

The Effect of Social Support Perception and Resilience Levels of Prospective Teachers on Academic Burnout during the Pandemic Period

Püren AKÇAY¹

Istanbul Aydın University

Abstract

While the Covid-19 pandemic caused a sudden transition to online education in higher education, university students faced many unexpected situations. In this direction, in this study, it was aimed to determine the resilience, academic burnout and perceived social support levels of teacher candidates during the adaptation process to online education and to reveal the effect of perceived social support and resilience on academic burnout. This study was designed according to the relational survey model and 'Maslach Burnout Inventory-Student Form' and 'Multidimensional Scale of Perceived Social Support' and 'Short Psychological Resilience Scale' were used as data collection tools. The sample of the research consists of 367 education faculty students who are studying at a private university in the 2020-2021 academic year, determined by the random sampling method, one of the purposeful sampling methods. According to the results obtained from the research findings perceived social support and resilience variables together explain approximately 3% of the total variance in burnout.

Keywords: Academic Burnout, Burnout, COVID-19 Pandemic, Online Education, Perceived Social Support, Prospective Teacher, Resilience.

DOI: 10.29329/epasr.2021.383.13

¹ Assistant Professor, Faculty of Education, Istanbul Aydın University, Istanbul, Turkey, ORCID: 0000-0002-0087-7561

Correspondence: purenakcay@aydin.edu.tr

Introduction

It can be said that the Covid-19 epidemic has caused major and important changes in education systems around the world. During the epidemic, many countries had to take a break from face-to-face education and switch to the online education model. In this direction, states have taken decisions regarding the implementation of online education systems for the sustainability of education within the framework of health measures. In Turkey, on Monday, March 23, 2020, it has been decided by the Higher Education Institution to start the distance education process at associate, undergraduate and graduate levels in all universities with distance education capacity. In order for online education to become more efficient and functional for students, it is important to reveal the academic burnout, resilience and perceived social support levels of university students in this process and the relationships between these levels.

Resilience

Resilience can be defined as the ability to quickly get rid of diseases, psychological problems, negative experiences or stress, to heal and to recover oneself (Carver, 1998; Smith et al, 2008; Tusaie & Dyer, 2004). In other words, resilience is one's ability to successfully overcome adverse conditions and adapt to new situations; (Doğan, 2015); it is the state of a person to recover, focus on success and continue his purpose in order to achieve success when faced with difficulties and problems; (Richardson, 2002); features and protective mechanisms that enable adaptation to danger, threats, and challenges (Benard, 1991). Psychological resilience makes it easier to resist stressful situations and events (Terzi, 2008). Since individuals with high resilience have a higher stress threshold, they are expected to perform with higher motivation in conflict, crisis, change and other critical situations. (Bitmiş et al, 2013).

The concept of resilience is a personality trait consisting of three sub-dimensions: attachment, difficulty and control (Crowley et al, 2003). The control dimension of resilience is related to the belief in the controllability of events and individual reactions. Those who have this belief think that they can control the stressful situation and turn it to their advantage. The control dimension is associated with the concepts of self-control, achievement motivation, autonomy and willingness (Crowley et al, 2003; Motan & Gençöz, 2009). The difficulty dimension consists of the individual's ability to adapt to change and to see the change process as an opportunity to improve himself (Crowley et al, 2003; Kayacı & Özbay, 2016). The Attachment dimension can be defined as the individual's finding his/her life meaningful, having a purpose, and doing his best as a result of his commitment to life (Crowley et al, 2003).

The individual's attachment to different relationships, beliefs and value judgments such as his/her social environment, work environment and family creates a source of strength for the

individual to cope with stressful situations and events (Işık, 2016). At this point, it is necessary to mention the concept of social support, which is another subject of this research.

Perceived social support

In recent years, research on social support has focused on whether a person's social relationships are supportive enough, that is, one's own impressions of perceived support. In addition to having social support quantitatively, the individual must perceive the existence of social support or feel social support (Bayrak & Bülbül, 2013). The existence of social support for university students facilitates the student's adaptation to university life by affecting their academic and social relations at the university (Baş & Kabasakal, 2013; Dikmen et al, 2017; Topkaya & Kavas, 2015). Studies have reported that as the social support of university students increases, their life satisfaction and ability to empathize with the other person increase, and their feeling of loneliness and hopelessness decreases (Bayrak & Bülbül, 2013; Kacur & Atak 2011). In addition, it was concluded that the decrease in the perception of the social support of university students negatively affects the quality of life of the students, and as a result, mental health problems occur in the students (Alsubaie et al. 2019).

Perceived social support is expressed as the cognitive evaluation or impression of individuals' social networks established with each other in terms of quality and existence (Aydiner Boylu et al., 2019; Yıldız & Dirlik, 2019). In the formation of evaluations and impressions, the past experiences, current cognitive structure and emotional state of the person can be determinative. In this context, it is possible to say that the family, which is the first source of early experiences, has a strong role in the formation of the mentioned evaluations and impressions. The family is an important actor in the development of social support skills (Taylor, 2011). study conducted by Wang et al. (2018), in which they examined studies on perceived social support, results were obtained regarding the negative effects of recovery and social functionality in addition to depression symptoms in groups with low perception of social support. In addition, in many studies in the literature, it has been observed that there is a negative relationship between the perception of social support and the level of depression (Gökdağlı, 2014). Similarly, in a study conducted with university students, it was concluded that the perception of social support has a role in reducing depression and anxiety symptoms (Shao et al., 2020). In different studies in the literature, it has been determined that the level of anxiety decreases as the perceived social support level increases (Zhou et al., 2013).

Social support is all kinds of personal, social, psychological and economic assistance processes such as honest and empathetic response, interest, trust, respect, appreciation, information and financial assistance that the individual receives from his/her environment (Hefner & Eisenberg, 2009). The balance between the student's wishes and the expectations of environment from the student is among the factors affecting academic burnout.

