



Changing Health Behavior in Youth: Plus 40 Years

Robert F. Valois, Keith J. Zullig, Michael Young, and Sandra K. Kammermann

Everyone who lived through the 1960s sees it through his or her own distinct prism. The conventional view is that it was a time mainly of flower children and angry protesters, of black power and militant feminism.¹ The 1960s were a time when the nerve endings of the body politic were constantly stimulated with new sensations, but it was also a time of mindless fantasy, groundless arrogance, spiritual awareness, callow youth and misguided elders.¹

The year 1969, witnessed the first men on the moon (Neil Armstrong and Buzz Aldrin via Apollo 11), initiation of U.S. troop withdrawal from Vietnam, the Woodstock Music and Art Festival in upstate New York on Max Yasgur's dairy farm and invention of the microprocessor, beginning the computer revolution. In 1969, Zager and Evans released their hit song *In the Year 2525*. This year was also when the U.S. government banned the use of cyclamate artificial sweeteners, the "Chicago 8" was indicted in the aftermath of the Chicago Democratic Convention and Wendy's Hamburgers opened. In addition, the U.S. Army investigated Lt. William Calley for alleged massacre of civilians at the village of My Lai in South Viet Nam, the battery-powered smoke detector was invented, major league baseball player Curt Flood sued baseball challenging the "reserve clause" that restricted a player's choice about for whom he played, and Tommy Hilfiger began selling flowered shirts and bell bottom pants at the People's Place in Elmira, New York.

For those in health education, 1969 also

marked the debut of *School Health Review*, the forerunner to the current *American Journal of Health Education*. The inaugural issue of *School Health Review*, in September of 1969 included the article, "Changing Health Behavior in Youth," by Dr. Godfrey M. Hochbaum.²

The 1969 Hochbaum² article, reprinted in this issue of the *Journal*, is segmented in three sections. The first section is an introduction, the second is entitled "A Common Denominator—The Effects on Health," and the last section is "Difficulty of Application to Everyday Life." In the introduction, the author suggested that before considering the need for changing health behavior, it may be advantageous to contemplate how we learn about health behavior. This may help us understand why effecting change can be difficult and how health educators might best succeed in bringing about positive health behavior.

The introduction follows a developmental theme. As infants and young children, we rely on our parents to provide for our health and safety. As we become older children and adolescents, we begin to take more personal responsibility for our own health and well being. Hochbaum uses hygiene, safety and healthy habits as examples of areas in which there is a shift in responsibility from parent to child. He identifies concepts of "rewards and punishments" for health behavior, "desirable and undesirable behavior," the development of "habits" and "behavior patterns," and the impact of knowledge con-

cerning the influence of behavior on future health status. The influence of parents and other adults on personal health behavior is also noted, along with personal experiences with illness, influence of medical personnel and peers. Finally, television is identified as a factor in influencing desirable/undesirable health behavior.

In the next section of the introduction he discusses ideas, attitudes and beliefs about health and illness, and notes that some health behaviors/habits are well established in early childhood, before young people understand the impact of those behaviors on present or future health. When children go to school they are exposed to more systematic and reliable health information. They are also gaining the ability to judge and make decisions about some of their own health behaviors.

Robert F. Valois is a professor in the Arnold School of Public Health, University of South Carolina, Columbia, SC; E-mail: rvalois@mindspring.com. Keith J. Zullig is an associate professor in the Department of Community Medicine, School of Medicine at West Virginia University, Morgantown, WV. Michael Young is a professor and associate academic head in the Department of Health Science, College of Health & Social Sciences at New Mexico State University, Las Cruces, NM. Sandra K. Kammermann is an assistant professor in the School of Medicine, University of South Carolina, Columbia, SC.



Hochbaum also suggests that the problem in helping young people establish patterns of healthy behavior is that often they cannot distinguish between desirable/undesirable behaviors or health promoting/health threatening habits. Moreover, a young person rarely, if ever, is aware of the fact of feeling and acting in an astoundingly inconsistent, often paradoxical manner. The author then notes that adults are not much better at consistently engaging in positive health behavior.

