

Available online at www.ejal.info

http://dx.doi.org/10.32601/ejal.911539

Eurasian Journal of Applied Linguistics, 8(2) (2022) 33-44



Strategic Ways for Improving the Efficiency of Teaching Linguistics to EFL Students with Physical Disabilities

Saifuddin Ahmad Husin^{a*}, John Pahamzah^b, Isti Rusdiyani^c, Yudi Juniardi^d, A. Akrim^e, Rusnadi Ali Kasan^f

^aUniversitas Islam Negeri Antasari, Banjarmasin, Indonesia.

^bUniversitas Sultan Ageng Tirtayasa, Serang, Banten, Indonesia

^cUniversitas Sultan Ageng Tirtayasa, Serang, Banten, Indonesia

^dUniversitas Sultan Ageng Tirtayasa, Serang, Banten, Indonesia

^eUniversitas Muhammadiyah Sumatera Utara, Medan, Indonesia

^fUniversitas Islam Negeri Antasari, Banjarmasin, Indonesia

Received 09 July 2022 | Received in revised form 08 September 2022 | Accepted 10 November 2022

APA Citation:

Husin, S., A., Pahamzah, J., Rusdiyani, I., Juniardi, Y., Akrim, A., Kasan, R., A. (2022). Strategic Ways for Improving the Efficiency of Teaching Linguistics to EFL Students with Physical Disabilities. *Eurasian Journal of Applied Linguistics*, 8(2), 33-44.

Doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.32601/ejal.911539

Abstract

Inclusive education for students with disabilities has become an important concern for educationists and scholars worldwide. Considering the significance of learning foreign languages to compete in every walk of life worldwide, the current study examines the impact of teachers' various strategic actions, including inclusive teaching practices, universal design for learning, and teachers' stroke in developing and enhancing students' motivation to learn English as a Foreign Language (EFL) and the resultant perceptions of their EFL teaching efficiency. Applying a quantitative survey methodology, the data was collected from 193 students with disabilities studying in six different universities in Indonesia. Using SmartPLS-3 software, structural equation modeling was employed to analyze the data. The results revealed the significance of all three teaching strategies in enhancing students' perception of teachers' efficiency via an underlying mechanism of students' motivation to learn EFL. It is hoped that the study results will contribute to the existing body of literature regarding the teachers' application of strategic ways and the development of students' motivation and readiness for inclusion in EFL studies in the higher education sector. It will also help form a stimulating socio-cultural environment for efficient adaptation of people with disabilities having sufficient command in EFL.

© 2022 EJAL & the Authors. Published by Eurasian Journal of Applied Linguistics (EJAL). This is an open-access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution license (CC BY-NC-ND) (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Keywords: EFL; Inclusive Teaching Practices; Teachers Stroke; Universal Design for Learning; Students Motivation; Teachers Efficiency.

Introduction

Teachers are commonly believed to be the most important actors in the educational sector (Pishghadam, Derakhshan, Zhaleh, & Al-Obaydi, 2021). Besides, teachers' efficiency reflects their professional success and students' performance in the educational system (Lohr et al., 2021). In other words, teachers are regarded as

Email: saifuddin@uin-antasari.ac.id

http://dx.doi.org/10.32601/ejal.911539

^{*} Corresponding Author.

the pillars of the educational sector (Bhatt & Kukreti, 2021). Moreover, learning foreign languages is vital nowadays, which expands the boundaries of communication and is also an integral part of the growth of professional opportunities and self-realization. In addition, multiple skills are required to teach and learn foreign languages (Mashhadlou & Izadpanah, 2021). Besides, it is the responsibility of foreign language teachers to develop and prepare professionally competent students with a higher level of foreign language skills. At the same time, English is an international language and used for culture and communication knowledge sharing all over the globe (Reynolds & Taylor, 2020).

Moreover, research shows that teachers perform the primary role in the EFL classrooms in all aspects, including managing the communication among the students, monitoring their performance, assessing students' achievement, and providing feedback regarding their learning process (Mashhadlou & Izadpanah, 2021; Pishghadam et al., 2021; Reynolds & Taylor, 2020). Hence, it is vital to assess the teachers' efficiency level in the classrooms (Demir, 2021). To measure the teachers' efficiency, the students' perceptions are very important (Abu-Ayfah, 2020). Therefore, the current study has considered three important teachers' strategic actions: inclusive teaching practices, universal design for learning, and teachers' stroke to determine students' perception of the teachers' efficiency while teaching English as a Foreign Language (EFL).

The first strategy of inclusive teaching practices in the educational sector has gained international importance, and it has become a prominent educational movement with the development of educational theories, policies, and practices (Staffileno, Warner, Arrieta, & Salinas, 2021). In contrast, the implementation of inclusive teaching strategies faces several challenges (Griful-Freixenet, Struyven, & Vantieghem, 2021). The most prominent of all challenges is the interpretation of the term inclusive teaching (Sharma, Loreman, & Forlin, 2012). Simultaneously, the problem of developing efficient educational mechanisms in the conditions of inclusive education is becoming more and more relevant (Chan & Lo, 2017). Hence, the current study aims to examine the strategic teaching practices of enhancing the efficiency of teaching EFL to students with disabilities within higher education.

Previously various researchers have focused on the teachers' attitudes which is also an important factor in inclusive teaching practices (Wilson, Marks Woolfson, & Durkin, 2020). At the same time, inclusive teaching practices depict the use of innovative ways of teaching based on teachers' knowledge, beliefs, and ability to engage the students in classrooms (Paseka & Schwab, 2020). Previously inclusive teaching practices have been linked with students' efficiency, satisfaction, and performance (Amka & Dalle, 2021; Murillo-Zamorano, Sánchez, & Godoy-Caballero, 2019; Saloviita, 2018). In contrast, the current study aims to examine the impact of inclusive teaching practices on special needs students' perceptions of teachers' efficiency while learning EFL.

In addition to inclusive teaching practices, the current study also examined universal design for learning as another important predictor of students' perception of EFL teacher/teachers' efficiency. A universal design for learning is established on the notion of students variability and urges teachers to engage students in various academic and non-academic activities (Dickinson & Gronseth, 2020). In addition, the literature reveals that the implication of universal design for learning creates a more productive and approachable learning environment for students of variable natures and disabilities (Xie & Rice, 2021). The impact of universal design for learning previously has also been examined to assess the level of performance and satisfaction among special needs students (Dickinson & Gronseth, 2020; Ferguson, McKenzie, Dalton, & Lyner-Cleophas, 2019; Griful-Freixenet, Struyven, Verstichele, & Andries, 2017). However, the extent to which universal design for learning influences special needs students' perception of their EFL teacher/teachers' efficiency has not been previously explored.

