

BMJ Best Practice

Umbilical hernia in children

Straight to the point of care



Last updated: Jun 15, 2023

Table of Contents

Overview	3
Summary	3
Definition	3
Theory	4
Epidemiology	4
Aetiology	4
Pathophysiology	4
Case history	4
Diagnosis	5
Approach	5
History and exam	5
Risk factors	6
Investigations	7
Differentials	7
Management	8
Approach	8
Treatment algorithm overview	8
Treatment algorithm	10
Patient discussions	11
Follow up	13
Complications	13
Prognosis	13
References	15
Images	17
Disclaimer	18

Summary

Umbilical hernias will usually close by 4 to 5 years of age without treatment.

Complications including incarceration, strangulation, and rupture are uncommon in children.

Elective outpatient repair is associated with a low recurrence rate.

Infection and bleeding are the two most common postoperative complications.

Compression therapy (such as abdominal binders) is not helpful and may be harmful.

Definition

Umbilical hernia is a defect of the anterior abdominal wall fascia that occurs when the umbilical ring fails to close. The defect allows protrusion of a peritoneal sac that is covered by skin and may contain intra-abdominal contents, such as omentum or bowel.



Large umbilical hernia

Personal collection of Charles L. Snyder, MD; used with permission

Epidemiology

Umbilical hernia is one of the most common surgical conditions in infants and children. However, the true overall incidence is unknown because many resolve spontaneously and accurate studies have not been performed. Most occur as an isolated finding in a healthy infant and the incidence is equivalent between boys and girls. The incidence is significantly increased in premature infants and infants of African descent. Umbilical hernia is noted in up to 75% of infants weighing <1500 g.[1] The rate of umbilical hernia is increased by up to 30% in premature, low birthweight infants (<1000 g).[2] Some studies document a high incidence in African infants.[2] [3]

Aetiology

The umbilical cord is composed of the umbilical vein, paired umbilical arteries, vitelline duct, and the allantois. These structures traverse the abdominal wall through the umbilical ring, a defect in the dense fascia of the linea alba. This ring normally closes by contracture after the cord is ligated and the umbilical vessels thrombose. When this ring fails to close, an umbilical hernia can protrude through the remaining fascial defect. The umbilical vein obliterates and becomes a fibrous cord known as the round ligament of the liver. This typically attaches to the inferior margin of the umbilical ring and provides strength to the umbilicus and protection from umbilical hernia. In approximately 25% of infants the round ligament attaches to the superior border of the umbilical ring.[4] This leaves an attenuated umbilical floor, composed of only peritoneum and umbilical fascia (a thickening of the transversalis fascia), which allows the infant to develop an umbilical hernia.

Pathophysiology

Most umbilical hernias are recognised shortly after birth, after the cord sloughs and the umbilicus heals. They are rarely symptomatic. The umbilical ring continues to close over time and the umbilical fascia strengthens, resulting in spontaneous resolution of the defect in most children. One study found that defects <1 cm in diameter have an 80% chance of spontaneous closure.[5] Another study found that despite 89.1% of hernias resolving spontaneously by age 6, the odds of spontaneous closure decreased by 5% for every 1 mm increase in defect size (up to 1 cm).[6] However, a study from Nigeria reported that spontaneous closure was still possible up to 14 years of age.[3]

Case history

Case history #1

A 6-month-old healthy girl presents with a bulge at her umbilicus that her parents have noticed since birth. She has no accompanying symptoms and has been growing and developing normally. Physical examination of the abdomen reveals a soft, non-tender bulge at the umbilicus that is easily reduced into the peritoneal cavity with gentle pressure. Reduction allows palpation of the abdominal fascia, revealing an 8 mm fascial defect.

Approach

Umbilical hernia is diagnosed by history and physical examination alone. No other tests are necessary prior to initiating treatment.

History and physical examination

Characteristic features include a bulge at the umbilicus that has been present since birth. The condition is generally asymptomatic, although it may cause mild discomfort in some children. Examination typically reveals an easily reduced hernial sac with a well-defined rim of fascia and central defect of variable diameter. The overlying skin may appear stretched and proboscoïd (redundant and protuberant). Discomfort or a tender umbilical mass in an individual with an unrepaired umbilical hernia is concerning for incarceration, with or without strangulation. Symptoms of bowel obstruction include vomiting, abdominal pain, and constipation.