Hochbaum suggests that whereas health educators give young people additional health knowledge; they may fail to help them make sound and consistent choices in health behavior by not focusing on the application of health knowledge. Hochbaum also notes two important issues: (1) possible problems and difficulties in applying health knowledge to everyday situations and (2) what he refers to as the “guiding principle” underlying *all* desirable health behavior.

In the second section of his paper, Hochbaum uses an athlete as example of his “guiding principle” underlying all desirable health behavior. This principle involves athletes following all of the rules and behaviors for optimal training and performance for the game or the athletic contest. In turn, this leads to an integrated and consistent behavior pattern. Hochbaum notes that we do not approach health behavior this way and tend to use each injunction as a separate health problem (the disease of the month approach). In turn, students in school often find health education meaningless and abstract. Hochbaum suggests that health educators must be enthusiastic and have the ability to inspire their students. He also indicates that youth need to be actively involved in the health education process.

In the third section of the paper, Hochbaum expresses concern that too often health educators do not try to learn what problems or difficulties young people may experience in the application health knowledge. Neither do they seem to help young people deal with these problems.

In the summary section he revisits the two issues that he suggests do not receive

enough attention vis-à-vis health education. The first is the need to relinquish the emphasis on providing knowledge for knowledge sake, in the hope that knowledge alone will accomplish our health education goals. The second issue is the need to pay more attention to problems applying health knowledge to various conditions and in different situations.

The concerns Hochbaum expresses and the concepts he highlights remain relevant 40 years later. Developing health promotion and education interventions based on the human development process can be time and labor intensive. Today, Hochbaum would be proud of the Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development (ASCD) Whole Child Initiative³ and ASCD’s Healthy School Communities Initiative^{4,5} based on Bronfenbrenner’s Ecological Model of Human Development⁶ and other contemporary theories and models for health promotion and education. In regard to the health knowledge, attitude and behavior triad, Iverson and Protnoy⁷ would respond to Hochbaum’s concern by noting:

The assumed role of health education programs in behavior change is to directly increase knowledge and indirectly initiate attitude and behavior changes. Knowledge then functions as a direct and indirect stimulus for change in attitudes and a direct change agent for behavior. Knowledge will function as a direct change agent for attitudes far more frequently than it will for behaviors. Attitudes are direct and indirect change agents for behavior. Once a behavior is altered there is, in many instances, a direct feedback mechanism which alters the appropriate attitudes in such a manner as to reinforce the new behavior.

Green et al,⁸ would also contend that the association between knowledge and health is more than philosophic, suggesting that knowledge helps to increase decision-making attitudes and skills that may contribute to positive health behavior.

Hochbaum might be encouraged by a theory-based quasi-experimental study⁹

that used the Bloom¹⁰ and Krathwohl¹¹ taxonomies of education objectives and found a linear relationship between performance at the different levels of affective and cognitive taxonomies by students in the experimental group.

Hochbaum commented that school attendance resulted in young people receiving more systematic and reliable health information. He would be pleased with the situation concerning knowledge related to nutritional behavior, physical activity and substance abuse, vis-à-vis school adoption of the successful CATCH^{12,13} program and curriculum. However, he might be disappointed by the limitation of sex education information for our youth,¹⁴ including the questionable knowledge base and lack of demonstrated effectiveness of a number of abstinence-only sex education curricula.^{15,16}

A “back to the future” moment might find Hochbaum somewhat overwhelmed with the quantity (and to some extent the quality) of health-related information on the Internet. His concern for misinformation is still relevant for a number of websites.

The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System¹⁷ would validate Hochbaum’s four-decade-old comment on the health behaviors of the adult population. As then, behaviors of today’s adults are not much better than the behaviors of youth. For the prevalence of adolescent health risk behaviors, today Hochbaum could track state and national trends via the Youth Risk Behavior Surveillance System,^{18,19} consider youth developmental assets^{20,21} and a growing body of literature in quality of life/life satisfaction among youth.²²⁻²⁴ He would be proud of the progress in promoting comprehensive school health education²⁵ and coordinated school health efforts.²⁶ Our movement away from the bones and muscles curricula, pervasive in the 1960s and 1970s, and moving to more behavior and skills-based curricula would help soothe the concern for separate health education topics. They would also demonstrate that youth are actively engaged in modern health education within a meaningful and applied human effectiveness process.²⁷



