



Abstract. *Primary education is an essential stage and has an important impact on students' learning attitudes throughout the coming school years. The research explored the attitudes towards science, technology, engineering and mathematics among students through all grade levels in primary school. The Project-based Integrated STEM Program was proposed to study the changes of primary students' attitudes towards STEM. An assessment of S-STEM which consists of the STEM subscale and the 21st century skills subscale was utilized for both pre-test and post-test. The results showed that primary students exhibited little different attitudes on the S-STEM in the pre-test, regardless of gender and grade level. As evident from the comparison between the pre-test and post-test, the Project-based Integrated STEM Program had a positive effect on student attitudes towards STEM. It is encouraging if there are more STEM-related programs implemented at all educational stages covering the primary level.*

Keywords: *gender differences, grade levels, project-based integrated STEM program, school students, STEM education.*

**Shao-Na Zhou, Hui Zeng, Shao-Rui Xu,
Lu-Chang Chen, Hua Xiao**
South China Normal University, China

EXPLORING CHANGES IN PRIMARY STUDENTS' ATTITUDES TOWARDS SCIENCE, TECHNOLOGY, ENGINEERING AND MATHEMATICS (STEM) ACROSS GENDERS AND GRADE LEVELS

**Shao-Na Zhou,
Hui Zeng,
Shao-Rui Xu,
Lu-Chang Chen,
Hua Xiao**

Introduction

Nowadays, the development of science and technology greatly boost the economy of many industrialized nations and developing countries. In the 21st century, there are increasing demands of jobs including positions such as electrical and electronics engineer, computer programmer, and construction manager, especially with the development of artificial intelligence. The labor market asks for the 21st century skills of knowledge, critical thinking and collaboration in science, technology, engineering and mathematics (STEM) (Partnership for 21st Century Skills, 2004). The demand reflects the importance of STEM education and motivates K-12 schools (K-12 education refers to education for children from kindergarten through twelfth grade) and universities to pay more attention to the teaching and training of 21st century skills. Attitudes towards STEM-related subjects from kindergarten kids through K-12 school students could have an impact on the possibility of the participation in the future STEM careers (Business-Higher Education Forum, 2010; President's Committee of Advisors on Science and Technology [PCAST], 2010). Therefore, the improvement of students' attitudes towards STEM requires increasing efforts in K-12 school systems.

However, many countries including United States and Countries in Europe were currently facing the challenge of decreasing numbers of students who were interested in the STEM-related subjects (National Science Board [NSB], 2012; Osborne & Dillon, 2008). Many companies were experiencing the shortage of qualified candidates with STEM-related skills and many job opportunities were unfilled (Pathways to Prosperity Project, 2011). It was reported by University of California at Los Angeles (UCLA) that K-12 and post-secondary students lost their interest in STEM and 40-60% of students in the university majoring in engineering and science



switched to other majors or failed to obtain a degree (Drew, 2011). Many students in secondary school and post-secondary were switching from STEM majors to other fields. As well, most secondary school students come out on top in math, but did not choose STEM majors in college. In addition, only half of those students who originally studied STEM majors actually completed STEM degrees. (Carnevale, Smith, & Melton, 2011). A number of reports have warned that the current education system did not provide students with the appropriate science and technology training to prepare them for the skills and employment needs of the 21st century (EC, 2016; UNESCO, 2015). Holdren and Lander (2012) explained that the reasons for explaining the phenomenon included boring introductory courses and the lack of teaching support after school in mathematics courses. In 2011, educators were called on by the National Research Council (NRC) to increase the number of students who pursued STEM-related careers after secondary school (National Research Council, 2011). Experts on the President's Committee of Advisors on Science and Technology stated that improving K-12 students' interest and attitudes towards STEM was as significant as augmenting the overall STEM skills (President's Committee of Advisors on Science and Technology [PCAST], 2010).

Literature Review

Student attitudes towards STEM across genders

In the science literature, researchers found some contradictory evidences regarding the difference of student attitudes towards STEM across genders. On the one hand, some studies indicated that males had more positive attitudes than females (Jarvis & Pell, 2005). Another study revealed that the likelihood of career interest in a STEM-related field was 2.9 times higher for males than that for females by the end of secondary school (Sadler, Sonnert, Hazari, & Tai, 2012). Some researchers reported that male students in the middle school presented a more favorable attitude towards STEM than females (Catsambis, 1995; Jones, Howe, & Rua, 2000; Piburn & Baker, 1993; Greenfield, 1996). A study, conducted by Catsambis (1995), indicated that male students took more positive attitudes towards STEM than females. Simpson and Oliver (1985), and Hykle (1993) suggested that males were more inspired to succeed in science than females. Many females lacked of positive attitudes towards science to continue with scientific inquiries (Hacieminoglu, 2016). Sadler et al. (2012) revealed that females had fewer desires to pursue STEM careers. In most cases, females removed the STEM-related opportunities out of their career goals (Lent et al., 2005). It seemed that females preferred to connect school assignments from a more social context with the real world. Also, formal role models were also a significant factor that was often missing for girls in STEM areas (McCrea, 2010). In some researches of primary school students' attitudes towards science, girls showed less liking for STEM subjects than boys. More specifically, girls preferred fewer STEM lessons (Denessen, Vos, Hasselman, & Louws, 2015) and boys were eager to study in STEM areas (DeWitt & Archer, 2015).

On the other hand, some researchers emphasized similar attitudes towards STEM among male and female students (Dhindsa & Chung, 2003; Miller, Lietz, & Kotte, 2002; Smist, Archambault, & Owen, 1994; Akpinar, Yildiz, Tatar, & Ergin, 2009). It was also pointed out that students' attitudes towards STEM depended on the type of science that they preferred. For example, some research results revealed that males had a more favorable attitude towards physical sciences while females showed a more favorable attitude towards biological sciences (Schibeci & Riley, 1986; Weinburgh, 1995). Another similar research by Jones, Howe and Ria (2000) reported that males were interested in physics sciences, while females preferred life sciences. Furthermore, as stated from a previous study, girls were less positive than boys in their semantic perceptions of STEM, but girls were notably more positive than boys in semantic perceptions of STEM as a career (Christensen, Knezek, & Tyler-Wood, 2014).

