

## A Qualitative Study of Self-Esteem, Peer Affiliation, and Academic Outcome among Low Achieving Students in Hong Kong

Chi-hung LEUNG

*The Hong Kong Institute of Education*

Eudora CHOI

*Hong Kong & Kowloon Chiu Chow Public Association Ma Chung Shum Secondary School*

### Abstract

*Background:* A limited amount of research has been conducted on children and adolescents who are low achievers. In Hong Kong, educators describe low achieving students in terms of academic performance, they seldom focus on socio-emotional aspects, such as self-esteem, peer affiliation, and inter-personal relationships. However, low achieving students could also be described differently in different contexts. Students who experience difficulties academically are characterized as having difficulties in studying, completing assignments and organizing information. Therefore, this study attempts to explore the impact of the transitions from primary school to secondary school among low achieving students on their peer affiliation and the reciprocal effect of self-esteem and academic outcomes, using data triangulation and methodological triangulation (different methods, i.e., observation, journal entries, portfolios and interviews) (Denzin, 1978).

*Aims:* This study examined the effects of a peer support programme in smoothing the transition to adolescence and secondary school for students in the first year of secondary school by enhancing self-esteem, peer affiliations and academic performance.

*Sample:* Participants in the study were students from a secondary school in Hong Kong. Seven form-one students participated three times weekly in groups facilitated by a trainer and older students.

*Method:* The methods of data triangulation and time series design were employed. Data was collected from teacher interviews, observational field notes, inter-rater checklists, and conversations with students.

*Results:* The results suggested that the peer support programme has significant effects on students' academic outcomes and peer affiliations.

*Conclusion:* Three major findings arise from this investigation. First, the overall effectiveness of the peer support programme could enhance the students' academic performance and peer affiliations, this was agreed by the participants, teachers, trainer and researcher. Second, the school plays an important role in young adolescents' lives. Relationships between teachers and students could improve if there is more peer interaction. Third, a warm and supportive network has been established among the participants. The in-group bonding among the young students was very strong, so as to equip them with better social skills and positive interpersonal relationships with other classmates.

Keywords: Peer Affiliation, Self-esteem, low-achieving students

## 香港低成就學生自尊心、朋輩親和性、及學術成果的質性研究

梁智能

香港教育學院

蔡詠彤

港九潮州公會馬松深中學

### 摘要

*背景：*對於低成就的兒童及青少年的研究很有限。在香港，教育學家以學術表現的依據來形容低成就的學生。他們較少專注於社交情緒方面，例如自尊心、朋輩親和性、及人際關係。然而，在不同範疇，低成就的學生亦能被予以不同的描述。學術上遇到困難的學生通常被界定為於學習上、完成作業、及組織訊息方面有困難。因此，是次研究嘗試探討由小學升上中學的過渡，對於低成就學生的同儕親和性的影響，及自尊心和學術成果的相互影響；並運用了三角驗證法及研究整合法（不同方法：觀察、日誌、歷程檔案、和訪問）（Denzin, 1978）。

*目的：*是次研究測試一個朋輩互助計劃透過提升中一學生的自尊心、朋輩親和性、及學術成果，而順利過渡

小學升上中學階段的影響。

樣本：是次研究的受試者是香港的中學生。7位中一學生參與每星期三次由一位訓練員及一位高年級學生給予援助的小組。

方法：使用了三角驗證法及時間系列。數據由導師訪問、現場觀察記錄、評分者間量表、及與學生的對話收集得來。

結果：研究結果顯示朋輩互助計劃對學術成果及朋輩親和性有顯著性影響。

結論：是次研究得出三個主要發現：第一，朋輩互助計劃的整體影響性能提升學生的學術成果及朋輩親和性，此結論被受試者、導師、訓練員、和研究員所認同；第二，學校對於青少年的生活發揮重要的作用，多些朋輩互動能改善師生關係；第三，受試者之間已建立了一個溫暖和支援性的網絡，年輕學生之間的組間聯繫很緊密，以便讓他們裝備更好的社交技巧和與其他同學間建立正面人際關係。

**關鍵詞：**朋輩親和性、自尊心、低成就學生

## Introduction

In Hong Kong, the general expectation of academic achievement is extremely high, and much appreciation and attention are given to the best students in the classroom (Salili, Lai & Leung, 2004). Tong and Clem (2007), writing in the *South China Morning Post*, stated that the Hong Kong education system did not provide enough opportunities for low-achieving students. During critical stages in their educational careers, the rank of schools often classifies students' levels based on their academic performance. Low-achieving (LA) students are, in one way or another, still being neglected and misunderstood for not trying hard enough and left without support and guidance by their parents and teachers (Chen, Chen, Kaspar & Noh, 2000).

A limited amount of research has been conducted on children and adolescents who are low achievers. In Hong Kong, educators describe LA students in terms of academic performance, they seldom focus on socio-emotional aspects, such as self-esteem, peer affiliation, and inter-personal relationships. However, LA students could also be described differently in different contexts. Students who experience difficulties academically are characterized as having difficulties in studying, completing assignments and

organizing information. In terms of socio-emotional aspects, students may experience difficulties in interpersonal relationships with peers and adults and they are likely to engage in rule-breaking behaviours. In terms of motivational aspects, these students do not see the benefits of their efforts and have difficulty in setting and attaining goals (Akos & Galassi, 2004). LA students seem to display lower self-esteem and more behavioural problems than average-achieving or high-achieving students (Ledingham & Schwartzman, 1984). Humphrey et al. (2004) found differences between high - and low-achieving students in a variety of constructs, including academic self-esteem, mood/affect, and global self-esteem. There is evidence to suggest that positive self-esteem can facilitate desirable academic achievement (Chapman, 1988; Marsh, Bryne & Yeung, 1999). The most widely accepted model of the primary relationship between self-esteem and educational outcomes is that it is reciprocal (Marsh & Yeung, 1998). Peer relationships have been identified as a context for the development of self-esteem, social competence, and academic achievement (Hartup, 1996; Ladd, 1999). Peer relationships may also provide adolescents with opportunities for intimate disclosure, validation, support and security (Furman & Robins, 1985;

Ladd, 1999), which might be deficient or lacking in parent-adolescent relationships. Newman and Newman (1976) postulated that peer affiliation is uniformly high during early adolescence, and being accepted by peers is essential to maintaining positive self-esteem. However, changes in peer affiliation indicated that adolescents' social contacts with peers initially decreased from primary to the beginning of middle school but recovered by the end of the year (Pellegrini & Bartini, 2001). Secondly, peer-based strategies have been widely used in all kinds of educational settings over the last few decades (Cartwright, 2007; Cowie et al., 2002). It is recognized that peer support is a useful approach (Bond & Castagnera, 2006; Snell & Janney, 2000) in inclusive education. Most researchers (Bond & Castagnera, 2006; Haager & Vaughn, 1995; Snell & Janney, 2000; Valas, 1999) have focused on the application of a peer support approach for students with learning disabilities but with less emphasis on LA students. Thirdly, the measures of peer affiliation and self-esteem in the previous findings (Alves-Martins et al., 2002; Jenkins, 1996; Valas, 1999) were all self-reported by adolescents. When using self-reported data one should always be aware that social desirability response biases skew individuals' reports (Lansford et al., 2003).

Therefore, this study attempts to explore the impact of the transitions from primary school to secondary school among LA students on their peer affiliation and the reciprocal effect of self-esteem and academic outcomes, using data triangulation (different times and different reporters) and methodological triangulation (different methods, i.e., observation, journal entries, portfolios and interviews).

Indeed, many LA students in the past and even today have had their academic needs neglected by their parents and the school system. In order to help

society gain awareness, through this study, there is a need to allow the LA students to articulate their hidden feelings and take off their invisible masks.

#### *Research background*

This study took place in a secondary school which provides a peer support programme for students in the transition from primary school who

Research background

This study took place in a secondary school which provides a peer support programme for students in the transition from primary school who have difficulties with school adjustment. Fourteen students were referred by their teachers to participate in this peer support programme, which ran from early December to late April 2008. Seven of the students, from a senior form, were recruited as student mentors and the other seven pupils were all in secondary form one, but with diverse backgrounds. This study aimed to develop a better understanding about the complex world of LA students (Zambo, 2004) and how the peer support programme, in terms of the interaction with teachers and peers, may influence these students both personally and interpersonally (Chen, French, & Schneider, 2006).

#### **Literature Review**

It is generally accepted that the most remarkable social phenomenon of adolescence is affiliation with peer groups. Peers increasingly play an important role during the adolescent period among the lives of young people (Azmitia, Ittel & Radmacher, 2005; Wigfield, Lutz & Wagner, 2005). As adolescents make the transition to high school, peer networks increase, and peer affiliation becomes an important aspect of peer relations (La Greca & Printsein, 1999). Also during adolescence, close friends begin to surpass parents as adolescents' primary source of social support and contribute in important ways to adolescents' self-esteem and well-being (La Greca &

Harrison, 2005). Positive peer affiliation is essential to maintaining positive self-esteem (Brown & Lohr, 1987). The primary relationship between self-esteem and educational outcomes is one of reciprocal effects (Marsh & Yeung, 1998), and Zimmerman (2003) indicated that peer effects are strongly associated with SAT scores. Since peer affiliation affects both students' self-esteem and academic outcomes, therefore, it is believed that a peer support programme which could promote students' self-esteem and academic outcomes with peer affiliation is worth investigating.

#### *Peer affiliations in adolescence*

Peer affiliation has been defined in terms of the number of reciprocally nominated friends, 'like most' nominations, and 'isolate' nominations (Pellegrini & Bartini, 2000). Friendship quality and peer affiliation serve as protective factors for adolescents exposed to unilateral parental decision making and this peer group affiliation serve as a buffer for adolescents exposed to low supervision and awareness of their needs (Schmidt & Bagwell, 2007).

#### *Self-esteem in adolescence*

Self-esteem may be most vulnerable during the early phase of secondary school (Akos & Galassi, 2004; Lohaus, Elben, Ball & Klein-Hessling, 2004). This seems to be particularly true because early adolescents experience several transitions during this period in terms of changes of school, and changes in family and peer relations (Akos, 2002; Chen, French & Schneider, 2006). During the period of early adolescence, the desire for a positive quality of friendship and peer acceptance are always the major concerns.

Numerous research findings have confirmed the link between self-esteem and young adolescents' peer affiliation (Brown, 2004; Hoffman, Ushpiz & Levy-Shiff, 2005; Thomas & Daubman, 2001). Peers play

a very significant role in adolescents' self-esteem; the individual who has higher self-esteem is characterized as having positive peer affiliations (Berndt, 2002).

Humphrey et al. (2004) found that LA children perceived academic competence, social acceptance, athletic competence, and physical appearance to be more important than did high achieving children. High-achieving pupils perceived behavioral conduct to be significantly more important did LA children. Academic competence was significantly more likely to be a negative source of self-esteem for LA pupils than it was for high-achieving pupils. However, the most pertinent of these was the finding that academic competence was significantly more important to the LA group than it was to the high-achieving group (Alves-Martins et al., 2002; Humphrey et al., 2004). High-achieving pupils are more likely to take their academic competence for granted. In McPherson and Schapiro's study (1990), the increase in learning achieved by moving a weak (LA) student to a peer-rich environment exceeds the loss in learning from moving a strong student to a peer-poor environment. Peer affiliation plays an essential role to enhance students' self-esteem and academic outcomes.

#### *Peer affiliation and self-esteem in adolescence*

The interplay of peer and family influences may be especially salient during early adolescence for two reasons. First, as children enter adolescence, they spend increasingly more time with peers than in previous years (Larson & Richards, 1991). Second, the nature of peer relationships changes during adolescence (Berndt, 1996); there is an increase in intimate disclosure among friends (Berndt & Savin-Williams, 1993), and cliques become more defined (Croclett, Losoff & Petersen, 1984). When adolescents go through the developmental crisis, school serves as a social context that enables early adolescents to shape the quality and character of their identities as students (Azmitia, Ittel & Radmacher,

2005; Roeser & Lau, 2002). Peer feedback may enhance or depreciate their self-esteem. Self-esteem is heavily influenced by one's position within the peer group. Higher peer-rated status is associated with both higher self-esteem and greater salience attributed to peer affiliation (Cooley, 1902).

#### *Peer support programmes for youth*

Over the last few decades, peer-based strategies have been widely used in all kinds of educational settings (Cartwright, 2007; Cowie et al., 2002). It is recognized that peer support is a useful approach in inclusive education (Bond & Castagnera, 2006; Snell & Janney, 2000). However, previous studies and their findings have placed little emphasis on LA students.

As previously mentioned, early adolescents' self-esteem may be particularly vulnerable at transition times in the middle school years. Peers have a powerful impact on a student's academic experience, social skills and self-esteem (Cowie et al., 2002; Ryan, 2001). In peer support programmes, students can be developed into finding a caring, supportive and sharing atmosphere in school (Colvin, 2007). Research studies (Johnson, 2008; Shore, Toyokawa & Anderson 2008) indicated that peer mentoring could apply in a wide range of school levels; the aim is to help the first-year students to cope with the school transition effectively so as to enhance their social skills and academic performance (Budge, 2006; Rhodes & Lowe, 2008). Therefore, the peer support programme provides a secure and warm learning atmosphere with clear guidance for the students helping them to adapt to the new environment quickly.

In relation to the peer support programme, peer tutoring has a positive impact on academic outcomes in secondary settings (Budge, 2006) such as reading, spelling and writing (Cooper, 2007; Medcalf, Glynn & Moore, 2004; Mastropieri & Scruggs, 2007). Based

on the qualities of the peer support programme, this present study aims to investigate the effectiveness of a peer support programme in enhancing young adolescents' peer affiliations, self-esteem and academic performance.

#### *Purpose of the study*

Previous findings have often shown that the peer support programme has a significant effect on the peer relationship, self-esteem and academic outcomes separately and specifically. Based on the previous findings, when measuring the effectiveness of the programme, the sample size was too large, with a wide age group (Dillon & Swinbourne, 2007; Reddy, Rhodes & Mulhall, 2003).

Measuring peer effects is difficult. Student outcomes depend on a myriad of factors other than the characteristics of one's peers, while it is also important to acknowledge the individual's characteristics. Therefore, the following study will use data triangulation (different times and different reporters) and methodological triangulation (different methods, i.e., observation, journal entries, portfolios, and interviews) to study how the peer support programme enables them to gain positive self-esteem and better academic performance.

## **Method**

We undertook this study at a millennium secondary school in Hong Kong. We collected data from participant observation, journal entries (conversations with students and trainers), students' portfolios of written work, rating checklists by the trainers and researchers, and interviews with teachers followed by questionnaires.

#### *Participants*

The study used a time-series design with a sample of seven participants (five girls and two boys) coming from a Band 3 secondary school aged

between 12 and 13 years enrolled in the Peer Support Programme. Due to problems they were having with school adjustment and academic problem (most of them are bottom 10 students), these seven first-year students were recommended by their class teachers to attend this programme. Another seven students, aged between 15 and 17 years, were recruited from senior forms to act as student mentors. The leader of the peer support programme was a trainer who designed and ran the programme in the school. The three teachers who had referred their pupils were interviewed about the participants' peer relations, self-esteem, and academic performance before and after the programme.

#### *Setting*

Our Peer Support Programme was held three times a week during lunch break in a controlled environment in order to ensure the credibility of the research findings (Camic, Rhodes & Yardley, 2003; William, 2006).

Since the seating plan is very flexible, students are encouraged to meet in small groups, ranging from two to four people. They are also assigned as mentors (senior form students) and mentees (junior form students) to sit together for peer-reading.

#### *Materials*

Participant observation was used to understand students' self-esteem and peer affiliation, including conversations with the students in the research setting and online chat-room (journal entries). Likewise, content analysis was employed to compare the views among the students, teachers and trainers through rating checklists, structured interviews and open-ended questionnaires. Students' academic performance was evaluated by the students' portfolios of written performance and rating checklists of academic performance by the trainer and researcher.

This research also applied a self-report checklist with a 4-point scale (1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, and 4 = Excellent) to evaluate the effectiveness of the peer support programme.

#### *Procedure*

The study is divided into two phases of data collection. The first phase of data collection included progress of the students' writing performance, and feedback of writing performance from the teachers and the trainer.

The second phase of data collection comprised journal entries and conversations with participants, face-to-face structured interviews, and rating checklists of students' academic outcomes, self-esteem, and peer affiliation by the trainer and researcher.

*Journal entries.* In the beginning, the students were very reluctant to open up. As time went by, trust and reciprocity were developed between the researcher and the participants (DeWalt & DeWalt, 2002; Johnson, 2008). The journal entries were concerned more with the personal conversations with the participants.

*Face-to-face interviews.* The data collected from participating teachers was based on face-to-face structured interviews and open-ended survey responses. The length of interviews ranged from 15 to 35 minutes, with the majority lasting about 25 minutes. The teachers and the programme trainer were invited for the interview. The questions were generated from the literature review and site observation.

*Rating checklist.* Rating checklists by the researcher and trainer were used to gain a complete picture about the progress and outcome of students' academic performance, self-esteem and peer affiliation.

Data from different sources, such as journal entries, face-to-face interviews of teachers and

trainer, conversations with students, and rating checklists were collected to ensure the reliability and validity of the study.

#### Data analysis

After collecting all the data, the teachers' feedback on writing performance, journal entries of students' conversations and participant observation were analysed by using content analysis. We transformed the data into working documents that could be coded as more quantifiable in terms of the major themes and conducted further data analysis (Ghesquiere, Maes & Vandenberghe, 2004).

## Results

The results will be presented in two parts. Part A provides a demographic description of the participants and Part B provides details about the participants' (students, teachers, trainer, and researchers) evaluation of the effectiveness of the peer support programme.

### PART A

#### Demographic data

Table 1 showed that most of the participants in this study are female students (71.3%), and they were all aged 12 or 13 years. Five out of seven (71.3%) were living in a supportive family environment, the other two experienced poor family relations.

---

## 香港教師會 【教育曙光】訂閱表

本刊每年（每卷）出版三次，其中一、二期為「一般論述」之印刷版，第三期為「學術專題」電子版。訂戶可選擇訂閱一年或兩年（兩期或四期）印刷版。電子版則可在網上免費查閱，本刊不再另行印刷。網址為[www.scpe.ied.edu.hk/newhorizon/](http://www.scpe.ied.edu.hk/newhorizon/)

訂閱印刷版一年（第一及第二期）之費用如下：

	個人	學校／機構
本地：	港幣\$120.	港幣\$180.
中國（大陸、澳門、台灣）：	港幣\$200.	港幣\$300.
海外：	港幣\$280.（*美元\$45.）	港幣\$420.（*美元\$68.）

\*（1）海外訂戶美元收費已包括本銀行額外收取美元支票或匯票的手續費。

（2）本會推荐訂戶一次過訂閱兩年，費用為上述的兩倍。凡訂閱兩年者，嗣後本刊因費用調整而增加訂閱費時，已訂兩年者將不受影響。

注：有關費用只在補助部份印刷費及郵費，不足之數由本會徵求熱心人士／機構贊助。

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

付款辦法：請填妥本表格後，連同支票或匯票，收款人「香港教師會」

寄回：香港 九龍 彌敦道 242號 立信大廈 7字樓 A座

香港教師會 教育曙光秘書收啟

查詢電話：(852) 2368 2145 或 2367 3420 傳真：(852) 2722 4813

電郵：[hkta1934@yahoo.com.hk](mailto:hkta1934@yahoo.com.hk)

**Table 1**  
Background Information on the Participants

Case	Personal background
1	Girl, age 12, living with a single father, lack of parental support, dislikes and does poorly in mathematics, no external homework help, having poor relationship with teachers and socially alienated.
2	Girl, age 12, living in supportive family, with homework assistance, overall performance is pretty good, little rebellious acts in having small conflicts with teachers and socially approachable.
3	Girl, age 12, living with supportive mother, strong bonding with family and teachers, highly eager to learn, poor in problem-solving skills and interpersonal relationships with the peers, emotionally stable with outgoing character
4	Girl, age 13, living with supportive family, suffering from some kind of mental illness due to past experience, unstable self-image but socially approachable.
5	Girl, age 12, living with parents, academically stressed due to unpleasant family environment where mother always draws comparison between her and her sister, acts rebelliously causing poor relations with the teachers and family.
6	Boy, age 12, living with supportive family, good relations with teachers, peers and family, experiencing difficulties in learning, with outgoing personality.
	Boy, age 12, living with supportive mother, often acts immaturely compared with peers of same age, attention seeking, great difficulties in writing, gentle but avoids making direct eye contact.

Table 2 shows the participation rate of students from time 1 to time 3. Time 1 was from 6 December 2007 to 26 January 2008, time 2 was from 13 February to 26 March 2008, and time 3 was from 28 March to 25 April 2008. Case 2 and Case 3 showed high and stable attendance rates throughout the entire time series, Case 1 and Case 7 showed low attendance rates and were withdrawn from the programme during time 3.

**Table 2**  
Case by Participation Rate in Time 1 to Time 3

Case	1	2	3
1	H	L	W
2	H	H	H
3	H	H	H
4	H	M	M
5	H	H	H
6	H	M	L
7	L	H	W

*Note.* H = high (attended more than ten sessions), M = moderate (attended more than six sessions), L = low (attended less than three sessions), W = withdrawn (did not attend any session)

**PART B**

*Multi-raters' evaluation of the effectiveness of the peer support programme*

Table 3 and Table 4 showed that parental support plays a significant role in students' self-perception, learning motivation and academic performance in time 1. In time 2, all the teachers shared a consensus of rating the three most important outcomes; love and belonging, fun, and freedom for the students, while they stated that there were not significant changes

in their students' academic performance. Two of the teachers claimed that "the programme is a warm and trusting place where students could gain mutual support and acceptance with their peers". There was greater variation among the students' behavioural outcomes in time 3, while they claimed that their students' peer affiliation was enhanced through gaining better social skills from the programme.