From a research and theory perspective, Hochbaum, a co-creator of the Health Belief Model,^{28,29} would be pleased to see the breadth and depth of research using this original model of individual-level health behavior³⁰ as well as the use of an expanded model³¹ for preventive health care practice and research. For the design, implementation, and evaluation of comprehensive school health programs, Hochbaum would be pleased with the use of Social Cognitive Theory^{32,33} and its inclusion of both individual and environmental interventions and its addressing of the use of social groups and the dynamic interaction of the individual within a social context.³⁴ Another “back to the future moment” might find him somewhat amazed at the child/adolescent health promotion and education interventions involving culturally competent mass media,³⁵⁻³⁸ and the technology of cell phones³⁹ and social networking.⁴⁰

Perhaps overarching our advancements in adolescent health promotion and education since 1969 is the current research on adolescent brain development.⁴¹ Longitudinal neuro-imaging studies demonstrate that the adolescent brain continues to mature well into the 20s. This discovery has prompted intense interest in linking neuro-maturation to maturity of judgment.⁴² We are reminded that adolescence is a developmental period characterized by sub-optimal decisions and actions that are associated with an increased incidence of unintentional injuries,⁴³ violence,⁴⁴ substance abuse⁴⁵ and sexual risk behaviors⁴⁶ associated with unintended pregnancy and sexually transmitted diseases.

Brain researchers suggest that there is a heightened responsiveness to incentives and socio-emotional contexts during adolescence when impulse control is still relatively immature.⁴⁷ This developmental pattern may be exacerbated in those adolescents prone to emotional reactivity, increasing the likelihood of poor outcomes.⁴⁷

In view of our accomplishments in adolescent health promotion,⁴⁸ health education⁴⁹ and integrated approaches to understanding and⁵⁰ reducing adolescent

risk,⁵¹ changing health behavior in youth is still a formidable challenge vis-à-vis the realities of the adolescent developmental period⁵² and recent findings on adolescent brain research.^{41,42,47}

We have made significant inroads since 1969 in reducing smoking and other tobacco use behavior.⁵³ However, we are currently struggling with an epidemic of adolescent overweight and obesity.⁵⁴ Type II diabetes among adolescents⁵⁵ is a significant national problem, along with a decrease in physical activity,⁵⁶ especially among adolescent females. We have made progress with decreases in driving while intoxicated (DUI)⁵⁷ and increased seatbelt use,⁵⁸ but now struggle with adolescents motor vehicle driving while texting⁵⁹ and talking on cell phones.⁶⁰ Violence⁶¹ continues to be a significant public health problem and adolescent alcohol⁶² and other drug use⁶³ alone, and in combination with other risk behaviors, lead to premature morbidity and mortality. The teen pregnancy rate has declined steadily over the past decade and just recently began increasing.⁶⁴ We have made progress in developing the concept of coordinated school health²⁶ and school improvement through health promotion,⁵ but few schools or school districts have sustained large-scale efforts.⁶⁵ Despite mandates and proven effective programs, many schools fail to require courses in health education^{65,66} or physical education.⁶⁶ Most policy makers recognize that prevention is important; however, the vast majority of health care funding goes to tertiary care.⁶⁷

Dr. Godfrey M. Hochbaum’s concerns regarding changing health behavior in youth are as relevant today as they were 40 years ago.² From a variety of adolescent health perspectives, one can argue that we have taken two steps forward, and one step back.