Lastly, the current study also examined the impact of teachers' stroke on students' perception of EFL their teacher/teachers' efficiency. Moreover, teachers' stroke represents the teachers' continuous appreciation and praise for the students (Pishghadam et al., 2021). Previously literature shows that teacher stroke is very important in enhancing students' motivation level, performance, and satisfaction (Gao, 2021). In addition to these direct impacts, the current study also examined the mediatory role of students' motivation in between the teachers' various strategic actions, i.e., inclusive teaching practices, universal design for learning, and teachers' stroke in developing and enhancing students' perception of EFL teacher/teachers' efficiency. Research shows that students' motivation level is an important predictor of their performance and engagement in any academic and non-academic activities (Alsancak Sirakaya & Ozdemir, 2018; Rahawarin et al., 2020). Thus considering the same significance of students' motivation, the current study assumes that it acts as a bridge between teachers' strategic actions in the EFL classrooms and special needs students' perception of their teachers' efficiency.

Moreover, the current study has been conducted in the Indonesian context. In recent years, the Indonesian education sector has developed various services for students with disabilities (Kurniawati, 2021). The trends have been changed from the segregation of special needs students towards inclusivity and integration (Sheehy & Budiyanto, 2015). There are many educational institutions in Indonesia where students with disabilities are getting an education in the same classrooms as other students (Efendi, 2018). In this context, the "Salamanca Statement and Framework For Action on Special Needs Education (UNESCO)" has become a key document promoting the right of students to get inclusive education (Kurniawati, 2021). This further states that students

with special disabilities have the same right to equal education services. Hence, by examining how the EFL teachers' inclusive educational practices and strategies help promote special needs students' motivation level, this study would be a valuable contribution to the Indonesian education sector context where already the students with disabilities are getting similar educational facilities.

Furthermore, the current study has been established in the performance management theory of action, which asserts the significance of teachers' classroom activities to depict their teaching efficiency (Kaufman, 2009). In this study context, inclusive teaching practices, universal design for learning, and teachers' stroke have been considered the effective strategic actions utilized by the teachers to enhance the students' motivation level to learn EFL leading to a higher perception of the students regarding teachers' efficiency.

Hence, the current study aimed to; a) examine the impact of various strategies including, inclusive teaching practices, universal design for learning, and teachers stroke on special needs' students' motivation level to learn EFL and their perceptions of teachers' efficiency, b) examine the mediatory role of special needs students' motivation level to learn EFL between the association of various teaching strategies including, inclusive teaching practices, universal design for learning, and teachers' stroke with students' perception of teachers' efficiency.

Literature Review

Inclusive Teaching Practices, Students' Motivation, and Teachers' Efficiency

For the educational delivery, teaching foreign languages, and enhancing the quality of educational institutions, teachers are considered among the major inputs in the educational sector (Romlah & Latief, 2021). Besides, inclusive teaching practices reflect various innovative teaching practices applied by the teachers in the classrooms (Sheehy & Budiyanto, 2015). These can be linked with innovative ideas, student involvement, the use of technology, and other such activities that teachers use to convey their message to the students and engage them in the classrooms (Paseka & Schwab, 2020). Previous literature shows that inclusive teaching practices are very important to create a standardized learning environment for all types of students (Saloviita, 2018; Wilson et al., 2020). Besides, inclusive teaching practices and inclusive EFL education have been reported by scholars to increase student satisfaction levels (Stentiford & Koutsouris, 2021) and performance (Udvari-Solner & Thousand, 2018). It has also been linked with students' motivation to learn foreign languages (Gao, 2021). Besides, Wilson et al. (2020) asserted that teachers' belief in implementing inclusive teaching in classrooms is a significant predictor of their efficacy. At the same time, extending the current body of literature and establishing in the performance management theory of action, the current study considers inclusive teaching practices as a strategical action of the teachers to motivate students with disabilities to learn EFL, that further positively influence their perception about teachers' efficiency. Hence, the following hypotheses are proposed;

H1a: Inclusive teaching practices positively influence special needs students' perception of their EFL teacher/teachers' efficiency.

H2a: Inclusive teaching practices positively influence special needs students' motivation to learn EFL.

H3a: Special needs students' motivation to learn EFL mediates the association of teachers' inclusive teaching practices with students' perception of teachers' efficiency.

Universal Design for Learning, Students' Motivation, and Teachers' Efficiency

The concept of universal design for learning originated from the United States of America (USA) during the 1980s with neuroscience research regarding learning processes of the human brain (Rose & Meyer, 2002). The notion behind the universal design for learning model was based on the reach and inclusion of students and teachers to all kinds of teaching and learning opportunities irrespective of any disability (Ferguson & Mohan, 2020). Moreover, in almost every part of the world, it has been considered that students with disabilities have equal rights to access all kinds of education (Nazaripour & Laie, 2020). In this context, the higher education commissions worldwide have acknowledged their responsibilities and tried to create an inclusive learning culture where teachers are appropriately facilitated to apply universal design for learning (Ferguson & Mohan, 2020). Moreover, the literature shows the significance of universal design for learning in enhancing students' satisfaction and performance level with special disabilities (Dickinson & Gronseth, 2020; Ferguson et al., 2019; Griful-Freixenet et al., 2017). At the same time, based on the performance management theory of action the current study proposes universal design for learning as teachers' an important strategic action to motivate the students with disabilities to learn English as a foreign language. Moreover, universal design for learning has also been considered as a triggering factor to enhance the teachers' efficiency in the classrooms. Hence, the following hypotheses are proposed;

H1b: Universal design for learning positively influence special needs students' perception of their EFL teacher/teachers' efficiency

H2b: Universal design for learning positively influence special needs students' motivation to learn EFL.

H3b: Special needs students' motivation to learn EFL mediates the association of universal design for learning with students' perception of their teachers' efficiency.

Teachers' Stroke, Students' Motivation, and Teachers' Efficiency

Research shows the significance of emotions to create positive relationships between students and teachers (Liu et al., 2018). In the context of the current study, emotions can especially be related to the positive emotions that students experience during learning foreign languages in the classroom (Dalle et al., 2021; Toraby & Modarresi, 2018). These emotions can further enhance the students learning capabilities and motivate them to develop interpersonal skills and engage in active learning (Sadoughi & Hejazi, 2021). This further reflects productive communication between teachers and students. At the same time, the teachers' stroke has been considered an important predictor of developing these emotions (Pishghadam et al., 2021). Teachers' stroke reflects their positive vibes, including continuous appreciation, praises, good remarks, smiles, etc., (Gao, 2021). Previous research shows that when teachers appreciate the students for the smaller acts, the students participate with more rigor and enthusiasm in various tasks (Pishghadam & Khajavy, 2014). In other words, when teachers praise their students, they authenticate their actions and state them as credible, which further motivates students to engage in classroom activities and positively improve their learning skills (Pishghadam et al., 2021). Hence, it can be stated that the teachers' positive gestures and appreciation words motivate students to learn EFL and these motivated students further perceived their teachers more efficient in developing their foreign language skills. Therefore, the following hypotheses are proposed;

H1c: Teachers' strokes positively influence special needs students' perception of their EFL teacher/teachers' efficiency.

