BMJ Open Botulinum toxin for the management of bruxism: an overview of reviews protocol

Manuella Salm Coelho,¹ Júlia Meller Dias de Oliveira,¹ Helena Polmann,² Patrícia Pauletto ¹,³ Cristine Miron Stefani,⁴ Lara Catarine De Luca Maciel,⁵ Graziela De Luca Canto Do 1

ABSTRACT

To cite: Coelho MS, Oliveira JMD. Polmann H. et al. Botulinum toxin for the management of bruxism: an overview of reviews protocol. BMJ Open 2024;14:e082861. doi:10.1136/ bmjopen-2023-082861

Prepublication history and additional supplemental material for this paper are available online. To view these files, please visit the journal online (https://doi.org/10.1136/ bmjopen-2023-082861).

Received 31 January 2024 Accepted 30 July 2024

Check for updates

C Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2024. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

¹Department of Dentistry, University of Santa Catarina, Florianópolis, Brazil ²Federal University of Santa Catarina, Florianopolis, Brazil ³Facultad de Odontología. Universidad de Las Americas, Quito, Ecuador ⁴Department of Dentistry, University of Brasilia, Brasilia, Federal District, Brazil ⁵Queen Mary University of London, London, UK

Correspondence to

Dr Patrícia Pauletto: patricia.pauletto@udla.edu.ec

Introduction Bruxism is characterised by a repetitive activity in the masticatory muscles that involves teeth clenching or grinding and/or forceful mandibular movements. Its management is typically initiated when individuals start experiencing the adverse effects of the condition. One of the available intervention forms is the administration of botulinum toxin type A (BoNT-A). Numerous systematic reviews have addressed the use of BoNT-A to manage bruxism; however, the results are controversial. The current overview aims to determine BoNT-A's effectiveness for managing bruxism in relation to placebo, the absence of treatment or alternative interventions in the adult population.

Methods and analysis This study will include systematic reviews (SRs), with or without meta-analysis, aiming to evaluate the efficacy of BoNT-A for bruxism in adults. A broad literature search will be carried out on Cochrane Library, EMBASE, LILACS, Livivo, PubMed/MEDLINE, Scopus, Web of Science and the grey literature. Experts in the topic and reference lists of included SRs will also be consulted. The study selection will be conducted in two phases by two independent reviewers. Data collection will be performed by one author and cross-checked by another. The methodological quality of included SRs will be evaluated using AMSTAR-II. A narrative synthesis will be employed as the formal method to combine individual study data. The overlap across studies will be quantified by the corrected covered area and illustrated by the Graphical Representation of Overlap for Overviews.

Ethics and dissemination This overview does not require ethics approval, as it uses secondary data from previously published studies. The results will be disseminated through the publication in a high-impact journal. OSF of registration DOI: 10.17605/0SF.IO/RB45T.

INTRODUCTION

Bruxism is characterised by repetitive masticatory muscle activity involving clenching or grinding teeth and forceful mandibular movements.¹ Based on the patient's state of consciousness, this condition can be categorised into two forms: sleep bruxism (SB) and awake bruxism (AB).² The prevalence rates for SB and AB in adults have been

STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS OF THIS STUDY

- \Rightarrow The researchers described the protocol according to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis Protocols guidelines.
- \Rightarrow The authors will conduct a broad literature search across seven databases, grey literature, reference lists of included studies and experts in the field and an experienced health sciences librarian assisted in the development of the search strategy.
- \Rightarrow Using multiple reviewers while screening abstracts and full texts enhances the likelihood of identifying the most pertinent studies.
- ⇒ AMSTAR II will be used to assess the methodological quality of the systematic reviews included.
- \Rightarrow A limitation of this overview is the potential heterogeneity of the included systematic reviews regarding eligibility criteria.

Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mi reported to range from 8-31% and 22-31%, respectively.³⁻⁶

ng, Evidence indicates that a combination of ≥ biological, psychological and lifestyle factors may contribute to bruxism. These include sleep disruptions, genetic predisposition, it he activity of specific neurotransmitters and g habits like caffeine and alcohol consumption, smoking and drug abuse, as well as emotional simi stress and anxiety, and the use of certain medications.^{7–13}

Bruxism management is typically initi-ated when individuals start experiencing the adverse effects of the condition,⁷ such as tooth wear, dental fractures, restoration \mathbf{G} failure, masseter and temporalis hypertrophy, **B** headaches and periodontal alterations.^{13–19} Interventions for bruxism include occlusal appliances (oral splinting), pharmacological therapies, biofeedback therapies, cannabidiol and miscellaneous therapies (eg, prosthetic rehabilitation and psychological therapies).⁹¹²¹⁷^{20–22} One of the pharmacological therapies available is the administration of botulinum toxin type A (BoNT-A),

which inhibits the release of acetylcholine from presynaptic nerve endings in striated muscle, causing temporary muscle paralysis.^{23 24}

Reviews of systematic reviews are referred to by various names in the scientific literature, including umbrella reviews, overviews of reviews, reviews of reviews, summaries of systematic reviews and syntheses of reviews. Fundamentally, they all share the same defining characteristic: a systematic review (SR) is the main, and often the sole, 'study type' considered for inclusion.^{25–27} So, an overview is a comprehensive, systematic and critical summary of published SRs, which encompass multiple interventions or epidemiological studies.^{28¹}The extensive perspective provided by an overview is ideal for determining whether the evidence base surrounding a topic or question is consistent or contradictory. In the case of discrepant findings, it is also ideal for exploring and detailing the reasons for such discrepancies.²

Previous overviews have reviewed the literature in search of alternative management options for bruxism; however, none of them were specific about the efficacy of botulinum toxin.^{7 16 20 22 29} Furthermore, previous systematic reviews have shown controversy in their results,^{22 30-41} so an overview was undertaken to determine BoNT-A's effectiveness for managing bruxism in adults.

METHODS AND ANALYSIS

An overview protocol based on the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses Protocols⁴² was developed and registered at the Open Science Framework platform⁴³ (online supplemental appendix 1). The study will be reported following the Preferred Reporting Items for Overviews of Reviews statement.⁴⁴

Patient and public involvement

This study does not involve patients. The data obtained are from previous systematic reviews.

Research question

The Participants, Intervention, Control, Outcomes and Study design (PICOS) mnemonic was used as a guide to identify the research question. Box 1 represents the structuring of PICOS used in the review question: 'Is BoNT-A effective for bruxism management in adults?'.

Box 1 'PICOS' mnemonic as a guide to identifying the research question.

Participants: adults with bruxism

Intervention: botulinum toxin type A

Control: placebo, no treatment or other therapy

Outcomes:

Primary: reduction in the number of bruxism events in the masseter and temporal muscles

Secondary: changes in pain, functional movement and maximum bite force

Study design: systematic reviews with or without meta-analysis

Criteria for qualifying as a systematic review. Box 2

- In order to be considered a systematic review, the study must:
- 1. Have a clearly stated set of objectives with predefined eligibility criteria for studies
- 2. Have conducted a systematic search that attempted to identify all studies that met the stated eligibility criteria
- 3. Have employed an explicit, reproducible methodology
- 4 Have assessed the validity of the findings of the included studies through the risk of bias assessment, for example
- Have systematically presented and synthesised the characteristics 5. and findings of the included studies

Source: Adapted from the Cochrane Handbook for SRs of Interventions.⁴⁵

Eligibility criteria

Study design

Protected by copyright, inc SRs, with or without meta-analysis, that evaluate the efficacy of BoNT-A will be included. To be considered an SR, the study must have employed systematic and explicit methods, following the minimum criteria outlined in Z Box 2 of the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews ₫ of Interventions.⁴⁵ No time and language restrictions will be applied.

- The exclusion criteria will be:
- 1. SR encompassing nonadult age groups;
- 2. SR that included cases of bruxism caused by or associated with psychological or neurological disorders; cases of bruxism in patients with previous diagnosis of articular joint disorders; and patients who used this ther-apy to treat other conditions or for aesthetic purposes;
- 3. SR in which the BoNT-A was applied with other therapies (cointerventions), and it is not possible to isolate the effect of BoNT-A;
- 4. Publication types apart from SRs, such as primary studies, editorials, letters to the editor, case reports, conference papers and proceedings, book chapters, preprints and patents.