REFERENCES

1. Brokaw T. *Boom! Voices of the Sixties*. New York: Random House; 2007.
2. Hochbaum GM. Changing health behavior in youth. *School Health Review*. 1969;1(September):15-19.
3. ASCD. *The Learning Compact Redefined: A Call to Action. A Report of the Commission on the*

- Whole Child*. Alexandria VA: 2007.
4. ASCD. *Healthy School Communities: The ASCD Whole Child Initiative*. Alexandria VA. Available at: <http://www.healthyschoolcommunities.org>. Accessed October 5, 2009.
5. Valois RF, Lewallen TC. *The ASCD Whole Child Initiative, Healthy School Communities Project Evaluation Report*. Alexandria VA: 2009.
6. Bronfenbrenner U. *The Ecology of Human Development: Experiments by Nature and Design*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press; 1979.
7. Iverson D, Portnoy B. Reassessment of the knowledge/attitude/behavior triad. *Health Education*. 1977;8:31-34.
8. Green LW, Kreuter M, Deeds S, Partridge K. *Health Education Planning: A Diagnostic Approach*. Palo Alto CA: Mayfield; 1980.
9. Valois RF, Ory JC, Stone DB. A study of the relationship between cognitive and affective taxonomic performance in health education. *Advances in Health Education: Current Research*. 1989;(2):27-45.
10. Bloom BS. *Taxonomy of Educational Objectives Handbook I: Cognitive Domain*. New York: David McKay Company Inc; 1956.
11. Krathwohl DR. *Taxonomy of Educational Objectives Handbook II: Affective Domain*. New York: David McKay Company Inc; 1964.
12. Perry CL, Stone EJ, Parcel GS, Ellison RC, Nadar PR, Webber LS, et al. School based cardiovascular health promotion: The child and adolescent trial for cardiovascular health (CATCH). *J Sch Health*. 1990;60(8):406-413.
13. Luepker RV, Perry CL, McKinlay SM, Nadar PR, Parcel GS, Stone EJ, et al. for the CATCH Collaborative Group. Outcomes of a field trial to improve children’s dietary patterns and physical activity: The child and adolescent trial for cardiovascular health (Catch). *JAMA*. 1996;275(10):768-776.
14. Yarber WL. While we stood by...the limiting of sexual information to our youth. *J Health Educ*. 1992;23(6):326-335.
15. Wilson KL, Goodson P, Pruitt BE, Buih E, Davis-Gunnels E. A review of 21 curricula for abstinence-only-until-marriage programs. *J Sch Health*. 2005;75(3):90-98.
16. Young M. What’s wrong with abstinence education? *Am J Health Stud*. 2004;Summer:1-14.



