Sounds of a City: Listening with Podcasts and **Public Humanities in Baltimore**

P. Nicole King

Abstract

"Sounds of a City: Podcasts and Public Humanities in Baltimore" reflects on the Baltimore Traces: Communities in Transition project, a collaborative teaching endeavor that began in 2015 to document changing Baltimore neighborhoods through student-produced media. The essay provides a definition of public humanities and a discussion of organic methodological innovations that evolved through the process of creating a series of student-produced podcasts focused on listening to a city in a specific moment. Sharing authority and deeply listening to both students and the diverse voices of a city led to the "on the street" interview methodology. Organic methods blending journalism with scholarship, push engaged research into new transdisciplinary territory that centers voices from the street within the university classroom.

Keywords: public humanities, podcasts, methodology, engaged research and teaching, American studies



say why.

-Nadja Bentley Hammond (Baltimore Traces, 2015b, 42:07-42:16)

s I walk by ransacked businesses, members of the National Guard flash automatic weapons above. I reluctantly board the chopped through it all. shuttle to campus to teach my Baltimore Traces course. As we take the ramp toward the interstate, I can see dozens of National Guard vehicles gathered in the parking lot of the Ravens' football stadium as if preparing for war. It feels strange to be heading That day, April 28, 2015, the student recordout of the city. But I have class and I feel a responsibility to my students. My phone this article and the concluding lines of the buzzes. I look down and see a text from a podcast my students produced that semesstudent asking if he could miss class to go ter on the Station North arts and entertainrecord interviews in the city for our podcast ment district for local public radio. It turned project.

Share why 'cause some people will listen. Freddie Gray, a Black man killed by police, A lot of people might not and just see vio- was buried that afternoon. Police in riot lence, but a lot of people will listen if you gear cornered city youth as they gathered at a transportation hub in West Baltimore after school when city officials shut down public transit. The nearby CVS Pharmacy at Pennsylvania and North Avenues burned as unrest spread throughout the city. Working from home in downtown Baltimore, I looked out my window at a city in turmoil. I heard people running, yelling, windows breakwhile police helicopters buzz ing, and sirens as the buzz of helicopters

> I text the student back: "Yes." He can miss class to go into the neighborhood where we were working that semester to conduct interviews and listen to the city.

ed the sentences acting as an epigraph for out to be the first podcast in what became the Baltimore Traces podcast series, all of The previous day, Monday, April 27, 2015, which were informed by that moment in felt as if the city was going to explode. 2015, when a student headed to the streets

instead of the classroom to ask questions. In this reflective essay, I argue that organic and listen.

Introduction

The Baltimore Traces: Communities in Transition project is a collaborative teaching initiative that brings students from a variety of disciplines in the arts and humanities together to create media focused on Baltimore neighborhoods. It evolved from years of collaboration with professors in other departments such as Visual Arts; Media and Communication Studies; and Gender, Women's, + Sexuality Studies. All of the project's media, which in addition to podcasts include digital maps, films, and zines, are archived on the Baltimore Traces website (https://baltimoretraces.umbc.edu), and the project has Institutional Review Board (IRB) approval and informed consent forms that are available for use in all associated courses. The foundational question of the project is "How do neighborhoods change and how do people feel about those changes?"

This reflective essay focuses on the first specific moment. few years of this public humanities project—spring 2015 through fall 2017—when students in my courses produced a dozen podcast episodes for public radio. The project evolved out of an exploratory partnership with the host and producers of *The Marc* Steiner Show, a daily program that aired on WEAA 88.9, the "voice of the community." The first two podcast series—<u>Station North</u> Voices (spring 2015) and Bromo Speaks (fall 2015)—focused on relatively new arts and entertainment districts in Baltimore. "Arts district" is a state designation providing tax incentives for artists and development within the district's boundaries (Maryland State Arts Council, 2020). For the <u>Downtown</u> Voices (spring 2016) podcast series, students talked to city dwellers on the west side of downtown, part of the Bromo Arts District, about their thoughts on the past, present, and future of Baltimore. And the final podcast series, <u>Learning from Lexington</u> (fall 2017), explored the impending redevelopment of the west side anchor and the dents and allowing them to be collaboraoldest continually running public market tors involves a radical act of listening and in the United States, Baltimore's Lexington sometimes ceding the power and authority Market, which is located in the Bromo Arts (and even the credit). We should model District. We were interested in how neigh- the methods we want our students to take borhoods change and reactions to potential from the classroom into the streets. There gentrification in parts of the city that have needs to be a shift in higher education, been resistant to it.