Table 3

Summary of Teachers' Evaluation of the Effectiveness of the Peer Support Programme

Time 1	Teacher A	Teacher B	Teacher C
Self-esteem	Case 1 and 5: self-esteem is relatively low due to lack of parental support.	Case 4: self-image is very low due to unpleasant childhood experience in the family.	Case 6: lack of social skills and peer acceptance leads to lack of self-identity.
Peer affiliation	Case 3 has low levels of peer acceptance due to lack of social skills.	Shy and socially withdrawn.	Teased by his peers (boys) for acting like a sissy.
Academic performance	Case 1 and 5: do poorly and dislike learning due to lacking parental support. Case 2: comparatively, has higher level of motivation and performance due to parental support.	Moderate learning motivation and willing to exert some effort, while the achievement was at moderate level.	Due to lack of parental support, Case 7's self-perception is downward spiral regarding one's ability, this leads to low motivation, low effort, low achievement, and low achievement

Time 2	Teacher A	Teacher B	Teacher C
Self-esteem	Case 3: self-image is relatively low due to peer rejections and poor problem solving skills. Case 2: relatively stable.	No significant change; still has no direct eye contact and socially alienated.	Self-esteem is improving due to acceptance from peers by acting much more mature.
Peer affiliation	Evaluated by Glaser's choice theory: survival, love and belonging, power, freedom, and fun.		
Academic Performance	Case 3: still highly motivated and have better learning strategies. Case 5: still no motivation of learning and act out rebelliously toward mother.	Higher motivation of learning.	Willing to try and learn new things.

*Note.* Teacher A reflected upon Case 1, 2, 3, and 5. Teacher B reflected only upon Case 4. Teacher C reflected only upon Case 6.

Table 4  
Summary of Teachers' Evaluation of the Peer Support Programme

Time 3	Teacher A	Teacher B	Teacher C
Self-esteem	Case 2: self-image changes due to support from significant others. Case 3: increase due to being more able to cope with studies and gain peer acceptance and parental support. Case 5: still very low in self-efficacy due to having no parental support.	Case 4: self-image and self-efficacy did not change significantly. "I can tell she is happier than before, but it doesn't mean that her self-esteem has improved."	Case 6: great improvement in self-image and self-efficacy. "More willing to express his own perspective and less self-centred."
Peer affiliation	Case 2 and 5: always stick together throughout the entire time. They work as "girls against boys" along with Case 4. Case 3: change attitude, desire to "fit in" the peer group, gain more acceptance from peers.	Have a circle of friends.  A consensus among three teachers that the programme has not only given the students in gaining improvement in learning, but also their "social skills" through interacting with the trainer, researcher and mentors. Overall, these students' peer affiliation has improved.	Gain more acceptance from peers
Academic Performance	Overall, Case 3 has the greatest improvement in achievement due to better mastery strategies and persistence.	Showed little improvement in academic performance.	No significant change in writing, the words are still badly written. But one thing is that he is more willing to exert more effort.

Note. Teacher A reflected upon Case 1, 2, 3, and 5.

Teacher B reflected only upon Case 4.

Teacher C reflected only upon Case 6.

Table 5 shows the items that were rated as 'good' by the trainer and researcher. In terms of evaluating the trainer's teaching strategies and effectiveness of programme as well as observing the overall process of participants' academic and psychological wellbeing, there was inter-rater agreement between the trainer and the researcher. Through the observation of students' interaction in the setting, the relationship between the mentors and mentees (participants) and

peer relationships can be evaluated. Also, having a positive and mutual alliance should foster them to do better in their learning (Case 3 was one of the examples). Overall, the peer support programme helped these participants to enhance their self-esteem and peer affiliation. The peer support network and environment was established successfully among these students.

Table 5

Inter-rater Checklist for Trainer and Researcher in Time 2 and 3.

<p>Inclusive programme model:</p> <p>8. The trainer provided clear class rules and set of borders that were agreed with all students at the beginning of the peer programme.</p>
<p>Inclusive culture in the class:</p> <p>9. Peer helping networks in schools to improve the availability, accessibility and appropriateness of social and personal support.</p>
<p>Accommodating curricular and instructional practices in the classroom:</p> <p>10. The trainer designed activities that can enhance students' self-esteem and peer affiliation</p> <p>11. The trainer used extrinsic and intrinsic rewards for those who are making an effort.</p>
<p>Strategies for making and evaluating individualized adaptations:</p> <p>12. The trainer provided immediate feedbacks to students.</p> <p>13. The trainer provided a systematic monitoring, assessment, planning and evaluation of the students' work.</p>
<p>Strategies to facilitate peer affiliations and supports:</p> <p>14. The trainer provided opportunities that could enhance social interaction and problem-solving skills among students.</p> <p>15. The trainer facilitates social interaction for all students through using scaffolding strategies and collaborative teaming activities.</p>
<p>Classroom atmosphere:</p> <p>16. The trainer provided a warm, friendly and interactive atmosphere.</p> <p>17. The programme established the increasing existence and support among mentors and mentees.</p>
<p>Development of students' personal wellbeing:</p> <p>18. Students' self-esteem and peer affiliations have improved.</p> <p>19. The relationships between the tutors and tutees are very important.</p> <p>20. The deeper the bonding, the better the academic performance, self-esteem and peer affiliation.</p>

Note. It is a supplement in evaluating the overall effectiveness of the programme and the progress of students in time 2 and 3. The checklist is developed from Snell & Janney,(2000).

Tables 6 to 8 illustrated the overall picture of each student’s achievements in terms of self-esteem, peer affiliations and academic performance. In this study, four assessors, the students (participants), teachers, trainer and researcher, evaluated the students’ performance in terms of the academic, social and emotional outcomes after joining the peer support programme. The students were constantly encouraged to look at themselves, while the researcher mainly

focused on and independently evaluated the consistency among these four assessors. In order to evaluate the effectiveness of the programme, there should be a high level of agreement between assessors. Thus, along with the researcher’s own observation, the researcher assessed the consistency and variability among these three assessors in their ratings based on the information that provided.

Table 6  
Cases by Triangulation. Evaluation in Time 3

	Student	Teacher	Trainer	Researcher
Case 2 SE	M ( S E + L O C + S I ) “I like the way I am, and I want to improve myself.”	H(SI)-love & support from(P), (T) & (F); blame others	H(SI+SE+LOC)- support from(P) and (T). Responsible, emotionally mature, willing to change.	M(SI)-cares about what friends think with gentle and kind character to them.
PA	H(QF)-very close and stable friendship with Case 5	H(QF)-a small communality is formed with Case 4 and 5.	H(QF)-sometimes lose self due to peer influence; less rebellious and more pro-social behaviour.	H(QF+PA)-stable with Case 5, while the circle of friends expanded.
AP	H(SE+M+E)- “I want to change and become better.”	H(A)-due to (P) guidance. H(SE+M+E)-due to (P) support.	H(M+E)-willing to improve and be a mentor, less rebellious.	H(M+E)-willing to learn and improve. Sometimes may fluctuate due to peers.
Case 3 SE	L(SI+SE+LOC)- “I feel so dumb” to trainer.	H(SI)-support from (P) and (T); L(SI) due to no (F).	L(SI+LOC+ SE)- fragile; negative feedback from (T); anxious what (P) think and worry.	L (SI)+M (SE+LOC)-disappoint; anxious about letting (P) down.
PA	M(QF)-higher self-esteem, “I feel like I’m part of the group.”	M(PA+QF)-getting along with peers; gained sense of belonging and mutual acceptance.	M (PA)-people more accept her as in group	M(PA+in+QF)- more initiative and tried to talk with them and be part of the group.
AP	H ( E + M + S ) + L ( S E ) - “No matter how much effort I’ve paid, I still can’t meet my (T)’s standard.”	M(A)+H(M+E+S)- the grades are improved due to set up schedule.	H(M+E)+M(S+A)- still highly motivated and eager to improve and strive for excellence; L(SE)-due to negative feedback from (T)	H ( M + E + A ) + M ( S ) - hard working with persistence, looks upset and distressed about own grades.