17. Kilmer G, Roberts H, Hughes E, Li Y, Valluru B, Fan A, et al. Surveillance of certain health behaviors and conditions among states and selected local areas—Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS), United States, 2006. *Morb Mortal Wkly Rep Surveill Summ.* 2008;15:57(7);1-88.
18. Kolbe LJ. An epidemiological surveillance system to monitor the prevalence of youth behaviors that most affect health. *J Health Educ.* 1990;21:44-48.
19. Kolbe LJ, Kann L, Collins JL. Overview of the Youth Risk Behavior Surveillance System. *Pub Health Rep.* 1993;(Suppl 1):2-10.
20. Leffert N, Benson PL, Scales PC, Sharma AR, Drake DR, Blyth DA. Developmental assets: Measurement and prediction of risk behaviors among adolescents. *Appl Dev Sci.* 1998;2:209-230.
21. Scales PC. Reducing risks and building developmental assets: essential actions for promoting adolescent health. *J Sch Health.* 1999;69(3):113-119.
22. Huebner ES, Valois RF, Suldo SM, Smith LC, McKnight CG, Seligson JJ, et al. Perceived quality of life: A neglected component of adolescent health assessment and intervention. *J Adolesc Health.* 2004;34(1):1-9.
23. Proctor CL, Linley PA, Maltby J. Youth life satisfaction: a review of the literature. *J Happiness Stud.* 2008; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10902-008-9110-9>.
24. Valois RF, Zullig KJ, Huebner ES, Drane JW. Youth developmental assets and perceived life satisfaction: Is there a relationship? *Applied Research in Quality of Life.* 2009;4:315-331.
25. Lohrmann DK, Wooley SF. Comprehensive school health education. In, Marx E, Wooley SF. Eds., *Health is Academic: A Guide to Coordinated School Health Programs.* New York: Teachers College Press, Columbia University; 1998: 43-66.
26. Marx E, Wooley SF. *Health is Academic: A Guide to Coordinated School Health Programs.* New York: Teachers College Press, Columbia University; 1998.
27. Bedworth DA, Bedworth AE. *Health Education: A Process for Human Effectiveness.* New York: Harper & Row; 1978.
28. Hochbaum, G. Why people seek diagnostic x-rays. *Pub Health Rep.* 1956;71:377-380.
29. Rosenstock I. Historical origins of the health belief model. *Health Educ Monog.* 1974;2:328-335.
30. Baranowski T, Perry CL, Parcel GS. How individuals, environments, and health behavior interact: Social cognitive theory. In, K Glanz, F Marcus Lewis, BK Rimer, Eds. *Health Behavior and Health Education: Theory, Research, and Practice*, 2nd Edition. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass; 1997.
31. Burns AC. The expanded health belief model as a basis for enlightened preventive health care practice and research. *J Health Care Mark.* 1992;12(3):32-45.
32. Bandura A. *Social Foundations of Thought and Action: A Social Cognitive Theory.* Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall; 1986.
33. Bandura A. *Self-Efficacy: The Exercise of Control.* New York: Freeman; 1995.
34. Parcel GS, Baranowski T. Social cognitive theory and health education. *Health Educ.* 1981;12:14-18.
35. Flay BR, Di Tecco MA, Schlegel RP. Mass media in health promotion: An analysis using an extended information processing model. *Health Educ Q.* 1980;7(2):127-147.
36. Yanovitzky I, Stryker J. Mass media, social norms and health promotion efforts. *Communic Res.* 2001;28(2):208-239.
37. Wellings K, MacDowall W. Evaluating mass media approaches to health promotion: A review of methods. In, M Thorogood, Y Coombes (Eds), *Evaluating Health Promotion.* Oxford: Oxford University Press 2004; 145-161.
38. Venable PA, Carey MP, Bostwick RL, Brown LK, Valois RF, DiClemente RJ, et al. Community partnerships in adolescent HIV prevention research: The experience of project iMPPACS. In, B Stanton, J Galbraith, L Kalgee (Eds), *The Uncharted Path from Clinic-Based to Community-Based Research.* Online: Nova Science Publishers 2008; 137-159.
39. Lefebvre C. Integrating cell phones and mobile technologies into public health practice: A social marketing perspective. *Health Promot Prac.* 2009;10(4):490-494.
40. Crespo R. Virtual community health promotion. *Preventing Chronic Disease* [serial online]. 2007 Jul Available at: http://www.cdc.gov/pcd/issues/2007/jul/07_0043.htm. Accessed October 9, 2009.
41. Geier C, Luna Beatriz. The maturation of incentive processing and cognitive control. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav.* 2009;93:212-221.
42. Johnson SB, Blum RW, Giedd JN. Adolescent maturity and the brain: The promise and pitfalls of neuroscience research in adolescent health policy. *J Adolesc Health.* 2009;45:216-221.
43. Valois RF, McKeown RE, Garrison CZ, Vincent ML. Correlates of aggressive and violent behaviors among public high school adolescents. *J Adolesc Health.* 1995;(16)1:26-34.
44. Valois RF, MacDonald JM, Bretous LB, Fischer MA, Drane JW. Risk factors and behaviors associated with adolescent violence and aggression. *Am J Health Behav.* 2002;(26)6:454-464.
45. Zullig KJ, Valois RF, Huebner ES, Oeltmann JE, Drane JW. Relationship between selected substance abuse behaviors and adolescent self-perceptions of life satisfaction. *J Adolesc Health.* 2001;29(4):279-288.
46. Valois RF, Kammermann SK, Drane JW. Number of sexual intercourse partners and associated risk behaviors among public high school adolescents. *J Sex Educ Ther.* 1997;22(2):13-22.
47. Casey BJ, Jones RM, Hare TA. The adolescent brain. *Ann NY Acad Sci.* 2008;1124:111-126.
48. Valois RF. Promoting adolescent and school health: Perspectives and future directions. *Am J Health Educ.* 2003;34(6):314-328.
49. Valois RF, Hoyle TB. Health in education: The challenge continues. *Am J Health Educ.* 2005;36(1):43-47.
50. DiClemente RJ, Santelli JS, Crosby RA. *Adolescent Health: Understanding and Preventing Risk Behaviors.* San Francisco: Jossey-Bass; 2009.
51. Romer D. *Reducing Adolescent Risk: Toward an Integrated Approach.* Thousand Oaks CA: Sage Publications; 2003.
52. Steinberg L. *Adolescence.* Boston: McGraw-Hill; 2008.
53. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Cigarette smoking among adults—United States, 2007. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* 2008;57(45):1221-1226.
54. Ogden CL, Flegal KM, Carroll MD, Clifford LJ. Prevalence and trends in overweight among US children and adolescents 1999-2000. *JAMA.* 2002;288(14):1728-1732.
55. Goran MI, Ball GD, Cruz ML. Obesity and risk of type 2 diabetes and cardiovascular disease



