ISSN: 2089-9823 DOI: 10.11591/edulearn.v16i4.20484

Handling behavior problems of children with special educational needs based on teacher analysis

Suparno Suparno, Hermanto Hermanto, Sukinah Sukinah, Wening Prabawati, Ade Putri Sarwendah, Galih Resita Dewi, Dewi Barotutttaqiyah, Mumpuniarti Mumpuniarti

Department of Special Education, Faculty of Education, Universitas Negeri Yogyakarta, Yogyakarta, Indonesia

Article Info

Article history:

Received Jan 18, 2022 Revised Jul 25, 2022 Accepted Sep 30, 2022

Keywords:

Behavior issues Children Coping Predictors Special needs

ABSTRACT

Handling of behavior problems in children with special educational needs (CSEN) in the classroom is urgent for the classroom's conducive atmosphere. Therefore, a review needs to be conducted to determine what steps the teacher may take for coping purposes in handling the behavior problems of CSEN, to determine the predictors for handling the behavior problems of CSEN, to identify the analysis basis for determining teacher predictors, and to figure out the effects of the behavior of CSEN based on the predictors chosen by the teacher. A survey was conducted on 109 teachers of CSEN. This research used a Google Forms questionnaire containing a list of statements to be chosen by teachers as instrument, and analysis was carried out by computing the frequencies at which the teachers chose the statements in percentage and by comparing teachers' statements on the way they handled behaviors. The results show that the teachers were more inclined toward problem-focused coping (PFC), the predictor chosen was intimacy control, the teacher directed the children to do a task at the time a behaviour problem arose, and in choosing predictors, the teachers would rather calm the children down and give them comfort, making the children calmed.

This is an open access article under the CC BY-SA license.



484

Corresponding Author:

Mumpuniarti Mumpuniarti

Department of Special Education, Faculty of Education, Universitas Negeri Yogyakarta

Colombo Street, No. 1 Karangmalang, Yogyakarta, Indonesia

Email: mumpuni@uny.ac.id

1. INTRODUCTION

Handling of behavior problems of children with special educational needs (CSEN) in the classroom is urgent to support smooth learning [1]. Research proved that psychological acceptance of CSEN had an impact on the handling of behavior problems that arose. Another proof showed that the mental health of people closest to CSEN as well as the positive psychological support for CSEN provided a back-up for the formation of adaptive behavior [2]. This argument sets the grounds for teachers to acquire necessary competencies in handling behavior issues in the classroom for the sake of the CSEN [3].

Teachers' coping mechanisms, especially in handling behavior problems in the classroom attended by CSEN, are also of urgency [4], [5]. The problematic behaviors of CSEN will pose a challenge for teachers to cope when they arise because if they left without any coping attempt, they will cause a stress. Teachers must attempt coping to prevent the problematic behaviors in CSEN from resulting in issues in the classroom environment [6]. Problematic behaviors of CSEN in the classroom would be much of an interference to the course of learning. This is because these behaviors do not go along with the environment's expectation [7]. Problematic behaviors in CSEN are categorized as maladaptive behaviors which cause a reactionary response in an uncomfortable learning environment. Coping gives teachers an opportunity in a reciprocal manner to

deal with the social environment as a result of the rise of problematic behaviors. Hence, the ecological systems theory proposed by Bronfenbrenner [8] lays a foundation for teachers to perform coping.

Coping is necessary to overcome the stress problems in teachers, and to do it, teachers should determine effective predictors of behavior problems in CSEN. The effectiveness of the competencies in handling behavior problems in CSEN lies in the analysis of predictors carried out by teachers [9], [10]. Teacher predictor analysis is linked to the framework featured in the applied behavior analysis (ABA). This analysis is chosen as the handling of behavior problems in the classroom carries a social context in the classroom atmosphere. ABA is intended for behavior-focused interventions that are socially significant and has a clearly observable positive impact target [11]. ABA is explained by the antecedents of a behavior, the emergence of the behavior, and the consequences that follow as a series of teacher predictors in handling behavior problems. In the stage of consequences, teachers are focused more on determining predictors to take measures in handling the behavior. Behavior problems handling as consequence is a critical driver of other needs support in the education for CSEN [12], [13].