Type of participants

People over 18 years old with bruxism (sleep or awake), regardless of sex, race, ethnic origin, and setting, will be accepted. Bruxism must be diagnosed through clinical inspection, self-report and/or instrumental assessment (polysomnography or electromyography).²

Type of intervention

technologies The intervention's effects will be evaluated by comparing:

- BoNT-A versus placebo therapy
- BoNT-A versus no treatment;
- BoNT-A versus other interventions alone (eg, occlusal splints, medications and transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation).

Outcomes

Reduction of bruxism events

The number of bruxism events must be measured by electromyography and expressed as the number of rhythmic

uses related

6

, ►

l training, and

<u>0</u>

BMJ Open: first published as 10.1136/bmjopen-2023-082861 on 10 October 2024. Downloaded from http://bmjopen.bmj.com/ on April 26, 2025 at Department GEZ-LTA Erasmushogeschool

masticatory muscle activity (RMMA) episodes (N) and/or the duration of the RMMA episode.

Changes in pain intensity

The pain must be measured using a visual analogue scale (VAS)—0–10, 0 no pain, 10 maximum pain—or another objective validated instrument. If more than one scale is used, VAS results will be the priority.

Secondary

Change in functional movement

Range of motion and changes in function (jaw movements) are as follows: assessed by objective measures (measured using a ruler or a calliper, expressing the range of motion in millimetres or centimetres) for the following movements: maximum mouth opening (passive and active), lateral movement (left and right) and protrusive movement.

Maximum bite force reduction

The maximum occlusal force (kg) and the maximum bite force (kg) are measured by objective measures or validated tests.

Information sources and search strategy

With the assistance of an experienced health sciences librarian, search strategies were developed (online supplemental appendix 2). The search will be applied to seven databases (Cochrane Library, Embase, LILACS, Livivo, PubMed/MEDLINE, Scopus and Web of Science). Additionally, a search for grey literature will be conducted on Google Scholar and ProQuest Dissertation and Theses Global. Hand searches of bibliographies from included studies and key journals will be conducted, and experts will be consulted to identify additional relevant studies.

DATA MANAGEMENT

The search will be carried out, and its results will be imported into a reference software manager (EndNote X9; Thomson Reuters, Philadelphia, PA, USA),⁴⁶ where the duplicates will be removed. Then, one unique file will be exported to Rayyan Online Software (Qatar Computing Research Institute, Qatar)⁴⁷ for screening.

Selection process

The study selection process will involve two independent reviewers (MSC and JMDdO) and will be conducted in two phases. During the initial phase, the identified SRs will undergo an initial screening process based on their titles and abstracts. In the second phase, SRs that passed the initial screening stage will undergo a full-text assessment. If any disagreements arise, the involvement of the third reviewer (HP) will be sought to reach a final decision.

Data collection process

One independent reviewer (MSC) will collect data from the selected articles. Subsequently, a second reviewer will cross-check the retrieved information (JMDdO). In the event of any disagreement, the reviewers will discuss and resolve it. When essential data for the review are absent or unclear, attempts will be made to contact the study's corresponding author to resolve or clarify the issue. If there is no response after three contact attempts within 3weeks, the data will be recorded as 'data missing or unclear'.