Table 7

Cases by Triangulation Evaluation in Time 3(continued)

	Student	Teacher	Trainer	Researcher
Case 4				
SE	H(LOC+SI)- “I feel good because everyone listens to me.”	L(SI+SE)- are not significantly improved.	H(SI)-more willing to share about self, but still hides from others.	(SI) is significantly improved, calmer.
PA	H(in)- “I am happy that I have a lot of friends [and] as I am the leader.”	M(QF)-still hasn’t really improved due to very low (SI).	H(QF+PA)-everyone sees her as a leader/ “big sister”.	H(PA)-appears like a “big sister” among the girls, circle of friends extended.
AP	L(M+E)-“I don’t care about my school work at all, all I care is my friends.”	H(A)- have great improvement.	H(A+SE+M+E): self-regulated in learning, shown improvement.	L(M)+H(A+E)-did very well in the assessment with effort.
Case 5				
SE	M(SI)- “I want to be a mentor, but I don’t enjoy studying.”	L(SE+LOC+SI)- feel unfair and helpless, great pressure in (P).	M(SI+SE)- more willing to take responsibility.	M(SI)- willing to change, but lack of mastery skills, self-pity.
PA	H(QF+PA)-I like my friends and I satisfy present situation.	H(QF+in)- less likely to be alone and rebellious.	(QF) is relatively from unstable to stable, mutually influence each other with Case 2.	H(QF+PA)-talks rumours about the (T), often seek approval from (F).
AP	L(M+E+A)- “I hate them (P+T) cause she shows favour to those who get higher grades.”	L(M+S+E)- still reluctant to study, less rebellious, eager to listen to (T).	From time to time, M(M+E) affected by Case 2, but low self-regulated + LOC in learning.	M(M+E)- influenced by Case 2, attitude towards studies changed, less resistant.

Note. Please refer to the section on Description of Data Elements.

Table 8

Cases by Triangulation Evaluation in Time 3 (continued)

	Student	Teacher	Trainer	Researcher
Case 6	M(SI)- “I have my friends, I don’t need to come.”	H(SI+SE)- more willing to express, less self-centred and defensive; more verbal praise.	H(SI)-with support from (F), less rebellious and more willing to listen and mature.	H(SI+SE)-less alienated and less conflict with the trainer, more direct eye contact and willing to speak up
PA	H ( P A + Q F + i n ) - “I have my own friends, I’m free.”	H(PA+QF)- more able to interact with peers, more agreeable.	H ( Q F + i n ) - s e l d o m attends programme due to (F).	H(QF+in+PA)-seldom attends program , better interaction and relationship with trainer and mentor.
AP	M ( E ) + L ( L O C ) - “I tried but still has no progress. I give up!”	No significant changes and handwriting still unreadable.	M ( M + E ) - m o r e willing to try new things.	M(A+M+E)-less reluctant to write and try new things, less conflict with trainer, and words are more readable, not give up easily.

Note. Please refer to the section on Description of Data Elements

Note. Description of Data Elements. There are three outcome of behaviour: SE = self-esteem, PA = peer affiliation and AP = academic performance. Each component has three levels. These levels are non-numerically represented as low (L), middle (M) and high (H), while others like withdrawal (W). Self-esteem includes self-perception (how one feels about oneself) such as self-efficacy (SE), locus of control (LOC), self-image (SI) as well as one’s concern about what significant others think; parents (P), friends (F) and teachers (T). Peer affiliations includes quality of friendship (QF), which are peer acceptance (PA), peer rejection (PR), in-group (in) and out-group (out). Academic outcome includes one’s motivation (M), achievement (A), effort (E), and learning strategies (S).

## Discussion

### *Research Implication*

This study presented three major themes that arose from the data and supporting quotes from the students, trainer and teachers. As shown in this section, a qualitative method could be the best way to get to know about the life experience of the individuals who are viewed to be low-achievers. The only way to conduct the study was to understand their actual life experience.

*Triangulation Discrepancy.* When evaluating many aspects of a person in terms of self-esteem, academic achievements, and social competence, we found a great disparity between teachers' perceptions and participants' perceptions in those three areas. The discrepancy occurred because the expectations of the teachers and the participants are so different. According to Case 4 and Case 5, their teachers 'are harsh and crazy, and they do not understand their students' personal needs'. However, the teachers claimed that they have very thorough understanding of the participants during the interview session. They also said that they had provided the students with extra time in tutoring and helped them to deal with their studies and conflicts with other classmates. Meanwhile, the trainer observed that these students, especially Case 3 who had recently experienced a great disappointment in her studies, were adversely affected by negative feedback from the teachers. These data collected from different sources showed that the teachers seldom focus on their students' inner feelings. Therefore, low quality of the teacher-student relationship led to a great discrepancy between the teachers' and students' perceptions. During the conversations with the participants, quite a number of them had mentioned that their relationship with teachers is terrible, and they hoped that 'the teachers could show us respect and treat us like a friend'. One of the participants said, 'I've got a mother

who is always nagging me at home, I don't want to have another mother in school'. Consistent with the research findings, the quality of teacher-student relationship has a strong impact on adolescents' self-esteem and academic outcomes (O'Connor, 2007; Wentzel, 2002), a good teacher-student relationship not only focuses on their learning outcomes, but also concerns the trust relationship with the students. By possessing the three qualities of understanding, acceptance and warmth like a 'friend', both teachers and participants gain reflection from their trust relationship, whereby they strive to decrease the disparity of perception and occurrence of conflict.

*Permanently Scarred.* During the participant observation, there were many complaints from the participants about their teachers for being so intolerant and unsympathetic. Some of them screamed aloud; some said it silently; some of them had a sullen look on their faces. All these emotions and conversations were observed during time series 2, when the students had completed their mid-term exams. While talking with these participants, the expression and dialogues among them implied many hidden meanings. One of the participants told me that she is being humiliated by the teacher in front of the whole class because of getting a very low grade in her exam. Another student complained that the teachers called her 'dummy' because she could not even spell the simple word 'aeroplane' correctly. All these dialogues were not created, but rather are factual data, actually spoken by these 'naive' participants. A number of research studies (O'Connor, 2007; Wentzel, 2002) indicated that teachers' feedback strongly influences the way the students perceive sense of competency in school settings. In this study, the participants' sense of inferiority and other complex emotions were noted as being due to teachers' negative feedback. It is essential for these participants to cope with these inner feelings, because

a prolonged period of suppression could create a permanent scar which may affect other aspects of their lives later.