Student attitudes towards STEM across grade levels

Primary science is an essential part of the K-12 science education system. It is recommended that students are taught to develop scientific attitudes towards STEM at an early age, starting with basic knowledge and skills from infant school through the primary years. Disappointingly, the scientific lessons have been diminished by the enactment of No Child Left Behind (NCLB) in many primary schools in the United States (Chonkaew, Sukhummek, & Faikhamta, 2016). It was reported that students' attitude towards STEM, such as enjoyment of STEM lessons and career interest in engineering and science, could be influenced significantly by grade levels



(Toma & Greca, 2018). It seemed that as grade level increased, scientific attitudes towards STEM dropped consistently (Ali et al., 2013; Said, Summers, Abd-El-Khalick, & Wang, 2016).

As reported, the interest from ten-year-old students in STEM-related subjects was high, regardless of gender (Haworth, Dale, & Plomin, 2008), but their interest fell down considerably by the age of fourteen (Osborne, Simon, & Collins, 2003). An updated research revealed that student attitudes towards STEM were gradually improved from the age of ten and they were formed by the age of fourteen (Osborne, Simon, & Tytler, 2009). This period mainly might influence students' career interest and career choices. Lindahl (2007), after a longitudinal research with 12-to-16 years old students, concluded that attitudes towards STEM and career interest became evident at the age of thirteen and it became more difficult to engage students in STEM-related activities at later ages. In Denessen, Vos, Hasselman, and Louws' research (2015), first-year students in grade one in a secondary school generally had more positive STEM attitudes than second-year students in grade two in secondary school.

Research Focus

Students' choices of majors in college and their career interest after graduation are affected by their attitudes towards STEM at the primary school, middle school, or secondary school levels (Astin & Astin, 1993; Maltese & Tai, 2011). However, in the literature reviews, most researches were inclined to explore student attitudes towards STEM among students groups from grade four in upper primary school to postsecondary school (Denessen, Vos, Hasselman, & Louws, 2015; Haworth, Dale, & Plomin, 2008; Lindahl, 2007; Osborne, Simon, & Collins, 2003). There is an absence of related research about STEM attitudes involving primary students from grade one to grade three. Undoubtedly, primary education including grade one to grade three is an essential stage and has an important impact on student attitudes and scientific views throughout the coming school years. It suggests there is a need to study the attitudes towards STEM among students through all grade levels in primary school, involving the differences of attitudes across genders and grade levels. Furthermore, it is a crucial issue about how to improve student attitudes towards STEM at primary level (Kahveci, 2015). Therefore, a proposal for Project-based Integrated STEM Program was presented to gain a more particular knowledge about its impact on primary students' attitudes towards STEM.

The present research proposed the following questions:

1. Do primary students exhibit different attitudes towards STEM according to gender?
2. Do primary students exhibit different attitudes towards STEM according to grade level?
3. What change could the proposed Project-based Integrated STEM Program bring about on primary students' attitudes towards STEM?

Research Methodology

The Project-based Integrated STEM Program

In order to improve student attitudes towards Science, Technology, Engineering, & Mathematics (STEM), different proposals have been put forward in the previous researches or national reports. On one hand, some researches concentrated on the renovation of each subject related to STEM (Bybee, 2013). On the other hand, some educators and researchers focused on the integrative STEM education. For instance, SEEA group in Scotland published national reports which recommend the implementation of STEM education (Science and Engineering Education Advisory group, 2012). Australian Government and American Society for Engineering Education put forward an integrated STEM curriculum in Secondary Education (Australian Government, 2013; ASEE, 2011; Ritz & Fan, 2014; Pitt, 2009). These governments and organizations mainly highlight the significance of developing specialized STEM programs with inquiry teaching method at all educational stages. In the research conducted by Ritz and Fan (2014), the researcher suggested a multidisciplinary STEM education with the treatment of integrative STEM disciplines in real life. Also, Heil, Pearson, and Burger (2013) emphasized that there was a need to develop STEM programs under sufficient theoretical frameworks and empirical studies. However, the researcher pointed out that STEM programs were generally implemented in secondary school and there were limited related programs conducted at the primary level (Heil, Pearson, & Burger, 2013). In this research, the aim was to attempt at proposing a Project-based Integrated STEM Program to improve student attitudes towards STEM in primary school.



The Project-based Integrated STEM Program has been served as a support for STEM teaching activities with twelve projects through grade one to grade six at the primary level. Within the Project-based Integrated STEM Program, students' learning process contains three phases for each project lasting for about 1 hour.

The first phase: It is a 5 minutes' teacher-oriented activity with problem-based learning method. In this phase, the teacher provides several questions on a special STEM theme with a real-life context. Students are encouraged to ponder over the strategies of the experimental design and alternative solution of the problems that they may encounter when they focus on a special STEM theme.

The second phase: It is a student-oriented section, in which students have their own creative ideas and design their personal projects. In this phase, students are randomly divided into small teams with two students in one team. In each team, students share their ideas with each other and make a decision to choose a better experimental design. Students are encouraged to connect experimental design with scientific and mathematics knowledge. They construct their designs and explore new ideas. Sometimes they need to redesign their experiments when the previous one is invalid or without any convincing results. Students are asked to draw a conclusion from experimental results and explain the experimental phenomenon with the integrative knowledge of science and mathematics. It lasts for about 40 minutes in this phase.

The third phase: In the final phase of about 15 minutes, presentations are carried out by each team. Students present the experimental phenomena and results of their projects, and try to address the key concepts and principles of the scientific and mathematics knowledge related to the STEM theme. In this phase, the role of the teacher is to evaluate students' statements and give supplementary explanations.

In the Project-based Integrated STEM Program, the same set of 12 STEM projects, which are described in Table 1, were provided for students in grade one, grade two, and grade three. In China, the science course started in the third year in the primary school according to the curriculum provision before the year of 2017. At the end of 2017, the Chinese Ministry of Education published the latest science curriculum standards determining that students should learn science from the first year of primary education. Teaching lower primary students content knowledge related to science is a greenfield and tough work in the current educational background in China. Therefore, the same STEM projects were designed for students from grade one to grade three. Table 2, table 3, and table 4 illustrate twelve STEM projects for students in grade four, grade five, and grade six, respectively. This program is one of various school-based courses, which students are free to choose as an optional course. The course is carried out once per week, and it does not occupy the normal school time.