Imaging

Umbilical hernia may be found incidentally on imaging obtained for unrelated reasons (computed tomography, magnetic resonance imaging). However, these tests are unnecessary for the diagnosis of the typical umbilical hernia.

In the case of a suspected strangulated hernia, clinical grounds alone are sufficient to make the diagnosis and no imaging is required.

History and exam

Key diagnostic factors

presence of risk factors (common)

- Key risk factors include low birth weight (<1500 g) and African/African-American ancestry.

present since birth (common)

- Recognised after the umbilical cord sloughs and the skin heals.

bulge at the umbilicus (common)

- Parents may notice this feature. Abdominal examination reveals a bulge at the umbilicus, with intact overlying skin.

change in size/tension during movement (common)

- Becomes larger or tense when the infant cries or strains.

skin changes (common)

- The skin may become stretched and appear proboscoïd (redundant and protuberant).

easily reducible hernial sac (common)

- Digital examination reveals an easily reducible hernial sac and a well-defined rim of fascia with central defect. Defect diameter varies from a few millimetres to a few centimetres.

well-defined rim of fascia with central defect (common)

- Digital examination reveals an easily reducible hernial sac and a well-defined rim of fascia with central defect. Defect diameter varies from a few millimetres to a few centimetres.

variable diameter of defect (common)

- Digital examination reveals an easily reducible hernial sac and a well-defined rim of fascia with central defect. Defect diameter varies from a few millimetres to a few centimetres.

symptoms of small bowel obstruction (uncommon)

- Incarceration may occur rarely; usually involving the small bowel; results in obstructive symptoms such as vomiting, abdominal pain, and constipation. Identification of these features is critical as surgery may need to be expedited.

Other diagnostic factors**intermittent discomfort (uncommon)**

- Generally asymptomatic. Older children occasionally report intermittent discomfort.

Risk factors**Strong****low birth weight**

- Umbilical hernia is noted in up to 75% of infants weighing <1500 g.[1] The rate of umbilical hernia is increased by up to 30% in premature, low birthweight infants (<1000 g).[2]

African ancestry

- Some studies document a high incidence in African infants.[2][3]

Weak**Beckwith-Wiedemann syndrome (BWS)**

- Children with BWS often present with abdominal wall defects, pre- and post-natal overgrowth, and macroglossia.[7]

trisomy 21, 18, 13

- Umbilical hernias are associated with chromosomal disorders including Down's syndrome.[7]

congenital hypothyroidism

- Like other congenital disorders, the risk of umbilical hernia is elevated in this condition.[7]

mucopolysaccharidoses

- Umbilical hernias are found in the familial lysosomal storage disease, Hurler's syndrome.[8]

Investigations

1st test to order

Test	Result
diagnosis is clinical	no tests required

Differentials

Condition	Differentiating signs / symptoms	Differentiating tests
Epigastric hernia	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Located in the midline of the upper abdomen, cephalad to the umbilicus, as a result of defects in the linea alba. • Defects may be multiple. • Unlikely to cause strangulation/obstruction as only pre-peritoneal fat herniates through the defect. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No differentiating tests.
Hernia of the umbilical cord	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • May occur in a newborn with defects in both the umbilical fascia and peritoneum. • Intestines herniate into the substance of the cord itself, covered only by amnion. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No differentiating tests.
Omphalocele	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Results from a defect at the umbilicus, through which abdominal contents herniate. • Is covered only by an outer layer of amnion and inner layer of peritoneum. • Omphaloceles are not covered by skin. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No differentiating tests.

Approach

Small, asymptomatic hernia

Traditional management of small hernias (<1.5 cm) involves observation until 4 or 5 years of age.[2] This allows for spontaneous closure in up to 80% of children.[5] If the hernia persists beyond 4 to 5 years of age, it can be managed with elective outpatient surgical repair. However, the risk of complications in older children, as well as the likelihood of eventual spontaneous closure, cannot be clearly defined from the available data.[9] If a hernia incarcerates during the period of observation, it should be reduced by manual pressure and repaired surgically, generally within 24 hours. If an incarcerated hernia cannot be reduced, an emergency operation is indicated. It can be challenging to convince the child's carers that observation alone will be successful in most cases and that an operation is not indicated.