H2c: Teachers' strokes positively influence special needs students' motivation to learn EFL.

H3c: Special needs students' motivation to learn EFL mediates the association of teachers' strokes with students' perception of teachers' efficiency

Theoretical Framework of The Study

Figure 1 presents the theoretical framework of the study based on the performance management theory of action and literature review.




Figure 1: Theoretical Framework of the Study

Materials and Methods

A quantitative field survey was conducted among the students with disabilities studying in different campuses of 6 universities in the South Kalimantan province of Indonesia. The selected universities were ranked based on accreditation and licensing and chartered by the Indonesian higher education Commission (Amka & Dalle, 2021). These universities offer at least four years of bachelor's and master's or doctoral degrees. In the beginning, the authors visited the "Office of the Disability Accommodation" in all the universities in May 2021 to take the necessary approvals to collect data from the students with disabilities.

After the necessary approvals, the students with disabilities were approached, and the authors took their consent to participate voluntarily in the survey. They explained the reason for conducting this study. In the beginning, the authors communicated with 246 students. Out of these, 209 agreed to participate in the survey. Hence, they were handed over the questionnaires. After completing the survey, the questionnaires were scrutinized, and the authors found 16 responses were either with missing values or unengaged patterns. Thus, the remaining 193 questionnaires were included in the final analysis generating a response rate of 78.4%.

To measure universal design for learning, a 10-item scale by Griful-Freixenet et al. (2021) was used. The sample items included "present course information in multiple formats (e.g., texts, audio materials, visual materials)" and "create multiple opportunities for engagement." To measure teachers' stroke, an 18-item scale was developed by Pishghadam and Khajavy (2014). Students were asked how frequently their EFL teacher/teachers provided stroke to their students. Sample items included: "knows my name (item 5), encourages me (item 8), allocates enough time to me outside the class (item 10), praises me in front of others (item 13), and allows me to ask questions (item 18)."

The responses for UDL and teachers' stroke were recorded at a 5-point Likert scale ranging from 1=never to 5=always". Inclusive teaching practices were measured with 6-items of self-efficacy using inclusive teaching practices adapted from Sharma et al. (2012). The sample items included "Using a variety of assessments" and "Designing individualized learning tasks." A 15-item scale adapted from Schreglmann (2018) was used to assess the students' motivation level to learn EFL. The sample items include "I am motivated to learn EFL because I think it will be useful to me for my profession in the future" and "I am motivated to learn EFL because it is a prerequisite for business life." Finally, teachers' efficiency was measured with a 10-item scale adapted from Schwarzer and Scholz (2000). The sample items included "My EFL teacher/teachers know how to handle unforeseen situations and extract best of me" and "When my EFL teacher/teachers are confronted with a problem, they can usually find several solutions". "All the sample items were reported at a five-point Likert scale with 1=Strongly Disagree to 5=Strongly Agree".

Table 1 presents the detailed description of respondents' demographic characteristics.

Table 1. Respondents' Characteristics

Variables	<u> </u>	Number	Percentage
Gender	Male	90	46.4%
	Female	103	53.6%
Age	18-25 years	77	39.9%
	26-30 years	58	30.1%
	31-35 years	39	20.2%
	36 and above	19	09.8%
Degree level	Post-graduate	45	23.3%
	Graduate	70	36.3%
	Undergraduate	78	40.4%
Disability Type	Deaf/hard of hearing	54	28.1%
	Visual	30	15.6%
	Mobility disability	22	11.2%
	Psychiatric disability	23	11.5%
	ADD/ADHD	18	09.3%
	Learning disability	14	07.4%
	Cognitive/traumatic	13	06.8%
	Brain injury	09	04.7%
	Other health	10	05.4%

Data Analysis and Results

Assessment of the Measurement Model

The current study used SmartPLS3 software to assess the psychometric properties of the constructs. Results revealed that respondents' type of disability and gender had a positive impact on the dependent variable for both the constructs, that were controlled during further analysis. Moreover, construct validities and reliabilities were assessed before the hypothesis testing. For that, "Cronbach's Alpha (CA)," "Composite Reliability (CR)," and "Average Variance Extracted (AVE)" were calculated (Hair, Ringle, & Sarstedt, 2011; Mansoor & Paul, 2022). Results showed that all values fell in the acceptable range, with CA in the range of 0.776 to 0.840, and CR in the range of 0.903 to 0.959, whereas all AVE values were above 0.5, showing that items of all the study constructs were equally varied (Henseler, Ringle, & Sarstedt, 2015; Mansoor, 2021). In addition, all the items' factor loadings were acceptable, with values ranging from 0.720 to 0.867, except for TS3, TS7, and TS16. Therefore, these three items were not included in further analysis. Table 2 depicts the exact values of factor loadings, reliabilities, and validates.