Table 1. Twelve STEM projects for students in grade one, grade two, and grade three.

| Week | STEM Projects | The descriptions of each project |
|------|-----------------------------|---|
| 1 | Purple flour | Color change by reaction with the iodine |
| 2 | Egg-beater | The operation and function of the gear |
| 3 | Tumbler | The knowledge of the gravity |
| 4 | Gyroscope | How does a gyroscope spin on a plane |
| 5 | Yo-yo | How does a yo-yo rotate in a vertical direction |
| 6 | Trailer | The mechanical structure of the automobile |
| 7 | Needle emitting pellets | The air pressure |
| 8 | Sandball | How to produce sound by the vibration |
| 9 | Pirate ship | The curvilinear motion |
| 10 | Beads floating on the water | The buoyancy |
| 11 | Crane | The lever rule |
| 12 | Robot arm | The elasticity |



Table 2. Twelve STEM projects for students in grade four.

| Week | STEM Projects | The descriptions of each project |
|------|--|---|
| 1 | Magic Balloon | The citric acid reacts with the soda |
| 2 | Triangle stability and graphic reinforcement | The application of triangle and quadrilateral in daily life |
| 3 | Electric Fan | How does the circuit work |
| 4 | Equal-armed lever | The equal-armed lever |
| 5 | Colorful table lamp | How does the LED light work |
| 6 | Labor-saving lever | Learn the labor-saving lever |
| 7 | Caged Birds | The application of the parallax |
| 8 | Laborious lever | Learn the laborious lever |
| 9 | Making a steelyard | The application of the lever in daily life |
| 10 | Compound lever | Learn the compound lever |
| 11 | Runaway spider | The application of the eccentric wheel |
| 12 | Lever comprehensive application | Learn the application of leverage principle |

Table 3. Twelve STEM projects for students in grade five.

| Week | STEM Projects | The descriptions of each project |
|------|--------------------------------------|---|
| 1 | Rainbow windmill | The integration of circuit and parallax |
| 2 | Fixed pulley and movable pulley 1 | Learn simple fixed pulley and movable pulley |
| 3 | Rain alarm | The application of the humidity sensor |
| 4 | Fixed pulley and movable pulley 2 | How do fixed pulley and movable pulley work |
| 5 | Storm frog | How does the motor drive gears |
| 6 | Wireless power supply | How is the energy transmitted wirelessly |
| 7 | Rotation of the pulley | Learn the rotation of the pulley |
| 8 | Dryers | The application of the centrifugal force |
| 9 | Pulley acceleration and deceleration | Learn acceleration and deceleration of the pulley |
| 10 | Four-wheel drive (4WD) | How to make an electric trolley |
| 11 | Composite pulley drive 1 | Learn the pulley drive |
| 12 | Composite pulley drive 2 | The application of the pulley drive |

Table 4. Twelve STEM projects for students in grade six.

| Week | STEM Projects | The descriptions of each project |
|------|---------------|--|
| 1 | Laser alarm | The principle of laser alarm |
| 2 | Idler | The application of the idler in daily life |
| 3 | Track tank | How to make a track tank |



| Week | STEM Projects | The descriptions of each project |
|------|--------------------------------|--|
| 4 | Gear drive | How does the gear drive work |
| 5 | Electric carbon swing | The electromagnetic induction |
| 6 | Gear ratio | Learn the transmission ratio of the gear |
| 7 | Energy conversion demonstrator | Learn the energy conversion |
| 8 | Crown gears | How do crown gears work |
| 9 | Speed regulator | Learn to make a speed regulator |
| 10 | Helical gears | How do helical gears work |
| 11 | Gravity trolley | The application of the gravity |
| 12 | Crown gears and helical gears | Learn the application of crown and helical gears |

Participants

The research was conducted in a comprehensive school in Guangdong, a central province in southern China. The school provides not only primary education, but also kindergarten education and middle school education. The school is equipped with various laboratories, such as physics laboratory, biology laboratory, chemical experiment and integrated science laboratory, which can provide with experimental sites for the present research. The researcher only needs to supplement some necessary experimental materials when carrying out the program.

The school consisted of 877 students at the primary level from grade one to grade six. The size of the each of the classes varied from 38 to 48. Students who chose the Project-based Integrated STEM Program as an optional course constituted the sample of our research. The sample of participants included 242 students from grade one to grade six (173 boys, 67 girls, and 2 students without gender markers), with 20 students in grade one (15 boys and 5 girls), 55 students in grade two (47 boys, 6 girls, and 2 students without gender markers), 48 students in grade three (34 boys and 14 girls), 51 students in grade four (36 boys and 15 girls), 33 students in grade five (19 boys and 14 girls), and 35 students in grade six (22 boys and 13 girls). All of the students volunteered to join the research program. Most of these students did not receive extra tutoring on STEM-related skills except of the traditional instruction at school, because their parents who are general workers, farmers or self-employed labourers have limited time and financial support for additional STEM-related education outside school.

Procedures

In this research, the Project-based Integrated STEM Program was carried out in the spring semester of 2018. Each STEM project was conducted by a science teacher for each week, and the entire program with twelve STEM projects for each grade lasted for twelve weeks.

In order to explore how primary students exhibit different attitudes towards STEM according to gender and grade level, and what change the proposed Project-based Integrated STEM Program could bring about on primary student attitudes towards STEM, the 15-minute pre-test and post-test on student attitudes towards STEM were administered. The pre-test was carried out among students in the first week before the implementation of the first STEM project, and the post-test was carried out after the completion of the last STEM project in the 12th week. It is necessary to note that, when the pre-test was carried out, it happened to conflict with the schedule of physical examination arranged by the school. There was a small amount of participants who were unable to take the pre-test, while they took part in both the entire Project-based Integrated STEM Program and the post-test. Therefore, the participants in the pre-test were 181 students in total (127 boys and 54 girls), including 12 students in grade one (7 boys and 5 girls), 21 students in grade two (17 boys and 4 girls), 48 students in grade three (34 boys and 14 girls), 51 students in grade four (36 boys and 15 girls), 21 students in grade five (11 boys and 10 girls), and 28 students in grade six (22 boys and 6 girls).



Assessment

A questionnaire on student attitudes towards science, technology, engineering, and math named S-STEM developed by a research team at North Carolina State University (Unfried, Faber, Stanhope, & Wiebe, 2015) was utilized for both pre-test and post-test. The S-STEM questionnaire was constructed based on the items of Erkut and Marx's (2005) STEM attitudes questionnaire and the items on the attitudes towards 21st century skills from the Student Learning Conditions questionnaire (Friday Institute, 2010). The S-STEM questionnaire which was produced for upper primary school students was translated into Chinese as simple and suitable as possible for lower graders by two of researchers. In particular, for the students in grade one and grade two, teachers would read the sentences if necessary to ensure that students understand the meaning of all items. The S-STEM questionnaire consisted of 37 5-point (Strongly agree to strongly disagree) Likert-type items (see Appendix). Within the entire questionnaire, there are 26 items assessing students' STEM attitudes, with 8 items (Q1-Q8) towards Mathematics, 9 items (Q9-Q17) towards Science, and 9 items (Q18-Q26) towards Engineering/Technology. The remaining 11 items (Q27-37) in the questionnaire are set as the 21st century skills subscale.