*Ventilation Zone.* It is agreed among three assessors, the teachers, participants, and the trainer, that the peer support programme serves as a means of gaining mutual support and acceptance. When asked to describe their feelings about the classroom and the peer support programme, many of the participants said, 'We like it here because we have friends here and have a lot of fun here without any rules'. This shows that the programme has fulfilled these participants' psychological needs in terms of having a sense of belonging (Stumpers et al., 2005). Also, it is reported by the teachers and students that students received the peer support service well as it made them 'feel safe and being supported'. Compared with the actual classroom setting, the atmosphere is totally different. Once during a session of the peer support programme, a participant complained that their teachers are not attentive to the students' needs. Another described the classroom atmosphere as very competitive among the classmates. This shows that the actual classroom setting has made these participants feel suffocated so that they show some avoidance and misconduct behaviour. During the participant observations, the participants were reluctant to leave because they enjoyed the 'freedom' and 'friendship' that they gained from the setting. Consistent with the research findings (Lapan, Gysbers & Petroski, 2003), the teachers, participants, trainer and researcher rated the peer support programme as a safe and comfortable environment with strong sense of belongingness and acceptance. Meanwhile, these participants showed that they are more willing to try out new things and make more effort. Consistent with the previous findings, the peer support programme improves 'the availability, accessibility and appropriateness of young and personal supports'

(Dillon & Swinbourne, 2007).

Additionally, findings concerning the limitations and implications of the study are provided below.

#### *Limitations of the study*

Two major limitations need to be acknowledged and addressed with regard to the present study. The first limitation concerns the existence of uncontrollable factors in terms of the research setting, and that participants could influence the reliability and validity of evaluating the effectiveness of the programme. Overall, the participants' attendance rate dropped gradually. External and internal factors may lead to them not being willing to attend the programme. Since these participants were obligated by the teachers to join the programme, their motivation was relatively low because they did not have a chance to make the decision. Therefore, these participants' attendance rate and behaviour fluctuated. Similarly, the teachers who participated in this study had a heavy workload, they were not always able to spend time on the interviews, while their feedback in the questionnaires did not sufficiently evaluate the participants' progress.

The second limitation was insufficient time for follow up and reflection upon dialogue submitted by other participants. This was a very short-duration study, lasting only five months from initial entry into the school setting to final site visit. Also, the researcher undertook the observation about once a week. It was not totally accurate to evaluate the participants from what could be observed based on visiting once a week. Many things could happen throughout the week, which might have upset the participants' attendance rate and behaviours. There was generally insufficient time to conduct a full baseline assessment and follow up sessions of literacy assessment and overall evaluation from mentors and mentees as well as interviews with these research

participants and teachers. A follow-up study would be needed to assess the effect of these changes. Consequently, there might be unforeseen changes upon these participants' self-esteem, interpersonal relationships and academic outcomes in the subsequent school year.

*Suggestions for schools, teachers, parents and community: social-ecological perspective*

As mentioned earlier, young adolescence is a stressful period. These youngsters have to face major and dramatic changes in biologically, psychologically and socially. Researchers often neglect the importance of social dynamics and context. According to the social-ecological perspective (Bronfenbrenner, 1979), 'an individual reacts to and acts on the environment nearest them which sets into play a series of interactions between social environments' (Rosenthal & Wilson, 2006). This could fit the local context of the educational setting. The most effective approach to enable these youngsters in developing their inner self is a combination of the efforts at all inter-related levels; individual, relationship, community, and societal (Wilson, 1987). In the present study, some suggestions are made as follows for improving communication and performance among the participants, parents, teachers and community and implementing the educational programme for the young people.

First, the individual level implies that the participant him- or herself plays a significant role in learning, interacting with others, and experiencing inner feelings. This level focuses on one's individual psychological and cognitive factors such as knowledge, attitudes and personality traits. Consistent with the findings, Dillon and Swinbourne (2007) described how peer-helping networks in schools could improve the "availability, accessibility and appropriateness of social and personal support"

for young people (p. 6). As mentioned by one of the teachers in the interview, the peer support programme provides a channel for the students to air their frustrations as well as enabling them to gain sense of belonging with their peers and trainers.

A new secondary school student may experience difficulties in adjusting to the school system and environment. Meanwhile, dramatic physical, social and psychological changes have placed great pressure upon these young adolescents during this critical transition period. All these could affect their ability to learn effectively and gain better grades, and hence they might experience poor self-esteem. Therefore, having a peer support programme where participants have similar experiences should encourage them to gain mutual warmth, acceptance and support towards one another. After-school activities and a curriculum that places emphasis on participants' strengths could enable these young adolescents to develop positive inner resilience in facing all kinds of difficulties.

Setting up training programmes that include adventure-based and scenario role-playing activities, depending on the characteristics of the participants, is recommended. The aims of all these programmes are to allow participants to gain social skills, and experience of leadership, problem solving and reflection. Meanwhile, gaining a sense of empowerment is essential for the target participants because it could foster in them assertiveness and self-efficacy. Once these are gained, their academic performance, psychological wellbeing and relations with others should be enhanced. Hence, interactive group discussion and peer programmes which can foster self-support, inner strength and self-acceptance in LA participants should be promoted.

Second, the interpersonal level describes the importance of significant others. The influence of significant others, such as peers, family, and friends 'provide social identity, support, role delineation,

and interaction' for an individual (Gregson, Foerster & Orr, 2001: 13). In the midst of a difficult period, immediate support and guidance from significant others plays a significant role in helping these youngsters to go through the difficult transition period. A good schooling experience could meet young adolescents' developmental needs for belonging, respect, mastery, autonomy and meaning in their lives (Cartwright, 2007).

The educators should be sensitive to the individual needs of young adolescent learners. In Hong Kong, the education system places great emphasis on the three Rs-Reading, 'Riting and 'Rithmetic-in order to evaluate whether they are good students. Meier (1995 as cited in Benard, 2001) highlighted the additional set of three Rs; Relationship, Respect, and Responsibility. Thus, a combination of the traditional and additional set of 3Rs could enable adolescents to perform and feel better about themselves as well as others. Likewise, school characteristics could stress the importance of integrative values and thinking about learning, such as partnership, holistic and lifelong learning. Instead of emphasizing students' weaknesses, teachers and parents are encouraged to acknowledge and give credit for the efforts that the students have made.

The data collected in the present study has indicated that there was a discrepancy between the students' perceptions and the teachers' perceptions. The research participants described how their teachers 'don't understand them and just [place] emphasis on the students' academic success, but not their personal needs'. This implies that it is important for the teacher and student to establish a trust relationship, since teachers play the role of 'secondary' parents in students' personal growth development. Educating secondary school teachers in fostering high-quality relationships with students is needed. The teachers should abandon the traditional perspective of one-

way teaching and downplay the value of high grades in evaluating the best and worst students. Rather, they should establish positive interpersonal relationships with their students. In the interim, the teachers could also spend time talking with the students' about non-academic areas through on-line exchange, which facilitates the quality of teacher and student communication. Hence, having a trusting student-teacher relationship enables the students to develop a sense of belonging, positive perception towards self and others, and better academic outcomes.

Meanwhile, having effective teacher-parent partnership could help the students to perform better at school (Dhigra, Manha & Sthi, 2007). During the interviews, the teachers also highlighted that 'parent-school partnership does have a great impact on students' learning and psychological outcomes'. Some programmes, like workshops and group sharing for teachers and parents, would facilitate them having better communication with their students.

At the same time, the role of mentors has a great impact on the LA students, both for academic and non-academic outcomes. Data from the participant observation, it was noted that the first-form students seemed reluctant to listen to their mentors and acted rebelliously against them. Bond and Castagnera (2003) proposed an effective model for a peer tutor course. In this model, peer tutors need to be matched with other students based on grade level in the beginning (Johnson, 2008). The mentors then need to check with the teacher to receive instruction on how to meet the student's needs for the day's activities. They are also given a daily journal in which they record activities and monitor the progress of that student. Each session, before class is over, the tutor could return the journal to the teacher and share the progress of student. This training should increase the mentors' skills and self-efficacy in coping with the participants' learning and emotional wellbeing.