Needs support in the education for a child with special education needs is inclusive of paternal acceptance of the child, maternal role in encouraging positive behaviors, and the mental health of the whole family [14]–[16]. A review of the handling of behavior problems of CSEN in the classroom is thus necessary and highly recommendable. A study on the handling of behavior problems of CSEN in the classroom will be extensively impactful as it will have implications for handling mechanisms that support the undisturbed state during learning in the classroom, formation of adaptive behaviors, and sustainable character in family [17], [18]. This study should cover the way in which teachers attempt coping by determining predictors to handle behavior problems in CSEN, the basis for analysis of teacher predictors, and the effect on the behaviors of CSEN of the predictors chosen by teachers.

2. RESEARCH METHOD

This research was conducted by surveying 109 respondents, who were teachers of CSEN in schools that provided special education. The respondents were those who had teaching experience in special education schools and had the experience of applying interventions to handle behavior problems of CSEN. This research's determination of respondents was limited to only those who taught in special education schools, and it did not include those who taught in inclusive classes.

The instrument used was developed in reference to functional behavioral assessment (FBA). The list of statements chosen by the respondents were developed based on the antecedent, behavior, and consequence categories, the interventions applied, and the impacts left to CSEN after the interventions were performed. Data analysis was conducted by computing the frequencies at which the statements were chosen by the respondents. The values obtained were then converted into percentage points to describe the proportion of each statement chosen by the respondents. The attempts to identify predictors were compared between behavior analysis components in gradation from the highest to the lowest percentage.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Research results in the form of quantitative data are presented in Tables 1-3, respectively. It was found that teachers' coping attempt tended to be focused on overcoming the behavior problems of CSEN. Therefore, in determining predictors for handling behavior problems of CSEN, they would attempt to minimize the behavior problems that occurred. Teachers' attempts in an order from the highest to the lowest in percentage included intimacy control (18%), calming the child down verbally (16.5%), assigning a task (14%), verbal diversion (13.1%), calming the child physically (8.8%), and allowing the child to have a break, ignoring the problematic behavior, and peers' commentary with a laugh. The data on this is presented in Table 2.

The highest percentage of teachers was in the age range 31–40 years, and the lowest was in the age range 51–60 years. Most of the teachers were teaching at the elementary school level, and most of the students were in the age range 7–12 years. The special condition with the most prevalence was intellectual disability. The data on teachers' demographics can be seen in Table 1, and the data on intensity and intervention can be seen in Table 2.

Table 1. Demographics and types of problematic behaviors (n=109)

| Table 1. Demographics and types of problematic behaviors (n=109) | | | | | | | |
|--|--|----|---------|--|--|--|--|
| Variable | Group | n | Percent | | | | |
| Teacher age range | 21–30 | 28 | 25.7 | | | | |
| | 31–40 | 31 | 28.4 | | | | |
| | 41–50 | 30 | 27.5 | | | | |
| | 51–60 | 20 | 18.3 | | | | |
| Level of school at which the teacher was teaching | Elementary (SD/SDLB) | 61 | 56 | | | | |
| | Senior high (SMA/SMALB) | 16 | 14.7 | | | | |
| | Junior high (SMP/SMPLB) | 29 | 26.6 | | | | |
| | Kindergarten (TK/TKLB) | 3 | 2.8 | | | | |
| Student age | <7 years old | 3 | 2.8 | | | | |
| | >18 years old | 6 | 5.5 | | | | |
| | 13–15 years old | 24 | 22 | | | | |
| | 16–18 years old | 18 | 16.5 | | | | |
| | 7–12 years old | 58 | 53.2 | | | | |
| Special condition | Autism | 14 | 12.8 | | | | |
| | Double handicape | 39 | 35.8 | | | | |
| | Intellectual disability | 42 | 38.5 | | | | |
| | Hearing impairment | 4 | 3.7 | | | | |
| | Visual impairment | 1 | 0.9 | | | | |
| | Slow learning | 9 | 8.3 | | | | |
| Events possibly causing the emergence of certain | The activity in which the child took pleasure being terminated | 24 | 9.8 | | | | |
| behaviors (behavior problems)* | Direction of task assignment | 40 | 16.3 | | | | |
| | Being asked to wait | 19 | 7.7 | | | | |
| | Being left alone (with no appropriate activity) | 19 | 7.7 | | | | |
| | Being left alone (with no individual attention) | 24 | 9.8 | | | | |
| | Observing others | 30 | 12.2 | | | | |
| | Attention being not given when it was desired | 31 | 12.6 | | | | |
| | Transition between activities | 11 | 4.5 | | | | |
| | A new task being introduced | 19 | 7.7 | | | | |
| | Difficult task | 29 | 11.8 | | | | |
| Type of behavior that occurred when the child was | Shouting | 31 | 11.1 | | | | |
| exhibiting a behavior problem* | Running around | 25 | 8.9 | | | | |
| | Spitting | 5 | 1.8 | | | | |
| | Making verbal threats | 11 | 3.9 | | | | |
| | The child hitting him-/herself | 9 | 3.2 | | | | |
| | Hitting others | 11 | 3.9 | | | | |
| | Crying/whimpering | 33 | 11.8 | | | | |
| | Disturbing the class order (specific) | 34 | 12.1 | | | | |
| | Scratching | 7 | 2.5 | | | | |
| | Biting | 4 | 1.4 | | | | |
| | Damaging property | 9 | 3.2 | | | | |
| | Causing things to fall | 9 | 3.2 | | | | |
| | Refusing to follow a direction | 62 | 22.1 | | | | |
| | Refusing verbally | 27 | 9.6 | | | | |

^{*}Note: a. Respondents were allowed to choose more than one category; b. Dichotomy group tabulation, numbering 1

Table 2. Intensity and Intervention (n=109)

| Variable | Group | n | Percent |
|--|---|----|---------|
| Intesity | Low | 56 | 51.4 |
| • | Medium | 49 | 45 |
| | High | 4 | 3.7 |
| Attempts made to minimize the behavior problems that occurred* | Physical assistance/confirmation | 29 | 8.8 |
| | Assigning a task/another activity | 46 | 14 |
| | Leaving the child alone | 6 | 1.8 |
| | Allowing the child to have a break | 24 | 7.3 |
| | Peers' commentary with a laugh | 10 | 3 |
| | Calming the child down physically | 29 | 8.8 |
| | Calming the child down verbally | 54 | 16.5 |
| | Ignoring the problematic behavior | 12 | 3.7 |
| | Intimacy control | 59 | 18 |
| | Verbal diversion | 43 | 13.1 |
| | Feeling disturbed and diverting | 6 | 1.8 |
| | Keeping on demanding | 10 | 3 |
| Teacher intervention* | Letting the child have a break | 5 | 4.5 |
| | Giving calming words | 5 | 4.5 |
| | Giving reinforcement | 2 | 1.8 |
| | Giving comfort by physical touch | 25 | 22.7 |
| | Fulfilling the child's desire | 1 | 0.9 |
| | Calming the child down verbally | 20 | 18.2 |
| | Diverting the child's attention | 19 | 17.3 |
| | Communicating the problem with family | 5 | 4.5 |
| | Individual approach | 23 | 20.9 |
| | Ignoring the child | 5 | 4.5 |
| The child's condition when he/she receives teacher intervention* | Being pleased | 12 | 11.5 |
| | Being calmer | 42 | 40.4 |
| | Willing to follow the teacher | 11 | 10.6 |
| | Listening to the teacher and obey him/her | 23 | 22.1 |
| | Being open about the problem | 2 | 1.9 |
| | Looking sorry | 1 | 1 |
| | Being unresponsive | 13 | 12.5 |

Note*: a. The respondents were allowed to choose more than one category; b. Dichotomy group tabulation, numbering 1

Based on the teacher predictor analysis, a comparison between the antecedents, behaviors, and consequences was performed. In the Table 3, there will be present the component of phenomenon of behavior problems in CSEN. The teacher predictors in handling behavior problems in CSEN using applied behavior analysis. The data presentation of the phenomenon of behavior problems in CSEN follows the order from the component of antecedents and behaviors to consequence and from the highest to the lowest frequency at which the respondents chose statements on the behavior analysis components.