Data Analysis

The obtained data of student performances on S-STEM were described with regard to mean scores and standard deviations. The reliabilities of S-STEM for both pre-test and post-test were estimated using Cronbach's alpha coefficients. The Cronbach's alpha coefficients were 0.896 and 0.907 for the pre-test and post-test, indicating sufficient consistencies in the outcomes of two tests in this research. The data supported the use of the S-STEM questionnaire for the research. A t-test statistic was applied to test whether there were significant differences between boy students and girl students, or among lower primary students and upper primary students. Also, a t-test statistic was used to test the significant differences between pre-test and post-test.

Research Results

Primary Students' Performances on S-STEM in the Pre-test

The overall mean score of students on the whole S-STEM in the pre-test was higher than 3 ($M=3.63$, $SD=.61$), that indicates a positive attitude on the whole S-STEM test for all participants. Students achieved a little higher mean score in the 21st century skills subscale ($M=3.70$, $SD=.83$) than that in the STEM subscale ($M=3.61$, $SD=.60$).

One of the research aims was to explore whether primary students exhibited different attitudes towards science, technology, engineering and mathematics. Student performances were compared on the whole S-STEM in the pre-test according to gender and grade level. In terms of grade levels, all of the primary students were divided into two groups: lower primary group and upper primary group. The lower primary group included students from grade one to grade three. And students from grade four to grade six constituted the upper primary group. Table 5 presents the mean score for each group of students and the results of t-test statistic. For the whole S-STEM, the data showed that there was no significant difference either between boy students and girl students ($t=.25$, $p=.804$; boys: $M=3.64$, $SD=.64$; girls: $M=3.62$, $SD=.55$) or between lower primary students and upper primary students ($t=1.58$, $p=.117$; lower: $M=3.71$, $SD=.58$; upper: $M=3.57$, $SD=.63$).

Table 5. Primary Students' Performances on S-STEM in the pre-test and the t-test results on the differences between boy students and girl students, and between lower primary students and upper primary students.

| Subscales | Groups | <i>M</i> (<i>SD</i>) | <i>t</i> | <i>p</i> | Effect Size |
|------------------|------------------------|------------------------|----------|----------|-------------|
| The whole S-STEM | Boys | 3.64(.64) | .25 | .804 | .040 |
| | Girls | 3.62(.55) | | | |
| | Lower primary students | 3.71 (.58) | 1.58 | .117 | .235 |
| | Upper primary students | 3.57(.63) | | | |



| Subscales | Groups | M (SD) | t | p | Effect Size |
|------------------------------|------------------------|-----------|-------|------|-------------|
| STEM subscale | Boys | 3.64(.63) | 1.25 | .211 | .204 |
| | Girls | 3.52(.53) | | | |
| | Lower primary students | 3.71(.59) | 2.07 | .040 | .310 |
| | Upper primary students | 3.52(.60) | | | |
| 21st century skills subscale | Boys | 3.64(.85) | -1.51 | .134 | -.245 |
| | Girls | 3.84(.77) | | | |
| | Lower primary students | 3.73(.80) | .34 | .732 | .051 |
| | Upper primary students | 3.68(.86) | | | |

To further study students' different performances on both the STEM subscale and the 21st century skills subscale of S-STEM, the data in each subscale were categorized into four groups in terms of the variables of gender and grade level.

For the STEM subscale, the statistical value ($t=1.25$, $p=.211$) indicated that there was no significant difference between boy students and girl students, with the mean score of 3.64 ($SD=.63$) for boy students and the mean score of 3.52 ($SD=.53$) for girl students. However, a significant difference ($t=2.07$, $p=.040$) was detected in terms of grade levels. From the data, the mean score of lower primary students ($M=3.71$, $SD=.59$) was statistically significantly higher than that of upper primary students ($M=3.52$, $SD=.60$).

For the 21st century skills subscale of S-STEM, no significant differences were detected either between boy students and girl students ($t=-1.51$, $p=.134$; boys: $M=3.64$, $SD=.85$; girls: mean score=3.84, $SD=.77$) or between lower primary students and upper primary students ($t=.34$, $p=.732$; lower: $M=3.73$, $SD=.80$; upper: $M=3.68$, $SD=.86$). It's worth noting that boy students pursued higher scores than girl students in the whole S-STEM and the STEM subscale, but girl students performed better on the 21st century skills subscale, even though all these differences were not significant.

Primary Students' Differences of Performances on S-STEM between the Pre-test and Post-test

Another aim of the study was to investigate what change the proposed Project-based Integrated STEM Program would bring about on primary students' attitudes towards STEM. The post-test for all students was carried out after the completion of twelve STEM projects of the Project-based Integrated STEM Program in the 12th week. The mean score with standard deviation of each group in the post-test is shown in table 6. Roughly comparing the data between the pre-test and post-test, it could be found that students performed better in the post-test than in the pre-test. Obviously, boy students had the same mean score of 3.64 in the whole S-STEM, the STEM subscale and the 21st century skills subscale in the pre-test, but the mean scores in the post-test were much higher, with 3.82, 3.76, 3.97 for the whole S-STEM, the STEM subscale and the 21st century skills subscale, respectively. With respect to the 21st century skills subscale, the mean score of each group was very close to 4, with 3.97, 3.93, 3.95, and 3.98 for boy students, girl students, lower primary students, and upper primary students, respectively. However, all mean scores of the four groups were less than 3.90 for the 21st century skills subscale in the pre-test.