Data items

The following variables will be extracted:

- Study characteristics (author, country and year)
- Objectives
- Protected by copyright, including for uses related to text and data mining, AI training, and Participants characteristics (number, age, description of the condition (bruxism) and criteria of bruxism diagnosis)
- Intervention and comparison (site, drug and follow-up)
- Results for each outcome:
- Conclusions
- Funding
- Conflict of interest
- Protocol registry
- Searched databases
- Additional literature search
- Number of randomised controlled trials (RCTs) and/ or non-RCTs included
- Risk of bias assessment tools
- Meta-analysis development
- Use of the Grading of Recommendations Assessment and Evaluation approach;
- Compatibility with a Cochrane review
- Publication bias

Risk of bias assessment

The methodological quality of the included systematic reviews will be assessed through AMSTAR-II.⁴⁸

SYNTHESIS METHODS

A narrative synthesis will be employed as the formal method to combine individual SR data. The results will be illustrated using tables, colour charts and figures. When reviewing the results of included SRs, data from duplicated primary studies may be analysed repeatedly.49 50 To mitigate this, the overlap among SR will be quantified nologies using the corrected covered area and illustrated through the Graphical Representation of Overlap for Overviews.⁴⁹

Acknowledgements The authors would like to thank the librarian MSc Karyn Munyk Lehmkuhl for her support in developing the search strategy. MSc Júlia Meller Dias de Oliveira is supported by the Foundation for Research of Santa Catarina (FAPESC). Graziela De Luca Canto and Manuella Salm Coelho are supported by National Council for Scientific and Technological Development (CNPg).

Contributors This protocol was carefully produced, concluded, reviewed and approved with the communion of all authors. MSC worked on study conceptualisation, study design, data collection, data analysis and the initial manuscript draft and approved the final manuscript as submitted. JMDdO worked on study conceptualisation, study design and data collection and approved the final manuscript as submitted. HP worked on study conceptualisation, study

design and data collection and approved the final manuscript as submitted. PP worked on study conceptualisation, study design, data collection and data analysis and approved the final manuscript as submitted. CMS worked on study conceptualisation, study design and data collection and approved the final manuscript as submitted. LCDLM worked on study conceptualisation, study design, data analysis and the manuscript critical revision and approved the final manuscript as submitted. GDLC worked on study conceptualisation, data analysis and the critical manuscript revision and approved the final manuscript as submitted. Guarantor: GDI C.

Funding The authors have not declared a specific grant for this research from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Competing interests None declared.

Patient and public involvement Patients and/or the public were not involved in the design, or conduct, or reporting, or dissemination plans of this research.

Patient consent for publication Not applicable.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Supplemental material This content has been supplied by the author(s). It has not been vetted by BMJ Publishing Group Limited (BMJ) and may not have been peer-reviewed. Any opinions or recommendations discussed are solely those of the author(s) and are not endorsed by BMJ. BMJ disclaims all liability and responsibility arising from any reliance placed on the content. Where the content includes any translated material, BMJ does not warrant the accuracy and reliability of the translations (including but not limited to local regulations, clinical guidelines, terminology, drug names and drug dosages), and is not responsible for any error and/or omissions arising from translation and adaptation or otherwise.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/.

ORCID iDs

Patrícia Pauletto http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1762-7059 Graziela De Luca Canto http://orcid.org/0000-0002-7986-8317

REFERENCES

- Lobbezoo F, Ahlberg J, Glaros AG, et al. Bruxism defined and graded: an international consensus. J Oral Rehabil 2013;40:2-4.
- Lobbezoo F, Ahlberg J, Raphael KG, et al. International consensus 2 on the assessment of bruxism: report of a work in progress. J Oral Rehabil 2018;45:837-44.
- 3 Manfredini D, Winocur E, Guarda-Nardini L, et al. Epidemiology of bruxism in adults: a systematic review of the literature. J Orofac Pain 2013;27:99-110.
- Maluly M, Andersen ML, Dal-Fabbro C, et al. Polysomnographic study of the prevalence of sleep bruxism in a population sample. J Dent Res 2013;92:97S-103S.
- 5 Lavigne GJ, Khoury S, Abe S, et al. Bruxism physiology and pathology: an overview for clinicians. J Oral Rehabil 2008;35:476-94. de Oliveira JMD, Pauletto P, Massignan C, et al. Prevalence of awake
- bruxism: a systematic review. J Dent (Shiraz) 2023;138:104715. 7 Beddis H, Pemberton M, Davies S. Sleep bruxism: an overview for
- clinicians. Br Dent J 2018;225:497-501. Bertazzo-Silveira E, Kruger CM, Porto De Toledo I, et al. Association
- between sleep bruxism and alcohol, caffeine, tobacco, and drug abuse: a systematic review. J Am Dent Assoc 2016;147:859-66. Carra MC, Huynh N, Fleury B, et al. Overview on sleep bruxism for 9
- sleep medicine clinicians. Sleep Med Clin 2015;10:375-84. Polmann H, Domingos FL, Melo G, et al. Association between sleep 10
- bruxism and anxiety symptoms in adults: a systematic review. J Oral Rehabil 2019;46:482-91.
- Polmann H, Réus JC, Massignan C, et al. Association between sleep 11 bruxism and stress symptoms in adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Oral Rehabil 2021;48:621-31.
- 12 Lobbezoo F, Verhoeff MC, Ahlberg J, et al. A century of bruxism research in top-ranking medical journals. Cephalalgia Rep 2024;7:25158163241235574.
- Thomas DC, Manfredini D, Patel J, et al. Sleep bruxism: the past, 13 the present, and the future-evolution of a concept. J Am Dent Assoc 2024;155:329-43.

- Lima LSR, Guedes JL de S. Toxina Botulínica em Odontologia. Rev 14 Bras Odontol 2020:1-8.
- 15 Mainieri VC, Saueressig AC, Fagondes SC, et al. Analysis of the effects of a mandibular advancement device on sleep bruxism using polysomnography, the BiteStrip, the sleep assessment questionnaire, and occlusal force. Int J Prosthodont 2014;27:119-26
- Matusz K, Maciejewska-Szaniec Z, Gredes T, et al. Common 16 therapeutic approaches in sleep and awake bruxism - an overview. Neurol Neurochir Pol 2022;56:455-63.
- 17 Melo G, Duarte J, Pauletto P, et al. Bruxism: an umbrella review of systematic reviews. J Oral Rehabil 2019;46:666–90.
- de Souza Melo G, Batistella EÂ, Bertazzo-Silveira E, et al. Association of sleep bruxism with ceramic restoration failure: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Prosthet Dent 2018;119:354-62.
- 19 Réus JC. Polmann H. Mendes Souza BD. et al. Association between primary headache and bruxism: an updated systematic review. J Oral Facial Pain Headache 2021;35:129–38.
- 20 Bussadori SK, Motta LJ, Horliana ACRT, et al. The current trend in management of bruxism and chronic pain: an overview of systematic reviews. J Pain Res 2020;13:2413-21.
- 21 Honnef LR, Pauletto P, Conti Réus J, et al. Effects of stabilization splints on the signs and symptoms of temporomandibular disorders of muscular origin: a systematic review. Cranio 2022;1-12.
- 22 Manfredini D, Ahlberg J, Winocur E, et al. Management of sleep bruxism in adults: a qualitative systematic literature review. J Oral Rehabil 2015;42:862–74.
- 23 Nigam PK, Nigam A. Botulinum toxin. Indian J Dermatol 2010;55:8-14.
- 24 Serrera-Figallo M-A, Ruiz-de-León-Hernández G, Torres-Lagares D, et al. Use of botulinum toxin in orofacial clinical practice. Toxins (Basel) 2020;12:112.
- 25 Becker LA, Oxman AD. 22 overviews of reviews. In: Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of interventions. The Cochrane Collaboration, 2008: 607-31.
- 26 Hartling L, Chisholm A, Thomson D, et al. A descriptive analysis of overviews of reviews published between 2000 and 2011. PLoS One 2012:7:e49667
- 27 Smith V, Devane D, Begley CM, et al. Methodology in conducting a systematic review of systematic reviews of healthcare interventions. BMC Med Res Methodol 2011;11:15:1-6:.
- 28 Belbasis L, Bellou V, Ioannidis JPA. Conducting umbrella reviews. BMJ Med 2022:1:e000071.
- Soares-Silva L, de Amorim CS, Magno MB, et al. Effects of different 29 interventions on bruxism: an overview of systematic reviews. Sleep Breath 2024;28:1465–76.
- 30 Ågren M. Sahin C. Pettersson M. The effect of botulinum toxin injections on bruxism: a systematic review. J Oral Rehabil 2020;47:395-402.
- 31 De la Torre Canales G, Câmara-Souza MB, do Amaral CF, et al. Is there enough evidence to use botulinum toxin injections for bruxism management? A systematic literature review. Clin Oral Investig 2017;21:727-34
- Chen Y, Tsai C-H, Bae TH, et al. Effectiveness of botulinum toxin 32 injection on bruxism: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Aesthetic Plast Surg 2023;47:775-90.
- 33 Cheng Y, Yuan L, Ma L, et al. Efficacy of botulinum-A for nocturnal bruxism pain and the occurrence of bruxism events: a meta-analysis and systematic review. Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2022;60:174-82.
- 34 Fernández-Núñez T, Amghar-Maach S, Gay-Escoda C. Efficacy of botulinum toxin in the treatment of bruxism: systematic review. Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal 2019;24:e416:e416-24:.
- Long H, Liao Z, Wang Y, et al. Efficacy of botulinum toxins on 35 bruxism: an evidence-based review. Int Dent J 2012;62:1-5.
- 36 Miron MI, Ciora E, Vedinas T, et al. Therapeutic approaches to nocturnal bruxism - a systematic review. Arch Balk Med Union 2022;57:372-83.
- 37 Patel J, Cardoso JA, Mehta S. A systematic review of botulinum toxin in the management of patients with temporomandibular disorders and bruxism. Br Dent J 2019;226:667-72.
- 38 Rajamoorthy SN. Botulinum toxin-A injections into facial muscles for the treatment of temporomandibular disorders and bruxism: a systematic review. J Popul Ther Clin Pharmaco 2023;30:428-52.
- 39 Sendra LA, Montez C, Vianna KC, et al. Clinical outcomes of botulinum toxin type A injections in the management of primary bruxism in adults: a systematic review. J Prosthet Dent 2021:126:33-40.
- 40 Sendra LA, Azeredo Alves Antunes L, Barboza EP. Use of botulinum neurotoxin Type A in the management of primary bruxism in adults: an updated systematic review. J Prosthet Dent 2024;132:93-9.

similar technologies.

Protected

by copyright, including for uses related to text and

9

- 41 Zhang A-B, Zhang J-Y, Zhou X, et al. Can botulinum toxin injection alleviate the pain of bruxism? A Bayesian network analysis and a single-arm analysis. J Dent Sci 2024;19:885–93.
- 42 Shamseer L, Moher D, Clarke M, et al. Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015: elaboration and explanation. BMJ 2015;350:g7647.
- 43 Salm Coelho M, Pauletto P, Polmann H, et al. Botulinum toxin for the management of bruxism: an overview of reviews. 2023. Available: https://doi.org/10.17605/OSF.IO/RB45T
- 44 Gates M, Gates A, Pieper D, et al. Reporting guideline for overviews of reviews of healthcare interventions: development of the PRIOR statement. BMJ 2022;378:e070849.
- 45 Julian PTH, Green S. Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of interventions: Version 5.1. 0. Cochrane Collaboration, 2011.

- 46 Moon YL, Park SH. EndNote X7 for medical writing. J Korean Fract Soc 2014;27:237.
- 47 Ouzzani M, Hammady H, Fedorowicz Z, *et al*. Rayyan-a web and mobile app for systematic reviews. *Syst Rev* 2016;5:210.
- 48 Shea BJ, Reeves BC, Wells G, et al. AMSTAR 2: a critical appraisal tool for systematic reviews that include randomised or nonrandomised studies of healthcare interventions, or both. BMJ 2017;358:j4008.
- 49 Bracchiglione J, Meza N, Pérez-Carrasco I, *et al*. A methodological review finds mismatch between overall and pairwise overlap analysis in a sample of overviews. *J Clin Epidemiol* 2023;159:31–9.
- 50 Pollock M, Fernandes RM, Becker LA, *et al.* What guidance is available for researchers conducting overviews of reviews of healthcare interventions? A scoping review and qualitative metasummary. *Syst Rev* 2016;5:190.