Academic Burnout

Freudenberger (1974) considered burnout only from an emotional point of view and defined it as a negative state that occurs as a result of failure, fatigue, loss of energy and power or unmet demands. On the other hand Maslach and Jackson (1981), defined burnout in three sub-dimensions: emotional exhaustion, depersonalization, and the feeling of falling in personal achievement. According to Maslach and Jackson (1981), burnout is a physical and mental syndrome that includes physical exhaustion, long-term fatigue, feelings of helplessness and hopelessness, as well as negative attitudes towards work, life and other people. School burnout, or academic burnout, which is a valid concept for students, arises from the discrepancy between students' own deficiencies in their studies at school and the expectations of their environment and their own wishes. School burnout can be defined as a decrease in students' belief and interest in school, which is associated with academic stress (Schaufeli et al., 2002). Zhang, Gan, and Cham (2005) suggested that the symptoms of academic burnout are feeling tired, apathy towards homework, indifferent attitudes and behaviors towards lessons, depersonalization, feeling inadequate, and decreased productivity. Studies show that academic school engagement, stress, relationship with teachers and friends, academic self-efficacy and academic achievement predict burnout (Schaufeli et al, 2002; Zhang et al, 2005). Bresó et al. (2007) found that female students had higher burnout levels. When the research findings of Stoeber et al., (2011) are examined, it is seen that willing work creates a significant difference in burnout levels and academic achievement depending on individual differences among students. Ören and Türkoğlu (2006), in their study on academic burnout in prospective teachers, concluded that male students experienced higher levels of academic burnout in the personal achievement and depersonalization dimension of burnout, and female students in the emotional exhaustion dimension. In the same study, it was concluded that students older than 23 years of age had higher academic burnout levels. In the study examining the relationship between high school students' academic burnout and their perceived social support level (Kutsal & Bilge, 2012), it was seen that students' perceived social support levels predicted their burnout scores.

Due to the sudden transition to online education due to the Covid19 epidemic, all stakeholders of the education system have had many positive and negative experiences regarding online education. University students had to learn all the positive and negative effects of the online education process by experiencing them personally. Considering that the online education model is likely to be used together with the face-to-face education model after the epidemic, examining the academic burnout, social support perceptions and psychological resilience of teacher candidates in the online education process will contribute to minimizing the problems experienced by the students in the online education process and completing the inadequate elements.

Purpose of the research

In this context, the aim of this study is to examine the relationships between teacher candidates' social support perception, psychological resilience and academic burnout levels during the pandemic period and to determine the predictive value of teacher candidates' social support perception and resilience levels on academic burnout. In line with this main purpose, answers are sought for the following research questions:

RQ1: To what degree do prospective teachers resilience, academic burnout and perceived social support?

RQ2: Are there any significant difference in prospective teachers' levels of psychological resilience, academic burnout and perceived social support in terms of gender, grade, section?

RQ3: Are there any significant relationship among resilience, academic burnout and perceived social support levels of prospective teachers?

RQ4: Do prospective teachers' perceived social support and resilience levels predict academic burnout?

Method

Research Model

In this study, predictive research design, which is one of the quantitative research correlation scanning models, was used. The predictive research model is a preferred research model to predict or understand future behavior and to identify the independent variables that predict the dependent variable (Creswell, 2017). With the predictive research model, it is aimed to analyze the relationships between the social support perception, resilience and academic burnout levels of prospective teachers' and to determine the predictive value of prospective teachers' social support perceptions and resilience levels on academic burnout during online education.

Participants

The universe of the research consists of 1496 teacher candidates studying at the education faculty of a private university in Istanbul in the 2020-2021 academic year. 291 prospective teachers randomly selected from this universe constitute the sample of the research. Using an online survey tool with simple random sampling produced a large dataset, but we have no way of knowing what the response rate was or how many students saw the online survey invitation and chose not to respond. Information about the gender, grade and section of the students is shown in Table 1.

Table 1. Frequency and percentages of reported amount of gender, grade and department (N=291).

		Frequency	Percent
Gender	Female	239	82,1
	Male	52	17,9
	Total	291	100
Grade	1-2	132	45,4
	3-4	159	54,6
	Total	291	100
Department	Basic Education	106	36,4
	Psychological Counseling	48	16,5
	Language Teaching	65	22,3
	Preschool Education	72	24,7
	Total	291	100

Table 1 shows that 82.1% of the participants are women and 17.9% are men. 45.4% of these participants completed the first two years of university education, and 54.6% completed the last two years with the online education process. When the sections of the participants are examined, it is seen that 36.4% of the participants are in the basic education department, 24.7% from the pre-school education department, 22.3% from the language education department and 16.5% from the psychological counseling department.

Data Collection Tools

The materials of the study include the following scales administered as part of an online questionnaire. A personal information form was used to obtain information about the participants' genders, departments and which grade they were in.

Turkish Adaptation of the Short Resilience Scale (Doğan, 2015). A six-item scale of resilience was used. Higher total scores indicated higher resilience. Cronbach's alpha= 0.83.

Revised Form of Multidimensional Scale of Perceived Social Support (Eker et. al, 2001). A 13-item scale of perceived social support was used. The scale has 13 questions rated on a 5-point Likert-type scale (1 = strongly disagree; 7 = totally agree). Three subscales were included: family support, friend support and special person support. Cronbach's alpha= 0.89.

Turkish Adaptation of the Maslach Burnout Inventory: Student Survey (Çapri, et. al, 2011). A 12-item scale of burnout for students was used. The scale has 12 questions rated on a 5-point Likert-type scale (1 = never happens; 5 = always happens). Higher scores indicated a more serious degree of academic burnout. Three subscales were included: exhaustion, cynicism and inefficiency. The Cronbach Alpha internal consistency coefficient was 0.76 for the first sub-factor, 0.82 for the second sub-factor, and 0.61 for the third sub-factor. The survey, which was administered through Google

Docs between March 29 and April 12, 2021, was shared via the e-mail addresses of education faculty students to create a simple random sample. During the web survey, which received 291 responses, the participants were told that they could stop participating in the research at any time if they were uncomfortable sharing information about themselves.

Findings

The data on the levels of resilience, burnout and perceived social support of teacher candidates are shown in Table 2.

Table 2. Descriptive analysis results of prospective teachers' scores for resilience, burnout and perceived social support.