and inductive methodological innovations, which evolve in the moment and are developed through listening, should be centered in publicly engaged humanities projects. Education researchers (Casey, 2008; Hashtroodi, 2013) have applied an organic food analogy to pedagogy. Public educator Leo Casey argues in his teaching blog that

just like modern farming we have over-engineered our education system, we have over-relied on fostering narrow skills, we are obsessed with measurement, we continuously intervene in learning, we confine the site of learning to the classroom and we strive to make people homogeneous in their thinking. (Casey, 2008, para. 9)

In turn Casey argues for a new way, an "organic movement in learning and teaching" (Casey, 2008, para. 10). The Baltimore Traces project has evolved through embracing organic methods tuned through a process of engaged listening to a city in a

When a group of university professors designed the Baltimore Traces project in fall 2014, no one knew the Baltimore Uprising was going to happen, but we were able to bend toward the moment and amplify voices not normally covered in the national media. Centering how these organic methodological innovations—most clearly illustrated through our "on the street" interviews evolved during the first 2 years of the project adds a more humanistic perspective on engaged research and teaching, which is often analyzed from a social science perspective focused on quantitative rather than qualitative and narrative-based assessment. Publicly engaged projects must be designed with clear but flexible goals, which allows for the development of new tactics that arise in and with the moment. These tactics arise from listening, both to students and to the city.

For the instructor, giving agency to stuespecially in engaged research and teachcal directive to do no harm is central and process. admittedly more complicated when projects evolve and move organically into new territory. The focus should shift from "solving problems" and measurable outcomes and move, instead, toward asking questions and listening. Active listening as a public humanities method can address disconnections, misrepresentation, and inequalities on the streets of our cities and in our classrooms.

There is a plethora of scholarship on listening in oral history practice (Norkunas, 2011; Pollock, 2007; Shopes, 2002; Thompson & Bornat, 2017) and acoustemology, "one's sonic way of knowing and being in the world," in anthropology (Feld & Brenneis, 2004, p. 462). As an interdisciplinary scholar in American studies, I am combining these practices and theories to rethink the impacts of engaged humanities projects when students listen in place and produce media. The emerging work on podcasts currently in the literature primarily focuses on how to incorporate podcasts in the learning process or individual assignments (Altvater, 2009; Jarvis & Dickie, 2010; Moss et al., 2010; Perez & Kite, 2011) rather than collaborative and student-produced podcast series Public humanities projects are transdiscicacophony of voices (for an audio example of "what it means to be human," a phrase 1:16-2:05).

Using Baltimore Traces as a case study, I first foreground listening as a method in the fieldwork and production of public humanities projects that engage the idea of the public good from a humanistic perspective. I then use the concept of "scholarly reportage" to examine how ethnography and oral history methods can be expanded and enhanced through collaboration with journalists and through emerging transdisciplinary fields of study, like sound studies. Building on this organic methodological framework, I then show how, once a course is designed with clear goals, students can shape and reshape the project's methodology through "on the street" listening. As we continue

ing, to integrate the cacophony of voices to develop engaged projects in higher eduin our cities in new ways. And this shift cation, we should remain open, dynamic, must foster an understanding that publicly flexible, and iterative in our methods but engaged projects do not always involve one never compromise our ethics, which must central long-term community partner, but center the agency of the voices we honor sometimes a cacophony of voices. The ethi- and the students we educate through the

> Yet, as I tried to turn the podcasts into scholarly articles, like this one, I found that something was lost in translation the trace, the sounds in time and place. My colleagues and I decided to call the project Baltimore Traces to evoke the layers of change and traces upon the landscape that you can see and feel in historic cities like Baltimore. But we also sought to conjure up the poststructural idea of the trace, the "mark of the absence of a presence, an always-already absent present" (Spivak & Derrida, 1998). For us, the term traces evokes remnants of the past that can mean different things to different people depending on their social location and the historical context. These projects are designed to present perspectives and questions for the public to grapple with rather than offer solutions or answers, which I argue differentiates public humanities projects from more traditional civic engagement projects focused on outcomes over process.