Large or symptomatic hernia

Fascial defects >1.5 to 2 cm are unlikely to close spontaneously. Many surgeons advocate elective repair at 2 to 3 years of age for such hernias.[10] Earlier repair is also indicated if intermittent symptoms of incarceration or recurring pain develop.[11] There is some evidence that complications may be increased in children undergoing repair at an earlier age; a study suggests deferring elective repair until the child is 4 years of age or older, and this was the management strategy used most commonly in a survey of American Pediatric Surgical Association members.[12] [13] Compression therapy (such as abdominal binders) have no role in management and may be harmful or complicate the repair.

Incarcerated hernia

If an individual with an unrepaired umbilical hernia presents with discomfort or a tender umbilical mass, incarceration with or without strangulation should be suspected and treated immediately, regardless of age or size. Incarceration occurs if intra-abdominal contents (e.g., abdominal viscera or omentum) become trapped in the protruding hernial sac. This is termed 'strangulation' if the blood supply to the bowel is compromised, causing ischaemia.[2]

Management consists of an immediate attempt at reduction (in the absence of signs of peritonitis) by milking air or fluid out of the incarcerated loop of intestine and applying firm, steady pressure to the mass. If reduced, the patient should be admitted and observed for peritonitis, with surgical repair the following day. If the hernia cannot be reduced, emergency repair is indicated. Assessment of bowel integrity should be part of the procedure, particularly if bloody peritoneal fluid is encountered during surgery.

Treatment algorithm overview

Please note that formulations/routes and doses may differ between drug names and brands, drug formularies, or locations. Treatment recommendations are specific to patient groups: [see disclaimer](#)

Acute	(summary)
incarcerated hernia	
1st	surgical repair following attempted reduction

MANAGEMENT

Ongoing		(summary)
large or symptomatic hernia		
	1st	elective outpatient surgical repair
small and asymptomatic hernia		
	1st	observation
	2nd	elective outpatient surgical repair

Treatment algorithm

Please note that formulations/routes and doses may differ between drug names and brands, drug formularies, or locations. Treatment recommendations are specific to patient groups: [see disclaimer](#)

Acute

incarcerated hernia

1st **surgical repair following attempted reduction**

- » If an individual with an unrepaired umbilical hernia presents with discomfort or a tender umbilical mass, incarceration with or without strangulation should be suspected and treated immediately, regardless of age or size. Incarceration occurs if intra-abdominal contents (e.g., abdominal viscera or omentum) become trapped in the protruding hernial sac. This is termed 'strangulation' if the blood supply to the bowel is compromised, causing ischaemia.[2]
- » Management consists of immediate attempt at reduction (in the absence of signs of peritonitis) by milking air or fluid out of the incarcerated loop of intestine and applying firm, steady pressure to the mass. If reduced, the patient should be admitted and observed for peritonitis, with surgical repair the following day. If the hernia cannot be reduced, emergency repair is indicated. Assessment of bowel integrity should be part of the procedure, particularly if bloody peritoneal fluid is encountered during surgery.

Ongoing

large or symptomatic hernia

1st elective outpatient surgical repair

» Fascial defects >1.5 to 2 cm are unlikely to close spontaneously, so many surgeons advocate elective repair at 2 to 3 years of age for such hernias.[10] Earlier repair is also indicated if intermittent symptoms of incarceration or recurring pain develop.[11] There is some evidence that complications may be increased in children undergoing repair at an earlier age; a study suggests deferring elective repair until the child is 4 years of age or older, and this was the management strategy used most commonly in a survey of American Pediatric Surgical Association members.[12] [13]

» Compression therapy (such as abdominal binders) have no role in management and may prove harmful or complicate the repair.

small and asymptomatic hernia

1st observation

» Traditional management of small hernias (<1.5 cm) involves observation until 4 or 5 years of age.[2] This allows for spontaneous closure in up to 80% of children.[5] If a hernia incarcerates during the period of observation, it should be reduced by manual pressure and repaired surgically, generally within 24 hours. If an incarcerated hernia cannot be reduced, an emergency operation is indicated. It can be challenging to convince the child's carers that observation alone will be successful in most cases and that an operation is not indicated.

2nd elective outpatient surgical repair

» If the hernia persists beyond 4 to 5 years of age, it can be managed with elective outpatient surgical repair due to risk of incarceration. However, the risk of complications in older children, as well as the likelihood of eventual spontaneous closure, cannot be clearly defined from the available data.[9]

Patient discussions

During a course of observation for an unrepaired hernia, the child's carers should be informed of the signs and symptoms of incarceration and advised to seek medical attention immediately if this occurs.