Variable/Subscales	N	M	SD	Min.	Max.
Academic Burnout	291	3,08	,63	1,83	4,75
Exhaustion	291	3,11	1,09	1,00	5,00
Cynicism	291	2,89	1,11	1,00	5,00
Inefficiency	291	3,24	,74	1,25	5,00
Perceived social support	291	4,88	1,36	1,00	7,00
Family support	291	5,06	1,57	1,00	7,00
Friend support	291	5,27	2,18	1,00	7,00
Special person support	291	4,32	1,52	1,00	7,00
Resilience	291	2,97	,37	1,50	5,00

According to Table 1, the average general academic burnout level of the participants is between 3.00 and 4.00 points. In other words, the academic burnout level of undergraduate students is between “sometimes” and “always” (mean=3.08, SD=0.63). The academic burnout variables with the highest scores were inefficiency variable (mean=3.24, SD=0.74), emotional exhaustion variable (mean=3.11, SD=1.09) and cynicism variable (mean=2.89, SD=1.11), respectively. It is seen that the perceived social support levels of teacher candidates are between 4.00 and 5.00 (mean=4.88, SD=1.36) and the highest scored perceived social support variable is peer support (mean=5.27, SD=2.18). Perception of friend support is followed by family support (mean=5.06, SD=1.57) and personal support (mean=4.32, SD=1.52). The mean score of the resilience variable is moderate (mean=2.97) and the standard deviation score is 0.37.

Table 3. t-test values of academic burnout and perceived social support in terms of gender

Variable	Subscale	Female (n=239)	Male (n=52)	t-value
Academic Burnout	Exhaustion	3,10±1,09	3,16±1,12	-,338
	Cynicism	2,84±1,11	3,12±1,05	-1,648
	Inefficiency	3,25±0,75	3,21±0,70	,340
	Total	3,06±0,63	3,16± 0,66	-1,015

Perceived social support	Family	5,11±1,55	4,83±1,66	1,188
	Friend	5,36±1,49	4,83±1,58	2,284
	Special person	4,36±2,21	4,18±2,06	,528
	Total	4,94±1,35	4,61±1,41	1,584

Data are presented as mean±standard deviation. *p<0.05.

According to Table 3, no significant difference between genders was found in terms of academic burnout and perceived social support. The differences in resilience variable according to gender is shown in Table 4.

Table 4. Mann Whitney U-test values of resilience in terms of gender

Variable	Gender	Mean Rank	Sum of Ranks	U-value	Significance
Resilience	Female (n=239)	146,20	34942,00	6166,000	,929
	Male (n=52)	145,08	7544,00		

Data are presented as mean rank-sum of rank. *p<0.05.

According to table 4, no significant difference between genders was found in term of resilience. The differences in academic burnout and perceived social support variables according to grade are shown in Table 5.

Table 5. t-test values of academic burnout and perceived social support in terms of grade

Variable	Subscale	1st and 2nd Grade (n=132)	3rd and 4th Grade (n=159)	t-value
Academic Burnout	Exhaustion	3,1864±1,06	3,0629±1,12	,958
	Cynicism	2,8225±1,07	2,9484±1,13	-,963
	Inefficiency	3,3621±,75	3,1473±,72	2,470*
	Total	3,1236±,61	3,0529±,65	-,169
Perceived social support	Family	4,9435±1,60	5,1700±1,55	-1,129
	Friends	5,3490±1,45	5,2045±1,57	,806
	Special person	4,3298±2,14	4,3296±2,22	,001
	Total	4,8741±1,36	4,9014±1,36	,943

Data are presented as mean±standard deviation. *p<0.05.

According to table 5, no significant difference between grades was found in terms of the variables of academic burnout and perceived social support. However, it was observed that the students who studied their first two years at the university had higher scores on the inefficiency dimension than the students who studied the last two years. The differences in resilience variable according to grade is shown in Table 6.

Table 6. Mann Whitney U-test values of resilience in terms of grade

Variable	Grade	Mean Rank	Sum of Ranks	U-value	Significance
Resilience	1st and 2nd Grade (n=132)	146,02	19275,00	10491,00	,997
	3rd and 4th Grade (n=159)	145,98	23211,00		

Data are presented as mean rank-sum of rank. *p<0.05.

According to table 6, no significant difference between grades was found in term of the variable of resilience. The differences in academic burnout and perceived social support variables according to department are shown in Table 7.

Table 7. F values of academic burnout and perceived social support in terms of department

Variable	Subscale	Basic Ed. (n=106)	Psychological Counseling (n=48)	Language Teaching (n=65)	Preschool Education (n=72)	F	Bonferroni
Academic Burnout	Exhaustion	3,01 ±1,06	3,25 ±1,12	3,16 ±1,10	3,13 ±1,11	,585	
	Cynicism	2,89 ±1,08	3,06 ±1,11	3,06 ±1,15	2,60 ±1,07	2,518	
	Inefficiency	3,14 ±,71	3,20 ±,78	3,18 ±,71	3,46 ±,76	3,037*	Preschool>Basic
	Total	3,02 ±,60	3,17 ±,61	3,14 ±,69	3,07 ±,64	,846	
Perceived social support	Family support	5,32 ±1,39	4,91 ±,81	4,93 ±1,68	4,91 ±1,55	1,443	
	Friend support	5,46 ±1,33	5,14 ±1,73	5,03 ±1,67	5,27 ±1,47	1,216	
	Special person sup.	4,59 ±2,12	4,35 ±2,39	3,88 ±2,27	4,31 ±2,03	1,441	
	Total	5,12 ±1,21	4,80 ±1,59	4,61 ±1,40	4,83 ±1,35	2,060	

Data are presented as mean±standard deviation. *p<0.05.

According to Table 7, academic burnout differs significantly according to the department of education (F=3.037, p<0.05). As a result of the Bonferroni test, it was shown that the students in the preschool department experienced more academic burnout than the students in the basic education department, and these showed a significant difference in terms of inefficiency. There was no significant difference in perceived social support levels between departments. The differences in resilience variable according to department is shown in Table 8.

Table 8. Kruskal Walls H-test values of resilience in terms of department

Variable	Department	Mean Rank	H	df
Resilience	Basic Education (n=106)	138,40	3,312	3
	Psychological Counseling (n=48)	159,22		
	Language Teaching (n=65)	155,33		
	Preschool Education (n=72)	139,96		

Data are presented as mean rank-sum of rank. *p<0.05.

According to table 8, no significant difference between departments was found in term of the variable of resilience. The results of the correlation analysis conducted to determine the relationships among the resilience, academic burnout and perceived social support levels of prospective teachers are given in Table 9.

Table 9. Correlation analysis results

Variables		Burnout	Perceived Social Support	Resilience
Burnout	r	1	-,152**	,099
	p		,009	,090
	n	291	291	291
Perceived Social Support	r	-,152**	1	,026
	p	,009		,653
	n	291	291	291
Resilience	r	,099	,026	1
	p	,090	,653	
	n	291	291	291

**Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

According to Table 9, it is seen that there is a negative and low level relationship between teacher candidates' perceived social support and burnout levels. ($r = -.152$; $p < .01$). The hierarchical multiple analysis for exploring the effect of academic burnout on the relationship between resilience and perceived social support are demonstrated in Table 10.

Table 10. Regression analysis results

Independent Variable	Dependent Variable	Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t-value	p
		β	Standart Error			
Academic Burnout	Constant	2,912	,322		9,053	,007*
	Perceived Social Support	,072	,027	-,155	-2,678	,008*
	Resilience	,177	,099	,104	1,788	,075

R= ,184 R² = ,027 Sd:2/288 F: 5,060

*p<0.05

Table 10 shows that perceived social support contribute significantly to the explanation of variance, as it predicted academic burnout at $p > .05$. Perceived social support and resilience variables together explain approximately 3% of the total variance in burnout.

Discussion, Conclusion and Recommendations

In this study, besides examining the resilience levels, academic burnout and social support perceptions of teacher candidates according to various variables, it was tried to reveal the effect of students' social support perceptions and resilience on academic burnout during the pandemic process. Our results are summarized in the following.

Firstly, the results showed that the level of student burnout among students was not serious in general. A similar conclusion was reached in the study of Lin and Huang (2013). The highest level of academic burnout was obtained in the inefficiency subscale. This situation can be interpreted as prospective teachers feel academically inadequate because they had to conduct all their theoretical and practical courses online during the pandemic process.

Secondly, academic burnout significantly differed according to grade. In the sub-scale of academic inefficiency 1st and 2nd grade students get significantly higher scores than 3rd and 4th grade students. Similarly, it was concluded in the studies that the burnout levels of the 3rd and 4th grade students were higher than the 1st and 2nd grade students (Balkıs et al, 2011; Guthrie et al, 1995; Guthrie et al, 1998; Gündüz et al, 2012; Kutsal & Bilge, 2012; Küçüksüleymanoğlu & Eğılmez, 2013; Ören & Türkoğlu, 2006; Seçer, 2015; Şentürk, 2016; Tansel, 2015). In addition to having more specialized and relatively more comprehensive courses in upper grades; It can be thought that students' burnout levels are high due to factors such as school completion, preparation for central exams and employment uncertainty. While interpreting the result that the burnout levels of upper-class students are high in this research, it is necessary to consider the factors that may affect the burnout level of students in the online education process. Many factors such as digital skills and knowledge deficiencies, financial inadequacies, family-related problems, and system-based technical problems can be counted among the factors that will affect students' burnout levels in the online education process. We can interpret the result that the burnout levels in the lower classes are higher in the dimension of inadequacy, with the feeling of academic inadequacy given by the university students' transition to the online education process without establishing a solid communication network with other students and educators, lacking the benefits of face-to-face education in the first steps of higher education.

Academic burnout differs significantly according to the department student's study. It is seen that the academic burnout levels of the students in the preschool department are higher than the students in the basic education departments, and this differentiation is highest in the inefficiency sub-dimension. According to the findings obtained from Seçer (2015) and Ören & Türkoğlu's (2016)

studies, the school burnout levels of the students of literature teaching and mathematics teaching departments were higher than the students of other departments. The longer undergraduate education period of these two departments can be interpreted as a reason why students experience more burnout.

In this research no significant difference between genders was found in terms of the variable of academic burnout. It is expected that the causes and results of academic burnout experienced by students during the online education process applied during the pandemic period and the causes and consequences of academic burnout in the face-to-face education process are different from each other. Different results were obtained for the determination of significant differences in the level of burnout by gender. While some of the studies emphasized that the level of burnout does not differ according to the gender variable (Breso et al, 2007; Guthrie, 1998; Kutsal & Bilge, 2012; Naçar et al. 2012; Ören and Türkoğlu, 2006; Santen, et al. 2010; Schorn & Buchwald, 2007; Sepehrmanesh et al, 2010; Tümkaya & Çavuşoğlu, 2010), another group of studies emphasize that the level of burnout is higher in female students (Çağatay İn & Şanlı Kula, 2019; Gündüz et al. 2012; Salmela-Aro et al., 2009) and another group of studies emphasize that the level of burnout is higher in male students (Tansel, 2015; Uludağ & Yaratan, 2010; Yang, 2004). In order to obtain clearer results regarding the gender variable, it is thought that conducting quantitative and qualitative research on different student groups in the online education process will further illuminate the subject.

In our study, it was concluded that the prospective teachers' perception of social support during the pandemic was moderate, and they received the most social support from their friends. According to our research, it is seen that the social support received from school or privately identified people before the pandemic was replaced by the social support received from friends during the pandemic period. In previous studies on social support, different results were obtained regarding friend support. In the study of Kutsal and Bilge (2012), it was determined that the support received from the teacher was more effective than the support received from family and friends in order to prevent students from experiencing burnout. There are also studies in the literature that support this result (Özer, Gençtanırım, & Ergene, 2011). It is a remarkable finding that in the study of Kutsal and Bilge (2012), the support of friends ranks third after teachers and family. Halbesleben (2006) concluded that work-related social support sources such as colleagues and managers are more closely related to the emotional exhaustion dimension of burnout, while non-work social support sources such as family and friends are more closely related to depersonalization and personal development dimensions. In Ho's (2016) study, perceived social support from family did not emerge as a significant mediator between humor and burnout components. Taylor et al.'s (2004) research also shows that social support from friends and family is used less in coping with stress. In the online education process, teachers should take their place as the first source from which students easily receive social support with online activities such as projects, competitions and interviews. School

management and teachers should improve themselves in this regard. The fact that the duty of teachers is not just to transfer information is a fact that maintains its validity in the online education process.

In our study, no significant difference was found between genders, classes and departments for the perceived social support variable. In studies on perceived social support, different results were obtained according to the gender variable. Iraz, et al. (2021) and Çeçen (2008) found that social support levels did not differ according to gender in their research; Rani (2016) states that women receive more social support than men and this support comes from family and friends and Talwar et al. (2013) found that women received more social support than men.

According to another research finding, it is seen that the resilience level of prospective teachers is moderate. And no significant difference between genders, grades and departments was found in terms of the variable of resilience. Similarly, there are studies that conclude that university students' levels of resilience do not differ according to gender (Arslan, 2019; Aydın & Egemberdiyeva, 2018; Chan, 2003; Crowley et al, 2003; Sezgin, 2009). Unlike these results, there are also studies showing that resilience may differ according to gender (Aydın, et al, 2019; Eroğlu, 2020; Hoşoğlu, et al, 2018; Sarwar, et al, 2010). Geyik Koç (2020) also concluded that the psychological resilience levels of female participants were found to be higher than male participants. On the other hand, Eker et al. (2020) concluded that male participants have a higher level of psychological resilience than female participants.