Finally, the level of the community outside school, and volunteering, allows teenagers to gain an opportunity to experience situations beyond the classroom and the home (Kuperminc, Holditch & Allen, 2001). The school could cooperate with volunteering programmes in order to make use of peer influence to inspire the spirit of togetherness and mutual care among youngsters (Kuperminc, Holditch & Allen, 2001). At the same time, both teachers and parents could encourage their children to join in community service. Therefore, having strong social networking among schools, such as inter-school competitions and group sharing, could provide a healthy environment for the adolescents.

All in all, good communication between trainer, teacher, and parents working as a team could establish a positive learning community for students and foster their studies and psychological wellbeing.

These findings provide important insights about the associations between quality of teacher-student relationships and students' academic and psychosocial outcomes. Further studies are needed. It is important to gain deeper insight of the dynamics and interactions among them, particularly to conduct age and cross-cultural comparisons on the interaction between the students and the teachers, the mentors and the participants, highlighting their perceptions of their interpersonal relationships.

Three major findings arise from this investigation. First, the overall effectiveness of the peer support programme could enhance the students' academic performance and peer affiliations, this was agreed by the participants, teachers, trainer and researcher. It implied that the peer support programme fostered peer interaction and affiliations by providing every participant with the availability and accessibility of appropriate social and personal support. All the participants reported that they currently have a group of stable and positive friends.

As reported by the teachers, the programme has provided the students with a positive quality of friendship, which will enhance the participants' perceptions of themselves as they become more motivated to do better in their learning. Second, the school plays an important role in young adolescents' lives. Relationships between teachers and students could improve if there is more peer interaction. Once the bonding is well established between the mentor and the younger student, the quality of the relationship between the teacher and student could be also improved. Positive teacher-student relationships could decrease the discrepancy between the teachers' perceptions and students' perceptions. Third, a warm and supportive network has been established among the participants. Although some complained about their mentors for not engaging very well, the in-group bonding among the young students was very strong, so as to equip them with better social skills and positive interpersonal relationships with other classmates.

## References

- Akos, P. (2002). Student perceptions of the transition from elementary to middle school. *Professional School Counseling, 5*, 339-346.
- Akos, P., & Galassi, J. (2004). Middle and high school transitions as viewed by students, parents, and teachers. *Professional School Counseling, 7*, 212-221.
- Alves-Martins, M., Peixoto, F., Gouveia-Pereira, M., Amaral, V., & Pedro, I., (2002). Self-esteem and academic achievement among adolescents. *Educational Psychology, 22*, 51-62.
- Azmitia, M., Ittel, A., & Radmacher, K. (2005). Narratives of friendship and self in adolescence. *New Directions for Child and Adolescent Development, 107*, 79-86.
- Berndt, T. J. (2002). Friendship quality and social development. *Current Directions in Psychological Science, 11*(1), 7-10.
- Berndt, T. J., & Marthur, R. (2006). Relations of friends' activities to friendship quality. *The Journal of Early Adolescence, 26*(3), 365.
- Berndt, T.J., & Savin-Williams, R.C. (1993). Variations in friendships and peer-group relationships in adolescence. In P. Tolan, & B. Cohler (Eds.), *Handbook of clinical research and practice with adolescents* (pp.203-219). New York: Wiley.

- Bond, R., & Castagnera, E. (2006). Peer supports and inclusive education: An underutilized resource. *Theory into Practice, 45*(3), 224-229.
- Bronfenbrenner, U. (1979). *The ecology of human development*. Cambridge, M.A.: Harvard University Press.
- Brown, B. B. (2004). Adolescents' relationships with peers. In R. M. Lerner & L. Steinberg (Eds.), *Handbook of Adolescent Psychology* (pp. 363-394). Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley.
- Brown, B.B., & Lohr, M.J. (1987). Peer-group affiliation and adolescent self-esteem: An integration of ego-identity and symbolic interaction theories. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 52*, 47-55.
- Budge, S. (2006). Peer mentoring in post-secondary education: Implications for research and practice, *Journal of College Reading and Learning, 37* (1), 73- 87.
- Camic, P. M., Rhodes, J. E., & Yardley, L. (2003). *Integrating qualitative methods into psychological research: The value and validity of qualitative approaches*. Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.
- Cartwright, N. (2007). *Peer support works: A step by step guide to long term success*. London: Network Continuum Education.
- Chapman, J. (1988). Cognitive-motivational characteristics and academic achievement of learning disabled children: a longitudinal study. *Journal of Educational Psychology, 80*, 357-365.
- Chen, X., Chen, H., Kaspar, V., & Noh, S. (2001). Group social functioning and individual socioemotional and school adjustment in Chinese children. *Merrill Palmer Quarterly, 47*, 264-299.
- Chen, X. Y., French, D. C., & Schneider, B. H. (2006). *Peer relationships in cultural context*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Cooper, L. (2007). The effects of class-wide peer tutoring on the reading achievement of urban middle school students. *Education & Treatment of Children, 30* (2), 21-51.
- Cowie, H., Naylor, P., Talamell, L., Chauhan, P., & Smith, P.K. (2002). Knowledge, use of and attitudes towards peer support. *Journal of Adolescence, 25* (5),453-467.
- Croclett, L., Losoff, M., & Petersen, A.C. (1984). Perceptions of the peer group and friendship in early adolescence. *Journal of Early Adolescence, 4*, 155-181.
- DeWalt, K. M., & DeWalt, B. R. (2002). *Participant Observation. A Guide for Fieldworkers*. Walnut Creek, CA: AltaMira Press.
- Dhigra, R., Manha, S., & Sthi, N. (2007). Involvement of parents in school related activities. *Journal of Social Sciences, 15*(2), 161-167.
- Dillon, J., & Swinbourne, A. (2007). Helping Friends: A peer support program for senior secondary schools. *Australian e-Journal for the Advancement of Mental Health, 6* (1), www.auseinet.com/journal/vol6iss1/dillon.pdf.
- Furman, W., & Robbins, P. (1985). What's the point? : Selection of treatment objectives. In B. Schneider, K.H. Rubin, & J.E. Ledingham (Eds.), *Children's peer relations: Issues in assessment and intervention* (pp. 41-54). New York: Springer-Verlag.
- Ghesquiere, P., Maes, B., & Vandenberghe, R. (2004). The usefulness of qualitative case studies in research on special needs education. *International Journal of Disability, 51*(2), 171-182.
- Gregson, J., Foerster, S.B., & Orr, R., & Jones, L. (2001). System, environmental, and policy changes: Using the social-ecological model as a framework for evaluating nutrition education and social marketing programs with low income audience. *Journal of Nutrition Education, 33*(4), 4-15.
- Haager, D., & Vaughn, S. (1995). Parent, teacher, peer, and self-reports of the social competence of students with learning disabilities. *Journal of Learning Disabilities, 28*(4), 205-215.
- Hartup, W.W. (1996). The company they keep: Friendships and their developmental significance. *Child Development, 67*, 1-13.
- Hoffman, M. A., Ushpiz, V., & Levy-Shiff, R. (2005). Social support and self-esteem in adolescence. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence, 17*(4), 307-316.
- Humphrey et al. (2004). The developmental roots of disaffection? *Educational Psychology, 24*(5), 579-594.
- Jenkins, J.E. (1996). The influence of peer affiliation and student activities on adolescent drug involvement. *Adolescence, 31*, 297-306.
- Johnson, W.B. (2008). Are advocacy, mutuality, and evaluation incompatible mentoring functions? *Mentoring & Tutoring: Partnership in Learning, 16*, 31-44.
- Kuperminc, G.P., & Allen, J.P. (2001). Social orientation: Problem behavior and motivations toward interpersonal problem solving among high risk adolescents. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence, 35*(5), 596-622.
- La Greca, A.M., & Harrison, H.M. (2005). Adolescent peer relations, friendships, and romantic relationships: Do they predict social anxiety and depression? *Journal of Clinical Child and Adolescent Psychology, 34*(1), 49-61.
- La Greca, A.M., & Printsein, M.J. (1999). The peer group. In W.K. Silverman & T.H. Ollendick (Eds.), *Developmental issues in the clinical treatment of children and adolescents (pp.171-198)*. Needham Heights, MA : Allyn & Bacon.
- Ladd, G.W. (1999). Peer relationships and social competence during early and middle childhood. *Annual review of Psychology, 50*, 333-359.
- Lansford, J.E., Criss, M.M., Pettit, G.S., Dodge, K.A., & Bates J.E. (2003). Friendship quality, peer group affiliation, and peer antisocial behavior as moderators of the link between negative parenting and adolescent externalizing behavior. *Journal of Research on Adolescence, 13*(2), 161-184.
- Lapan, R.T., Gysbers, N.C., & Petroski, G.F. (2003). Helping seventh graders be safe and successful: A statewide study of the impact of comprehensive guidance and counseling programs. *Professional School Counseling, 6*(3), 186-197.
- Larson, R., & Richards, M.H. (1991). Daily companionship in late childhood and early adolescence: Changing developmental contexts. *Child Development, 62*, 284-300.
- Ledingham, J.E., & Schwartzman, A.E. (1984). A three-year follow-up of aggressive and withdrawn behavior in childhood: Preliminary findings. *Journal of Abnormal*

- Child Psychology*, 12, 157-168.
- Leung, C. K. C., Marsh, H. M., & Craven, R. G. (2005). Are peer tutoring programs effective in promoting academic achievement and self-concept in education settings?: A meta-analytical review.
- Lohaus, A., Elben, C., Ball, & Klein-Hessling, J. (2004). School transition from elementary to secondary school: Changes in psychological adjustment. *Educational Psychology*, 24 (2), 161-173.
- Marsh, H., Bryne, B., & Yeung, A. (1999). Causal ordering of academic self-concept and achievement: reanalysis of a pioneering study and revised recommendations. *Educational Psychologists*, 34, 155-167.
- Marsh, H., & Yeung, A. (1998). Top-down, bottom-up and horizontal models: the direction of causality in multi-dimensional, hierarchical self-concept models. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 75, 509-527.
- McPherson, M., & Schapiro, M. (1990). *Selective admission and the public interest*. New York: The College Entrance Examination Board.
- Medcalf, J., Glynn, T., & Moore, D. (2004). Peer tutoring in writing: A school systems approach. *Educational Psychology in Practice*, 20, 2, 157-178.
- Mastropieri, M. A., & Scruggs, T. E. (2007). *The inclusive classroom: Strategies for effective instruction*. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice Hall
- Newman, P.R., & Newman, B.M. (1976). Early adolescence and its conflict: Group identity vs alienation. *Adolescence*, 11, 261-274.
- O'Connor, E. (2007). Examining teacher-child relationships and achievement as part of an ecological model of development. *American Educational Research Association*, 44(2), 340-369.
- Pellegrini, A.D., & Bartini, M. (2000). A longitudinal study of bullying, victimization, and peer affiliation during the transition from primary school to middle school. *American Educational Research Journal*, 37(3), 699-725.
- Pellegrini, A.D., & Bartini, M. (2001). Dominance in early adolescent boys: Aggressive and affiliative dimensions and possible functioning. *Merrill-Palmer Quarter*, in press.
- Reddy, R., Rhodes, J., & Mulhall, P. (2003). The influence of teacher support on student adjustment in the middle school years: A latent growth curve study. *Development and Psychopathology*, 15, 119-138.
- Rhodes, J.E. & Lowe, S. (2008). Youth mentoring and resilience. *Child Care in Practice*, 14, 211-224.
- Rosenthal, B.S., & Wilson, W.C. (2006). Adolescents' psychological response to the experience of community interpersonal violence: A cross national and a cross cultural comparison. *Adolescence*, 41(163), 417-433.
- Ryan, A. M. (2001). The peer group as a context for the development of young adolescents' motivation and achievement. *Child Development*, 72, 1135-1150.
- Salili, F., Lai, M.K., & Leung, S. S. K. (2004). The consequences of pressure on adolescent students to perform well in school. *Hong Kong Journal of Paediatrics*, 9(4), 329-336.
- Schmidt, M.E., Bagwell, C.L. (2007). The protective role of friendships in overtly and relationally victimized boys and girls. *Merrill-Palmer Quarterly*, 53(3), 439-460.
- Shore, W. J. , Toyokawa, T., & Anderson, D. D. (2008). Context-specific effects on reciprocity in mentoring relationships: Ethical implications. *Mentoring & Tutoring: Partnership in Learning*, 16, 17-29.
- Snell, M., & Janney, R. (2000). *Teacher's guide to inclusive practices: Social relationships and peer support*. Baltimore MD: Paul H. Brookes Publishing.
- Stumpers, S., Breen, L., Pooley, J. A., Cohen, L., & Pike, L. T. (2005). A critical exploration of the school context for young adolescents completing primary education. *Community, Work and Family*, 8(3), 251-270.
- Thomas, J. J., & Daubman, K.A. (2001). The relationship between friendship quality and self-esteem in adolescent girls and boys. *Journal of Sex Roles*, 45, 53-65.
- Tong, N., & Clem, W. (2007). Will all this strain be worth it? Teachers and students are struggling to cope with reforms. *South China Morning Post*. 1 July 2007. Retrieved November 3, 2008, from <http://www.scmp.com/portal/site/SCMP/menuitem.html>
- Valas, H. (1999). Students with learning disabilities and low-achieving students: Peer acceptance, loneliness, self-esteem, and depression. *Social Psychology of Education*, 3, 173-192.
- William, N. (2006). *Social research methods*. London: Sage Publications.
- Wentzel, K. (2002). Are effective teachers like good parents? Teaching styles and student adjustment in early adolescence. *Journal of Child Development*, 73, 287-301.
- Wheal, J.L. (2000). The agony or the ecstasy? The academy at the crossroads. *The Journal of Experiential Education*, 23(3), 135-142.
- Wigfield, A., Lutz, S. L., & Wagner, A. L. (2005). Early adolescents' development across the middle school years: Implications for school counselors. *Professional School Counseling*, 9, 112-119.
- Wilson, W. (1987). *The truly disadvantaged*. University of Chicago Press.
- Zambo, D. (2004). Using qualitative methods to understand the educational experiences of students with dyslexia. *The Qualitative Report*, 9(1). Retrieved 7 October 2008, from <http://www.nova.edu/ssss/QR/QR9-1/zambo.pdf>.
- Zimmerman, D.J. (2003). Peer effects in academic outcomes: Evidence from a natural experiment. *The Review of Economics and statistics*, 85(1), 9-23.

## Authors

Dr. Chi-hung LEUNG, Assistant Professor  
Department of Psychological Studies  
The Hong Kong Institute of Education  
Email: [chhleung@ied.edu.hk];

Eudora CHOI,  
Hong Kong & Kowloon Chiu Chow Public Association  
Ma Chung Shum Secondary School  
Email: [iloveulord@hotmail.com]

Received: 23.12.09, accepted 17.1.10, revised 20.1.10