Traces of New Approaches: Listening as Theory and Method

for the public. Here I reflect on podcasts plinary, combining methods and theories as a theoretical and methodological tool for from history, literature, media, anthropolexpanding engagement with a place and a ogy, and art to seek a better understanding of the "cacophony of voices," listen to the that offers the most simplistic definition of Bromo Speaks intro; Baltimore Traces, 2015a, the humanities and evokes our inalienable rights as human beings. Learning to listen, in a critical and humanistic way, is the most central method in engaged public humanities teaching and research. As George Lipsitz wrote in "Listening to Learn and Learning to Listen: Popular Culture, Cultural Theory, and American Studies":

> In this period of creative ferment and critical fragmentation, virtuosity entails listening as well as speaking; it requires patient exploration into spaces and silences as much as it demands bold and forthright articulation. As a field, American Studies always has been at its best when engaged in dialogue with the complex and conflicted re

alities of American life and culture. Yet too often its dominant paradigms have suffered from an overemphasis on what has been articulated from within the profession, and a consequent underemphasis on the voices, power struggles, and ideological conflicts outside it. The complicated relationship between scholarly methods and popular cultures, political economies, and ideologies of America demand a scholarship capable of adopting . . . and learning how to do careful and comprehensive listening. (Lipsitz, 1990, pp. 615–616)

learned to listen with the city and to build a sense of community with its people and to tell and retell those stories" (DePrince, places. Kathleen Woodward, director of the 2009, p. 71). With the Baltimore Traces Simpson Center for the Humanities at the project, we did not seek to "give voice," University of Washington, wrote, "In the as the people we interviewed already have humanities, communities of inquiry often a voice; we used a microphone to amplify come into being through the articulating voices and stories from the streets through of questions, which are often inchoate in the airwaves of public radio and online. the beginning and can never be definitively answered. Communities are formed around questions; they are communities of the question" (Woodward, 2009, p. 117). In Baltimore Traces, we were after the human aspects of change in all of its intricacy, which we sought in the voices of the people experiencing these changes most directly in the places where they occurred.

into narratives (Abbott, 2008). Public hu- cophony of voices. manities projects also entail a focus on the public good and the belief that we are in it (being human) together, though access to humanity in society is often not equitably provided to everyone. However, we strive toward and listen for traces of what humanity sounds like to better understand the public good.

Defining the public good is an ongoing project, and one documented in the Journal of project dared and what limits daring will Higher Education Outreach and Engagement. always reveal" (Hall, 2005, p. 196). Hall's From decoding over 200 descriptions of work with her students centers deep listenthe "public good" for themes such as com- ing across difference as essential to ethical munity, society, and knowledge (Chambers work attuned to our collective humanity:

& Gopaul, 2008) to an extensive and longterm institutional process of defining and integrating the public good at specific universities (Fretz et al., 2010; Harkavy & Hartley, 2012), these important conversations are never designed to come up with a single or monolithic definition of the "public good," because there is not one. However, we keep having these conversations with our students, within our institutions, and with each other because the conversation, the process itself, is productive. Ann P. DePrince, a researcher on gender-based violence, provided a framing for the public good that is applicable to Baltimore Traces. She wrote that the public good is based on a "responsibility to hold a light to people, Through the Baltimore Traces project, we issues, and places that for whatever reason were cloaked in shadows . . . a responsibility

The humanities are public when they include everyone and serve no single institution. As "traces" connotes, meaning is always shifting, changing, and moving through human context and experience; only remnants or recordings of the voices remain. The Bromo Speaks podcast series from fall 2015 begins, "It seems to me like a city is impossible to understand, it's too big" (Baltimore Traces, Public humanities projects are based on a 2015a, 2:05-2:09). Yet, with Baltimore narrative approach to culture, a belief that Traces, we are seeking to understand a city, it is the stories we tell and interpret that something we will never fully understand, make up our culture, our humanity, and but the striving toward understanding is the our political economy (Mechling, 1989). In goal. This striving, to understand a city or producing the Baltimore Traces podcasts, define the "public good," is a never-ending the focus was on the process of listening, and beautifully incomplete project, because analyzing, and editing, which turns stories the city, like the humanities, remains a ca-

> Historian Jacquelyn D. Hall, the founding director of the Southern Oral History project, alluded to her collaborative oral history/performance studies project with communications professor Della Pollock as a "fantastic failure." She explained that the project was a "failure" only in the sense of the "impossibilities it revealed" and "fantastic" "precisely because of what the

categories provided different perspectives, but they also overlapped the more we listened beyond the words.

