# THE IMPACT OF BLENDED-FLIPPED LEARNING ON MATHEMATICAL CREATIVE THINKING SKILLS

Ahmad A. S. Tabieh, Middle East University, Jordan Mohammad Hamzeh, Middle East University, Jordan

# **ABSTRACT**

Due to the outbreak of COVID-19, educational institutions are currently in need of alternative learning methods. The current study discusses the impact of the flipped and blended methods of instruction on students' creative thinking skills in comparison to the traditional instructional method. A quasi-experimental approach was used to assess three capabilities of 10th grade students in mathematics: fluency, flexibility, and originality. The participants, 540 students from private schools, were divided into one control group and two experimental groups. One of the experimental groups followed the blended learning strategy while the other used the flipped learning strategy. Students were given a 30-question test to assess their mathematical creative thinking skills. The scores' mean of the experimental groups was remarkably higher than the control group. Moreover, the performance of the experimental group that followed the flipped learning strategy was better than the group that used the blended strategy. This study informs educational institutions designing and producing educational virtual activities like 3D videos, helps them overcome the traditional classroom limitations, and investigates the role of these methods in enhancing students' mathematical creative thinking skills.

**Keywords:** Real World, 3D virtual worlds, creative thinking skills, flipped learning, blended learning

### INTRODUCTION

Digital educational tools are currently playing a pivotal role in providing a better educational environment to study mathematics (Al Sarhan et al., 2013). Thus, a wide range of high-tech teaching strategies are needed to motivate students to learn, attract their attention, and offer them a rich learning experience that inspires their innovative skills. Through applying these strategies, students' abilities to use high-tech tools would improve, which would enhance their learning experience (Piedra Noriega et al., 2019).

Technological advances have affected education in a way that makes it imperative for educational institutions to reconsider which curricula and teaching methods they select in mathematics. Therefore, it is necessary to keep up with the information age and educate students who can deal with computer technologies to cope with rapid changes (Raja & Nagasubramani, 2018).

Elearning is an integrated system that consists of teachers, students, and electronic content. In this system, the student's role does not cease at merely accessing of information, they must also actively contribute, comment, and present their thoughts. The teacher's role is to facilitate such a learning process through providing constant guidance and making sure that the offered material properly meshes with the student's actual level. Thus, teachers have to make sure that the provided content is engaging and stimulates the students to interact with one another, the teachers, and the material itself (Meskhi et al., 2019). In this respect, Murugesan (2019) pinpointed the necessity of

designing different approaches that enhance the educational environment. These approaches must deploy up-to-date technologies in curriculum design to enrich the learning experience (Kapur, 2019; Saxena, 2017).

However, the limitations of the elearning environment have been studied by some researchers, like Meskhi et al. (2019), who reported about the shortage of financial support, the different level of technical skills of teachers and students, and the reluctance of using technological tools in the educational process. In addition, many teachers still follow the traditional teaching method, and many students still depend on teachers to learn (Raja & Khan, 2018). Thus, the solution is to design learning strategies that make use of both the traditional teaching method and the interactive elearning method. Hence, blended learning has emerged as a method that utilizes high-tech tools and the traditional classroom methods (Raja & Nagasubramani, 2018).

Although blended learning has several advantages, such as flexibility, reduced material costs, and increased interaction between learners (Dziuban et al., 2018; Singh, 2020), it faces some obstacles in its application. These obstacles include a lack of the components of a blended learning system such as the required technology, an unwillingness to transition from the traditional classroom, a lack of training for teachers in the necessary technical skills, a technological gap between teachers and learners, and a problem with cultural adaptation (Guri-Rosenblit, 2018).

In the past few years, many kinds of blended learning have appeared in spite of the mentioned hindrances. There is the flexible approach (Li et al., 2020), the rotational approach (Wilkes et al., 2020), the improved virtual approach (Fleischmann, 2021), and the selective approach (Uzir et al., 2020). The most widely adopted approach is the rotational one with its four submodels. The flipped learning model is the most important of these submodels, which grew out of constant improvements in blended learning. Despite the fact that flipped learning is an extension of blended learning, its applied procedures make it a distinctive approach (Cabi, 2018).

Flipped learning is a strategy based on the direct interaction between teachers and learners as well as among learners themselves (Akçayır &

Akçayır, 2018). In this strategy, teachers design lessons in the form of videos, audio clips, or other multimedia formats, and make them accessible to students anywhere and anytime via the internet (Fielden Burns et al., 2020). To assess the students' understanding of the lesson, teachers allocate time in the class for answering the exercises of the online lessons (Awidi & Paynter, 2019). Teachers categorize the students based on their level of understanding and help those who struggle to get the lesson objectives by making those who do get the objectives actively participate in the learning process (Chang & Hwang, 2018; Zhang & Wang, 2017).