Postoperatively, the surgical dressing is typically removed in 2 days, and bathing can resume after the dressing is removed. No activity restrictions are necessary. The child's carers should be advised of signs

and symptoms of wound infection, haematoma, and hernia recurrence postoperatively, though these are rare.

Complications

Complications	Timeframe	Likelihood
postoperative wound infection	short term	low
Surgical repair is a sterile technique; infection is rare.		
postoperative haemorrhage/haematoma	short term	low
Postoperative bleeding can be troublesome and may lead to a haematoma. With appropriate attention to haemostasis intra-operatively, this complication is rare.		
recurrence of hernia	short term	low
Wound infection predisposes to hernia recurrence, though this is uncommon.		
incarceration/strangulation	long term	low
Incarceration occurs if intra-abdominal contents (e.g., abdominal viscera or omentum) become trapped in the protruding hernial sac. This is termed 'strangulation' if the blood supply to the bowel is compromised, causing ischaemia.[2] Discomfort or a tender umbilical mass in an individual with an unrepaired umbilical hernia is concerning for incarceration, with or without strangulation. Symptoms of bowel obstruction include vomiting, abdominal pain, and constipation. Incarceration is very rare, though some studies suggest that incarceration occurs more frequently than is generally believed.[14] [15]		
peritonitis	variable	low
A rare but serious, life-threatening complication of surgery that can lead to septicaemia and death.		
rupture/evisceration	variable	low
Rupture and evisceration of an unrepaired hernia is extremely rare, but has been reported.[16]		

Prognosis

Postoperative follow-up

After surgical repair, the dressing can be removed in 2 days. No activity restrictions are necessary. The most common complications after hernia repair are wound infection and bleeding, though both are rare. A single postoperative consultation with the surgeon in 2 to 3 weeks is generally considered sufficient follow-up.

Key articles

- Vohr BR, Rosenfield AG, Oh W. Umbilical hernia in the low-birth-weight infant (less than 1,500 gm). *J Pediatr*. 1977 May;90(5):807-8. [Abstract \(http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/853341?tool=bestpractice.bmj.com\)](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/853341?tool=bestpractice.bmj.com)
- Bowling K, Hart N, Cox P, et al. Management of paediatric hernia. *BMJ*. 2017 Oct 19;359:j4484. [Abstract \(http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29051195?tool=bestpractice.bmj.com\)](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29051195?tool=bestpractice.bmj.com)
- Halpern LJ. Spontaneous healing of umbilical hernias. *JAMA*. 1962 Nov 24;182(8):851-2. [Abstract \(http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/13952228?tool=bestpractice.bmj.com\)](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/13952228?tool=bestpractice.bmj.com)

References

1. Vohr BR, Rosenfield AG, Oh W. Umbilical hernia in the low-birth-weight infant (less than 1,500 gm). *J Pediatr*. 1977 May;90(5):807-8. [Abstract \(http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/853341?tool=bestpractice.bmj.com\)](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/853341?tool=bestpractice.bmj.com)
2. Bowling K, Hart N, Cox P, et al. Management of paediatric hernia. *BMJ*. 2017 Oct 19;359:j4484. [Abstract \(http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29051195?tool=bestpractice.bmj.com\)](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29051195?tool=bestpractice.bmj.com)
3. Meier DE, OlaOlorun DA, Omodele RA, et al. Incidence of umbilical hernia in African children: redefinition of "normal" and reevaluation of indications for repair. *World J Surg*. 2001 May;25(5):645-8. [Abstract \(http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11369993?tool=bestpractice.bmj.com\)](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11369993?tool=bestpractice.bmj.com)
4. Orda R, Nathan H. Surgical anatomy of the umbilical structures. *Int Surg*. 1973 Jul;58(7):458-64. [Abstract \(http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/4717501?tool=bestpractice.bmj.com\)](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/4717501?tool=bestpractice.bmj.com)
5. Halpern LJ. Spontaneous healing of umbilical hernias. *JAMA*. 1962 Nov 24;182(8):851-2. [Abstract \(http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/13952228?tool=bestpractice.bmj.com\)](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/13952228?tool=bestpractice.bmj.com)
6. Kaur M, Grandpierre V, Oltean I, et al. Predictors of spontaneous resolution of umbilical hernia in children. *World J Pediatr Surg*. 2021;4(3):e000287. [Full text \(https://www.doi.org/10.1136/wjps-2021-000287\)](https://www.doi.org/10.1136/wjps-2021-000287) [Abstract \(http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/36474973?tool=bestpractice.bmj.com\)](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/36474973?tool=bestpractice.bmj.com)
7. Cilley RE. Disorders of the Umbilicus. In: Grosfeld JL, O'Neill JA Jr, Fonkalsrud ER, Coran AC, eds. *Pediatric Surgery*. 6th ed. Philadelphia, PA: Mosby/Elsevier, 2006:1151.
8. Hulsebos RG, Zeebregts CJ, de Langen ZJ. Perforation of a congenital umbilical hernia in a patient with Hurler's syndrome. *J Pediatr Surg*. 2004 Sep;39(9):1426-7. [Abstract \(http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15359406?tool=bestpractice.bmj.com\)](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15359406?tool=bestpractice.bmj.com)
9. Zens T, Nichol PF, Cartmill R, et al. Management of asymptomatic pediatric umbilical hernias: a systematic review. *J Pediatr Surg*. 2017 Nov;52(11):1723-31. [Abstract \(http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28778691?tool=bestpractice.bmj.com\)](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28778691?tool=bestpractice.bmj.com)