Individuals with a high level of resilience use more active and problem-focused strategies when faced with any problem situation and they prefer to use more active coping strategies such as problem solving and planning (Klag & Bradley, 2004). Individuals with high psychological resilience can take active steps to control stressful life events and consider such negative events as a kind of development and learning tool (Florian et al, 1995). In other words, psychological resilience functions to reduce the negative impact of any life event that can be evaluated as stressful or negative. In this case, carrying out studies to increase the psychological resilience levels of students during the pandemic period will improve the ability of students to cope with negative situations (Hanton et al, 2002).

Finally, it was concluded that there is a negative and low-level relationship between the social support perceived by the prospective teachers and their burnout levels. Similarly, in the study of Kutsal and Bilge (2012), it was concluded that students' burnout levels were predicted by their perceived social support. Also, Jacobs & Dodd's (2003) study concludes that students with higher perceived social support experience lower levels of burnout.

In studies supporting this result, it has been reported that teachers who need social support are more prone to burnout symptoms than their other colleagues (Brouwers et al., 2001); It was stated that

teachers with high burnout levels received lower levels of social support from various sources (Burke and Greenglass 1993; Burke et al. 1996; Cheuk & Wong 1995).

Perceived social support significantly contributed to the explanation of the variance, as it predicted academic burnout at $p > .05$. Perceived social support and resilience variables together explain approximately 3% of the total variance in burnout. Although the variance rates explained by the variables are low, they are statistically significant. This finding is important in that it shows that there are other factors that affect prospective teachers' academic burnout, apart from the variables of resilience and perceived social support. In addition, there is a need for new studies on which variable or variables affect the burnout level of students in order to increase the effectiveness of the research results.

It is seen that there is a need for new studies that examine various factors and variables related to these factors to better understand academic burnout especially during the pandemic process. With new studies to be carried out in this direction, it will be possible to develop a broad perspective on academic burnout during the pandemic process. In order to increase the efficiency of online education processes, the application of which is becoming increasingly widespread, the online education process, which is applied in various times and forms in every country during the pandemic period, needs to be examined in detail.

Reference

- Alsubaie, M. M., Stain, H. J., Webster, L. A., & Wadman, R. (2019). The role of sources of social support on depression and quality of life for university students. *International Journal of Adolescence and Youth*, 24(4), 484-496. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02673843.2019.1568887>
- Arslan, H. (2019). Psikolojik danışmanların mutluluk, psikolojik sağlık ve bağımlılık durumları arasındaki ilişkiler. *Sağlık Bilimlerinde Eğitim Dergisi*, 1(1), 17-35. Retrieved from <https://dergipark.org.tr/tr/pub/jedihes/issue/41418/516596>
- Aydın, İ., Öncü, E., Akbulut, V., Küçük Kılıç, S. (2019). Öğretmen adaylarında boş zaman sıkılma algısı ve psikolojik sağlık ilişkisi. *Beden Eğitimi ve Spor Bilimleri Dergisi*, 21(1), 39-53. Retrieved from <https://dergipark.org.tr/tr/pub/ataunibesyo/issue/43861/518563>
- Aydın, M. & Egemberdiyeva, A. (2018). Üniversite öğrencilerinin psikolojik sağlık düzeylerinin incelenmesi. *Türkiye Eğitim Dergisi*, 3(1), 37-53. Retrieved from <https://dergipark.org.tr/en/pub/turkegitimdergisi/issue/37897/333333>
- Aydiner Boylu, A., Günay, G., & Ersoy, A. (2019). Üniversite öğrencilerinde algılanan sosyal desteğin yalnızlık üzerindeki etkisinin incelenmesi. *Sosyoekonomi*, 27(41), 211-221. <https://doi.org/10.17233/sosyoekonomi.2019.03.10>

- Balkıs, M., Duru, D., Buluř, Y., & Duru, Y. (2011). Tükenmiřliđin öđretmen adayları arasındaki yaygınlıđı, demografik deđiřkenler ve akademik bařarı ile iliřkisi. *Pamukkale Üniversitesi Eđitim Fakültesi Dergisi*, 29(29), 151-165. Retrieved from <https://dergipark.org.tr/tr/pub/pauefd/issue/11114/132897>
- Bayrak, A. Ö. & Bülbül, T. (2013). Meslek yüksekokulu öđrencilerinin yükseköđretim yařamına uyum düzeylerinin çeřitli deđiřkenlere göre incelenmesi. *Sakarya Üniversitesi Eđitim Bilimleri Enstitüsü Dergisi*, 3 (2), 6-20. Retrieved from <https://dergipark.org.tr/tr/pub/suje/issue/20633/219988>
- Bař, A. & Kabasakal, Z. (2013). Despair acroos teacher candidates and percieved social support. *JRET*, 2(1), 19-26. Retrieved from http://www.jret.org/FileUpload/ks281142/File/02a.asli_uz_bas.pdf.
- Benard, B. (1991). Fostering resiliency in kids: Protective factors in the family, school, and community. Portland, USA: Western Center for Drug-Free Schools and Communities. Retrieved from <https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/ED335781.pdf>
- Bitmiř, M. G., Sökmen, A. & Turgut, H. (2013). Psikolojik dayanıklılıđın tükenmiřlik üzerine etkisi: örgütsel özdeřleşmenin aracı rolü. *Gazi Üniversitesi İktisadi ve İdari Bilimler Fakültesi Dergisi*, 15(2), 27-40. Retrieved from <https://dergipark.org.tr/tr/pub/gaziuiibfd/issue/28313/300872>
- Breso, E., Salanova, M., & Schaufeli, W.B. (2007). In search third dimension of burnout: efficacy or inefficacy?. *Applied Psychology: An International Review*, 56(3), 460-478. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1464-0597.2007.00290.x>
- Brouwers, A., Evers, W. J. G. & Tomic, W. (2001). Self-efficacy in eliciting social support and burnout among secondary school teachers. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, 31(7), 1474-1491. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1559-1816.2001.tb02683.x>
- Burke, R. J., & Greenglass, E. R. (1993). Work stress, role conflict, social support, and psychological burnout among teachers. *Psychological Reports*, 73, 371-380. <https://doi.org/10.2466/pr0.1993.73.2.371>
- Burke, R. J., Greenglass, E. R., & Schwarzer, R. (1996). Predicting teacher burnout over time: Effects of work stress, social support, and self-doubts on burnout and its consequences. *Anxiety, Stress, and Coping*, 9, 261-275 <https://doi.org/10.1080/10615809608249406>
- Carver, C. S. (1998). Resilience and thriving: Issues, models, and linkages. *Journal of Social Issues*, 54, 245- 266. <https://doi.org/10.1111/0022-4537.641998064>
- Chan, D. W. (2003). Hardiness and its role in the stres-burnout relationship among prospective Chinese teachers in Hong Kong. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 19, 381-395. Retrieved from <https://eric.ed.gov/?id=EJ679285>
- Cheuk, W. H., & Wong, K. S. (1995). Stress, social support, and teacher burnout in Macau. *Current Psychology*, 14, 42-46.