When a person has a high level of attentiveness to insufficiencies, cognitive deficits, errors, and dissimilar facts, then this person is said to have creative thinking skills (Ng & Lee, 2019). This also entails the mental capacity to detect obstacles and to think of the best way to solve them. In order to achieve these best practices, a person hypothesizes a solving technique and tests its effectiveness several times until eventually they reach the best practical solution (Cramond, 2018).

Many studies, like Alzoubi et al., 2016\_and Ng & Lee, 2019, have advocated for the impact of creative thinking on learning science and mathematics. Afew studies have discussed using real-life experience and 3D virtual techniques in the mathematics and science learning experience (Palanica et al., 2019).

Chang & Hwang (2018) suggested carrying out more research concerning the use of cutting-edge virtual technologies in teaching mathematics and science. Accordingly, our current study examines the impact of deploying state-of-the-art 3D techniques to stimulate students' creative thinking skills while studying science and mathematics.

The importance of our study is based on changing the status quo of education. Its positive impact on improving creative thinking skills will be of great assistance for teachers of mathematics, as well as supervisors and parents, to have more positive attitudes of blended and virtual learning strategies. In addition, the study sets out for supervisors the general guidelines and best practices for training mathematics teachers on how to plan lessons effectively using blended and virtual techniques.

Modern education is not limited to an emphasis

on only problem-solving; it also focuses on solving a problem by utilizing creative methods (Maskur et al., 2020). Creative thinking represents one of the important outcomes of the learning and teaching process (Selvy et al., 2020).

In teaching some subjects online (such as history, religion, geography), teachers assign pages for the students to read from the text book, give them little hints and instructions, and lead them to practice, with almost no effort for the teacher, but in mathematics, teachers need to deliver instruction through an online platform, interact with students at distance, provide feedback, maintain communication and a relationship with the students, and in order to achieve all that, the blended and flipped approaches are essential (Moreno-Guerrero et al., 2020).

The main question of this study is: Are there any substantial differences at  $\alpha = 0.05$  between the score means of 10th grade female students on a mathematical creative thinking prequiz and postquiz, and can this difference be ascribed to the 3D virtual worlds, the real world, or the traditional method?

### LITERATURE REVIEW

Flipped Learning: 3D Virtual Worlds Method

3D virtual worlds (3D VWs) are 3D computer simulations that are categorized into three grades based on the degree of immersion (Chang & Hwang, 2018). This is the degree to which a person recognizes that they are interacting with the virtual environment instead of the real environment, i.e., the level in which a person is conscious of the difference between reality and virtual reality. In our study, the 3D VWs was a three-component teaching technique that utilized the 3D-HUBs software and 3D videos designed to be suitable with the Electromagnetics chapter in the 10th grade mathematics book. The teaching material was the third component where the chapter was redesigned as a step-by-step guide. We deployed the 3D VWs as a teaching method of flipped learning.

Blended Learning: Real-World Problems Method

Achieving the learning outcomes by exposing students to real-world problems while enticing them to center their attention on finding solutions rather than the problem itself is known as Blended Learning (Desai, 2018). However, in our current study, blended learning refers to the exercises

utilized with the experimental group.

Previous Studies

Harb (2013) discussed the blended and flipped methods and highlighted the impact of the blended learning strategy. The participants in that study were 60 8th grade students from private schools. The students were divided into a control group and experimental group. An accomplishment exam was used as a tool for collecting data. The results denoted a significant difference at  $\alpha = 0.05$  between the scores mean of the two groups in instant accomplishment, supporting the experimental group.

Alsalhi et al. (2019) looked at the impact of blended learning on secondary students' success and readiness to use the internet in the learning process. An achievement exam and a six-dimensional attitude scale were used to assess students' attitudes toward blended learning. A total of 107 pupils in the 9th grade from a Turkish secondary school took part in the study. The outcomes revealed significant differences at  $\alpha = 0.05$  between the groups.

Al-Qatawneh et al. (2020) investigated the impact of blended learning on students in the secondary stage and their reflections upon it. Such reflections were measured by an accomplishment quiz and a questionnaire. Two vocational schools in Amman participated in the study. The selection process depended on random cluster sampling. One of the schools was the control group, with 22 students, whereas the other school was the experimental group, with 17 students and followed the blended learning strategy. The outcomes revealed that there was no significant difference.

El Omari et al. (2016) designed a training course in teaching science utilizing the blended learning method to improve students' cognitive thinking. The paper included 140 male and female 9th grade students from two UNRWA schools in Palestine, who were separated into four classes. Two classes were experimental, and the other two classes represented the control group. An assessment exam was used to assess the students' cognitive thinking skills. The outcomes stated a significant difference at  $\alpha = 0.05$  linked to the impact of the blended learning training program.

Umit Yapici and Akbayin (2012) analyzed the impact of blended learning on the enhancement level of secondary stage students in biology.

Table 1. Correlation Coefficient and Cronbach's Alpha of Creative Thinking Skills

| Creative Thinking Skill | No. of Questions | Valid               | Reliability |                  |
|-------------------------|------------------|---------------------|-------------|------------------|
|                         |                  | Pearson Correlation | Sig         | Cronbach's Alpha |
| Fluency                 | 10               | 0.82                | 0.000*      | 0.91             |
| Flexibility             | 10               | 0.77                | 0.000*      | 0.81             |
| Originality             | 10               | 0.74                | 0.000*      | 0.85             |
| Overall                 | 10               | 0.78                | 0.000*      | 0.86             |

Fifty-one students were randomly selected from a private secondary school and were divided into two groups: 26 students were in the experimental group, and 25 students were in the control group. An accomplishment quiz and a questionnaire that measured students' reflections upon blended learning were used. The outcomes highlighted a significant difference at  $\alpha = 0.05$  in the scores mean of the accomplishment quiz between the groups on the side of the experimental group. Positive reflections upon blended learning were indicated as well in the study's outcomes.

## **METHODOLOGY**

### Research Design

The quantitative approach was followed in the current study. Data collection has been made via testing instrument. The quasi-experimental design was applied to investigate effect of blended learning and flipped learning strategies on acquiring students mathematical creative thinking skills

## **Participants**

The sample of the study was selected using judgmental sampling. It consisted of 540 female students who were divided into three groups: two were experimental and the third was control. Each group had 180 tenth-grade female students. The place in this research is in Private School, Amman, Jordan.

## Research Instruments

A mathematical creative thinking quiz was deployed (as a prequiz and postquiz) in the current study as its instrument. This 30-question quiz was structured in a way that measures originality, flexibility, and fluency. Ten experts validated the instrument and their comments were followed to enhance the quiz. Then the instrument was directed to 30 students as a pilot sample. The instrument

highlighted a correlation coefficient at 0.78, which indicated a level of validity that permits the instrument's execution. The Half Split method was used to verify the instrument's reliability, which yielded a Cronbach's Alpha Coefficient of 0.86, which pinpoints good reliability. Validity and reliability are shown in Table 1.

### **DATA ANALYSIS**

Examining the impact of the flipped and blended methods (Independent Variables) on students' acquisition of mathematical thinking (Dependent Variable with Three levels), the Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test was deployed to examine the normality distribution, which indicated that the sample is normally distributed with D(540) = 0.083, p = 200.

To detect any differences between the groups, their standard deviations and means of their performance were figured. The MANCOVA test was deployed to check if differences were influenced by any outside variables. To cancel out the influence of any outside variables, standard deviations and adjusted means were scrutinized and least significant difference (LSD) tests were carried out to detect the impact of each teaching method.

## **FINDINGS**

The main question of the current study is: Are there any significant differences at  $\alpha = 0.05$  between the score means of 10th grade female students on a mathematical creative thinking prequiz and postquiz, and can this difference be ascribed to the 3D virtual worlds, the real world, or the traditional method?

As shown in Tables 2–5, the three groups' standard deviations, means, and multivariance analysis (MANCOVA) of their performance were calculated in order to answer this question.

Table 2. The Means and Standard Deviations in the Creative Thinking Quiz According to the Teaching Method

| Creative Thinking Skill | Too ohing Mothod   | No. of   | Prequiz |       | Postquiz |       |
|-------------------------|--------------------|----------|---------|-------|----------|-------|
|                         | Teaching Method    | students | Mean    | S.D.  | Mean     | S.D.  |
|                         | Traditional Method | 180      | 31.50   | 6.87  | 37.56    | 6.61  |
| Fluency                 | Real World         | 180      | 34.72   | 6.03  | 45.33    | 7.35  |
|                         | Virtual Worlds     | 180      | 33.89   | 6.96  | 48.67    | 11.14 |
|                         | Traditional Method | 180      | 24.78   | 8.60  | 30.00    | 7.90  |
| Flexibility             | Real World         | 180      | 24.61   | 6.91  | 37.78    | 10.14 |
|                         | Virtual Worlds     | 180      | 25.61   | 7.65  | 41.44    | 10.29 |
| Originality             | Traditional Method | 180      | 28.72   | 10.42 | 36.22    | 9.15  |
|                         | Real World         | 180      | 30.78   | 10.21 | 45.00    | 13.93 |
|                         | Virtual Worlds     | 180      | 29.56   | 10.53 | 47.00    | 12.41 |
| Totalscore              | Traditional Method | 180      | 85.00   | 24.28 | 103.78   | 21.03 |
|                         | Real World         | 180      | 90.11   | 20.80 | 127.80   | 25.20 |
|                         | Virtual Worlds     | 180      | 89.06   | 30.48 | 137.10   | 30.48 |

Table 2 indicates that obvious differences between the means of the three groups do exist. The means of the 3D VWs groups and the real-world groups were better than that of the traditional method group. The students of the 3D VWs method accomplished the top mean in the mathematical creative thinking quiz as a whole (137.10) and the quiz parts of originality (29.56), flexibility (25.61), and fluency (33.89).

Table 3 denotes that, according to the teaching method, the F value of fluency was 10.865 with

Table 3. MANCOVA of the Study Groups in the Mathematical Creative Thinking Quiz

| Source of Variation | Creative Thinking | Sum of<br>Squares | Df | Mean of<br>Squares | F-value  | Sig.  | Scheduled<br>Value |
|---------------------|-------------------|-------------------|----|--------------------|----------|-------|--------------------|
| Teaching Method     | Fluency           | 663.478           | 2  | 331.740            | *10.865  | 0.000 | 3.94               |
|                     | Flexibility       | 848.651           | 2  | 424.280            | * 11.219 | 0.000 |                    |
|                     | Originality       | 774.853           | 2  | 387.426            | * 5.550  | 0.007 |                    |
|                     | Total             | 6813.766          | 2  | 3406.883           | * 16.586 | 0.000 |                    |
| Error               | Fluency           | 1465.530          | 48 | 30.532             |          |       |                    |
|                     | Flexibility       | 1815.205          | 48 | 37.817             |          |       |                    |
|                     | Originality       | 3350.591          | 48 | 69.804             |          |       |                    |
|                     | Total             | 9859.633          | 48 | 205.400            |          |       |                    |
| Adjusted Total      | Fluency           | 4940.815          | 53 | 93.223             |          |       |                    |
|                     | Flexibility       | 5843.648          | 53 | 110.257            |          |       |                    |
|                     | Originality       | 8522.370          | 53 | 160.799            |          |       |                    |
|                     | Total             | 44768.537         | 53 | 844.689            |          |       |                    |

Table 4. Adjusted Means of the Study Groups in the Mathematical Creative Thinking Quiz

| Creative Thinking | Teaching Method    | No. of Students | Adjusted Mean | Standard Error |
|-------------------|--------------------|-----------------|---------------|----------------|
|                   | Traditional Method | 180             | 39.443        | 1.347          |
| Fluency           | Real World         | 180             | 43.910        | 1.348          |
|                   | Virtual Worlds     | 180             | 48.202        | 1.307          |
|                   | Traditional Method | 180             | 30.944        | 1.500          |
| Flexibility       | Real World         | 180             | 37.192        | 1.501          |
|                   | Virtual Worlds     | 180             | 40.808        | 1.455          |
| Originality       | Traditional Method | 180             | 37.590        | 2.037          |
|                   | Real World         | 180             | 43.622        | 2.039          |
|                   | Virtual Worlds     | 180             | 47.010        | 1.977          |
| Total Score       | Traditional Method | 180             | 107.977       | 3.455          |
|                   | Real World         | 180             | 124.725       | 3.497          |
|                   | Virtual Worlds     | 180             | 136.021       | 3.391          |

sig. = 0.000. This shows there was a significant difference in the performance of the three groups in fluency on the side of the VWs.

As for the F value of flexibility in the VWs, it was 11.219 with sig. = 0.000. This shows there was a significant difference in the three groups in flexibility on the side of the VWs. Yet, when it comes to originality, the VWs was 5.550 with sig. = 0.007, which shows there was significant difference in the three groups in originality on the side of the VWs.

Thus, the top means of the VWs group were influenced by perplexing variables that required figuring the adjusted standard deviations and means to cancel out the influence of these confusing variables.

Table 4 shows that the adjusted performance means of the group that deployed the VWs were the top in originality (48.202), flexibility (40.808), fluency (47.010), and total score (136.021). The adjusted performance means of the real-world group followed as 43.910, 37.192, 43.622, and 124.725 respectively. However, the lowest adjusted means were for the 2D traditional method control group and were respectively 39.443, 30.944, 37.590, and 107.977.

Table 5. LSD Test for Post Comparisons among the Adjusted Means in the Mathematical Creative Thinking Quiz

| Creative Thinking Skill | Teaching Method    | Adjusted Mean | Traditional Method |       | Real Words |       |
|-------------------------|--------------------|---------------|--------------------|-------|------------|-------|
|                         |                    |               | Mean Diff          | Sig   | Mean Diff  | Sig   |
|                         | Traditional Method | 39.443        |                    |       |            |       |
| Fluency                 | Real World         | 43.910        | 4.467*             | 0.028 |            |       |
|                         | Virtual Worlds     | 48.202        | 8.759*             | 0.000 | 4.292*     | 0.027 |
|                         | Traditional Method | 30.944        |                    |       |            |       |
| Flexibility             | Real World         | 37.192        | 6.249*             | 0.006 |            |       |
|                         | Virtual Worlds     | 40.808        | 9.865*             | 0.000 | 3.616      | 0.091 |
| Originality             | Traditional Method | 37.590        |                    |       |            |       |
|                         | Real World         | 43.622        | 6.031*             | 0.048 |            |       |
|                         | Virtual Worlds     | 47.010        | 9.419*             | 0.002 | 3.388      | 0.240 |
| Totalscore              | Traditional Method | 107.977       |                    |       |            |       |
|                         | Real World         | 124.725       | 16.747*            | 0.002 |            |       |
|                         | Virtual Worlds     | 136.021       | 28.043*            | 0.000 | 11.296*    | 0.025 |

# Traditional Method vs. Real-World Method

Table 5 highlights that there was a significant difference between the group that studied mathematics using the real world and the control group. The difference was 4.467 in fluency at 0.028 on the side of the real world. As for flexibility, the difference was 6.249 at 0.006 on the side of the real world, while the difference was 6.031 at 0.048 concerning originality. In total score, the difference was 16.747 at 0.002 on the side of the real world.

### Traditional Method vs. Virtual Worlds Method

Table 5 also shows that the VWs group and the control group had a significant difference. The difference was 8.759 at 0.000 in fluency on the side of the VWs. For flexibility, the difference was 9.865 at 0.000 on the side of the VWs. As for originality, the difference was 9.419 at 0.002 on the side of the VWs. As for the total score of the mathematical creative thinking quiz, the difference was 28.043 at 0.000 on the side of the VWs.

# Real-World Method vs. Virtual Worlds Method

There was a substantial difference in fluency between the groups, as seen in Table 5. The difference was 4.292 with sig. = 0.027 on the side of the VWs. As for flexibility, the difference between the groups was 3.616 with sig. = 0.091, which was not significant at  $\alpha = 0.05$ . The difference between the groups in originality was 3.388 with sig. = 0.240, hence not significant at  $\alpha = 0.05$ . In the total score, the difference between the groups was 11.296 with sig. = 0.025, which was significant at  $\alpha = 0.05$  on the side of the VWs.

# **DISCUSSION**

Analyzing the results of the group that used the VWs, the following sections discuss the impact of virtual worlds on each part of the creative thinking quiz.

### *Impact on Fluency*

Students who used the VWs in blended learning got the top means on fluency questions (Reisoğlu et al., 2017). The method's role of offering simple steps is responsible for such a significant difference. This enhanced the student-teacher interaction and the student-student interaction (Cho et al., 2015). The number of the class activities' responses indicates such an enhanced interaction. Mathematical creative thinking is enriched by real-world exercises, which leads to improved fluency. As a result, students who utilized the traditional method

received lower grades than those who employed the real-world method (York, 2019).

# Impact on Flexibility

Students who used blended and flipped methods got the top means in flexibility (Zhang et al., 2017). The differences were substantial because they revealed the strategies' merits in increasing students' creative thinking. To solve mathematical problems in blended and flipped methods, students deal with a broad range of exercises that lead them to think differently (Halasa et al., 2020). Unlike the traditional method, which makes students think in a predetermined manner, students acquire thinking flexibility by following the modern learning method. Because such exercises lead to the students being more flexible thinkers as they employ either the flipped learning or the blended learning, there is an absence of differences between the means of these two methods.

# Impact on Originality

Students who used blended and flipped methods got the top means on the originality questions. These methods incorporate a wide variety of visionary exercises that require students to think outside the box to achieve more innovative solutions (Leveaux et al., 2019). Students acquire mere abstract information while using the traditional method. The nature of such exercises that concentrate on finding innovative solutions to various problems is responsible for the absence of differences in the means of blended and flipped methods (Lin et al., 2017).

## Impact on Mathematical Creative Thinking

In flipped learning, students who utilized VWs exhibited the highest levels of mathematical creative thinking. Its importance stems from the fact that it exemplifies this method's ability to foster a creative learning environment (Burton & Martin, 2017). Because of the method's potential to provide creative learning procedures that include originality, flexibility, and fluency, this is the case (Hu et al., 2016).

### CONCLUSION

Based on the research results and discussions, we conclude that blended-flipped learning have a positive impact on acquiring creative thinking skills in mathematics for 10th grade students. Moreover, there was a significant difference in mathematics

creative thinking, especially in fluency, between the flipped-learning group and the blended-learning group. Significant differences in originality and flexibility did not exist between the flipped-learning group and the blended-learning group.

## **RECOMMENDATIONS**

We recommend training mathematics teachers on creative thinking skills and increasing the use of flipped and blended learning in mathematics teaching, as well as conducting future studies about the impact of flipped and blended learning on mathematical skills for students in different educational stages.

## STUDY LIMITATIONS

The study was confined to female students in 10th grade from a private school in Amman, and it was conducted during the second semester of the academic year 2019/2020. The degree of reliability and validity of the instruments, as well as the degrees of objectivity in the responses provided by the study participants, limit the applicability of the results.

# **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

The authors acknowledge the Middle East University, Amman, Jordan, for the financial support granted to cover this research article's publication fee.

### **AUTHORS CONTRIBUTION**

The responsibility of the first author is to analyze the data, revise the paper, and make study improvements. The responsibility of the second author was the literature review, referencing and collecting data, and fine-tuning the phrasing of the paper.

# References

- Akçayır, G., & Akçayır, M. (2018). The flipped classroom: A review of its advantages and challenges. Computers and Education, 126, 334–345. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2018.07.021
- Al-Qatawneh, S., Eltahir, M. E., & Alsalhi, N. R. (2020). The effect of blended learning on the achievement of HDE students in the methods of teaching Arabic language course and their attitudes towards its use at Ajman University: A case study. Education and Information Technologies, 25, 2101–2127. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-019-10046-w
- Al Sarhan, K., AlZboon, S., Olimat, K., & Al-Zboon, M. (2013). Features of computerized educational games in sciences of the elementary phase in Jordan from the point of view of specialists in teaching science and computer subjects. Education, 133(3), 247–226.
- Alsalhi, N. R., Eltahir, M. E., & Al-Qatawneh, S. S. (2019). The effect of blended learning on the achievement of ninth-grade students in science and their attitudes towards its use. Heliyon, 5, e02424. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2019. e02424
- Alzoubi, A. M., Al Qudah, M. F., Albursan, I. S., Bakhiet, S. F., & Abduljabbar, A. S. (2016). The effect of creative thinking education in enhancing creative self-efficacy and cognitive motivation. Journal of Educational and Developmental Psychology, 6, 117. https://doi.org/10.5539/jedp.v6n1p117
- Awidi, I. T., & Paynter, M. (2019). The impact of a flipped classroom approach on student learning experience. Computers and Education, 128, 269–283. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2018.09.013
- Burton, B. G., & Martin, B. (2017). Knowledge creation and student engagement within 3D virtual worlds. In I. Management Association (Ed.), Student engagement and participation: Concepts, methodologies, tools, and applications (pp. 507–525). IGI Global. https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-5225-2584-4.ch025
- Cabi, E. (2018). The impact of the flipped classroom model on students' academic achievement. International Review of Research in Open and Distance Learning, 19(3). https://doi. org/10.19173/irrodl.v19i3.3482
- Chang, S. C., & Hwang, G. J. (2018). Impacts of an augmented reality-based flipped learning guiding approach on students' scientific project performance and perceptions. Computers and Education, 125, 226–239. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2018.06.007
- Cho, Y. H., Yim, S. Y., & Paik, S. (2015). Physical and social presence in 3D virtual role-play for pre-service teachers. Internet and Higher Education, 25, 70–77. https://doi.

- org/10.1016/j.iheduc.2015.01.002
- Cramond, B. (2018). Torrance tests of creative thinking. In B. B. Frey (Ed.), The SAGE encyclopedia of educational research, measurement, and evaluation (Vol. 1, pp. 1705–1706). https://doi.org/10.4135/9781506326139.n705
- Desai, C. (2014). Impact of Educational Interventions on ADR reporting by prescribers. 17th World Congress on Basic & Clinical Pharmacology (pp. 54-91). Cape Town: Nordic Pharmacological Society.
- Dziuban, C., Graham, C. R., Moskal, P. D., Norberg, A., & Sicilia, N. (2018). Blended learning: The new normal and emerging technologies. International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-017-0087-5
- El Omari, A. H., Ayasrah, M. N., & Al-Jabali, M. A. (2016). The effect of blended learning based training program on developing creative thinking among talented students at King Abdullah II Schools for Distinction. Journal of Educational and Social Research, 6(3), 13. https://doi.org/10.5901/jesr.2016. v6n3p13
- Fielden Burns, L. V., Rico, M., & Naranjo, M. J. (2020). Flipped classrooms. Diacrítica, 34(1), 336–354. https://doi.org/10.21814/diacritica.286
- Fleischmann, K. (2021). Hands-on versus virtual: Reshaping the design classroom with blended learning. Arts and Humanities in Higher Education, 20(1), 87–112. https://doi.org/10.1177/1474022220906393
- Gandri, M., Djamas, D., Yohandri, & Festiyed. (2019). Preliminary analysis of Problem Based Learning model implementation in the development of module assisted multimedia interactive game for improving critical thinking skill learners. Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 1185, 012140. https://doi. org/10.1088/1742-6596/1185/1/012140
- Guri-Rosenblit, S. (2018). E-teaching in higher education:
  An essential prerequisite for e-learning. Journal of New
  Approaches in Educational Research 7()2, 93–97. https://doi.
  org/10.7821/naer.2018.7.298
- Halasa, S., Abusalim, N., Rayyan, M., Constantino, R. E., Nassar, O., Amre, H., Sharab, M., & Qadri, I. (2020). Comparing student achievement in traditional learning with a combination of blended and flipped learning. Nursing Open, 7, 1129–1138. https://doi.org/10.1002/nop2.492
- Harb, I. I. (2013). The effectiveness of a blended learning program on developing and retention of palestinian tenth graders' English writing skills [Unpublished master's thesis]. Islamic University (Palestine: Gaza).
- Hu, R., Wu, Y. Y., & Shieh, C. J. (2016). Effects of virtual reality integrated creative thinking instruction on students' creative

- thinking abilities. Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education, 12. https://doi.org/10.12973/eurasia.2016.1226a
- Kapur, R. (2019). Use of ICT in improving quality of education. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/333446961\_Use\_ of\_ICT\_in\_Improving\_Quality\_of\_Education
- Kartika, Y., Wahyuni, R., Sinaga, B., & Rajagukguk, J. (2019). Improving math creative thinking ability by using Math Adventure Educational Game as an interactive media. Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 1179, 012078. https://doi. org/10.1088/1742-6596/1179/1/012078
- Leveaux, R., Gallagher, S., Sixsmith, A., & Simpson, H. (2019). Classroom evolution: The swing towards blended and flipped learning. Journal of E-Learning and Higher Education, 1–13. https://doi.org/10.5171/2019.560996
- Li, X., Yang, Y., Chu, S. K. W., Zainuddin, Z., & Zhang, Y. (2020). Applying blended synchronous teaching and learning for flexible learning in higher education: An action research study at a university in Hong Kong. Asia Pacific Journal of Education, 1–17. https://doi.org/10.1080/02188791.2020.1766 417
- Lin, M. T. Y., Wang, J. S., Kuo, H. M., & Luo, Y. (2017). A study on the effect of virtual reality 3D exploratory education on students' creativity and leadership. Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education, 13(7), 3151–3161. https://doi.org/10.12973/eurasia.2017.00709a
- Maskur, R., Sumarno, Rahmawati, Y., Pradana, K., Syazali, M., Septian, A., & Palupi, E. K. (2020). The effectiveness of problem based learning and aptitude treatment interaction in improving mathematical creative thinking skills on curriculum 2013. European Journal of Educational Research, 9(1), 375-383. https://doi.org/10.12973/eu-jer.9.1.375
- McCutcheon, K., O'Halloran, P., & Lohan, M. (2018). Online learning versus blended learning of clinical supervisee skills with pre-registration nursing students: A randomised controlled trial. International Journal of Nursing Studies, 82, 30–39. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2018.02.005
- Meskhi, B., Ponomareva, S., & Ugnich, E. (2019). E-learning in higher inclusive education: Needs, opportunities and limitations. International Journal of Educational Management, 33, 424–437. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJEM-09-2018-0282
- Moreno-Guerrero, Antonio-José; Aznar-Díaz, Inmaculada; Cáceres-Reche, Pilar, Alonso-García, Santiago. (2020). e-Learning in the teaching of mathematics: An educational experience in adult high school. Mathematics, 8(5), 840. https://doi.org/10.3390/math8050840
- Murugesan, V. (2019, February). Modern teaching techniques in education [Conference paper]. Educational Technology in Teacher Education in the 21st Century, Government College