Jonathan Sterne, editor of The Sound Studies Reader, defines sound studies as the "interdisciplinary ferment in the human sciences that takes sound as its analytical point of departure or arrival . . . it redescribes what sound does in the human world, and what humans do in the sonic world" (Sterne, 2012, p. 2). There is a focus on positionality, reflexivity, and "transdisciplinary Listening to Scholarly Reporters curiosity," and Sterne argues "the difference between sound studies and those other [more disciplinary] fields is that they don't require engagement with alternative epistemologies, methods, or approaches" (Sterne, 2012, p. 4). Through these collaborative Baltimore Traces projects, professors and students were becoming "sound students" as we developed our "sonic imaginations" and became "fascinated by sound but driven to fashion some new intellectual facility to make sense of some part of the sonic world" (Sterne, 2012, p. 5).

The sonic world itself is embedded in the tensions and inequalities of our cities. For students from a predominantly White institution of higher education working in a majority Black and hypersegregated city like Baltimore, issues of race, power, and social location cannot be elided. Cultural historians, such as Mark M. Smith, have written extensively on issues of race and sound (Smith, 2001, 2006, 2008). Jennifer Lynn Stoever's concept of the "sonic color line" 'whiteness' and 'blackness'" (Stoever, asked, our assumptions, and our process.

"Listening beyond and beneath words. 2016, p. 7). We developed diverse student Listening for layers of meaning, for the teams when working in the field with a cacophony of voices embedded in every story" recognition that we all listen from some-(Hall, 2005, pp. 191–192, emphasis added). where. While we often see race as a visual Thinking critically about how to take the marker in society, working with podcasts multitude of voices that make up a city and it becomes clear that the sonic color line produce an engaging podcast for the public pushes us to grapple with the multimodal entails critically rethinking traditional oral and multisensory issues of race, place, and history and ethnography methodologies. We power. For example, in class listening sesrecognized the differences in our Baltimore sions students sometimes misgendered or Traces interviews between oral history misidentified race when listening to inter-(focused on understanding the past), eth- views other students recorded in the field. nography (in-depth life history or cultural These moments led to discussions on why analysis), and "on the street" interviews, we make such assumptions. By listening to which get at the pulse of what people on the each other in these moments, as closely as ground are thinking in the moment. These they had previously listened to the city, the students further developed their community of inquiry.

> Our students occupy many positions, identities, and communities simultaneously. They belong to various demographic and cultural groups, in addition to being students (Creed, 2006; Joseph, 2006). When we recognize the multiplicity of identities we all inhabit, we are able to see and hear the world in new ways.

Andrew Ross has described methods in American studies as "scholarly reportage," a "blend of ethnography and investigative journalism" that meets people where they are (Williams, 2009, para. 10). Historian Mark Tebeau wrote in "Listening to the City: Oral History and Place in the Digital Era" that "oral historians working in media contexts, along with radio producers using voices to evoke emotional response to audio storytelling, have led the way in exploring the capacity of sound to evoke place, offering a model for public historians to emulate" (Tebeau, 2013, p. 28). Steiner, his producers, and the Center for Emerging Media were our most central partners throughout, but we also worked with other public radio journalists, such as Aaron Henkin (WYPR 88.1 Baltimore) and Andrea Seabrook (past National Public Radio congressional correspondent). The podcast process made deep listening in place essential to the work, from fieldwork through to editing and production.

astutely "describes the process of racialized The combination of reading scholars and sound—how and why certain bodies are ex- working with actual public radio journalpected to produce, desire, and live amongst ists pushed me and my students to rethink particular sounds—and its product, the our methods of listening. We began to think hierarchical division sounded between more critically about the questions we

<u>Importance of Deep Listening</u>," which drew award-winning Out of the Blocks podcast on his decades of work in theater, activism, the centrality of listening in his approach:

One of the things I was thinking about with this project you're doing is you're out there interviewing people, talking to them, meeting them. And listening is very critical. . . . one of the things about being an actor is that you focus in on a moment. You're in a moment. You're in a place at that time, in a moment. And everything else around you is suspended. You know, you don't let anything come in your head. You're that character. You're somebody else. Well, when you're doing this kind of work you're doing, you also have to be like an actor, because you have to be in that moment and just focus on what that person is saying and what that story is around you . . . because that's listening. (Steiner, 2014, 18:13-19:08)

Steiner discussed the importance of research and clear methods in the planning the conversation:

I ask a question. But then it's like improv jazz. You go with the flow. Someone talks to you and gives you an answer, you hit that key, you play with that key. Someone else has another key, you play with that key. But you always have the composition in the back of your head, so you know how to connect the keys to bring it to where you want it to go. But you let it be like improv. You just don't worry about all the questions you have on the paper. It will flow and it will all come out eventually. (Steiner, 2014, 22:10-22:39)

Improvisation is an apt metaphor for how listening as an essential organic methodprojects. You must really know the strucinto new territory.

