Playful Learning Landscapes

Promoting Literacy Through Youth Engagement and Culturally Relevant Design

Rachael Todaro, Brenna Hassinger-Das, Jennifer M. Zosh, Sarah R. Lytle, Roberta M. Golinkoff, & Kathy Hirsh-Pasek

High-quality language interactions not only support children's language development but also promote better long-term academic outcomes (Hirsh-Pasek, Adamson et al., 2015; Huttenlocher et al., 2010; Pace et al., 2019; Storch & Whitehurst, 2002). Interactions in the form of frequent back-and-forth conversations between caregiver and child predict language growth in children (Adamson et al., 2014; Hirsh-Pasek, Adamson et al., 2015), regardless of whether families are from highly resourced or underresourced environments (Masek et al., 2020). Language learning is the single best predictor of later growth in language, literacy, mathematics, and social development (Dickinson & Porche, 2011; Pace et al., 2019). However, many families do not have access to educationally enriched spaces that spur high-

RACHAEL TODARO, PhD, is a postdoctoral research fellow in the Department of Psychology, Temple University.

BRENNA HASSINGER-DAS, PhD, is an assistant professor in the Department of Psychology, Pace University-NYC.

JENNIFER M. ZOSH, PhD, is an associate professor in the Department of Psychology, Pennsylvania State University-Brandywine.

SARAH R. LYTLE, PhD, is the executive director of Playful Learning Landscapes Action Network.

ROBERTA M. GOLINKOFF, PhD, is a professor in the Department of Psychology, University of Delaware, Newark.

KATHY HIRSH-PASEK, PhD, is a professor in the Department of Psychology, Temple University, and a senior fellow of the Center for Universal Education, Brookings Institution.

quality language opportunities. This issue leads us to ask what educators and policymakers can do to expose children to high-quality interactions that promote literacy skills.

A great deal of literacy instruction takes place in school, where children in Western countries spend only about 20 percent of their waking time (Meltzoff

et al., 2009). Up to 80 percent of children's time is available for special moments with family, friends, and neighbors, as well as for afterschool activities. Activities after school take varied forms-not only participating in organized afterschool programs but also playing in public parks and playgrounds, visiting libraries or recreation centers, and going to local museums or science centers. While these community educational assets enrich neighborhoods, they are not available or accessible to all children. Communities with high poverty rates

and high percentages of minoritized racial and ethnic groups are significantly less likely than more affluent White neighborhoods to have play spaces (Mowen, 2010). Reduced opportunities for play make it difficult for children to tap into their communities' funds of knowledge (Moll et al., 1992) and cultural expertise as familiar literacy resources (Dyson, 2006; Wohlwend, 2018).

The Playful Learning Landscapes (PLL) initiative was founded on the premise that children from all communities should have access to beautiful, enriching, and culturally relevant play environments that help them thrive. Working with community members, we co-designed public spaces that promote the kinds of adult–child conversations that lead to literacy learning.

Playful Learning

Playful learning lies on a spectrum that encompasses free play, guided play, and games (Zosh et al., 2018). In *free play*, children set up and engage in their own play without a learning goal. *Guided play* maintains the exploratory nature of free play but fosters a particular learning goal through the design of the environment, gentle adult scaffolding, or both (Hassinger-Das et al., 2017; Weisberg et al., 2016; Zosh et al., 2018). Critically, the child still drives the learning. For example, a children's museum installation is curated to facilitate child discovery within the bounds of a well-designed and enriched space. Similarly, a teacher might create an exploratory learning activity in which children discover the solution to a problem or create a new device from old maker parts (Weisberg et al., 2016). Adults can support guided learning through

Reduced opportunities for play make it difficult for children to tap into their communities' funds of knowledge (Moll et al., 1992) and cultural expertise as familiar literacy resources (Dyson, 2006; Wohlwend, 2018). support guided learning through caregiver–child conversations that support a variety of outcomes, such as language development, school readiness, and achievement (Hadani et al., 2021; Hirsh-Pasek & Hadani, 2020). Finally, *games* that integrate content can, like guided play, be used when adults are aiming for a particular learning goal (Hassinger-Das et al., 2017).

Playful learning encompasses all three types of play. However, the scientific literature suggests that guided play best improves child outcomes when adults have a particular goal in mind (Fisher et al., 2013; Weisberg

et al., 2016). Increasing guided play opportunities increases caregiver–child interactions in which both partners use the types of language known to support learning outcomes (Hanner et al., 2019; Schlesinger et al., 2020) and literacy development (Cavanaugh et al., 2017; Farrell, 2019; Han et al., 2010; Tsao, 2008).

Playful Learning Landscapes

PLL began as a community-research partnership initiative in Philadelphia. Originating at Temple University Infant and Child Lab, it was driven by local community-based organizations and largely supported by the William Penn Foundation. As the initiative evolved, support for project implementation across the country shifted to the Playful Learning Landscapes Action Network (PLLAN), an initiative of the Ultimate Block Party, a national nonprofit organization. PLLAN is partnering with community-based and nonprofit organizations, city agencies, and marketing firms to expand PLL to such locations as Omaha, Nebraska; New York City; and Santa Ana, California.

The mission of PLL is to reinvent everyday spaces and experiences as fun, intentional, evidence-based learning opportunities that organically prompt interactions that support children's literacy development. PLL rests on three assumptions:

- Changes in public spaces can foster human behavior change.
- The latest findings from the science of learning can be baked into the design of spaces in ways that spark intergenerational family engagement, which, in turn, builds social capital.
- Change in public spaces resulting from co-design with communities can elevate neighborhood voices and showcase cultural relevance.

There is rich precedent for thinking that the design of public spaces can both enrich neighborhoods and support the common good. Physical tweaks to public spaces can fundamentally change how individuals behave. For instance, planting trees near commercial areas increases usage and prompts people to return to the area (Wolf, 2007), adding green spaces to an environment reduces aggressive behavior (Younan et al., 2016), and putting exercise equipment in public parks increases activity levels (Cohen et al., 2012).

Whether at bus stops, in parks, on sidewalks, or in supermarkets, all PLL projects adhere to criteria based on the research on how children learn through play. The best learning environments are:

- Active, not passive (Chi, 2009)
- Engaging, not distracting (Han et al., 2010; Zosh et al., 2018)
- Meaningful and connected to previous knowledge or experience (Hudson & Nelson, 1983)
- Socially interactive (Chi, 2009)
- Iterative, not static (Bonawitz et al., 2011; Weisberg, 2016; Zosh et al., 2018)
- Joyful (Hirsh-Pasek, Zosh et al., 2015; Zosh et al., 2018)

Such environments can help children learn in a variety of content areas, from learning new words (Han et al., 2010; Zosh et al., 2013) and remembering stories (Hudson & Nelson, 1983) to exploring causal relationships (Bonawitz et al., 2011). Learning environments with these characteristics support social and linguistic growth (Berk, 2006; Howes et al., 1992), cognitive flexibility (Isen, 2001; Isen et al., 1987), and integrative thinking (Kahn & Birch, 1968)—all of which are important to developing literacy skills, including reading and writing.

These principles suggest that community co-design

of public spaces to foster evidence-based playful learning opportunities might increase the quantity and quality of child–caregiver interactions to support literacy, mathematics, and spatial learning development (Bustamante et al., 2019; Hanner et al., 2019; Hassinger-Das, Zosh, et al., 2020; Hassinger-Das et al., 2021; Ridge et al., 2015). Just as families become more physically active when outdoor exercise equipment is introduced into city parks and walkways, we expected that they would become more mentally active when co-designed playful learning structures were introduced in public spaces.

Building with Communities

All playful learning designs can be crafted to create fertile ground for child–caregiver conversations that support children's literacy outcomes. However, community participation is central to PLI's mission to capture community members' goals and make spaces culturally relevant. Rather than simply installing pre-

> fabricated structures, PLL initiative leaders transform community spaces through intergenerational community co-design, using methods from community-based participatory research (Collins et al., 2018). When interventions integrate the science of learning *and* are culturally competent (Chen et al., 1998), they can spark meaningful, high-quality interactions. Four PLL projects illustrate these and related principles.

King Puzzle Bench

Using the co-design approach to engage community members of all ages, PLL projects have successfully supported both literacy and other foundational skills. For instance, community members in West Philadelphia co-designed a bench that displays a large three-dimensional puzzle of Martin Luther King, Jr. Observations documented that the puzzle prompted conversations about the civil rights leader, who gave a speech at that very spot; it also set the stage for caregivers and children to use spatial language such as *above, below*, or *align* (Hassinger-Das et al., 2020). Spatial language fosters the development of representational structures that not only support spatial-relational understanding but also facilitate mental processing (Lowenstein & Gentner, 2005).

reinvent everyday spaces and experiences as fun, intentional, evidence-based learning opportunities that organically prompt interactions that support children's literacy development.

The mission of PLL is to

Play Captains

Successful community co-design depends on engaging both adults and youth. Sutton and Kemp (2002) argue that bringing young people into design processes can heighten their social and environmental awareness while helping them gain a sense of control over their surroundings. Community-based organizations also benefit youth by providing them with safe places to develop independence, community identity, social competence, and social responsibility (Hung, 2004). In turn, creating processes that involve youth in producing social and physical envi-

ronments can foster community development.

To promote opportunities for youth engagement, PLLAN and Temple University Infant and Child Laboratory researchers collaborated with Fab Youth Philly—a Philadelphia organization that provides teenagers with opportunities for employment and civic engagement—to infuse its existing Play Captains Initiative with training in playful learn-

ing and to assess the efficacy of the project (Schlesinger et al., 2020).

The Play Captains Initiative ran alongside the city's Play Streets program, in which community members agreed to close their street to traffic between 10:00 am and 4:00 pm to allow children to play freely. The teenage play captains were hired for five weeks during the summers of 2018 to 2021 to facilitate playful activities and games and to collect data in play street locations. The play captains kept "Bex decks"-small notebooks with playful learning tips and games-for easy reference. To promote literacy development, we pointed the play captains to tactics for transforming play street activities into literacy activities. For instance, jumping rope became a spelling contest under play captains' guidance. Jumpers spelled the name of an animal of their choice, one letter per jump, ending their turn when they had spelled the name correctly.

An evaluation of the Play Captains Initiative found that children who played alongside play captains demonstrated increased interaction and use of targeted learning-related language. In addition, play captains significantly increased both their self-confidence and their understanding of the links between play and learning (Schlesinger et al., 2020). The project succeeded due both to the foundation built by Fab Youth Philly as a well-respected and experienced community partner and to the engagement and enthusiasm of the play captains themselves. Projects like the Play Captains Initiative enable neighborhood youth to imagine themselves as more than token participants and to realize their roles as agents of community change.

Urban Thinkscape

Rather than simply installing

prefabricated structures, PLL

initiative leaders transform

community spaces through

intergenerational community

co-design, using methods

from community-based

participatory research.

The iterative and participatory designs of PLLs evolve in response to community feedback before, during,

> and even after construction. Although the iterative process may lengthen a project's timeline, this approach has significant value because iteration often leads to design improvements (Xu et al., 2015). Even seemingly small or subtle details can affect a design's cultural relevance (Arcia et al., 2016). In one study, when culturally relevant and familiar literacyenriched objects and activities were placed in urban daycare centers, children were more likely

to engage in reading and writing behaviors (Neuman & Roskos, 1992). Similarly, PLI's approach can yield a new generation of playful learning interventions that will resonate with their primary audiences and be disseminated broadly (Adam et al., 2019).

Project evaluations can help researchers and communities determine how community members are interacting with PLL installations and whether these spaces are engaging children and families in high-quality interactions to build language and essential skills. Typically, community-led evaluations are conducted using naturalistic observation, in which community researchers examine the use of the space before and after a PLL project is implemented (Bustamante et al., 2020; Hassinger-Das et al., 2020).