Constructs/indicators	Table 1: Factor Loadings, Reliability, and Validity		, ,	1.			A 7.773	CD	C A
Inclusive Teaching practices	Constructs/indicators						AVE	CR	CA
TP1	Inclusive Teaching practices	т		<u> </u>	4	<u> </u>	0.609	0.903	0.776
TP2		0.778					0.003	0.505	0.770
TP3									
TP1									
TP5									
The									
UDL1									
DDL1							0.623	0.943	0.830
DDL2			0.867						
DDL3									
DD1.5									
DDL6	UDL4		0.798						
DDL7	UDL5		0.782						
UDL8	UDL6		0.780						
UDL19			0.778						
UDL10 0.845 Teachers' Stroke 0.776 TS1 0.776 TS2 0.821 TS4 0.739 TS5 0.780 TS6 0.754 TS8 0.793 TS9 0.760 TS10 0.760 TS11 0.769 TS12 0.776 TS13 0.750 TS14 0.799 TS15 0.768 TS17 0.743 TS18 0.788 SM1 0.782 SM2 0.787 SM3 0.788 SM4 0.813 SM5 0.834 SM6 0.821 SM7 0.777 SM8 0.739 SM9 0.750 SM11 0.790 SM12 0.768 SM13 0.768 SM12 0.768 SM13 0.768 SM14 0.774			0.734						
Teachers' Stroke 0.776 0.821	UDL9		0.791						
TS1 0.776 TS2 0.821 TS4 TS4 0.739 TS5 0.780 TS5 TS6 0.780 TS6 0.780 TS6 0.780 TS6 0.780 TS6 0.780 TS6 0.760 TS6 0.793 TS8 TS9 0.760 0.760 TS10 0.760 TS11 0.769 TS12 0.776 TS13 0.750 TS14 0.799 TS144 0.799 TS15 0.743 TS18 0.748 TS17 TS18 0.748 TS17 TS18 0.748 TS18 0.801 0.801 0.801 0.801 0.801 0.802 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>0.845</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>			0.845						
TS2 0.821 754 0.739 754 755 756 756 756 756 756 756 758 758 758 758 759 750 751 759 7510 760 7511 7512 7512 7512 7512 7512 7514 7513 7514 7514 7514 7514 7514 7515 7514 7515 7514 7515 7514 7515 7514 7517 7514 7517 7514 7514 7517 7514 7517 7514 7517 7518 7517 7518							0.592	0.956	0.836
TS4 0.739 188 185 0.780 185 188 0.780 188 188 188 188 188 188 189 189 189 189 188 189									
TS5 0.780 754 756 754 758 754 758 758 0.754 758 <									
TS6 0.754 TS8 0.793 TS8 0.793 TS10 0.760 TS10 0.763 TS11 0.769 TS11 0.769 TS12 0.776 TS12 0.750 TS14 0.799 TS15 0.743 TS15 0.743 TS18 0.607 0.959 0.84 Students' Motivation 0.748 0.748 0.607 0.959 0.84 SM2 0.787 SM2 0.787 SM2 0.607 0.959 0.84 SM3 0.788 0.788 SM4 0.813 SM5 SM5 SM6 SM34 SM5 SM5 SM6 SM6 SM6 SM2 SM7 0.777 SM8 0.739 SM9 SM9 0.750 SM11 0.790 SM12 0.765 SM11 0.790 SM12 0.766 SM13 0.768 SM13 0.768 SM14 0.771 SM6 0.771 SM16 0.771 SM16 0.771 SM16 0.771 SM16 0.771 SM17 0.771 SM17 0.771 SM17 0.749 SM17 0.749									
TS8 0.793 1.789 0.760 1.7810 1.760 1.7810 1.760 1.7810 1.760 1.7810 1.760 1.7811 1.7812 0.776 1.7813 0.750 1.7814 0.799 1.7814 0.799 1.7814 0.743 1.7817 1.7818 0.743 1.7817 1.7818 0.743 1.7818 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>									
TS9 0.760 TS10 0.763 TS11 0.769 TS12 0.776 TS13 0.750 TS14 0.799 TS15 0.768 TS17 0.743 TS18 0.743 Students' Motivation 0.752 SM2 0.787 SM3 0.788 SM4 0.813 SM5 0.834 SM6 0.821 SM7 0.777 SM8 0.739 SM9 0.751 SM10 0.750 SM11 0.790 SM12 0.765 SM13 0.765 SM11 0.790 SM12 0.765 SM13 0.768 SM14 0.774 SM15 0.608 0.939 Sudiction 0.771 Students' Perception of Teachers' Efficiency 0.608 0.939 SPTE1 0.749									
TS10 0.763 TS11 0.769 TS12 0.776 TS12 0.776 TS13 0.750 TS14 0.799 TS15 TS15 0.768 TS17 0.743 TS17 0.743 TS18 0.607 0.959 0.84 Students' Motivation 0.752 0.782 0.787 0.788 SM2 0.788 SM2 0.788 SM3 0.788 SM4 0.813 SM5 SM6 0.834 SM6 0.834 SM6 0.821 SM7 0.777 SM8 SM9 0.751 SM10 0.750 SM11 0.790 SM11 0.790 SM12 0.765 SM12 0.765 SM13 0.766 SM13 0.774 SM15 0.771 SM16 0.774 SM15 0.771 SM16 0.800 0.939 0.82 SPTE1 0.800 0.749									
TS11									
TS12 TS13									
TS13 0.750 TS14 0.799 TS15 0.768 TS17 0.743 TS18 0.748 Students' Motivation 0.607 0.959 0.84 SM2 0.787 SM3 0.788 0.813 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>									
TS14 0.799 TS15 0.768 TS17 0.743 TS18 0.748 Students' Motivation 0.752 SM2 0.787 SM3 0.788 SM4 0.813 SM5 0.834 SM6 0.821 SM7 0.777 SM8 0.777 SM8 0.739 SM9 0.751 SM10 0.750 SM11 0.750 SM11 0.750 SM11 0.790 SM12 0.765 SM13 0.765 SM14 0.774 SM15 0.774 SSUdents' Perception of Teachers' Efficiency SPTE1 0.800 SPTE2 0.800									
TS15 0.768 TS17 0.743 TS18 0.748 Students' Motivation 0.607 0.959 0.84 SM2 0.787 0.787 0.788 0.813 SM4 0.813 0.834 0.813 0.84 0.813 0.84 0.81									
TS17 TS18 0.748 Students' Motivation SM1 SM2 SM3 SM4 SM4 SM5 SM6 SM6 SM7 SM8 SM7 SM8 SM7 SM8 SM9 SM9 SM9 SM9 SM10 SM10 SM11 SM10 SM12 SM12 SM12 SM10 SM11 SM10 SM10									
TS18 0.748 0.607 0.959 0.84 SM1 0.752 0.787 0.84 0.82 0.87 0.84 0.82 0.83 0.83 0.83 0.834 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>									
Students' Motivation 0.607 0.959 0.84 SM1 0.752 0.787 0.787 0.787 0.787 0.788 0.788 0.788 0.813 0.813 0.813 0.813 0.814 0.813 0.814									
SM1 0.752 SM2 0.787 SM3 0.788 SM4 0.813 SM5 0.834 SM6 0.821 SM7 0.777 SM8 0.739 SM9 0.751 SM10 0.750 SM11 0.790 SM12 0.765 SM13 0.768 SM14 0.774 SM15 0.771 Students' Perception of Teachers' Efficiency 0.608 0.939 0.82 SPTE1 0.800 SPTE2 0.749				0.748			0.007	0.050	0.040
SM2 0.787 SM3 0.788 SM4 0.813 SM5 0.834 SM6 0.821 SM7 0.777 SM8 0.739 SM9 0.751 SM10 0.750 SM11 0.790 SM12 0.765 SM13 0.768 SM14 0.774 SM15 0.771 Students' Perception of Teachers' Efficiency 0.608 0.939 0.82 SPTE1 0.800 SPTE2 0.749					0.750		0.607	0.959	0.840
SM3 0.788 SM4 0.813 SM5 0.834 SM6 0.821 SM7 0.777 SM8 0.739 SM9 0.751 SM10 0.750 SM11 0.790 SM12 0.765 SM13 0.768 SM14 0.774 SM15 0.771 Students' Perception of Teachers' Efficiency 0.608 0.939 0.82 SPTE1 0.800 SPTE2 0.749									
SM4 0.813 SM5 0.834 SM6 0.821 SM7 0.777 SM8 0.739 SM9 0.751 SM10 0.750 SM11 0.790 SM12 0.765 SM13 0.768 SM14 0.774 SM15 0.771 Students' Perception of Teachers' Efficiency 0.608 0.939 0.82 SPTE1 0.800 SPTE2 0.749									
SM5 0.834 SM6 0.821 SM7 0.777 SM8 0.739 SM9 0.751 SM10 0.750 SM11 0.790 SM12 0.765 SM13 0.768 SM14 0.774 SM15 0.771 Students' Perception of Teachers' Efficiency 0.608 0.939 0.82 SPTE1 0.800 SPTE2 0.749									
SM6 0.821 SM7 0.777 SM8 0.739 SM9 0.751 SM10 0.750 SM11 0.790 SM12 0.765 SM13 0.768 SM14 0.774 SM15 0.771 Students' Perception of Teachers' Efficiency 0.608 0.939 0.82 SPTE1 0.800 SPTE2 0.749									
SM7 0.777 SM8 0.739 SM9 0.751 SM10 0.750 SM11 0.790 SM12 0.765 SM13 0.768 SM14 0.774 SM15 0.771 Students' Perception of Teachers' Efficiency 0.608 0.939 0.82 SPTE1 0.800 SPTE2 0.749									
SM8 0.739 SM9 0.751 SM10 0.750 SM11 0.790 SM12 0.765 SM13 0.768 SM14 0.774 SM15 0.771 Students' Perception of Teachers' Efficiency 0.608 0.939 0.82 SPTE1 0.800 SPTE2 0.749									
SM9 0.751 SM10 0.750 SM11 0.790 SM12 0.765 SM13 0.768 SM14 0.774 SM15 0.771 Students' Perception of Teachers' Efficiency 0.608 0.939 0.82 SPTE1 0.800 SPTE2 0.749									
SM10 0.750 SM11 0.790 SM12 0.765 SM13 0.768 SM14 0.774 SM15 0.771 Students' Perception of Teachers' Efficiency 0.608 0.939 0.82 SPTE1 0.800 SPTE2 0.749									
SM11 0.790 SM12 0.765 SM13 0.768 SM14 0.774 SM15 0.771 Students' Perception of Teachers' Efficiency 0.608 0.939 0.82 SPTE1 0.800 SPTE2 0.749									
SM12 0.765 SM13 0.768 SM14 0.774 SM15 0.771 Students' Perception of Teachers' Efficiency 0.608 0.939 0.82 SPTE1 0.800 SPTE2 0.749									
SM13 0.768 SM14 0.774 SM15 0.771 Students' Perception of Teachers' Efficiency 0.608 0.939 0.82 SPTE1 0.800 SPTE2 0.749									
SM14 0.774 SM15 0.771 Students' Perception of Teachers' Efficiency 0.608 0.939 0.82 SPTE1 0.800 SPTE2 0.749									
SM15 0.771 Students' Perception of Teachers' Efficiency 0.608 0.939 0.82 SPTE1 0.800 SPTE2 0.749									
Students' Perception of Teachers' Efficiency 0.608 0.939 0.82 SPTE1 0.800 SPTE2 0.749									
SPTE1 0.800 SPTE2 0.749		,			V.,,,		0.608	0.939	0.827
SPTE2 0.749						0.800			- · •
SPTE4 0.789									
SPTE5 0.780									
SPTE6 0.792									
SPTE7 0.754									
SPTE8 0.707									
SPTE9 0.788									
SPTE10 0.852									