Table 6. Primary Students' Performances on S-STEM in the post-test.

| Groups | M (SD) | | |
|------------------|------------------|---------------|------------------------------|
| | The whole S-STEM | STEM subscale | 21st century skills subscale |
| All participants | 3.82 (.57) | 3.76 (.62) | 3.97 (.69) |
| Boys | 3.90 (.56) | 3.86(.62) | 3.97(.68) |
| Girls | 3.62 (.54) | 3.49(.56) | 3.93(.70) |



| Groups | <i>M (SD)</i> | | |
|------------------------|------------------|---------------|------------------------------|
| | The whole S-STEM | STEM subscale | 21st century skills subscale |
| Lower primary students | 3.87 (.62) | 3.83(.68) | 3.95(.73) |
| Upper primary students | 3.78 (.51) | 3.69(.55) | 3.98(.64) |

A t-test statistic was used to test the significant differences between pre-test and post-test. The data are shown in table 7. Overall, there was a significant difference on the whole S-STEM between pre-test and post-test ($t=-3.27$, $p=.001$), indicating that primary students performed much better in the post-test than in the pre-test. For gender, the difference ($t=-3.64$, $p=.000$) was detected significantly between pre-test and post-test for boy students on the whole S-STEM, but the performance of girl students on the whole S-STEM in the post-test was equivalent to that in the pre-test ($t=-.02$, $p=.982$). For grade level, students in both lower primary group and upper primary group had better performances on the whole S-STEM in the post-test than that in the pre-test (lower: $t=-1.78$, $p=.077$; upper: $t=-2.60$, $p=.010$). The results indicate that except for girl students, students in other groups showed much better performances on the whole S-STEM in the post-test.

Table 7. The t-test results of the differences on S-STEM and its subscales between pre-test and post-test for four Groups of students: boy students and girl students, lower primary students, and upper primary students.

| Subscales | Groups | t-test (pre-post) | | |
|------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|----------|-------------|
| | | <i>t</i> | <i>p</i> | Effect Size |
| The whole S-STEM | All participants | -3.27 | .001 | -.321 |
| | Boys | -3.64 | .000 | -.424 |
| | Girls | -.02 | .982 | -.004 |
| | Lower primary students | -1.78 | .077 | -.254 |
| | Upper primary students | -2.64 | .009 | -.358 |
| STEM subscale | All participants | -2.60 | .010 | -.255 |
| | Boys | -3.04 | .003 | -.354 |
| | Girls | .33 | .741 | .061 |
| | Lower primary students | -1.35 | .179 | -.193 |
| | Upper primary students | -2.14 | .034 | -.290 |
| 21st century skills subscale | All participants | -3.47 | .001 | -.341 |
| | Boys | -3.71 | .000 | -.432 |
| | Girls | -.67 | .507 | -.122 |
| | Lower primary students | -2.07 | .039 | -.297 |
| | Upper primary students | -2.86 | .005 | -.388 |

When it comes to the STEM subscale, overall, students in the post-test demonstrated a higher level of attitudes towards STEM than that in the pre-test ($t=-2.64$, $p=.009$). Besides, boy students performed significantly better in the post-test than in the pre-test ($t=-3.04$, $p=.003$). Also, the difference between pre-test and post-test for upper primary students was significant at the .05 level ($t=-2.14$, $p=.034$). While, the statistical value ($t=.33$, $p=.741$) showed that girl students did not perform much differently on the attitude towards STEM between the pre-test and the post-test. And the difference between pre-test and post-test for lower primary students was not detected significantly ($t=-1.35$, $p=.179$).



For the 21st century skills subscale, the significant differences resembled those of the whole S-STEM. Overall, the difference between pre-test and post-test was significant ($t=-3.47$, $p=.001$), indicating that students in the post-test achieved a noticeably higher performance on the attitude towards the 21st century skills than that in the pre-test. Among four groups of students, there were significant differences between the pre-test and the post-test among three groups of students: boy students ($t=-3.71$, $p=.000$), lower primary students ($t=-2.07$, $p=.039$), and upper primary students ($t=-2.86$, $p=.005$). However, no statistically significant difference was consistently detected between pre-test and post-test for girl students ($t=-0.67$, $p=.507$).

Discussion

Primary Students' Performances on S-STEM across Genders and Grade Levels

The above analysis in the pre-test provided us the interesting result that primary students exhibited little different attitudes towards science, technology, engineering and mathematics on the S-STEM, regardless of gender and grade level. No significant differences were detected either between boy students and girl students, or between lower primary students and upper primary students. As evident from the results of different subscales of the S-STEM, boy students showed a bit better attitude on the STEM subscale, but a little worse attitude on the 21st century skills subscale than girl students. However, both differences were not significant. In the literature, there were some findings regarding the difference of student attitudes towards STEM across genders. Most studies indicated that boy students had more positive attitudes towards STEM than girl students (Catsambis, 1995; Greenfield, 1996; Jarvis & Pell, 2005; Jones, Howe, & Rua 2000; Piburn & Baker, 1993) and girl students preferred fewer STEM lessons (Denessen, Vos, Hasselman, & Louws, 2015). However, this research into primary students' attitudes towards STEM suggests that boy students and girl students do not exhibit differently on either the attitudes towards STEM, or the attitudes towards the 21st century skills. There is the evidence supporting the previous researches which confirmed similar attitudes towards STEM between boy students and girl students (Akpinar, Yildiz, Tatar, & Ergin, 2009; Dhindsa & Chung, 2003; Miller, Lietz, & Kotte, 2002; Smist, Archambault, & Owen, 1994).

From the perspective of grade level, there was a disappointing result that upper primary students achieved worse performance than lower primary students on the whole S-STEM, the STEM subscale, and the 21st century skills subscale. A significant difference was detected in the STEM subscale between the two groups of students, indicating that lower primary students performed much better on the attitude towards science, technology, engineering and mathematics than upper primary students. Among the participants in this research, students from grade one and grade two in the lower primary group rarely receive science course, as the science course in China started from the third year in the primary school according to the curriculum provision before the year of 2017. All students in the upper primary group from grade four, grade five, and grade six have received different years of science course according to the curriculum plan. The result suggests that the current science curriculum and instruction fail to bring positive effect to students on their attitude towards science, technology, engineering and mathematics at the primary level. The results strongly support the opinion in the solid body of literature that students' attitudes towards STEM dropped consistently as grade level increased (Ali et al., 2013; Osborne, Simon, & Collins, 2003; Said, Summers, Abd-El-Khalick, & Wang, 2016). It reflects the failure in the traditional educational system to improve primary students' positive attitudes towards STEM. As reported, many countries were currently facing the challenge of decreasing numbers of students who were interested in the STEM-related subjects (National Science Board [NSB], 2012; Osborne & Dillon, 2008). Experts stated that improving K-12 students' interest and attitudes towards STEM was significant (President's Committee of Advisors on Science and Technology [PCAST], 2010). The result gives full expression to the importance of STEM education and the training of 21st century skills. It demands the educational system to provide students with the appropriate science and technology training to prepare them for the skills and employment needs of the 21st century (EC, 2016; UNESCO, 2015).