- of Education for Women, Coimbatore, India.
- Ng, A. W. Y., & Lee, C.-Y. (2019). Assessment of creative thinking of Hong Kong undergraduate students using the Torrance Tests of Creative Thinking [Paper presentation]. Fifth International Conference on Higher Education Advances, Polytechnic University of Valencia, Spain. https://doi. org/10.4995/head19.2019.9051
- Palanica, A., Lyons, A., Cooper, M., Lee, A., & Fossat, Y. (2019). A comparison of nature and urban environments on creative thinking across different levels of reality. Journal of Environmental Psychology, 63, 44–51. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.jenvp.2019.04.006
- Piedra Noriega, I. D., Eraña Rojas, I. E., Segura-Azuara, N. de los Á., Hambleton Fuentes, A., & López Cabrera, M. V. (2019). Designating criteria for educational technology assessment [Delineando criterios para la evaluación de tecnología educativa]. Educacion Medica, 20(2), 108–113. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.edumed.2018.04.020
- Raja, F. U., & Khan, N. (2018). Comparing traditional teaching method and experiential teaching method using experimental research. Journal of Education and Educational Development, 5(2), 279–288. https://doi.org/10.22555/joeed.v5i2.1816
- Raja, R., & Nagasubramani, P. C. (2018). Impact of modern technology in education. Journal of Applied and Advanced Research, 3(S1), 33–35. https://doi.org/10.21839/jaar.2018. v3is1.165
- Reisoğlu, I., Topu, B., Yılmaz, R., Karakuş Yılmaz, T., & Göktaş, Y. (2017). 3D virtual learning environments in education: A metareview. Asia Pacific Education Review, 18, 81–100. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12564-016-9467-0
- Rive, P., & Karmokar, S. (2016, September). Design thinking methods and creative technologies in Virtual Worlds. In Proceedings of the European Conference on Innovation & Entrepreneurship (pp. 635–644).
- Saxena, N. (2017). The role and impact of ICT in improving the quality of education: An overview. International Journal of Engineering Sciences & Research Technology, 6(3), 501– 503. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.439205
- Selvy, Y., Ikhsan, M., Johar, R., & Saminan. (2020). Improving students' mathematical creative thinking and motivation through GeoGebra assisted problem based learning. Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 1460, 012004. https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1460/1/012004
- Shorey, S., Kowitlawakul, Y., Devi, M. K., Chen, H. C., Soong, S. K. A., & Ang, E. (2018). Blended learning pedagogy designed for communication module among undergraduate nursing students: A quasi-experimental study. Nurse Education Today, 61, 120–126. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nedt.2017.11.011

- Singh, P. (2020). Blended learning system. TEST Engineering and Management, 82, 2444–2447.
- Ümit Yapici, I., & Akbayin, H. (2012). The effect of blended learning model on high school students' biology achievement and on their attitudes towards the internet. Turkish Online Journal of Educational Technology, 11(2), 228–237.
- Uzir, N. A. A., Gaševic, D., Jovanovic, J., Matcha, W., Lim, L. A., & Fudge, A. (2020). Analytics of time management and learning strategies for effective online learning in blended environments. ACM International Conference Proceeding Series. LAK '20: Proceedings of the Tenth International Conference on Learning Analytics & Knowledge (pp. 392–401). https://doi.org/10.1145/3375462.3375493
- Wilkes, S., Kazakoff, E. R., Prescott, J. E., Bundschuh, K., Hook, P. E., Wolf, R., Hurwitz, L. B., & Macaruso, P. (2020). Measuring the impact of a blended learning model on early literacy growth. Journal of Computer Assisted Learning, 36, 595–609. https://doi.org/10.1111/jcal.12429
- York, J. (2019). Language learning in complex virtual worlds: Effects of modality and task complexity on oral performance between virtual world and face-to-face tasks [Doctoral dissertation, University of Leicester]. https://hdl.handle. net/2381/45053
- Zhang, H., & Wang, X. (2017). Design and research on the application of flipped classroom in business English teaching in higher vocational education. DEStech Transactions on Social Science, Education and Human Science. https://doi.org/10.12783/dtssehs/eemt2017/14528
- Zhang, X., Jiang, S., Ordóñez de Pablos, P., Lytras, M. D., & Sun, Y. (2017). How virtual reality affects perceived learning effectiveness: A task–technology fit perspective. Behaviour and Information Technology, 36, 548–556. https://doi.org/10.1080/0144929X.2016.1268647