[&]quot;Note: CR, composite reliability; AVE, average variance extracted."

Furthermore, the discriminant validity was assessed via the Heterotrait-Monotrait Ratio. The values depict (Table 3) that all variables are independent. All values are in an acceptable range as per the guidelines of (Henseler et al., 2015; Mansoor, Awan, & Paracha, 2021).

Table 3: Heterotrait-Monotrait Ratio

Constructs	Mean	STD.	1	2	3	4	5
ITP	4.02	0.81	0.781				
UDL	3.97	1.07	0.578	0.789			
TS	3.86	1.20	0.549	0.545	0.769		
SM	3.95	1.10	0.602	0.523	0.598	0.779	
SPTE	3.81	1.23	0.580	0.497	0.634	0.613	0.780

Note: "The square roots of AVEs of the constructs are shown in bold in diagonal. And depict the discriminant validity of the constructs".

Where: ITP= Inclusive Teaching Practices; UDL= Universal Design for Learning; TS= Teachers' Strokes; ST=Students' Motivation; SPTE=Students' Perception of Teachers' Efficiency




Figure 2: Measurement Model

Assessment of the Structural Model

To assess the hypothesized paths, a bootstrapping technique applying 500 sub-samples was utilized. Besides, " β -coefficient, t-value, and p-value" were used to confirm and report the proposed hypothesis paths. In addition, the Coefficient of Determination (R^2) was used to assess the overall fitness of the proposed theoretical framework. The results of the R2 show a 52.4 % change in students' motivation to learn EFL due to inclusive teaching practices, universal design for learning, and teachers' stroke. At the same time, R2 for students' perception of teachers' efficiency was 0.638 depicting a total variance of 63.8% in the students' perception of teachers' efficiency based on inclusive teaching practices, universal design for learning, and teachers' stroke. These results show a good fit for the model and are shown in figure 2.

Direct Hypotheses

Results of the study shows that, inclusive teaching practices (β = .288***, t=6.207), universal design for learning (β = .201**, t=4.002) and teachers' stroke (β = .290***, t=6.380) had a positive influence on students' perception of teachers' efficiency. Results also showed the positive impact of inclusive teaching practices (β = .270***, t=5.782), universal design for learning (β = .292***, t=6.587) and teachers' stroke (β = .315***, t=7.375) on students' motivation. In addition, students' motivation had a positive influence on their perception of teachers' efficiency (β = .234***, t=4.757) for learning EFL. Therefore, as presented in Table 4, the results supported hypotheses H1a,b c; H2a,b,c; and H3a,b,c.

Mediation Hypothesis

The study results also support the mediation hypotheses H4 a, b and c (Figure 1). An indirect and positive association of inclusive teaching practices (β = .210**, t=2.243), universal design for learning (β = .267***, t=5.530) and teachers' stroke (β = .245***, t=4.679) on students' perception of teachers' efficiency was found in the presence of students' motivation as a mediator as presented in Table 4.

Table 4	Hypot	hesis Te	esting	Results
---------	-------	----------	--------	---------

	Hypotheses	Std. Beta	<i>t</i> -Value	<i>p</i> -values	Supported
H_{1a}	$ITP \rightarrow TE$	0.288	6.207	0.000	Yes
${ m H}_{1{ m b}}$	$UDL \rightarrow TE$	0.201	4.002	0.005	Yes
H_{1c}	$TS \rightarrow TE$	0.290	6.380	0.000	Yes
${ m H}_{2a}$	$ITP \rightarrow SM$	0.270	5.782	0.000	Yes
${ m H}_{2{ m b}}$	$UDL \rightarrow SM$	0.292	6.587	0.000	Yes
${ m H}_{2c}$	$TS \rightarrow SM$	0.315	7.375	0.000	Yes
H_3	$SM \rightarrow TE$	0.234	4.757	0.001	Yes
${ m H}_{ m 4a}$	$\text{ITP} \rightarrow \text{SM} \rightarrow \text{TE}$	0.210	4.243	0.003	Yes
${ m H}_{ m 4b}$	$UDL \rightarrow SM \rightarrow TE$	0.267	5.530	0.000	Yes
${ m H}_{ m 4c}$	$TS \rightarrow SM \rightarrow TE$	0.245	4.679	0.000	Yes