For example, alongside Temple University Infant and Child Lab researchers and in collaboration with local designers and architects, the president of a neighborhood association in West Philadelphia created a new kind of bus stop that turned a waiting space into a playful learning plaza known as Urban Thinkscape (Hassinger-Das, Palti et al., 2020). During the design process, the president convened the neighborhood association to choose learning goals to integrate into the designs. Urban Thinkscape's four designs—Puzzle Wall, Jumping Feet, Stories, and Hidden Figures—are actively engaging, meaningful, socially interactive, iterative, and joyful. Each targets specific language outcomes, such as spatial, literacy, and mathematics talk. For example, Stories allows children to be physically active as they climb across the installation from one narrative cue to another, creating a story as they go. This design thus targets the development of narrative skills, which improve children's literacy outcomes (Tabors et al., 2001).

As Urban Thinkscape was being designed and implemented, community members voiced their interest in being involved in the evaluation research. As a result, the project employed and trained neighborhood residents to collect data (Hassinger-Das, Palti et al., 2020). Results demonstrated that caregivers and children interacted more and held more conversations at Urban Thinkscape than they did before installation. When compared to a control site playground, Urban Thinkscape demonstrated a significant effect on adult– child interaction and language use—with large effect sizes suggesting sizable and meaningful differences (Hassinger-Das, Palti et al., 2020).

Library Projects

Support and engagement from local partners can have a strong effect on successful design and integration of PLLs (Hadani et al., 2021). In collaboration with the Free Library of Philadelphia, PLLAN collaborated with an architectural firm, a park playground organization, and a nonprofit devoted to children's play to create the next generation of libraries in North, West, and South Philadelphia (Hassinger-Das, Zosh et al., 2020). The project reimagined children's library spaces to enhance the quality and quantity of caregiver and child visits. During several community events, project staff captured ideas from library staff members about play materials in the library. They helped library patrons envisage how they would like to play and learn and then empowered them to express their visions. Then the project staff synthesized the community input to inform the libraries' redesign plans. One library installed a 10-foot climbing wall with letters that children could use to create words. In others, reading nooks feature large Tangram-style blocks, or a curtain-clad stage encourages children to engage in sociodramatic and narrative play. Observations showed that use of these play-and-learn spaces was associated with increases in caregiver-child conversations of the kinds known to foster literacy and STEM skills (Hassinger-Das, Zosh,



Figure 1. Creating a Playful Learning Environment in 11 Steps

et al., 2020). Working with local partners to reimagine libraries as a play space where people can interact and thrive can increase not only the frequency of library visits but also opportunities for rich, playful interactions that support language and literacy achievement.

11 Steps to a Playful Learning Landscape

PLL has evolved since its beginnings to become much more adept at centering the community during all stages of design, implementation, and evaluation, thanks in large part to the patience and input of communities that have participated in PLL projects.

Interest in enriching everyday spaces to enhance caregiver–child interactions is growing among researchers, educators, community leaders, organizations, families, and funders. Figure 1, captured from PLLAN's *Playbook* (2020), outlines our community-centered process. These 11 steps integrate our best practices and provide a roadmap for PLL projects, regardless of their magnitude or sponsorship. PLLAN's *Playbook* and information about the initiative, including sample projects, can be found at <u>https://playfullearninglandscapes.fun</u>.

Playful learning landscapes can enhance the 80 percent of children's waking time that is not spent in school. Public spaces, freed from past boundaries around their functions, can be the most critical out-of-school places a community has. They can be even more powerful when they include culturally relevant components and intergenerational engagement. If cities can embrace the difference between an ordinary bus stop and a PLL bus stop, they can enhance the quantity and quality of child– caregiver interactions. PLL is not merely an initiative. It is a movement to create accessible, culturally relevant learning opportunities for every child.

References

Adam, M., McMahon, S. A., Prober, C., & Bärnighausen, T. (2019). Human-centered design of video-based health education: An iterative, collaborative, community-based approach. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 21(1), e12128.

Adamson, L. B., Bakeman, R., Deckner, D. F., & Nelson, P. B. (2014). From interactions to conversations: The development of joint engagement during early childhood. *Child Development*, 85, 941–955.

Arcia, A., Suero-Tejeda, N., Bales, M. E., Merrill, J. A., Yoon, S., Woollen, J., & Bakken, S. (2016). Sometimes more is more: Iterative participatory design of infographics for engagement of community members with varying levels of health literacy. *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association*, 23(1), 174–183.

Berk, L. E. (2006). *Child development* (7th ed.). Allyn & Bacon

Bonawitz, E., Shafto, P., Gweon, H., Goodman, N. D., Spelke, E., & Schulz, L. (2011). The double-edged sword of pedagogy: Instruction limits spontaneous exploration and discovery. *Cognition*, *120*(3), 322–330. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cognition.2010.10.001

Bustamante, A. S., Hassinger-Das, B., Hirsh-Pasek, K., & Golinkoff, R. M. (2019). Learning landscapes: Where the science of learning meets architectural design. *Child Development Perspectives*, *13*(1), 34–40. https://doi.org/10.1111/cdep.12309

Bustamante, A. S., Schlesinger, M., Begolli, K. N., Golinkoff, R. M., Shahidi, N., Zonji, S., & Hirsh-Pasek, K. (2020). More than just a game: Transforming social interaction and STEM play with Parkopolis. *Developmental Psychology*, *56*(6). https://doi. org/10.1037/dev0000923

Cavanaugh, D. M., Clemence, K. J., Teale, M. M., Rule, A. C., & Montgomery, S. E. (2017). Kindergarten scores, storytelling, executive function, and motivation improved through literacy-rich guided play. *Early Childhood Education Journal*, 45(6), 831–843.

Chen, D., Chan, S., Brekken, L., Lynch, E. W., & Valverde, A. (1998). Project CRAFT: Culturally responsive and family focused training: A learning activities guide. https://eric.ed.gov/?id=ED426552

Chi, M. T. (2009). Active-constructive-interactive: A conceptual framework for differentiating learning activities. *Topics in Cognitive Science*, 1(1), 73–105. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1756-8765.2008.01005.x

Cohen, D. A., Marsh, T., Williamson, S., Golinelli, D., & McKenzie, T. L. (2012). Impact and cost-effectiveness of family fitness zones: A natural experiment in urban public parks. *Health & Place*, *18*(1), 39–45. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.healthplace.2011.09.008

Collins, S. E., Clifasefi, S. L., Stanton, J., The LEAP Advisory Board, Straits, K. J. E., Gil-Kashiwabara, E., Rodriguez Espinosa, P., Nicasio, A. V., Andrasik, M. P., Hawes, S. M., Miller, K. A., Nelson, L. A., Orfaly, V. E., Duran, B. M., & Wallerstein, N. (2018). Communitybased participatory research (CBPR): Towards equitable involvement of community in psychology research. *American Psychologist*, 73(7), 884. https://doi. org/10.1037/amp0000167 Dickinson, D. K., & Porche, M. V. (2011). Relation between language experiences in preschool classrooms and children's kindergarten and fourth-grade language and reading abilities. *Child Development*, *82*(3), 870– 886. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8624.2011.01576.x

Dyson, A. H. (2006). Literacy in a child's world of voices, or, the fine print of murder and mayhem. *Research in the Teaching of English*, *41*(2), 147–152.

Farrell, K. (2019). Using guided play to acquire literacy *skills*. [Unpublished master's thesis]. Northwestern College.

Fisher, K., Hirsh-Pasek, K., Newcombe, N., & Golinkoff, R. M. (2013). Taking shape: Supporting preschoolers' acquisition of geometric knowledge through guided play. *Child Development*, 84, 1872–1878. https://doi.org/10.1111/cdev.12091

Hadani, H. S., Winthrop, R., & Hirsh-Pasek, K. (2021). Playful learning landscapes: Convergence of education and city planning. In S. Ra, S. Jagannathan, & R. Maclean (Eds.), *Powering a learning society during an age of disruption* (pp. 151–164). Springer. https://doi. org/10.1007/978-981-16-0983-1_11

Han, M., Moore, N., Vukelich, C., & Buell, M. (2010). Does play make a difference? How play intervention affects the vocabulary learning of at-risk preschoolers. *American Journal of Play*, *3*, 82–105.

Hanner, E., Braham, E. J., Elliott, L., & Libertus, M. E. (2019). Promoting math talk in adult-child interactions through grocery store signs. *Mind, Brain, and Education, 13*, 110–118. https://doi.org/10.1111/mbe.12195

Hassinger-Das, B., Palti, I., Golinkoff, R. M., & Hirsh-Pasek, K. (2020). Urban Thinkscape: Infusing public spaces with STEM conversation and interaction opportunities. *Journal of Cognitive Development*, 21, 125–147. https://doi.org/10.1080/15248372.2019.167 3753

Hassinger-Das, B., Toub, T. S., Zosh, J. M., Michnick, J., Hirsh-Pasek, K., & Golinkoff, R. M. (2017). More than just fun: A place for games in playful learning. *Journal for the Study of Education and Development/ Infancia y Aprendizaje, 40,* 191–281. https://doi.org/10. 1080/02103702.2017.1292684

Hassinger-Das, B., Zosh, J. M., Bustamante, A. S., Golinkoff, R. M., & Hirsh-Pasek, K. (2021). Translating cognitive science in the public square. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 25(10), 816–818. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2021.07.001 Hassinger-Das, B., Zosh, J. M., Hansen, N., Talarowski, M., Zmich, K., Golinkoff, R. M., & Hirsh-Pasek, K. (2020). Play-and-learn spaces: Leveraging library spaces to promote caregiver and child interaction. *Library and Information Science Research*, 42(1). https://doi.org/10.1016/j. lisr.2020.101002

Hirsh-Pasek, K., Adamson, L. B., Bakeman, R., Owen, M. T., Golinkoff, R. M., Pace, A., Yust, P. K. S, & Suma, K. (2015). The contribution of early communication quality to low-income children's language success. *Psychological Science*, *26*, 1071– 1083. https://doi.org/ 10.1177/0956797615581493

Hirsh-Pasek, K., & Hadani, H. (2020). A new path to education reform: Playful learning promotes 21st-century skills in schools and beyond. The Brookings Institution. https://www.brookings.edu/wp-content/uploads/ 2020/10/Big-Ideas_HirshPasek_PlayfulLearning.pdf

Hirsh-Pasek, K., Zosh, J. M., Golinkoff, R. M., Gray, J. H., Robb, M. B., & Kaufman, J. (2015). Putting education in "educational" apps: Lessons from the science of learning. *Psychological Science in the Public Interest*, *16*(1), 3–34. https://doi. org/10.1177/1529100615569721

Howes, C., & Matheson, C. C. (1992). Sequences in the development of competent play with peers: Social and social pretend play. *Developmental Psychology*, 28(5), 961.

Hudson, J., & Nelson, K. (1983). Effects of script structure on children's story recall. *Developmental Psychology*, 19(4), 625.

Hung, Y. (2004). East New York Farms: Youth participation in community development and urban agriculture. *Children, Youth and Environments*, *14*(1), 56–85.

Huttenlocher, J., Waterfall, H., Vasilyeva, M., Vevea, J., & Hedges, L. V. (2010). Sources of variability in children's language growth. *Cognitive Psychology*, *61*(4), 343–365. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. cogpsych.2010.08.002

Isen, A. M. (2001). An influence of positive affect on decision making in complex situations: Theoretical issues with practical implications. *Journal of Consumer Psychology*, *11*(2), 75–85.

Isen, A. M., Daubman, K. A., & Nowicki, G. P. (1987). Positive affect facilitates creative problem solving. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 52(6), 1122. Kahn, D., & Birch, H. G. (1968). Development of auditory-visual integration and reading achievement. *Perceptual and Motor Skills*, 27(2), 459–468

Lowenstein, J., & Gentner, D. (2005). Relational language and the development of relational mapping. *Cognitive Psychology*, *50*(4), 315–353. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.cogpsych.2004.09.004

Masek, L. R., Paterson, S. J., Golinkoff, R. M., Bakeman, R., Adamson, L. B., Owen, M. T., Pace, A., & Hirsh-Pasek, K. (2021). Beyond talk: Contributions of quantity and quality of communication to language success across socioeconomic strata. *Infancy*, *26*(1), 123–147. https://doi.org/10.1111/infa.12378

Meltzoff, A. N., Kuhl, P. K., Movellan, J., & Sejnowski, T. J. (2009). Foundations for a new science of learning. *Science*, 325, 284–288. https://doi.org/10.1126/ science.1175626

Moll, L. C., Amanti, C., Neff, D., & González, N. (1992). Funds of knowledge for teaching: Using a qualitative approach to connect homes and classrooms. *Theory into Practice*, *31*, 132–141.