Table 3. Teacher predictor analysis using applied behavior analysis

| Antecedent | Behavior | Intensity | Teacher intervention | Consequence |
|---|---------------------------|-------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Direction provided for | Refusing to following | Highest low | Giving comfort by | Being calmer |
| a task | the direction | | physical touch | |
| Attention being not | Disturbing the class | Medium | Calming the child | Listening to the teacher |
| given when it was | order | | down verbally | and obeying him/her |
| desired | | | | |
| Observing others | Crying/whimpering | High | Individual approach | Being unresponsive |
| Hard Tasks | Verbal rejection | | Distract | Happy |
| The activity the child | Shouting | | Letting the child to | Willing to follow the |
| took pleasure in being | | | have a break | teacher |
| terminated | | | | |
| Being left alone with no attention paid | Running around | | Giving calming words | Being open about the problem |
| A new task being introduced | Making verbal threats | | Communicating the problem with family | Looking sorry |
| Being asked to wait | Hitting others | | Ignoring the child | |
| Being left alone with | The child hitting him- | | Giving reinforcement | |
| no appropriate activity | /herself | | | |
| Transition between | Damaging property | | Fulfilling the child's | |
| activities | | | desire | |
| | Causing things in | | | |
| | his/her proximity to fall | | | |
| | Scratching | | | |
| | Spitting | | | |
| | Biting | | | |

The data presentation of the phenomenon of behavior problems in CSEN follows the order from the component of antecedents and behaviors to consequence and from the highest to the lowest frequency at which the respondents chose statements on the behavior analysis components. Interpretation was based on the teacher statements with the highest frequencies. As a result, the teacher predictors in handling behavior problems in CSEN are: i) The antecedents to some behavior problems were the direction given by the teacher for a task, no attention being paid, and difficult task. The teachers must be able to predict that such events would trigger the occurrence of some problematic behaviors; ii) The predictors for the occurrence of problematic behaviors in CSEN were the children refusing to follow the direction given by the teacher and disturbing the class order, but the intensity was considered low. This implies that the occurrence of behavior problems in the CSEN in the classrooms was frequent but at a low intensity. Such problems were repetitive, and the teachers were accustomed to facing them; and iii) The teachers tended to predict that calming the CSEN and giving them comfort would lead to the consequence of the children being calmer.

The effect of the behaviors of CSEN following the predictors chosen by the teachers depended on the antecedents and the occurring behaviors. The predictors tended to be chosen were giving comfort by physical touch, calming the children down verbally, individual approach, and diverting attention. The teachers were oriented toward implementing intervention to loosen tension.

The coping mechanism attempted by the teachers tended to be problem-focused (PFC) rather than emotion-based (EFC). The challenge was more about dealing with problems since the stressors that came were from the behavior problems of CSEN. One of the problems the teachers should try to solve was the children's refusal to do a task [4]. In doing so, the teachers should determine the predictors appropriately.

The teachers determined predictors to handle behavior problems in CSEN by conditioning to minimize the behavior problems that arose. The conditions chosen were predicted to be able to reduce behavior problems of CSEN in the classroom. This supports previous research that teachers should have the competencies to handle behavior problems in CSEN [1]. These competencies would support smooth learning of CSEN, maintain the mental health of people closest to them, and the acceptance of their presence in their social environments [2].

The teachers' use of the predictor analysis basis was deemed appropriate as they took into account the conditions that drove the occurrence of a behavior, the behavior that occurred, and the consequences of such a behavior. Predictors were used as intervention to lead to calmness in the CSEN as consequence [9]. Interventions by giving comfort and calming the children down verbally and physically were the highest-frequency predictors chosen by the teachers. This finding indicates that the behavior problems in CSEN came from the learning problems the children were facing [3].

The teacher's predictor to calm the CSEN down would have a vast effect on the families of the CSEN too [16]. Therefore, support and training for the parents of CSEN should be provided at all time. This effort also supports the predictors that the teachers undertook effectively and sustainably. Coping is a way to mitigate a situation that triggers the occurrence of a problem through cognitive and behavioral changes to gain a sense of comfort in one's self. Other than internal factors, external factors such as the environment also affect students' coping. Strong support from the environment, as from the teacher and parents, is extremely needed. The teacher's expectation and behavior that is displayed in the classroom will influence the behavior of the class [12].

It is important for teachers to be responsive to any event that possibly triggers a certain problematic behavior in students. Problematic behaviors may arise from students with special education needs when they are given tasks at school. The anxity that develops in the students would drive the emergence of the problematic behaviors. Anxiety about something like a difficult task would spur the rise of other problematic behaviors during learning [13].

Communicating with effectiveness, empathy, and politeness with students is one of the pedagogic competencies a teacher must possess [19]. The coping mechanism undertaken by teachers to solve behavior problems is largely focused on individual approaches and heavily uses effective skills upon students. Calming CSEN verbally and giving them positive affirmation through calming words are effective in keeping down the problematic behaviors in them. Communicating with parents is another way in which teachers solve behavior problems in students.