Figure 3: Structural Model

Discussion

The results revealed the positive association of inclusive teaching practices with students' perception of EFL teacher/teachers' efficiency. This can be related to the fact that when both teachers and students are equally involved in a learning process, students perceive that their teachers are more effective and efficient in the teaching process (Oliver, Williams-Duncan, & Kimball, 2020). This further shows that it is very important for EFL teachers to apply inclusive teaching practices while teaching students with disabilities to enhance their learning capacity and increase their efficiency. Besides, teaching EFL requires special strategies to understand and learn EFL easily (Abu-Ayfah, 2020). In addition, students with disabilities require more care and tactics during the learning process (Nazaripour & Laie, 2020). Hence, teachers need to utilize inclusive teaching practices including, interactive sessions, videotaped technologies, storytelling, etc., while teaching EFL to students with disabilities.

The results also showed the positive association between universal design for learning and teachers' efficiency. Hence, it can be asserted that the teachers who utilize universal design for learning in their classrooms are perceived to be more efficient by the students with special disabilities while EFL. These results are in line with previous studies that demonstrated the significance of universal design for learning to reflect students' and teachers' performance (Griful-Freixenet et al., 2021; Tsai, 2020). This further shows that while teaching EFL to students with disabilities, it is important for the teachers to apply a universal design for learning regardless of nationality, type of disability, ethnicity, or any other differences. Hence, every student

should be given importance to enhance their learning process. The results also showed the positive association of teachers' stroke with their efficiency. Since the teachers' stroke present their appreciation and praise for the students (Derakhshan, Saeidi, & Beheshti, 2019). Therefore, it can be stated that when teachers praise the students with disabilities for their effort to learn EFL, the students feel privileged; as a result, they put more effort into their learning process. This further reflects the students' positive perception of their teachers' efficiency in engaging them in the learning process.

Moreover, the results also showed the positive influence of inclusive teaching practices, universal design for learning, and teachers' stroke in developing and enhancing the students' motivation to learn EFL. Especially this study is conducted among students with disabilities. Hence, when teachers apply various inclusive learning strategies in the classrooms along with the universal design for learning for all, the students are more motivated to learn EFL. Likewise, results depict the significance of teachers' continuous praise and appreciation for their students in enhancing the motivation level to learn the EFL. These results can be related to the previous studies, which reflected the significance of inclusive teacher practices in enhancing students' performance (Kurniawati, 2021), universal design for learning to improve student satisfaction (Tsai, 2020), and teachers' stroke to enhance and improve the students' engagement and motivation (Derakhshan et al., 2019; Pishghadam et al., 2021) in a learning process.

Furthermore, the current study also enhances the body of literature by suggesting the mediatory role of students' motivation in between EFL teachers' use of various strategic activities and students' perception of their teachers' efficiency. The findings revealed that when teachers apply various inclusive strategies, including the use of technology, class participation, discussions, videotaped learning strategies, etc., in the classrooms, the students' motivation level is high. As a result, students perceive their teachers as more efficient in delivering the message and enhancing students' capabilities to learn EFL. Likewise, when the teachers use a universal design for learning to teach their students in the classroom environment, the students with disabilities are more motivated based on equal opportunities to learn. As a result, they perceive their teachers more efficient in increasing their foreign language knowledge and skills. Finally, students' motivation level has been found like a bridge between EFL teachers' appreciation and praise for their special needs students and students' perception of teachers' efficiency.

Conclusion

Theoretical Implications

The current study is unique as it is established in the performance management theory of action in determining the EFL teachers' efficiency based on three important teachers' strategic actions/ activities in a single comprehensive framework. These include inclusive teaching practices, universal design for learning, and teachers' stroke to develop and enhance the motivation level among the special needs students to learn EFL, which have been examined by the researchers separately in various study contexts (i.e., inclusive teaching practices (Paseka & Schwab, 2020), universal design for learning (Tsai, 2020), and teachers stroke (Pishghadam et al., 2021). Moreover, students' motivation level has been considered as a bridge to determine the perception of their EFL teacher/teachers' efficiency based on various strategies they apply in the classrooms. This further reflects that it is difficult to generalize and observe the teachers' efficiency based on their teaching practices directly, instead the underlying mechanism of students' motivation level accurately presents their teachers' efficiency. This is an interesting area for future researchers to explore more predictors of teaching efficiency via an underlying mechanism of students' motivation. Another important theoretical advance of the current study is that it is conducted among students with disabilities. The results suggest the significance of inclusive teaching practices using various strategies in the classrooms to enhance the motivation level of students with various disabilities. Likewise, the universal design for learning is vital to apply, along with continuous appreciation and praise for the students with disabilities to motivate them to learn EFL.

Practical implications

The current study's findings are helpful for policymakers, educationists, teachers, and students in the higher education sector. Since the results revealed the significance of inclusive teaching practices in enhancing students' motivation level and the perception of teachers' efficiency, therefore, the teachers need to find new inclusive teaching strategies that can engage the students in a learning process and enhance their interest level so that they can get motivated and feel easy to learn EFL. Moreover, teachers should apply a standardized universal design for learning without any discrimination between the students. The results showed that a universal design for learning is important in enhancing the motivation level of students with disabilities to learn EFL. Hence, such students should be motivated to engage in conversations, discussions, and competitions with other students to enhance their learning capabilities. Besides, sometimes strict teachers are considered more effective in improving students' grades and performance (Golann, Debs, & Weiss, 2019).

However, as the current study results show that teachers' stroke motivates students to learn EFL, it is important for the teachers to be lenient, they should praise their students even for their smaller efforts, appreciate them even if the students are not performing well, etc., to motivate their students to enhance their learning capabilities. Since the ultimate goal of the teachers is student learning and their personality and career development, they should make all such efforts that motivate the students. Moreover, educational institutions need to provide special training to their teachers to use various classroom tactics and strategies to engage their students. Finally, for the last two years, due to the COVID-19 various technologies have been applied by the educational institutions to access and teach their students. The characteristics of such technologies can be adapted in the face to the face learning mode to motivate and engage the students in the learning process.

Limitations and future research directions

The current study faced a few limitations that need to be overcome to have more strategic outcomes. First, the current study was conducted among students with disabilities in the higher education sector, collecting data from university students. At this stage, most of the students are already mature and come from various backgrounds with various motivations to learn foreign languages. Hence, there can be other factors behind their motivation level which are not considered in the current study. Secondly, in future studies, a mixed-method approach can be applied where a qualitative survey based on the interviews of the students can be conducted to know about their preferences of various strategies they expect from their teachers to apply in classrooms to achieve enhanced learning outcomes. The same can be quantitatively examined later to devise valuable policy insights. Moreover, a similar kind of study can be conducted among the elementary, primary, and high school levels students to check the significance of these three teaching strategic actions in motivating students with disabilities to learn EFL and know about their perceptions of teachers' efficiency. Finally, the current study has considered the underlying mechanism of students' motivation to predict the effect of teachers' strategic activities/actions on their efficiency. In contrast, in the future, the contingent effect of different constructs, especially teachers' gender and experience, can be analyzed via multi-group analysis technique to determine their efficiency of teaching foreign languages.