The Effect of Project-based Integrated STEM Program on Primary Students' Attitudes towards STEM

The Project-based Integrated STEM Program was a core strategy and implementation for supporting the STEM teaching activities through grade one to grade six at the primary level in the research. As evident from the comparison between pre-test and post-test, it could be found that the Project-based Integrated STEM Program had a positive effect on student attitudes towards STEM. Overall, students improved their performances not only



on the whole S-STEM, but also on the STEM subscale and the 21st century skills subscale in the post-test, compared with the result in the pre-test. Although, some governments and organizations have addressed the significance of STEM education and put forward different proposals in the national reports or previous researches, such as the implementation of STEM education in Scotland (Science and Engineering Education Advisory group, 2012), and the integrated STEM curriculum in Australian and American (ASEE, 2011; Australian Government, 2013; Pitt, 2009; Ritz & Fan, 2014), most of these proposals were generally implemented in secondary school and there were limited related projects conducted at the primary level (Heil, Pearson, & Burger, 2013). In this study, the Project-based Integrated STEM Program was designed for students at the primary level and its instructional achievements were remarkable and encouraging. It reveals that the implementation of the Project-based Integrated STEM Program is effective for primary students. It is hopeful if there are more and more specialized STEM programs and integrated STEM curricula propounded and implemented at all educational stages covering the primary level.

In the further analysis on the differences of student attitudes towards STEM between pre-test and post-test, there were some special results from the aspects of gender and grade level. Regarding to gender, boy students effectively enhanced their performances on the attitudes towards STEM in the post-test in contrast to the pre-test. They showed significant differences on the whole S-STEM, the STEM subscale and the 21st century skills subscale between the pre-test and post-test. However, the performances of girl students on either the whole S-STEM or two subscales of the STEM and the 21st century skills in the post-test were equivalent to those in the pre-test. No significant difference was consistently detected between pre-test and post-test for girl students. From the data, even though there was not much difference between boy students and girl students in the pre-test, boy students achieved much higher scores in the post-test than those of girl students, especially in the whole S-STEM ($t=3.57$, $p=.001$), and the STEM subscale ($t=4.45$, $p=.000$). From the perspective of girl students who have participated in twelve STEM projects identical to those of boy students at the same grade, the Project-based Integrated STEM Program did not support the change of their attitudes towards STEM. It is in line with the previous research results that boy students were more inspired to succeed in science than girl students (Hykle, 1993; Simpson & Oliver, 1985). The reason for the lack of improvement of girl students may be consistent with previous studies in which girl students preferred fewer STEM lessons and tended to report more unfavorable attitudes on STEM (Catsambis, 1995; Denessen, Vos, Hasselman, & Louws, 2015; DeWitt & Archer, 2015; Greenfield, 1996; Jones, Howe, & Rua 2000; Piburn & Baker, 1993). In addition, the majority of the proposed projects in the Project-based Integrated STEM Program has been designed with the contexts of physical sciences, which failed to motivate girl students' learning interest. As revealed in previous researches, girl students might have had a more favorable attitude towards life sciences (Howe and Ria, 2000) and biological sciences (Schibeci & Riley, 1986; Weinburgh, 1995).

For the aspect of grade level, both lower primary students and upper primary students had a positive change on the whole S-STEM according to the data. It indicates that the proposed Project-based Integrated STEM Program has achieved significant results to improve the attitudes towards STEM for students in both groups. It is seen that the differences between pre-test and post-test on the whole S-STEM and the 21st century skills subscale for upper primary students were significant at the 0.01 level, but the differences between pre-test and post-test on these two aspects for lower primary students were significant at the .05 level. It is also observed that upper primary students performed significantly better in the STEM subscale in the post-test, but lower primary students did not perform much differently on the attitudes towards STEM between the pre-test and the post-test. Although, there was a disappointing result that upper primary students performed worse than lower primary students on the whole S-STEM, the STEM subscale, and the 21st century skills subscale in the pre-test, the Project-based Integrated STEM Program has promoted more positive changes for upper primary students than lower primary students in the post-test. The result is inspiring that although upper primary students currently may not show favorable attitudes or interest towards STEM, they are able to develop more positive attitudes and interest by studying STEM-related projects. From another perspective, there is a need to pay much attention to lower primary students who do not perform much better on the STEM subscale in the post-test. It is worth pondering the reason that lower primary students achieved significant improvement on the whole S-STEM and the 21st century skills subscale, while they had no positive change on the STEM subscale. All details of the procedure including content settings, project implementation approach and schedule arrangement of the Project-based Integrated STEM Program are needed to reflect on. For lower primary students, developing suitable programs to improve their attitudes towards STEM will be challenging and encouraging work in future research.



Conclusions and Future Work

The present research explored the attitudes towards science, technology, engineering and mathematics among students through all grade levels in primary school using the S-STEM questionnaire for the pre-test. The results showed that primary students exhibited almost no difference of attitudes on the S-STEM, regardless of gender and grade level. After the completion of twelve STEM projects of the Project-based Integrated STEM Program, students had a positive change on their attitudes towards STEM in the post-test. However, boy students effectively enhanced their performances on the attitudes towards STEM, while girl students did not. Also, the program has promoted more positive changes for upper primary students than lower primary students.

Based on the current research results, future work maybe of the following aspects: (1) how to improve the attitudes towards STEM for girl students at the primary level? From the data of the research, girl students have participated in twelve STEM projects which were identical to those of boy students at the same grade, but the Project-based Integrated STEM Program did not support the change of their attitudes towards STEM. Therefore, designing suitable projects or curricula to develop the attitudes towards STEM for girl students at the primary level is one of the challenging work in the later research. (2) how to develop lower primary students' attitudes towards STEM? In the present results, the Project-based Integrated STEM Program has promoted many positive changes on the attitudes towards STEM for upper primary students, but lower primary students did not perform much better on the STEM subscale of the S-STEM. It may make sense to ponder the reason that there was no significant progress on the attitudes towards STEM for lower primary students. As well, it is worth designing suitable STEM-related projects to improve lower primary students' attitudes towards STEM in future work. (3) do primary students exhibit different attitudes on subscales of science, technology, engineering and mathematics? In the research, the assessment of S-STEM consists of 9 items, 8 items, and 9 items towards STEM respectively. It's worthwhile to explore primary students' various performances on the subscales of the STEM, and it may show great importance on the future research of the correlation of these subscales of STEM with each other.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to acknowledge the help of the anonymous reviewers. The research is supported in part by the Humanities and Social Sciences on Young Fund of the Ministry of Education of P.R. China under the Grant No. 17YJC880140, and the Humanities and Social Sciences of the Ministry of Education of P.R. China under the Grant No. 18YJA880096.