- Crowley, B. J., Hayslip, B., & Hobdy, J. (2003). Psychological hardiness and adjustment to life events in adulthood. *Journal of Adult Development, 10*(4), 237-248. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1026007510134>
- Çağatay İn, E. & Şanlı Kula, K. (2020). Üniversite öğrencilerinin tükenmişlik ve yaşam doyumunun incelenmesi: Kırşehir Ahi Evran Üniversitesi. *Uludağ Üniversitesi Eğitim Fakültesi Dergisi, 32*(2), 403-442. <https://doi.org/10.19171/uefad.679322>
- Çapri, B., Gündüz, B. & Gökçakan, Z. (2011). Maslach Tükenmişlik Envanteri-Öğrenci Formu'nun (MTE-ÖF) Türkçe'ye uyarlaması: Geçerlik ve güvenilirlik çalışması, *Çukurova Üniversitesi Eğitim Fakültesi Dergisi 40*(1), 134-147. Retrieved from <https://app.trdizin.gov.tr/publication/paper/detail/TVRJeE1EVTRPQT09>
- Çeçen, A. R. (2008). Öğrencilerinin cinsiyetlerine ve anababa tutum algılarına göre yalnızlık ve sosyal destek düzeylerinin incelenmesi. *Türk Eğitim Bilimleri Dergisi, 6*(3), 415-431. Retrieved from <https://dergipark.org.tr/tr/download/article-file/256309>
- Creswell, J. W. (2017). Eğitim araştırmaları. Nicel ve nitel araştırmanın planlanması yürütülmesi ve değerlendirilmesi [Educational research: Planning, conducting, and evaluating quantitative and qualitative research] (H. Ekşi, Trans. Ed.). İstanbul: EDAM.
- Dikmen, Y., Yılmaz, D. & Usta Yıldırım, Y. (2017). Hemşirelik öğrencilerinde algılanan sosyal destek ile boyun eğici davranışlar arasındaki ilişkinin incelenmesi. *EurJTher, 23*(1), 12-18. Retrieved from <https://app.trdizin.gov.tr/publication/paper/detail/TWprME9ESXpNdz09>
- Doğan, T. (2015). Kısa Psikolojik Sağlık Ölçeği'nin Türkçe uyarlaması: Geçerlik ve güvenilirlik çalışması, *The Journal of Happiness & Well-Being, 3*(1), 93-102. Retrieved from <https://docplayer.biz.tr/7372982>
- Eker, D., Arkar, H. & Yıldız, H. (2001). Çok Boyutlu Algılanan Sosyal Destek Ölçeği'nin gözden geçirilmiş formunun faktör yapısı, geçerlik ve güvenilirliği, *Türk Psikiyatri Dergisi, 12*(1), 17-25. <http://www.turkpsikiyatri.com/c12s1/cokboyutlualgılanan.pdf>
- Eker, H., Taş, İ. & Anlı, G. (2020). Üniversite öğrencilerinde psikolojik sağlık ile yaşamın anlamı ve algılanan sosyal destek arasındaki ilişkinin incelenmesi. *İstanbul Ticaret Üniversitesi Sosyal Bilimler Dergisi, 19*(39), 1182-1199. <https://doi.org/10.46928/iticusbe.786008>
- Eroğlu, M. (2020). Öğretmen adaylarının psikolojik dayanıklılıklarının incelenmesi. *Eğitim ve Yeni Yaklaşımlar Dergisi, 3*(2), 80-86. Retrieved from <https://dergipark.org.tr/tr/pub/jena/issue/59198/750430>
- Freudenberger, H. J. (1974). Staff Burnout. *Journal of Social Issues, 30*(1), 159-165. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-4560.1974.tb00706.x>
- Florian, V., Mikulincer, M. & Taubman, O. (1995). Does hardiness contribute to mental health during a stressful real life situation?. *The roles of appraisal and coping. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 68*(4), 687-695. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.68.4.687>