10. Morgan WW, White JJ, Stumbaugh S, et al. Prophylactic umbilical hernia repair in childhood to prevent adult incarceration. *Surg Clin North Am.* 1970 Aug;50(4):839-45. [Abstract \(http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/5449605?tool=bestpractice.bmj.com\)](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/5449605?tool=bestpractice.bmj.com)
11. Scherer LR 3rd, Grosfeld JL. Inguinal hernia and umbilical anomalies. *Pediatr Clin North Am.* 1993 Dec;40(6):1121-31. [Abstract \(http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8255618?tool=bestpractice.bmj.com\)](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8255618?tool=bestpractice.bmj.com)
12. Hills-Dunlap JL, Anandalwar SP, Kashtan MA, et al. Contemporary practice and perceptions surrounding the management of asymptomatic umbilical hernias in children: a survey of the American Pediatric Surgical Association. *J Pediatr Surg.* 2020 Oct;55(10):2052-7. [Abstract \(http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32122639?tool=bestpractice.bmj.com\)](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32122639?tool=bestpractice.bmj.com)
13. Halleran DR, Minneci PC, Cooper JN. Association between age and umbilical hernia repair outcomes in children: a multistate population-based cohort study. *J Pediatr.* 2020 Feb;217:125-30.e4. [Abstract \(http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31711762?tool=bestpractice.bmj.com\)](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31711762?tool=bestpractice.bmj.com)
14. Vrsansky P, Bourdelat D. Incarcerated umbilical hernia in children. *Pediatr Surg Int.* 1997;12(1):61-2. [Abstract \(http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9035214?tool=bestpractice.bmj.com\)](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9035214?tool=bestpractice.bmj.com)
15. Fall I, Sanou A, Ngom G, et al. Strangulated umbilical hernias in children. *Pediatr Surg Int.* 2006 Mar;22(3):233-5. [Abstract \(http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16435134?tool=bestpractice.bmj.com\)](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16435134?tool=bestpractice.bmj.com)
16. Weik J, Moores D. An unusual case of umbilical hernia rupture with evisceration. *J Pediatr Surg.* 2005 Apr;40(4):E33-5. [Abstract \(http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15852261?tool=bestpractice.bmj.com\)](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15852261?tool=bestpractice.bmj.com)

Images



Figure 1: Large umbilical hernia

Personal collection of Charles L. Snyder, MD; used with permission

Disclaimer

BMJ Best Practice is intended for licensed medical professionals. BMJ Publishing Group Ltd (BMJ) does not advocate or endorse the use of any drug or therapy contained within this publication nor does it diagnose patients. As a medical professional you retain full responsibility for the care and treatment of your patients and you should use your own clinical judgement and expertise when using this product.

This content is not intended to cover all possible diagnosis methods, treatments, follow up, drugs and any contraindications or side effects. In addition, since such standards and practices in medicine change as new data become available, you should consult a variety of sources. We strongly recommend that you independently verify specified diagnosis, treatments and follow-up and ensure it is appropriate for your patient within your region. In addition, with respect to prescription medication, you are advised to check the product information sheet accompanying each drug to verify conditions of use and identify any changes in dosage schedule or contraindications, particularly if the drug to be administered is new, infrequently used, or has a narrow therapeutic range. You must always check that drugs referenced are licensed for the specified use and at the specified doses in your region.

Information included in BMJ Best Practice is provided on an “as is” basis without any representations, conditions or warranties that it is accurate and up to date. BMJ and its licensors and licensees assume no responsibility for any aspect of treatment administered to any patients with the aid of this information. To the fullest extent permitted by law, BMJ and its licensors and licensees shall not incur any liability, including without limitation, liability for damages, arising from the content. All conditions, warranties and other terms which might otherwise be implied by the law including, without limitation, the warranties of satisfactory quality, fitness for a particular purpose, use of reasonable care and skill and non-infringement of proprietary rights are excluded.

Where BMJ Best Practice has been translated into a language other than English, BMJ does not warrant the accuracy and reliability of the translations or the content provided by third parties (including but not limited to local regulations, clinical guidelines, terminology, drug names and drug dosages). BMJ is not responsible for any errors and omissions arising from translation and adaptation or otherwise. Where BMJ Best Practice lists drug names, it does so by recommended International Nonproprietary Names (rINNs) only. It is possible that certain drug formularies might refer to the same drugs using different names.

Please note that recommended formulations and doses may differ between drug databases drug names and brands, drug formularies, or locations. A local drug formulary should always be consulted for full prescribing information.

Treatment recommendations in BMJ Best Practice are specific to patient groups. Care is advised when selecting the integrated drug formulary as some treatment recommendations are for adults only, and external links to a paediatric formulary do not necessarily advocate use in children (and vice-versa). Always check that you have selected the correct drug formulary for your patient.

Where your version of BMJ Best Practice does not integrate with a local drug formulary, you should consult a local pharmaceutical database for comprehensive drug information including contraindications, drug interactions, and alternative dosing before prescribing.

Interpretation of numbers

Regardless of the language in which the content is displayed, numerals are displayed according to the original English-language numerical separator standard. For example 4 digit numbers shall not include a comma nor a decimal point; numbers of 5 or more digits shall include commas; and numbers stated to be less than 1 shall be depicted using decimal points. See Figure 1 below for an explanatory table.

BMJ accepts no responsibility for misinterpretation of numbers which comply with this stated numerical separator standard.

This approach is in line with the guidance of the [International Bureau of Weights and Measures Service](#).

Figure 1 – BMJ Best Practice Numeral Style

5-digit numerals: 10,000

4-digit numerals: 1000

numerals < 1: 0.25

Our full website and application terms and conditions can be found here: [Website Terms and Conditions](#).

Contact us

+ 44 (0) 207 111 1105

support@bmj.com

BMJ

BMA House

Tavistock Square

London

WC1H 9JR

UK

BMJ Best Practice

Contributors:

// Authors:

Charles L. Snyder, MD

Professor of Surgery

Chief, Section of Surgery, University of Missouri-Kansas City, Children's Mercy Hospital, Kansas City, MO, USA

DISCLOSURES: CLS declares that he has no competing interests.

// Acknowledgements:

Dr Charles L. Snyder wishes to gratefully acknowledge Dr Charles M. Leys, a previous contributor to this topic.

DISCLOSURES: CML declares that he has no competing interests.

// Peer Reviewers:

Michael A. Skinner, MD

Professor and Vice Chairman

Pediatric Surgery, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, TX, USA

DISCLOSURES: MAS declares that he has no competing interests.

Bangalore S. Ramanand, MS, DNB, FRCS, MSC

Locum Consultant Surgeon

Glan Clwyd Hospital, Rhyl, UK

DISCLOSURES: BSR declares that he has no competing interests.

LS Wong, MB ChB

Consultant Surgeon

Honorary Associate, Professor of Surgery, University Hospitals Coventry, UK

DISCLOSURES: LSW declares that he has no competing interests.

Emmanuel Atta Agaba, MD, FRCS, FACS

Fellow in Minimally Invasive Surgery

Montefiore Medical Center at Albert Einstein College of Medicine, Bronx, New York City, NY, USA

DISCLOSURES: EAA declares that he has no competing interests.