In spring 2014 when we were working on The two most central aspects of this proour first podcast, our public radio partner cess are simply to show up and listen. Aaron Marc Steiner gave a talk on campus, "The Henkin told students that in making the "one city block, one hour of radio, everyand public radio in Baltimore. He explained one's story"—he would show up for weeks before ever bringing along a microphone. Listening in place is part of building trust and relationships, which helps prevent purely extractive practices. We were striving for honesty in the podcasts more than objectivity. "If you're going to tell somebody that you're going to listen to their story and you're going to let them tell their story, you better be honest about it," Steiner told the students. "Not use people for your own ends. Which we all have a tendency to do. We're human beings" (Steiner, 2014, 24:19-24:30).

After rigorous readings on Baltimore history, reflection on methodology, and the clear formulation of our project goals, I take students into the city for walking tours, which makes boundary spanning a physical and social intervention (Romero, 2014; Weerts & Sandmann, 2010). Boundary spanning is often discussed in the context of the change that comes from being in a new place when we take students outside the classroom. There is less focus on the practices and the ethics that help students engage once they have crossed those boundaries. As Romero's and preparation process. Yet he advised work on boundary spanning gets students students to follow the organic trajectory of on the bus, I ask them to get off the bus and hit the street and talk to strangers, which pushes the boundary further (Romero, 2014). One of the ways historically hypersegregated cities remain divided is ingrained conceptions (often misconceptions) about place—this place is or is not for me; I do or do not belong here (Cresswell, 1996; McKittrick & Woods, 2007). Engagement with scholarly reportage allows for inductive and emergent approaches to emerge.

We learned that a podcast is made not simply from the human voice, but from the human voice recorded in place. As the project developed and we became better sound students, we learned that collecting "room tone," the nuanced and distinct sound of the room or space where the interview is conducted, is essential for the editing process. The editing/production process pushed us to hear on a new level and to realize that in ology integrates into community-engaged addition to interviews, we needed to document the ambient sounds of the city—the tures and practice them to move beyond dings of the light rail train as it passes, the caws of birds overhead, the blend of music

and voices in a crowded public market on working with journalists and media produca Saturday afternoon. Voice exists in place. ers and was driven by the goal of finding

As students became embedded in place, they also became attached to the voices they recorded for the project. However, as Andrea Seabrook told the students in a class talk titled "On Podcasting and Listening," doing so was half of a two-part process: "You must fall in love with your subject but, when it's time to edit, you have to break up and fall in love with your listener" (A. Seabrook, personal communication, February 25, 2016). This advice made us consider our audience and the economy of listening. The traditional trajectory of scholarly interview analysis is to transcribe, code for themes, analyze, synthesize, and write up your findings. Seabrook, however, explained that for a podcast we should base our selections not only on what was said but on how things were said as well. The humanity, the emotional resonance, is found in the intonations, the slight rise in pitch, the crack in the voice, the slowing of the pace, the breath, and even the pause or the uncomfortable silence. These are human sounds that can be used but not created in the editing process.

The "On the Street" Methodology

On April 28, 2015, when a student missed class to go interview people in the city, it shifted the conclusion of the Station North Voices podcast and our methodology moving forward. The "vox pop"—asking people on the street their thoughts to locate the "voice of the people"—has a long history in radio (Loviglio, 2005). For our "on the street" practice, students approached strangers, explained our project, received informed consent, and completed an interview on the spot in a public place. Over time, we came to see that these interviews yielded different types of sounds and perspectives. The more formal "oral history" interviews were often with officials, such as arts directors, developers, and managers of city markets or arts districts, and often had a more flat or public relations feel. The emotional heart of the podcasts often came from the "on the street" interviews.

For the "on the street" interviews we developed a clear script using language from our IRB-approved consent forms. We consulted with a Baltimore Traces professor who works at the university's media studio, which has legally vetted language for film interviews. The process evolved in part from

working with journalists and media producers and was driven by the goal of finding the perspectives and sounds we lacked. For example, the "on the street" interviews often included voices of the city's homeless residents or people who preferred not to remain anonymous, an additional option we later added to our consent forms. Almost every concluding line in the podcasts comes from an "on the street" interview.

