of Modern Nursing* 2020;26:3929–33.
- 37 Belgen B, Beninato M, Sullivan PE, et al. The association of balance capacity and falls self-efficacy with history of falling in communitydwelling people with chronic stroke. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 2006;87:554–61.
- 38 Watanabe Y. Fear of falling among stroke survivors after discharge from inpatient rehabilitation. *Int J Rehabil Res* 2005;28:149–52.
- 39 Schmid AA, Van Puymbroeck M, Knies K, et al. Fear of falling among people who have sustained a stroke: a 6-month longitudinal pilot study. Am J Occup Ther 2011;65:125–32.
- 40 Yadav T, Bhalerao G, Shyam AK. Factors affecting fear of falls in patients with chronic stroke. *Top Stroke Rehabil* 2020;27:33–7.
- 41 Schinkel-Ivy A, Inness EL, Mansfield A. Relationships between fear of falling, balance confidence, and control of balance, gait, and

reactive stepping in individuals with sub-acute stroke. *Gait Posture* 2016;43:154–9.

- 42 Mansfield A, Wong JS, McIlroy WE, et al. Do measures of reactive balance control predict falls in people with stroke returning to the community? *Physiotherapy* 2015;101:373–80.
- 43 Ayoubi F, Launay CP, Annweiler C, et al. Fear of falling and gait variability in older adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Am Med Dir Assoc 2015;16:14–19.
- 44 Oguz S, Demirbuken I, Kavlak B, et al. The relationship between objective balance, perceived sense of balance, and fear of falling in stroke patients. *Top Stroke Rehabil* 2017;24:527–32.
- 45 Cho K, Yu J, Rhee H. Risk factors related to falling in stroke patients: a cross-sectional study. *J Phys Ther Sci* 2015;27:1751–3.
- 46 Akosile CO, Fabunmi AA, Umunnah JO, et al. Relationships between fall indices and physical function of stroke survivors in Nigeria. Int J Ther Rehabil 2011;18:487–91.
- 47 Mauritz K-H. Gait training in hemiplegia. *Eur J Neurol* 2002;9 Suppl 1:23–9. dicussion 53-61.
- 48 Baer HR, Wolf SL. Modified emory functional ambulation profile: an outcome measure for the rehabilitation of poststroke gait dysfunction. *Stroke* 2001;32:973–9.
- 49 Andersson AG, Kamwendo K, Appelros P. Fear of falling in stroke patients: relationship with previous falls and functional characteristics. *Int J Rehabil Res* 2008;31:261–4.
- 50 Hanna E, Janssen H, Crowfoot G, *et al*. Participation, fear of falling, and upper limb impairment are associated with high sitting time in people with stroke. *Occup Ther Health Care* 2019;33:181–96.
- 51 Sheikh M, Hosseini HA, Shaikh M. Fear of falling in patients with chronic stroke: differences of functional gait and balance measures according to the level of concern about falling. *Journal of Rehabilitation Sciences & Research* 2016;3:35–8.
- 52 Maki BE. Gait changes in older adults: predictors of falls or indicators of fear. J Am Geriatr Soc 1997;45:313–20.
- 53 Cumming RG, Salkeld G, Thomas M, *et al.* Prospective study of the impact of fear of falling on activities of daily living, SF-36 scores, and nursing home admission. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 2000;55:M299–305.
- 54 Luukinen H, Koski K, Kivela SL, et al. Social status, life changes, housing conditions, health, functional abilities and life-style as risk factors for recurrent falls among the home-dwelling elderly. *Public Health* 1996;110:115–8.
- 55 Tinetti ME, Speechley M, Ginter SF. Risk factors for falls among elderly persons living in the community. N Engl J Med 1988;319:1701–7.
- 56 Howland J, Lachman ME, Peterson EW, et al. Covariates of fear of falling and associated activity curtailment. *Gerontologist* 1998;38:549–55.
- 57 Lavedán A, Viladrosa M, Jürschik P, et al. Fear of falling in community-dwelling older adults: a cause of falls, a consequence, or both? PLoS One 2018;13:e0194967.
- 58 Chin LF, Wang JYY, Ong CH, et al. Factors affecting falls in community-dwelling individuals with stroke in Singapore after hospital discharge. Singapore Med J 2013;54:569–75.
- 59 Guan Q, Jin L, Li Y, et al. Multifactor analysis for risk factors involved in the fear of falling in patients with chronic stroke from mainland China. Top Stroke Rehabil 2015;22:368–73.
- 60 Winstein CJ, Stein J, Arena R, *et al.* Guidelines for adult stroke rehabilitation and recovery: a guideline for healthcare professionals from the American heart Association/American stroke association. *Stroke* 2016;47:e98–169.
- 61 Reitsma JB, Glas AS, Rutjes AWS, et al. Bivariate analysis of sensitivity and specificity produces informative summary measures in diagnostic reviews. J Clin Epidemiol 2005;58:982–90.
- 62 Jüni P, Holenstein F, Sterne J, et al. Direction and impact of language bias in meta-analyses of controlled trials: empirical study. Int J Epidemiol 2002;31:115–23.
- 63 Moher D, Pham B, Klassen TP, et al. What contributions do languages other than English make on the results of meta-analyses? J Clin Epidemiol 2000;53:964–72.
- 64 Goh H-T, Nadarajah M, Hamzah NB, et al. Falls and fear of falling after stroke: a case-control study. Pm R 2016;8:1173–80.

for uses related to text and data mining, AI training, and

simi

ar

technologies

Protected by copyright, including