References

- Akpınar, E., Yıldız, E., Tatar, N., & Ergin, Ö. (2009). Students' attitudes toward science and technology: An investigation of gender, grade level, and academic achievement. *Procedia Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 1(1), 2804-2808.
- Ali, M. M., Yager, R. E., Hacıeminoglu, E., & Caliskan, I. (2013). Changes in student attitudes regarding science when taught by teachers without experiences with a model professional development program. *School Science and Mathematics*, 113(3), 109-119.
- ASEE (American Society for Engineering Education). (2011). Preparing future engineers around the world. *PRISM*, 21(5), 26-34.
- Astin, A. W., & Astin, H. S. (1992). *Undergraduate science education: The impact of different college environments on the educational pipeline in the sciences*. Final Report. Los Angeles: Higher Education Research Institute.
- Australian Government. (2013). *Science, Technology, Engineering and Mathematics in the National Interest: A Strategic Approach*. Retrieved from <https://www.chiefscientist.gov.au/wp-content/uploads/STEMstrategy290713FINALweb.pdf>.
- Bybee, R. W. (2013). *The case for STEM education: Challenges and opportunities*. Arlington: NSTA Press.
- Business-Higher Education Forum. (2010). *Increasing the number of STEM graduates: Insights from the U.S. STEM education modeling project*. Washington, DC: Author.
- Carnevale, A. P., Smith, N., & Melton, M. (2011). *STEM: Science, technology, engineering, mathematics*. Georgetown University Center on Education and the Workforce: Washington, DC.
- Catsambis, S. (1995). Gender, race, ethnicity, and science education in the middle grades. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 32(3), 243-257.
- Chonkaew, P., Sukhummek, B., & Faikhamta, C. (2016). Development of analytical thinking ability and attitudes towards science learning of grade-11 students through science technology engineering and mathematics (stem education) in the study of stoichiometry. *Chemistry Education Research & Practice*, 17(4), 842-861.
- Christensen, R., Knezek, G., & Tyler-Wood, T. (2014). Student perceptions of science, technology, engineering and mathematics (STEM) content and careers. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 34 (2014), 173-186.
- Denessen, E., Vos, N., Hasselman, F., & Louws, M. (2015). The relationship between primary school teacher and student attitudes towards science and technology. *Education Research International*, 2015, 1-7.



- DeWitt, J., & Archer, L. (2015). Who aspires to a science career? A comparison of survey responses from primary and secondary school students. *International Journal of Science Education*, 37(13), 2170-2192.
- Dhindsa, H. S., & Chung, G. (2003). Attitudes and achievement of Bruneian science students. *International Journal of Science Education*, 25, 907-922.
- Drew, C. (2011). *Why science majors change their minds*. The New York Times, November 4, 2011.
- EC (European Commission). (2016). *Horizon 2020. Monitoring Report 2014*. Luxembourg. http://ec.europa.eu/research/evaluations/pdf/archive/h2020_monitoring_reports/second_h2020_annual_monitoring_report.pdf.
- Erkut, S., & Marx, F. (2005). 4 schools for WIE (Evaluation report). Wellesley, MA: Wellesley College, Center for Research on Women. Retrieved from <http://www.coe.neu.edu/Groups/stemteams/evaluation.pdf>.
- Friday Institute. (2010). *Governor Perdue's North Carolina Student Learning Conditions Survey (SLCS): Survey Implementation Study*. Raleigh, NC: Author.
- Greenfield, T. A. (1996). Gender, ethnicity, science achievement and attitudes. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 33, 901-933.
- Hacieminoglu, E. (2016). Primary school students' attitude toward science and related variables. *International Journal of Environmental & Science Education*, 11(2), 35-52.
- Haworth, C. M., Dale, P., & Plomin, R. (2008). A twin study in the genetic and environmental influences on academic performance in science in nine-year-old boys and girls. *International Journal of Science Education*, 30(8), 1003-1025.
- Heil, D. R., Pearson, G., & Burger, S. E. (2013). *Understanding integrated STEM education: Report on a National Study*. Paper presented at 2013 ASEE Annual Conference & Exposition, Atlanta, Georgia.
- Holdren, J. P., & Lander, E. S. (2012). *Engage to excel: Producing one million additional college graduates with degrees in science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (Executive Report)*. Washington, D.C.: President's Council of Advisors on Science and Technology.
- Hykle, J. A. (1993). *Template for gender-equitable science program*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the National Association for Research in Science Teaching. Atlanta, GA.
- Lent, R. W., Sheu, H., Schmidt, J., Brenner, B. R., Wilkins, G., Brown, S. D., et al. (2005). Social cognitive predictors of academic interests and goals in engineering: Utility for women and students at historically black universities. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 52(1), 84-92.
- Lindahl, B. (2007). *A longitudinal study of students' attitudes towards science and choice of career*. 80th NARST International Conference, New Orleans.
- Jarvis, T., & Pell, A. (2005). Factors influencing primary school children's attitudes toward science before, during, and after a visit to the UK National Space Centre. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 42(1), 53-83.
- Jones, G. M., Howe, A., & Rua, M. J. (2000). Gender differences in students' experiences, interests and attitude toward science and scientists. *Science Education*, 84, 180-192.
- Kahveci, A. (2015). Assessing high school students' attitudes toward chemistry with a shortened semantic differential. *Chemistry Education Research & Practice*, 16(2), 283-292.
- Partnership for 21st Century Skills. (2004). *Homepage*. Retrieved from <http://www.21stcenturyskills.org/index.php>.
- Pathways to Prosperity Project (2011). *Pathways to Prosperity: Meeting the Challenge of Preparing Young Americans for the 21st Century*. Harvard Graduate School of Education: Cambridge, MA.
- Maltese, A. V., & Tai, R. H. (2011). Pipeline persistence: Examining the association of educational experiences with earned degrees in STEM among US students. *Science Education*, 95(5), 877-907.
- McCrea, B. (2010). *Engaging girls in STEM*. THE Journal. Retrieved from <https://thejournal.com/articles/2010/09/08/engaging-girls-in-stem.aspx>.
- Miller, L., Lietz, P., & Kotte, D. (2002). On decreasing gender differences and attitudinal changes. Factors influencing Australian and English pupils' choice of a career in science. *Psychology, Evolution, and Gender*, 4, 69-92.
- National Research Council. (2011). *Successful K-12 STEM Education: Identifying effective approaches in science, technology, engineering, and mathematics*. Board on Science Education and Board on Testing and Assessment, Division of Behavioral and Social Sciences and Education. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press.
- National Science Board., (2012). *Science and engineering indicators 2012*. Arlington, VA: National Science Board.
- Osborne, J. F., Simon, S., & Collins, S. (2003). Attitudes towards Science: A review of the literature and its implications. *International Journal of Science Education*, 25, 1049-1079.
- Osborne J., & Dillon J., (2008), *Science education in Europe: Critical reflections (A Report to the Nuffield Foundation)*, London: King's College.
- Osborne, J. F., Simon, S., & Tytler, R. (2009). *Attitudes towards science: An update*. In Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the American Educational Research Association, San Diego, Calif, USA.
- PCAST, President's Committee of Advisors on Science and Technology. (2010). *Prepare and Inspire: K-12 Education in Science, Technology, Engineering, and Math (STEM) for America's Future*. Washington, DC: Executive Office of the President.
- Piburn, M. D., & Baker, D. R. (1993). If I were the teacher... qualitative study of attitude toward science. *Science Education* 77, 393-406.
- Pitt, J. (2009). Blurring the Boundaries-STEM education and education for sustainable development. *Design and Technology Education: An International Journal*, 14(1), 37-47.
- Ritz, J. M., & Fan, S. C. (2014). *International view of STEM education*. Proceedings PATT-28 conference, Florida.
- Sadler, P. M., Sonnert, G., Hazari, Z., & Tai, R. (2012). Stability and volatility of STEM career interest in high school: A gender study. *Science Education*, 96(3), 411-427.