Social support for coping may be given through the involvement of others in problem-solving [17]. Communicating with family may be done for coping purposes. Family is part of the resources for coping that belongs to the category of external social support. One may take action and seek others' support because social resources provide such support. This support may take the form of information assistance, moral support, and emotional support.

Character building for students to exhibit calm behavior also supports a condusive class climate, hence building an atmosphere suitable for a smooth course of learning. Peer tutoring that can support such a class climate for a smooth course of learning can also be realized if the teacher directs the students that

exhibit problematic behaviors to be calm [18]. One may also take the teacher predictor analysis to handle behavior problems in CSEN into consideration to manage an inclusive class. A sense of comfort through intimacy with the teacher and the teacher's acceptance of the condition of the CSEN under any situation could also support an inclusive class. This depends on the teacher's ability to analyze the predictors to solve behavior problems in CSEN.

Coping strategies that individuals use in dealing with identify stressors [20]. Dealing identify stressors are hypnotized to function as important determinan individual identity. Coping strategies used by represent both problem-focused and emotion focus [21]. Problem-focused coping including the use of physical activity, interpersonal coping, acceptance and giving reinforcement. Coping strategies makes CSEN help manage behavior and increased children well being in order to relieve stress. When individuals are subjected to a stressor, they dealing with it are termed "coping styles" that determine the individual's behavior in response to stress [22]. Coping mechanism prove useful in certain situations that are associated with poor mental health outcomes and higher level of psychopathology symptoms.

The implementation of coping mechanism in line with theoretical framework that focuses on both types of coping mechanism: problem and emotion focused coping that aimed as intervention in reducing or managing stress [23]. To handle behavior problem of CSEN also need the social support considered as on of the most important sub-types of problem and emotion focused coping. Social support can also come from CSEN close environment like parents or teachers even their classmates. By making the learning process collaborative among educators and student also sustainable collaboration with parents at home grow the good behavior and positive attitudes [24]. Parents or other family should be support not only had a direct impact on intensifying adaptive and non adaptive strategies but also influenced coping with the use of personal resources [25]. Every kind of resources can be use to support the coping mechanism for handling problem behavior for CSEN.

Behavior problem that happens to the CSEN in the class needs to be solve by teacher by doing analysis of the problem and find a mechanism to solve that. Prior to intervention with CSEN in the class, the key to solve the problem of behavior problems needs teacher prepararation. Teacher ought to know how to manage the behavioral problems of CSEN and emphasize good behavior of CSEN in order to build a good environment to learn. One of the solutions that can do by teacher is using the coping mechanism to handling behavioral problem of CSEN based on their analysis. Based on the teacher predictor analysis, a comparison between the antecedents, behaviors, and consequences was performed. The coping mechanism attempted by the teachers tended to be problem-focused (PFC) rather than emotion-based (EFC).

Throughout the teacher analysis related to their experience handling CSEN, they use coping mechanism that focus on problem solving with some intervention like giving reinforcement, physical touch, communicating the problem with family of CSEN. Previously, the teacher needs to be determined predictors to handle behavior problems in CSEN by conditioning to minimize the behavior problems. It orders that to supports previous research that teachers should have the competencies to handle behavior problems in CSEN. Based on that problem-focused coping mechanism give positive effort in reducing stressors associated with the intervention that can be chosen. The findings in this research show that using many positive interventions in coping mechanism help manage CSEN's behavior.

The influence of coping mechanism focused on behavioral and emosional problem that minimize distress by reducing or eliminating the stressor. Coping, that is emotion-focused is positively correlated distress, whereas focused on problem-solving [26]. The effect of the behaviors of CSEN following the predictors chosen by the teachers depended on the antecedents and the occurring behaviors. The predictors tended to be chosen were giving comfort by physical touch, calming the children down verbally, individual approach, and diverting attention. The teachers were oriented toward implementing intervention to loosen tension. Support from the family be the most important thing to communicate the problem of CSEN as part of the intervention option. Therefore, support and training for the parents of CSEN should be provided at all time. This effort also supports the predictors that the teachers undertook effectively and sustainably.