References

- Abu-Ayfah, Z. A. (2020). Telegram App in Learning English: EFL Students' Perceptions. *English Language Teaching*, 13(1), 51-62. Retrieved from https://eric.ed.gov/?id=EJ1239149
- Alsancak Sirakaya, D., & Ozdemir, S. (2018). The Effect of a Flipped Classroom Model on Academic Achievement, Self-Directed Learning Readiness, Motivation and Retention. *Malaysian Online Journal of Educational Technology*, 6(1), 76-91. Retrieved from http://www.ojsmojet.net/index.php/mojet/article/view/115
- Amka, A., & Dalle, J. (2021). The Satisfaction of the Special Need'Students with E-Learning Experience During COVID-19 Pandemic: A Case of Educational Institutions in Indonesia. *Contemporary Educational Technology*, 14(1), ep334. doi: https://doi.org/10.30935/cedtech/11371
- Bhatt, T., & Kukreti, B. (2021). Study Of Intelligence As Predictor Of Teaching Efficiency. *Psychology and Education Journal*, 58(5), 853-859. Retrieved from http://psychologyandeducation.net/pae/index.php/pae/article/view/5369
- Chan, C., & Lo, M. (2017). Exploring inclusive pedagogical practices in Hong Kong primary EFL classrooms. *International Journal of Inclusive Education*, 21(7), 714-729. doi: https://doi.org/10.1080/13603116.2016.1252798
- Dalle, J., Raisinghani, M. S., Putra, A. P., Suriansyah, A., Hadi, S., & Sahara, B. (2021). A technology acceptance case of Indonesian senior school teachers: Effect of facilitating learning environment and learning through experimentation. *International Journal of Online Pedagogy and Course Design (IJOPCD)*, 11(4), 45-60. doi: http://doi.org/10.4018/IJOPCD.2021100104
- Demir, A. N. (2021). An extended literature review on in-service EFL teachers' self-efficacy beliefs. Focus on ELT Journal, 3(2), 36-50. doi: https://doi.org/10.14744/felt.2021.3.2.3
- Derakhshan, A., Saeidi, M., & Beheshti, F. (2019). The Interplay Between Iranian EFL Teachers' Conceptions of Intelligence, Care, Feedback, and Students' Stroke. *IUP Journal of English Studies*, 14(3), 81-98. Retrieved from https://www.proquest.com/openview/9dc4021f0fbb172d729b8215212cc336
- Dickinson, K. J., & Gronseth, S. L. (2020). Application of universal design for learning (UDL) principles to surgical education during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Journal of surgical education*, 77(5), 1008-1012. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsurg.2020.06.005
- Efendi, M. (2018). The implementation of inclusive education in Indonesia for children with special needs: expectation and reality. *Journal of ICSAR*, 2(2), 142-147. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.17977/um005v2i22018p142
- Ferguson, B. T., McKenzie, J., Dalton, E. M., & Lyner-Cleophas, M. (2019). Inclusion, universal design and universal design for learning in higher education: South Africa and the United States. *African journal of disability*, 8(1), 1-7. Retrieved from https://hdl.handle.net/10520/EJC-18cf594edf
- Ferguson, J. L., & Mohan, M. (2020). Use of celebrity and non-celebrity persons in B2B advertisements: Effects on attention, recall, and hedonic and utilitarian attitudes. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 89, 594-604. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indmarman.2019.02.003

- Gao, Y. (2021). Towards the role of language teacher confirmation and stroke in EFL/ESL students' motivation and academic engagement: a theoretical review. Frontiers in Psychology, 19(12), 723432. doi: https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.723432
- Golann, J. W., Debs, M., & Weiss, A. L. (2019). "To Be Strict on Your Own": Black and Latinx Parents Evaluate Discipline in Urban Choice Schools. *American Educational Research Journal*, 56(5), 1896-1929. doi: https://doi.org/10.3102/0002831219831972
- Griful-Freixenet, J., Struyven, K., & Vantieghem, W. (2021). Toward more inclusive education: an empirical test of the universal design for learning conceptual model among preservice teachers. *Journal of Teacher Education*, 72(3), 381-395. doi: https://doi.org/10.1177/0022487120965525
- Griful-Freixenet, J., Struyven, K., Verstichele, M., & Andries, C. (2017). Higher education students with disabilities speaking out: perceived barriers and opportunities of the Universal Design for Learning framework. Disability & Society, 32(10), 1627-1649. doi: https://doi.org/10.1080/09687599.2017.1365695
- Hair, J. F., Ringle, C. M., & Sarstedt, M. (2011). PLS-SEM: Indeed a silver bullet. *Journal of Marketing theory and Practice*, 19(2), 139-152. doi: https://doi.org/10.2753/MTP1069-6679190202
- Henseler, J., Ringle, C. M., & Sarstedt, M. (2015). A new criterion for assessing discriminant validity in variance-based structural equation modeling. *Journal of the academy of marketing science*, 43(1), 115-135. doi: https://doi.org/10.1007/s11747-014-0403-8
- Kaufman, T. E. (2009). Performance management and school reform: A multi-case study of middle and high school performance management for instructional improvement. Harvard University. Retrieved from https://www.proquest.com/openview/b5c17efccaa07cb235262c5b9b453dcd
- Kurniawati, F. (2021). Exploring teachers' inclusive education strategies in rural Indonesian primary schools. *Educational Research*, 63(2), 198-211. doi: https://doi.org/10.1080/00131881.2021.1915698
- Liu, R.-D., Zhen, R., Ding, Y., Liu, Y., Wang, J., Jiang, R., & Xu, L. (2018). Teacher support and math engagement: roles of academic self-efficacy and positive emotions. *Educational Psychology*, 38(1), 3-16. doi: https://doi.org/10.1080/01443410.2017.1359238
- Lohr, A., Stadler, M., Schultz-Pernice, F., Chernikova, O., Sailer, M., Fischer, F., & Sailer, M. (2021). On powerpointers, clickerers, and digital pros: Investigating the initiation of digital learning activities by teachers in higher education. Computers in Human Behavior, 119, 106715. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2021.106715
- Mansoor, M. (2021). An interaction effect of perceived government response on COVID-19 and government agency's use of ICT in building trust among citizens of Pakistan. *Transforming Government: People, Process and Policy, 15*(4), 693–707. doi: https://doi.org/10.1108/TG-01-2021-0002
- Mansoor, M., Awan, T. M., & Paracha, O. S. (2021). Predicting Pro-environmental Behaviors of Green Electronic Appliances' Users. *International Journal of Business and Economic Affairs*, 6(4), 175-186. Retrieved from http://ijbea.com/ojs/index.php/ijbea/article/view/221
- Mansoor, M., & Paul, J. (2022). Impact of energy efficiency-based ICT adoptions on prosumers and consumers. Journal of Cleaner Production, 331, 130008. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2021.130008
- Mashhadlou, H., & Izadpanah, S. (2021). Assessing Iranian EFL teachers' educational performance based on gender and years of teaching experience. *Language Testing in Asia, 11*(1), 1-26. doi: https://doi.org/10.1186/s40468-021-00140-7
- Murillo-Zamorano, L. R., Sánchez, J. Á. L., & Godoy-Caballero, A. L. (2019). How the flipped classroom affects knowledge, skills, and engagement in higher education: Effects on students' satisfaction. *Computers & Education*, 141, 103608. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2019.103608
- Nazaripour, A., & Laie, S. (2020). Reverse Learning on Academic Self-efficacy and Mathematical Learning in Students with Learning Disabilities. *Middle Eastern Journal of Disability Studies*, 10, 7-7. Retrieved from https://oa.mg/work/3044939090
- Oliver, K. M., Williams-Duncan, S., & Kimball, E. M. (2020). Digital literacies for ministry: A qualitative study of theological educators preparing students for new media engagement. *Ecclesial Practices*, 7(1), 117-137. Retrieved from https://brill.com/view/journals/ep/7/1/article-p117_117.xml
- Paseka, A., & Schwab, S. (2020). Parents' attitudes towards inclusive education and their perceptions of inclusive teaching practices and resources. *European Journal of Special Needs Education*, 35(2), 254-272. doi: https://doi.org/10.1080/08856257.2019.1665232
- Pishghadam, R., Derakhshan, A., Zhaleh, K., & Al-Obaydi, L. H. (2021). Students' willingness to attend EFL classes with respect to teachers' credibility, stroke, and success: A cross-cultural study of Iranian and Iraqi students' perceptions. *Current Psychology*, 40, 1-15. doi: https://doi.org/10.1007/s12144-021-01738-z
- Pishghadam, R., & Khajavy, G. H. (2014). Development and validation of the student stroke scale and examining its relation with academic motivation. *Studies in Educational Evaluation*, 43, 109-114. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stueduc.2014.03.004
- Rahawarin, Y., Hakim, R., Sari, W. W., Ramdani, N. S., Kasmar, I. F., Wulandari, S., . . . Arifin, Z. (2020). Seven Motivations of Students Selecting Department of Islamic Teaching Education in Public University. *Asian Social Science and Humanities Research Journal (ASHREJ)*, 2(1), 45-55. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Engkizar-Martias/publication/342907691
- Reynolds, E. D., & Taylor, B. (2020). Kahoot!: EFL instructors' implementation experiences and impacts on students' vocabulary knowledge. *Computer-Assisted Language Learning Electronic Journal*, 21(2), 70-92. Retrieved from http://callej.org/journal/21-2/Reynolds-Taylor2020.pdf

- Romlah, O. Y., & Latief, S. (2021). Empowering the Quality of School Resources in Improving the Quality of Education. *Bulletin of Science Education*, 1(1), 37-41. Retrieved from http://repository.unh.ac.id/xmlui/handle/123456789/91
- Rose, D. H., & Meyer, A. (2002). Teaching every student in the digital age: Universal design for learning. ERIC. Retrieved from https://eric.ed.gov/?id=ED466086
- Sadoughi, M., & Hejazi, S. Y. (2021). Teacher support and academic engagement among EFL learners: The role of positive academic emotions. *Studies in Educational Evaluation*, 70, 101060. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stueduc.2021.101060
- Saloviita, T. (2018). How common are inclusive educational practices among Finnish teachers? *International Journal of Inclusive Education*, 22(5), 560-575. doi: https://doi.org/10.1080/13603116.2017.1390001
- Schreglmann, S. (2018). Developing Academic Motivation Scale for Learning Information Technology (AMSLIT): A Study of Validity and Reliability. *Journal of Education and Learning*, 7(4), 145-153. Retrieved from https://eric.ed.gov/?id=EJ1179622
- Schwarzer, R., & Scholz, U. (2000). Cross-cultural assessment of coping resources: The general perceived self-efficacy scale. In *First Asian Congress of Health Psychology: Health Psychology and Culture, Tokyo, Japan*. Retrieved from http://userpage.fu-berlin.de/gesund/publicat/world_data.htm
- Sharma, U., Loreman, T., & Forlin, C. (2012). Measuring teacher efficacy to implement inclusive practices. Journal of research in special educational needs, 12(1), 12-21. doi: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-3802.2011.01200.x
- Sheehy, K., & Budiyanto. (2015). The pedagogic beliefs of Indonesian teachers in inclusive schools. International Journal of Disability, Development and Education, 62(5), 469-485. doi: https://doi.org/10.1080/1034912X.2015.1061109
- Staffileno, B. A., Warner, K., Arrieta, M., & Salinas, I. (2021). The development of an online diversity and inclusion community: Promoting a culture of inclusion within a college of nursing. *Journal of Professional Nursing*, 37(1), 18-23. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.profnurs.2020.10.002
- Stentiford, L., & Koutsouris, G. (2021). What are inclusive pedagogies in higher education? A systematic scoping review. Studies in Higher Education, 46(11), 2245-2261. doi: https://doi.org/10.1080/03075079.2020.1716322
- Toraby, E., & Modarresi, G. (2018). EFL Teachers' Emotions and Learners' Views of Teachers' Pedagogical Success. *International Journal of Instruction*, 11(2), 513-526. Retrieved from https://eric.ed.gov/?id=EJ1175010
- Tsai, C.-W. (2020). Applying online competency-based learning and design-based learning to enhance the development of students' skills in using PowerPoint and Word, self-directed learning readiness, and experience of online learning. *Universal Access in the Information Society*, 19(2), 283-294. doi: https://doi.org/10.1007/s10209-018-0640-6
- Udvari-Solner, A., & Thousand, J. (2018). Effective Organisational Instructional and Curricular Practices in Inclusive Schools and Classrooms. In *Towards inclusive schools?* (pp. 147-163). Routledge. Retrieved from https://www.taylorfrancis.com/chapters/edit/10.4324/9780429469084-11
- Wilson, C., Marks Woolfson, L., & Durkin, K. (2020). School environment and mastery experience as predictors of teachers' self-efficacy beliefs towards inclusive teaching. *International Journal of Inclusive Education*, 24(2), 218-234. doi: https://doi.org/10.1080/13603116.2018.1455901
- Xie, J., & Rice, M. F. (2021). Professional and social investment in universal design for learning in higher education: insights from a faculty development programme. *Journal of Further and Higher Education*, 45(7), 886-900. doi: https://doi.org/10.1080/0309877X.2020.1827372