Mowen, A. J. (2010). Parks, playgrounds and active living. Active Living Research/Robert Wood Johnson Foundation.

Neuman, S. B., & Roskos, K. (1992). Literacy objects as cultural tools: Effects on children's literacy behaviors in play. *Reading Research Quarterly*, 203–225.

Pace, A., Alper, R., Burchinal, M. R., Golinkoff, R. M., & Hirsh-Pasek, K. (2019). Measuring success: Within and cross-domain predictors of academic and social trajectories in elementary school. *Early Childhood Research Quarterly*, *46*, 112–125. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.ecresq.2018.04.001

Playful Learning Landscapes Action Network. (2020). Playful learning landscapes: The playbook. https:// playfullearninglandscapes.com/playbook/

Ridge, K. E., Weisberg, D. S., Ilgaz, H., Hirsh-Pasek, K. A., & Golinkoff, R. M. (2015). Supermarket speak: Increasing talk among low-socioeconomic status families. *Mind, Brain, and Education, 9*, 127–135. https://doi.org/10.1111/mbe.12081

Schlesinger, M. A., Sawyer, J., Hirsh-Pasek, K., & Fabiano, R. (2020). Play captains on play streets: A community-university playful learning and teen leadership collaboration. *Collaborations: A Journal of Community-Based Research and Practice*, 3(1), 1–13. https://doi.org/10.33596/coll.54 Storch, S. A., & Whitehurst, G. J. (2002). Oral language and code-related precursors to reading: Evidence from a longitudinal structural model. *Developmental Psychology*, *38*(6), 934. https://doi. org/10.1037/0012-1649.38.6.934

Sutton, S. E., & Kemp, S. P. (2002). Children as partners in neighborhood placemaking: Lessons from intergenerational design charrettes. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 22(1–2), 171–189.

Tabors, P. O., Snow, C. E., & Dickinson, D. K. (2001). Homes and schools together: Supporting language and literacy development. In D. K. Dickinson & P. O. Tabors (Eds.), *Beginning literacy with language* (pp. 313–334). Brookes Publishing.

Tsao, Y. L. (2008). Using guided play to enhance children's conversation, creativity and competence in literacy. *Education*, *128*(3), 515–520.

Weisberg, D. S., Hirsh-Pasek, K., Golinkoff, R. M., Kittredge, A. K., & Klahr, D. (2016). Guided play: Principles and practices. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, 25, 177–182. https://doi. org/10.1177/0963721416645512

Wohlwend, K. E. (2018). Playing to our strengths: Finding innovation in children's and teachers' imaginative expertise. *Language Arts*, *95*(3), 162–170.

Wolf, K. L. (2007). City trees and property values. *Arborist News*, *16*(4), 34–36.

Xu, A., Rao, H., Dow, S. P., & Bailey, B. P. (2015, February). A classroom study of using crowd feedback in the iterative design process. In *Proceedings of the 18th ACM conference on computer supported cooperative work* & social computing (pp. 1637–1648).

Younan, D., Tuvblad, C., Li, L., Wu, J., Lurmann, F., Franklin, M., ... & Chen, J. C. (2016). Environmental determinants of aggression in adolescents: Role of urban neighborhood greenspace. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*, 55(7), 591–601.

Zosh, J. M., Fisher, K., Golinkoff, R. M., & Hirsh-Pasek, K. (2013). The ultimate block party: Bridging the science of learning and the importance of play. In M. Honey (Ed.), *Design, make, play* (pp. 113–136). Routledge.

Zosh, J. M., Hirsh-Pasek, K., Hopkins, E. J., Jensen, H., Liu, C., Neale, D., Solis, S. L., & Whitebread, D. (2018). Accessing the inaccessible: Redefining play as a spectrum. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *9*, 1124. https:// doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2018.01124