4. CONCLUSION

There are many kinds of behavior problems that's faced by teacher who handling CSEN especially intellectual disability. Based on the teacher predictor analysis, a comparison between the antecedents, behaviors, and consequences was performed. The teacher predictors in handling behavior problems in CSEN so the teachers must be able to predict that such events would trigger the occurrence of some problematic behaviors. The predictors for the occurrence of problematic behaviors in CSEN were the children refusing to follow the direction given by the teacher and disturbing the class order, but the intensity was considered low. This implies that the occurrence of behavior problems in the CSEN in the classrooms was frequent but at a low intensity. Such problems were repetitive, and the teachers were accustomed to facing them. The teachers' use of the predictor analysis basis was deemed appropriate as they took into account the conditions that drove

the occurrence of a behavior, the behavior that occurred, and the consequences of such a behavior. Predictors were used as intervention to lead to calmness in the CSEN as consequence.

Coping is a way to mitigate a situation that triggers a problem through cognitive and behavioral changes to gain a sense of comfort in one's self. It is important for teacher to be responsive to anythings that can possibly triggers a certain problematic behavior in student. Sometimes problematics behaviors arise from student with special needs when they are given tasks at school. When they feel cannot do the task well, the anxiety comes and develop int the students who would drive the emergence of problematic behaviors. The coping mechanism doing by teacher to solve behavior problem that focused on individual approaches and heavily uses effective skills upon students.

Teachers' coping and identification of predictors to solve behavior problems in CSEN is problem-focused (PFC). The predictors used intimacy control. The event preceding the emergence of a problem behavior was the teacher's direction on a task. In determining predictors, the teacher tended to calm the children down and give them comfort. Consequently, the children became calmer. The implication of this research is that support that is given by parents in choosing predictors to solve behavior problems in their children at home is much required. The family should be empowered to carry out a variety of methods to add to the effectiveness of the predictors chosen by the teacher.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank to Universitas Negeri Yogyakarta for the research fund support provided.

REFERENCES

- [1] E. E. MacDonald, R. P. Hastings, and E. Fitzsimons, "Psychological acceptance mediates the impact of the behaviour problems of children with intellectual disability on fathers' psychological adjustment," *Journal of Applied Research in Intellectual Disabilities*, vol. 23, no. 1, pp. 27–37, 2010, doi: 10.1111/j.1468-3148.2009.00546.x.
- [2] J. C. H. Douma, M. C. Dekker, H. M. Koot, and F. Verhulst, "Supporting parents of youths with intellectual disabilities and psychopathology," *Journal of Intellectual Disability Research*, vol. 50, no. 8, pp. 570–581, 2006, doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2788.2006.00825.x.
- [3] T. J. Lewis, K. McIntosh, B. Simonsen, B. S. Mitchell, and H. L. Hatton, "Schoolwide Systems of Positive Behavior Support: Implications for Students at Risk and With Emotional/Behavioral Disorders," AERA Open, vol. 3, no. 2, 2017, doi: 10.1177/2332858417711428.
- [4] J. Gustems-Carnicer, C. Calderón, and D. Calderón-Garrido, "Stress, coping strategies and academic achievement in teacher education students," *European Journal of Teacher Education*, vol. 42, no. 3, pp. 375–390, 2019, doi: 10.1080/02619768.2019.1576629.
- [5] Muthmainah, E. Purwanta, Suwarjo, and Mariani, "Coping strategies among kindergarteners in the gender perspective," Cakrawala Pendidikan, vol. 40, no. 2, pp. 316–328, 2021, doi: 10.21831/cp.v40i2.39359.
- [6] S. Markova and E. Nikitskaya, "Coping strategies of adolescents with deviant behaviour," *International Journal of Adolescence and Youth*, vol. 22, no. 1, pp. 36–46, 2017, doi: 10.1080/02673843.2013.868363.
- [7] C. Farmer, L. Swineford, S. E. Swedo, and A. Thurm, "Classifying and characterizing the development of adaptive behavior in a naturalistic longitudinal study of young children with autism," *Journal of Neurodevelopmental Disorders*, vol. 10, no. 1, 2018, doi: 10.1186/s11689-017-9222-9.
- [8] R. M. Berns, Child, Family, School, Community: Socialization and Support. 2010.
- [9] S. L. Harris and B. A. Glasberg, "Functional Behavioral Assessment in Practice: Concepts and Applications," *Handbook of Intellectual and Developmental Disabilities*, pp. 317–332, 2007, doi: 10.1007/0-387-32931-5_17.
- [10] S. Hodgetts, L. Zwaigenbaum, and D. Nicholas, "Profile and predictors of service needs for families of children with autism spectrum disorders," *Autism*, vol. 19, no. 6, pp. 673–683, 2015, doi: 10.1177/1362361314543531.
- [11] G. M. Wairungu, "Applied Behavior Analysis as an Intervention Strategy in Learners with Autism Spectrum Disorder," International Journal of Research and Scientific Innovation, vol. VII, no. VIII, pp. 87–92, 2020.
- [12] P. Kususanto and M. Chua, "Students' Self-Esteem at School: The Risk, the Challenge, and the Cure," *Journal of Education and Learning (EduLearn)*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 1–14, 2012, doi: 10.11591/edulearn.v6i1.185.
- [13] W. N. Yanuarto, "Teachers Awareness of Students' Anxiety in Math Classroom: Teachers' Treatment VS Students' Anxiety," *Journal of Education and Learning (EduLearn)*, vol. 10, no. 3, pp. 235–243, 2016, doi: 10.11591/edulearn.v10i3.3808.
- [14] D. McCausland, S. Guerin, J. Tyrrell, C. Donohoe, I. O'Donoghue, and P. Dodd, "A qualitative study of the needs of older adults with intellectual disabilities," *Journal of Applied Research in Intellectual Disabilities*, vol. 34, no. 6, pp. 1560–1568, 2021, doi: 10.1111/jar.12900.
- [15] A. Sahay, J. Prakash, A. Khaique, and P. Kumar, "Parents of Intellectually Disabled Children: A Study of Their Needs and Expectations," *International Journal of Humanities and Social Science Invention ISSN*, vol. 2, no. 7, pp. 1–08, 2013, [Online]. Available: www.iihssi.org.
- [16] C. L. Neece and E. J. Lima, "Interventions for Parents of People with Intellectual Disabilities," Current Developmental Disorders Reports, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 124–128, 2016, doi: 10.1007/s40474-016-0088-4.
- [17] S. Maryam, "Strategi Coping: Teori Dan Sumberdayanya," JURKAM: Jurnal Konseling Andi Matappa, vol. 1, no. 2, p. 101, 2017, doi: 10.31100/jurkam.v1i2.12.
- [18] J. Manubey, J. R. Batlolona, and M. Leasa, "Peer tutoring analysis in inclusive classes using character education approach," Journal of Education and Learning (EduLearn), vol. 15, no. 2, pp. 303–311, 2021, doi: 10.11591/edulearn.v15i2.19190.
- [19] I. Febrianis, P. Muljono, and D. Susanto, "Pedagogical competence-based Training Needs Analysis for Natural Science Teachers," *Journal of Education and Learning (EduLearn)*, vol. 8, no. 2, pp. 144–151, 2014, doi: 10.11591/edulearn.v8i2.216.
- [20] I. Seiffge-Krenke and K. Weitkamp, "How Individual Coping, Mental Health, and Parental Behavior Are Related to Identity

- Development in Emerging Adults in Seven Countries," Emerging Adulthood, vol. 8, no. 5, pp. 344-360, 2020, doi: 10.1177/2167696819863504.
- [21] C. N. Marsack-Topolewski and K. P. Wilson, "Coping Strategies Used by Aging Parental Caregivers of Adults With Autism Spectrum Disorder," *Families in Society*, vol. 102, no. 1, pp. 119–132, 2021, doi: 10.1177/1044389420913121. [22] E. B. Algorani and V. Gupta, *Coping mechanisms*, vol. 29, no. 8. StatPearls Publishing, 2022.
- [23] S. M. Saleh Baqutayan, "Stress and coping mechanisms: A historical overview," Mediterranean Journal of Social Sciences, vol. 6, no. 2S1, pp. 479–488, 2015, doi: 10.5901/mjss.2015.v6n2s1p479.
- [24] S. M. Abuzaid, "Handling Negative Attitudes and Behaviors of Special Education Students in the Classroom," ResearchGate, no. October, pp. 1-32, 2015.
- [25] K. Konaszewski, M. Niesiobędzka, and M. Kolemba, "Social and personal resources and adaptive and non-adaptive strategies for coping with stress in a group of socially maladjusted youths," European Journal of Criminology, vol. 19, no. 2, pp. 183-201, 2022, doi: 10.1177/1477370819895977.
- [26] Z. Hyseni Duraku and L. Hoxha, "Self-esteem, study skills, self-concept, social support, psychological distress, and coping mechanism effects on test anxiety and academic performance," Health Psychology Open, vol. 5, no. 2, 2018, doi: 10.1177/2055102918799963.

BIOGRAPHIES OF AUTHORS



Suparno D 🛭 🖾 🖒 was born in Ngawi 7 August 1958. He is a professor in the field of early childhood education with special needs. He is active as a lecturer at the Department of Special Education, Faculty of Education, Yogyakarta State University. He currently serves as Head of the Early Childhood Education at Yogyakarta State University (PAUD UNY) Masters Study Program. His work discusses a lot about the education of children with special needs and inclusive education in early childhood. His works are widely seminar and published in both national and international seminars. His community service focuses a lot on learning children with special needs at an early age. The professional organization he has held is the Association of Indonesian Special Education Professionals. He can be contacted at email: suparno@uny.ac.id.



Hermanto D 🔯 🚾 🕻 was born in Gunung Kidul November 15, 1970. Currently, he is a permanent lecturer at the Faculty of Education, Yogyakarta State University. He is pursuing special education with an interest in the management of education for children with special needs. Concentration of teaching in special education management and policy courses, as well as the education of children with communication and language barriers or problems. Some of the papers produced discuss the management and learning problems of children with special needs in inclusive schools. He can be contacted at email: hermansp@uny.ac.id.



Sukinah D 🖫 🚾 🕻 was born in Sukoharjo Februari 5, 1971. Currently, she is a permanent lecturer at the Faculty of Education, Yogyakarta State University. She is pursuing special education with an interest and focus in children with autistic education and inclusive education. She can be contacted at email: sukinah@uny.ac.id.



Wening Prabawati 🗓 🔀 🚾 🕩 was born in Tangerang April 22, 1991. Currently, she is a a lecturer with expertise in the education of children with emotional and behavioral disorders. She completed her undergraduate education at Yogyakarta State University, Faculty of Education, Department of Special Education in 2014 and master's degree at Yogyakarta State University, Postgraduate Program, Department of Special Education in 2017. Research that has been carried out is related to the theme of children with emotional disorders and behavior, positive behavior support, and inclusive schools. She can be contacted at email: weningprabawati@uny.ac.id.



Ade Putri Sarwendah was born in Balikpapan October 14, 1989. Currently, she is a magister student of Special Education at Yogyakarta State University. She also a special education teacher in Balikpapan state extraordinary school (SLB). She can be contacted at email: adeputri.2021@student.uny.ac.id.



Galih Rasita Dewi © 🔀 🖾 was born in Bantul, May 09, 1997. Currently she is a magister student of Special Education in Universitas Negeri Yogyakarta She also a special education teacher in SLB Islam Qothrunnada. She can be contacted at email: galihrasita.2021@student.uny.ac.id.



Dewi Barotuttaqiyah was born in Bantul, December 3, 1985. Currently, she is a laboran of Special Education Department at Yogyakarta State University. She can be contacted at email: dewi_baratut@uny.ac.id.



Mumpuniarti was born May 31, 1957 in Yogyakarta. Since 1983, she has been a Lecturer at IKIP Yogyakarta in the Department of Special Education, Faculty of Education until it changed to Yogyakarta State University (UNY). Further studies are achieved within the University itself by taking the Master's Degree Program in Education Management, and the Master's Degree in Educational Sciences. Since becoming a Lecturer, he has taught courses in the field of Special education, then with the establishment of the S2 Special Education at Postgraduate UNY, he has taught Developmental Lectures for Children with Special Needs. Publication works are more oriented towards the field of Intellectual Disability Education/Mental retardation. These works include "Parents' training needs for intellectual disability learning about daily lives activities" published in the Cypriot Journal Educational Sciences with rank Q3; and 'Family Nurture in The Social Skill Development of Children with Intellectual Disabilities Through Daily Activities" in Cakrawala Pendidikan with rank Q3, and other articles in the National Journal. The study of special education is always occupied, resulting in several works that can be accessed at Staff.uny.ac.id. She can be contacted at email: mumpuni@uny.ac.id.