- Schibeci, R. A. , & Riley, J. P. (1986). Influence of students' background and perceptions on science attitudes and achievement. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 23(3), 177-187.
- Science and Engineering Education Advisory group. (2012). *Supporting Scotland's STEM education and culture*. Retrieved from <http://www.gov.scot/resource/0038/00388616.pdf>.
- Smist, J. M., Archambault, F. X., & Owens, S. V. (1994). *Gender difference in attitude toward science. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Educational Research Association*. New Orleans, LA, USA.
- Simpson, R. D., & Oliver, J. S. (1985). Attitude toward science and achievement motivation profiles of male and female science students in grades 6 through 10. *Science Education*, 69(4), 511-526.
- Toma, R. B., & Greca, I. M. (2018). The effect of integrative STEM instruction on primary students' attitudes toward science. *EURASIA Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education*. 14(4), 1383-1395.
- Said, Z., Summers, R., Abd-El-Khalick, F., & Wang, S. (2016). Attitudes toward science among grades 3 through 12 Arab students in Qatar: Findings from a cross-sectional national study. *International Journal of Science Education*, 38(4), 621-643.
- Unfried, A., Faber, M., Stanhope, D. S., & Wiebe, E. (2015). The development and validation of a measure of student attitudes toward science, technology, engineering, and math (s-stem). *Journal of Psychoeducational Assessment*, 33(7), 622-639.
- UNESCO (United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization), (2010). *Education for all, Global monitoring report*, Retrived from: <http://www.unesco.org/new/en/education/themes/leadingthe-international-agenda/efareport/reports/>.
- UNESCO (United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization). (2015). *Education for all 2000-2015. Achievements and challenges*, France. From <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1128690>.
- Weinburgh, M. (1995). Preparing gender inclusive science teachers: Suggestions from the literature. *Journal of Science Teacher Education*, 6(2), 102-107.

Appendix

Items

1. Math has been my worst subject.
2. When I am older, I might choose a job that uses math.
3. Math is hard for me.
4. I am the type of student to do well in math.
5. I can understand most subjects easily, but math is difficult for me.
6. In the future, I could do harder math problems.
7. I can get good grades in math.
8. I am good at math.
9. I feel good about myself when I do science.
10. I might choose a career in science.
11. After I finish high school, I will use science often.
12. When I am older, knowing science will help me earn money.
13. When I am older, I will need to understand science for my job.
14. I know I can do well in science.
15. Science will be important to me in my future career.
16. I can understand most subjects easily, but science is hard for me to understand.
17. In the future, I could do harder science work.
18. I like to imagine creating new products.
19. If I learn engineering, then I can improve things that people use every day.
20. I am good at building and fixing things.
21. I am interested in what makes machines work.
22. Designing products or structures will be important for my future work.
23. I am curious about how electronics work.
24. I want to be creative in my future jobs.



Items

25. Knowing how to use math and science together will help me to invent useful things.
26. I believe I can be successful in engineering.
27. I can lead others to reach a goal.
28. I like to help others do their best.
29. In school and at home, I can do things well.
30. I respect all children my age even if they are different from me.
31. I try to help other children my age.
32. When I make decisions, I think about what is good for other people.
33. When things do not go how I want, I can change my actions for the better.
34. I can make my own goals for learning.
35. I can use time wisely when working on my own.
36. When I have a lot of homework, I can choose what needs to be done first.
37. I can work well with all students, even if they are different from me.

Received: February 01, 2019

Accepted: June 02, 2019

Shao-Na Zhou

Associate Professor, School of Physics and Telecommunication Engineering, South China Normal University, Guangzhou 510006, China.
E-mail: zhou.shaona@m.scnu.edu.cn

Hui Zeng

PhD, School of Physics and Telecommunication Engineering, South China Normal University, Guangzhou 510006, China.
E-mail: zenghui011222@163.com

Shao-Rui Xu

Researcher, School of Physics and Telecommunication Engineering, South China Normal University, Guangzhou 510006, China.
E-mail: jayxee@hotmail.co.uk

Lu-Chang Chen

M.Sc., School of Physics and Telecommunication Engineering, South China Normal University, Guangzhou 510006, China.
E-mail: 244557813@qq.com

Hua Xiao*(Corresponding author)*

Professor, School of Physics and Telecommunication Engineering, South China Normal University, Guangzhou 510006, China.
E-mail: xiaoh@scnu.edu.cn

