Appendix 2. Paradigmatic situations in which a strong recommendation may be warranted despite low or very low confidence in effect estimates (extracted from: Andrews JC, Schünemann HJ, Oxman AD, Pottie K, Meerpohl JJ, Coello PA, Rind D, Montori VM, Brito JP, Norris S, Elbarbary M, Post P, Nasser M, Shukla V, Jaeschke R, Brozek J, Djulbegovic B, Guyatt G. GRADE guidelines: 15. Going from evidence to recommendation-determinants of a recommendation's direction and strength. J Clin Epidemiol. 2013 Jul;66(7):726-35.)

Situation	Condition	Example
1	When low quality evidence suggests	Fresh frozen plasma or vitamin K in a
	benefit in a lifethreatening	patient receiving warfarin
	situation (evidence regarding harms	with elevated INR and an intracranial bleed.
	can be low	Only low quality
	or high)	evidence supports the benefits of limiting
		the extent of the
		bleeding
2	When low quality evidence suggests	Head-to-toe CT/MRI screening for cancer.
	benefit and high quality	Low quality evidence
	evidence suggests harm or a very	of benefit of early detection but high
	high cost	quality evidence of
		possible harm and/or high cost (strong
		recommendation
		against this strategy)
3	When low quality evidence suggests	Helicobacter pylori eradication in patients
	equivalence of two	with early stage
	alternatives, but high quality	gastric MALT lymphoma with H. pylori
	evidence of less harm for one of	positive. Low quality
	the competing alternatives	evidence suggests that initial H. pylori
		eradication results in
		similar rates of complete response in
		comparison with the
		alternatives of radiation therapy or
		gastrectomy; high quality
		evidence suggests less harm/morbidity
4	When high quality evidence suggests	Hypertension in women planning
	equivalence of two	conception and in pregnancy.
	alternatives and low quality	Strong recommendations for labetalol and
	evidence suggests harm in one	nifedipine and
	alternative	strong recommendations against
		angiotensin converting
		enzyme (ACE) inhibitors and angiotensin
		receptor blockers
		(ARB)dall agents have high quality evidence
		of equivalent
		beneficial outcomes, with low quality
		evidence for greater
		adverse effects with ACE inhibitors and
		ARBs

5	When high quality evidence suggests modest benefits and low/	Testosterone in males with or at risk of prostate cancer. High
	-	, ,
	very low quality evidence suggests	quality evidence for moderate benefits of
	possibility of catastrophic	testosterone
	harm	treatment in men with symptomatic
		androgen deficiency to
		improve bone mineral density and muscle
		strength. Low
		quality evidence for harm in patients with
		or at risk of
		prostate cancer

Abbreviations: INR, international normalized ratio; CT, computed tomography; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; MALT, mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue.