

RevCom Review Checklist

IEEE SASB RevCom Review Checklist

(Please refer to the IEEE Standards Board Operations Manual)

1. Were any negative comments rejected and deemed out of scope of the standards balloting process before the ballot reached 75% approval?
2. Is there evidence of consideration of all comments and are responses provided for all revised and rejected comments?
3. Is there evidence in the ballot package (e.g., in the form of an email sent by the WG chair to each such negative voter) that negative balloters who submitted unrecirculated negative comments were informed of the reason why the comments were not required to be recirculated?
4. Was the resolution of any negative ballot(s) based on promise of a future change? [See *RevCom Convention 6.*]
5. Were comments from the following mandatory coordination entities accepted? If not, did the Standards Committee request an exception:
 - a) Editorial (mandatory)
 - b) RAC (mandatory if a Registration is required for the standard)
6. Have any post-balloting changes to the draft been promised? Only changes that are deemed editorial by Editorial Staff are allowed after balloting. [See *RevCom Convention 2.*]

Changes approved by RevCom on 19 September 2022.