in children and adolescents. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2003;88:1417-1427.

56. Sallis J, Prochaska JJ, Taylor WC. A review of correlates of physical activity of children and adolescents. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2000;32(5):963-975.

57. Hingson RW, Heern T, Jamanka A, Howalnd J. Age of drinking onset and unintentional injury involvement after drinking. *JAMA.* 2000;284(12):1522-1533.

58. Centers for Disease Control and prevention. Current trends comparison and self-reported seatbelt use rates—United States. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* 1988;37(36):549-551.

59. Schwarz SW. Adolescent Violence and Unintentional Injury in the United States: *Facts for Policymakers.* National Center for Children in Poverty. Columbia University, October 2009. Available at: http://nccp.org/publications/pdf/text_890.pdf. Accessed March 9, 2010.

60. Redelmeier DA, Tibshirani RJ. Association between cellular telephone call and motor vehicle collisions. *N Engl J Med.* 1997;336:45-48.

61. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Violence related behaviors among high school students in the United States, 1991-2003. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* 2004;53(29):651-655.

62. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Tobacco, alcohol and other drug use among high school students. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* 2003;53(44):768-789.

63. Johnston LD, O'Malley PM, Bachman JG, Schulenberg JE. *Monitoring the Future National Results on Adolescent Drug Use: Overview of Key Findings, 2004.* Bethesda, MD: National Institute on Drug Abuse. (NIH Publication No. 05-5726), 2005.

64. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2009). *Teen Birth Rates Increase in Over Half of States.* Available at: www.cdc.gov/nchs/pressroom/09newsreleases/teenbirth.htm. Accessed October 10, 2009.

65. Kann L, Brener ND, Wechsler H. Overview and summary: School health policies and programs study. *J Sch Health.* 2007;77(8):385-397.

66. Grunbaum JA, Kann L, Williams BI, Kinchen SA, Collins JL, Kolbe LJ. Characteristics of health education among secondary schools--school health education profiles, 1996. *MMWR CDC Surveillance Summary.* 1998;47(4):1-31.

67. Corso PS, Hammitt JK, Graham JD, Goldie SJ. Assessing preferences for prevention versus treatment using willingness to pay. *Medical Decision Making.* 2002;22(5 Suppl):S92-S101.

Call for Reviewers !!!

The *American Journal of Health Education* seeks qualified reviewers who can provide detailed critiques and publication recommendations for submitted manuscripts 3-4 times annually. Persons should have content expertise in up to three areas, and be someone who contributes regularly to the published professional literature. Interested persons should email the Editor-in-Chief, Robert J. McDermott, PhD (rmcdermo@health.usf.edu) and provide a brief resume or full curriculum vitae.

Call for Papers: Social Media Applications

The *American Journal of Health Education* will devote the September-October 2011 issue to applications of social media for providing health education, fostering health promotion, or changing health behavior. Preference will be given to data-based studies although all relevant papers and topics that demonstrate new applications, describe pilot programs, and showcase the potentials and pitfalls associated with current and emerging social media technologies are welcome. Questions may be directed to the Editor-in-Chief, Robert J. McDermott, PhD (rmcdermo@health.usf.edu). Submissions should be made to www.journalsubmit.com in which a supporting document is included that states that the paper is to be considered for this dedicated issue. All submissions are due by January 1, 2011.