- Geyik Koç, G. (2020). Bilişsel esneklik ve psikolojik dayanıklılık ile stresle başa çıkma arasındaki ilişkinin incelenmesi. (Publication No. 631281) [Master's thesis, Sakarya University]. YÖK National Thesis Center. Retrieved from, <https://tez.yok.gov.tr/UlusalTezMerkezi/>
- Gökdağlı, N. (2014). Algılanan sosyal destek ve duygusal zekânın depresyon üzerindeki etkileri: Osmaniye Korkut Ata Üniversitesi öğrencileri üzerinde bir uygulama. (Publication No. 422042) [Master's thesis, Korkut Ata University]. YÖK National Thesis Center. Retrieved from, <https://tez.yok.gov.tr/UlusalTezMerkezi/>
- Gündüz, B., Çapri, B. & Gökçakan, Z. (2012). Üniversite öğrencilerinin tükenmişlik düzeylerinin incelenmesi. *Dicle Üniversitesi Ziya Gökalp Eğitim Fakültesi Dergisi*, (19), 38-55. Retrieved from <https://dergipark.org.tr/tr/pub/zgefd/issue/47945/606595>
- Guthrie, E. A., Black, D. & Shaw, C.M. (1995). Embarking upon a medical career: Psychological morbidity in first year medical students. *Medical Education*, 29, 337-341. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2923.1995.tb00022.x>
- Guthrie, E., Black, D., Bagalkote, H., Shaw, C., Campbell, M., & Creed, F. (1998). Psychological stress and burnout in medical students: A five-year prospective longitudinal study. *Journal of the royal society of medicine*, 91, 237-243. <https://doi.org/10.1177/014107689809100502>
- Halbesleben, J. R. B. (2006). Sources of social support and burnout: A meta-analytic test of the conservation of resources model. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 91, 1134-1145. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.91.5.1134>
- Hanton, S., Evans, L., & Neil, R. (2002). Hardiness and the competitive trait anxiety response. *Anxiety, Stress and Coping*, 16(2), 167-184. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10615806.2003.10382971>
- Hefner, J. & Eisenberg, D. (2009). Social support and mental health Among college students. *American Journal of Orthopsychiatry*, 79(4), 491-9. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0016918>
- Ho, S. K. (2016). Relationships among humour, self-esteem, and social support to burnout in school teachers. *Soc Psychol Educ*, 19, 41-59. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11218-015-9309-7>.
- Hoşoğlu, R., Kodaz, A. F., Bingöl, T. Y. & Batık, M. V. (2018). Öğretmen adaylarında psikolojik sağlamlık. *OPUS Uluslararası Toplum Araştırmaları Dergisi*, 8(14), 217-239. <https://doi.org/10.26466/opus.405751>
- Iraz, R., Arıbaş, A. & Özşahin, F. (2021). Algılanan sosyal destek ve psikolojik iyi oluş ilişkisi: Üniversite öğrencileri örneği. *Stratejik ve Sosyal Araştırmalar Dergisi*, 5(2), 363-376. <https://doi.org/10.30692/sisad.930302>.
- Işık, Ş. (2016). Psikolojik Dayanıklılık Ölçeği'nin geliştirilmesi: Geçerlik ve güvenilirlik çalışması. *The Journal of Happiness & Well-Being*, 4(2), 165-182.
- Jacobs, S.R., & Dodd, D. (2003). Student burnout as a function of personality, social support and workload. *Journal of College Student Development*. 4(3), 291-303. <https://doi.org/10.1353/csd.2003.0028>

- Kacur, M. & Atak, M. (2011). Üniversite öğrencilerinin sorun alanları ve sorunlarla başetme yolları: Erciyes üniversitesi örneği. *Erciyes Üniversitesi Sosyal Bilimler Enstitüsü Dergisi*, 1(31), 273-297. Retrieved from <https://dergipark.org.tr/tr/pub/erusosbilder/issue/23765/253325>
- Kayacı, Ü. & Özbay, Y. (2016). Üniversite öğrencilerinin travmatik yaşantı psikolojik doğum sıraları ve sosyal ilgilerinin psikolojik dayanıklılıklarını yordaması. *The Journal of Happiness & Well Being*, 4(1), 128-142.
- Klag, S. & Bradley, G. (2004). The role of hardiness in stress and illness: An exploration of the effect of negative affectivity and gender. *British Journal of Health Psychology*, 9, 137-161. <https://doi.org/10.1348/135910704773891014>.
- Kutsal, D. & Bilge, F. (2012). Lise öğrencilerinin tükenmişlik ve sosyal destek düzeyleri. *Eğitim ve Bilim*, 37(164), 283-297. Retrieved from <http://egitimvebilim.ted.org.tr/index.php/EB/article/view/805>
- Küçüksüleymanoğlu, R. & Onuray Eğilmez, H. (2013). Müzik öğretmeni adaylarının tükenmişlik düzeyleri: Uludağ Üniversitesi örneği. *International Journal of Social Science*, 6(3), 905-923. Retrieved from <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/318760444>
- Lin, S. H. & Huang, Y. C. (2013). Life stress and academic burnout. *Active Learning in Higher Education*, 15(1), 77-90. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1469787413514651>
- Maslach, C. & Jackson, S. E. (1981). The measurement of experienced burnout, *Journal of Occupational Behavior*, 2, 99-113. <https://doi.org/10.1002/job.4030020205>
- Motan, İ. & Gençöz, F. (2009). Psikolojik dayanıklılığı nasıl ölçebiliriz? Bir Türk örnekleminde Kişisel Görüş Ölçeği-II'nin geçerlik ve güvenilirlik çalışması. *Kriz Dergisi*, 17(1), 1-11. https://doi.org/10.1501/Kriz_0000000288
- Naçar, M., Baykan, Z. & Çetinkaya, F. (2012). Erciyes üniversitesi tıp fakültesi birinci ve ikinci sınıf Öğrencilerinde tükenmişlik durumu ve eğitimin etkisi. *Tıp Eğitimi Dünyası Dergisi*, 35, 9-20. Retrieved from <https://dergipark.org.tr/tr/pub/ted/issue/21316/228704>
- Ören, N. & Türkoğlu, H. (2006). Öğretmen adaylarında tükenmişlik, *Muğla Üniversitesi Sosyal Bilimler Enstitüsü Dergisi*, 16, 30-42. Retrieved from <https://dergipark.org.tr/tr/pub/musbed/issue/23524/250644>
- Özer, A., Gençtanırım, D. ve Ergene, T. (2011). Türk lise öğrencilerinde okul terkinin yordanması: Lise Öğrencilerinin tükenmişlik ve sosyal destek düzeyleri aracı ve etkileşim değişkenleri ile bir model testi. *Eğitim ve Bilim*, 36(161), 302-317 Retrieved from <http://egitimvebilim.ted.org.tr/index.php/EB/article/view/1041/303>
- Rani, K. (2016). Perceived social support and psychological well-being: Testing the unique association and gender differences among young working adults. *Int J Ind Psychol*, 3, 98-113. <https://doi.org/10.25215/0302.065>
- Richardson, G. E. (2002). The metatheory of resilience and resiliency. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 58(3), 307-321 <https://doi.org/10.1002/jclp.10020>

- Salmela-Aro, K., Tolvanen, A. & Erik-Nuirmi, J. (2009). Achievement strategies during university studies predict early career burnout and engagement. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvb.2009.03.009>.
- Santen, S. A., Holt, D. B., Kemp, J. D., & Hemphill, R. R. (2010). Burnout in medical students: Examining the prevalence and associated factors. *Southern Medical Journal*, 103(8), 758–763.
<https://doi.org/10.1097/SMJ.0b013e3181e6d6d4>
- Sarwar, M., Inamullah, H., Khan, N. & Anwar, N. (2010). Resilience and academic achievement of male and female secondary level students in Pakistan. *Journal of College Teaching & Learning*, 7(8), 19-24. <https://doi.org/10.19030/tlc.v7i8.140>
- Seçer, İ. (2015). Üniversite öğrencilerinde okul tükenmişliği ile psikolojik uyumsuzluk arasındaki ilişkinin incelenmesi. *Atatürk Üniversitesi Sosyal Bilimler Enstitüsü Dergisi*, 19(1), 81-99. Retrieved from <https://dergipark.org.tr/tr/pub/ataunisobil/issue/2838/38747>
- Sezgin, F. (2009). Relationships between teacher organizational commitment, psychological hardiness and some demographic variables in Turkish primary schools. *Journal of Educational Administration*, 47(5), 630-651. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09578230910981099>
- Shao, R., He, P., Ling, B., Tan, L., Xu, L., Hou, T., Kong, L., & Yang, Y. (2020). Prevalence of depression and anxiety and correlations between depression, anxiety, family functioning, social support and coping styles among Chinese medical students. *BMC Psychology*, 8(38), 1-19.
<https://doi.org/10.1186/s40359-020-00402-8>
- Schorn, N. K. & Buchwald, P. (2007). *Burnout in student teachers*. In P. Roussi, E. Vasilaki, K. Kaniasty, & J.D. Barker (Eds.), *Electronic Proceedings of the 27th Conference of the STAR Society*, July 2006, University of Crete, 150-159.
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/253881509_Burnout_in_Student_Teachers
- Sepehrmanesh, Z., Ahmadvand, A., Akasheh, G., & Saei, R. (2010). Prevalence of burnout in senior medical students. *European Psychiatry*, 25(1), 723. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0924-9338\(10\)70717-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0924-9338(10)70717-3)
- Schaufeli, W.B., Martinez, I., Marques-Pinto, A., Salanova, M., & Bakker, A. (2002). Burnout and Engagement in University Students: A cross-national study. *Journal of CrossCultural Studies*, 33, 464- 481. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0022022102033005003>
- Smith, B. W., Dalen, J., Wiggins, K., Tooley, E., Christopher, P., & Jennifer Bernard, J. (2008). The brief resilience scale: Assessing the ability to bounce back. *International Journal of Behavioral Medicine*, 15, 194–200. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10705500802222972>
- Stoeber, J., Julian, H., Jennifer, A. & Alexandra R. (2011). Passion and motivation for studying: Predicting academic engagement and burnout in university students. *Educational Psychology*, 31(4), 513-528. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01443410.2011.570251>
- Şentürk, Z. (2016). Öğretmen adaylarının tükenmişlik düzeylerinin incelenmesi. (Publication No. 423783) [Master's thesis, Ahi Evran University]. YÖK National Thesis Center. Retrieved from, <https://tez.yok.gov.tr/UlusalTezMerkezi/>

- Talwar, P., Kumaraswamy, N. & Mohd Fadzil, Ar (2013). Perceived social support, stress and gender differences among university students: A cross sectional study. *Malaysian Journal of Psychiatry Ejournal*, 22(2), 1-8. <https://www.mjpsychiatry.org/index.php/mjp/article/view/260/0>
- Tansel, B. (2015). Üniversite öğrencilerinin tükenmişlik düzeylerinin incelenmesi. *Çukurova Üniversitesi Eğitim Fakültesi Dergisi*, 44(2), 241-268. Retrieved from <https://dergipark.org.tr/tr/pub/cuefd/issue/26972/283532>
- Taylor, S. E. (2011). *Social support: A review*. H.S. Friedman (Eds.), *The Oxford Handbook of Health Psychology*, 1-48. Oxford: Oxford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780195342819.013.0009>
- Taylor, S. E., Shermann, D. K., Kim, H. S., Jarcho, J., Takagi, K., & Dunagan, M. S. (2004). Culture and social support: Who seeks it and why? *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 87, 354-362 <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.87.3.354>
- Terzi, Ş. (2008). Üniversite öğrencilerinin psikolojik dayanıklılıkları ve algıladıkları sosyal destek arasındaki ilişki. *Türk Psikolojik Danışma ve Rehberlik Dergisi*, 3(29), 1-11. Retrieved from <https://dergipark.org.tr/tr/pub/tpdrd/issue/21449/229847>
- Topkaya, N. & Kavas, A. (2015). Perceived social support, life satisfaction, attitudes towards psychological help and intention to seek help: A model study. *Turkish Studies*, 979-96. <http://dx.doi.org/10.7827/TurkishStudies.7768>
- Tusaie, K., & Dyer, J. (2004). Resilience: A historical review of the construct. *Holistic Nursing Practice*, 18, 3-8. Retrieved from <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/8883380>
- Tümekaya, D. & Çavuşoğlu, İ. (2010). Sınıf öğretmenliği son sınıf öğretmen adaylarının tükenmişlik düzeylerinin incelenmesi. *Çukurova Üniversitesi Sosyal Bilimler Enstitüsü Dergisi*, 19(2), 468-481. Retrieved from <https://dergipark.org.tr/tr/pub/cusosbil/issue/4384/60212>
- Uludağ, O. & Yaratın, H. (2010). The effect of burnout on engagement: An empirical study on tourism students. *Journal of Hospitality, Leisure, Sport, & Tourism Education*, 9(1), 13-23. <https://doi.org/10.3794/johlste.91.243>
- Wang, J., Mann, F., Lloyd-Evans, B., Ma, R., & Johnson, S. (2018). Associations between loneliness and perceived social support and outcomes of mental health problems: A systematic review. *BMC Psychiatry*, 18, 1-16. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12888-018-1736-5>
- Yang, H.J. (2004). Factors affecting student burnout and academic achievement in multiple enrolment programs in Taiwan's technical-vocational colleges. *International Journal of Educational Development*, 24, 283-301. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijedudev.2003.12.001>
- Yıldız, K., & Dirik, D. (2019). Algılanan sosyal destek ve stresle başa çıkma tarzları arasındaki ilişkide algılanan öz yeterliliğin rolü. *Spormetre Beden Eğitimi ve Spor Bilimleri Dergisi*, 17(2), 132-144. <https://doi.org/10.33689/spormetre.500792>

- Zhang, Y., Gan, Y., & Cham, H. (2007). Perfectionism, academic burnout and engagement among Chinese college students: A structural equation modeling analysis. *Personality and Individual Differences, 43*(6), 1529-1540. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2007.04.010>
- Zhou, X., Zhu, H., Zhang, B., & Cai, T. (2013). Perceived social support as moderator of perfectionism, depression, and anxiety in college students. *Social behavior and personality. An international journal, 41*, 1141-1152. <https://doi.org/10.2224/sbp.2013.41.7.1141>