The conclusion of the Station North Voices podcast in 2015 moved from a focus on how an arts district can change a city to how larger structures of inequality connect to redevelopment, including issues of policing. The theme of policing was not engineered into the course; it emerged organically from the city at the moment. The students pulled this theme from the interviews we had conducted, including ones recorded before the death of Freddie Gray. When asked questions about arts districts and change, people often talked about policing, which made us think about how gentrification, crime, and policing are connected. In the concluding segment of the podcast, one of our interview participants explained: "I don't know. Something seems it's changed within the police force in the last year and a half." The student interviewer asked, "How so?" He replied, "I don't know. You have more policemen walking the beat and harassing people" (Baltimore Traces, 2015b, 29:49-30:13).

Because we were willing to learn from our reporting, a podcast series about the Station North arts district had to make room for stories of police harassing employees at local businesses and an especially chilling story from a resident of Greenmount West, a majority Black neighborhood in the arts district, describing attempts to help a neighbor who was shot. When her family called 911 for help, the police arrived and began to harass the family who called the police in the first place (Baltimore Traces, 2015b, 30:52-32:04). The earlier speaker concludes,

I would like, not only Station North, but I would like to see the police make an effort to interact with the community. I mean, instead of just telling us what to do and randomly beating the shit out of people, I think you could try and connect, find out what people's concerns are, what their gripes are, if you will,

work with it. (Baltimore Traces, 2015b, 32:09-32:34)

Next, the audio shifts to a restaurateur who 2015b, 42:07-42:16). managed a business located in the neighborhood in 1968 during unrest in Baltimore following the assassination of Martin Luther King Jr. The White restaurant manager described working with the police to make sure his predominantly African American staff members got home safely (Baltimore Traces, 2015b, 33:03-34:39). Then, in a voiceover, a student says,

Now, nearly 50 years later, Baltimore finds itself again at the beginning of a new uprising. On the first night of the riots, people saw looting of buildings and cars set ablaze. They saw a city divided. The following morning, I saw a community come together. (Baltimore Traces, 2015b, 34:41-34:59)

The student saw it because he was there. He was on the street instead of in the classroom, and it was the right place to be.

Next, the listener hears a cacophony of voices recorded on April 28, 2015 (Baltimore <u>Traces</u>, 2015b, 35:00-35:03). We meet Kate Khatib of Red Emma's Bookstore Coffeehouse (a cooperative bookstore and café) and Nadja Bentley-Hammond of the YES Drop-in Center for homeless youth. Khatib explains that the drop-in center's space in Station North was "hit pretty hard" the previous evening, so she opened up Red Emma's, not only to provide food and a safe space for youth who couldn't access the drop-in center but for the community "to gather and reflect and regroup" (Baltimore <u>Traces</u>, 2015b, 35:03-39:09). The students wanted to present media that showed the other side of the cable news images of fires and looting and the stereotyping of city youth as "thugs," a word used at the time by both Baltimore's mayor, Stephanie United States, Barack Obama.

The conclusion of the podcast episode is a plea to listen more to the people on the streets, not talking heads broadcasting from afar. Rather than seeking to address problems or offer solutions, the Baltimore Traces students chose to listen to and amplify voices that challenge simplistic stereotypes of city residents. Our motto could have been "Share why 'cause some people

will listen. A lot of people might not and just see violence, but a lot of people will listen if you say why" (Baltimore Traces,

As the project evolved, the "on the street" method produced emotional connections, evoked tensions, and challenged preconceived notions for students and—we hoped—for listeners. Students' own ambivalence rather than a misguided commitment to "speak for," "help," or "solve problems" is expressed to Marc Steiner in the in-studio dialogue that followed the airing of the Bromo Speaks podcast in fall 2015. Steiner asked, "So what did you walk away with that you didn't expect?" A student responded, "I think I was left feeling ambivalent" (<u>Steiner, 2015, 13:36-13:49</u>). Asked to explain, the student continued:

Feeling a renewed sense of hope but rivaling with this sense of cynicism at the same time. Seeing that people are really engaged, and they . . . aren't stupid. They know what's going on. They see it very vividly and clearly. And there are these pockets of dissent or these pockets of yearnings for collaboration, meaningful solidarity amongst different demographics. But there does seem to be this ingrained sense of disability to actually cross those lines in a focused and effective way. And it seemed to me, it was interesting that people who had maybe the social or financial privilege to be passé about changes. Kind of, I think I started this class with this very idealistic envision of what the arts do for culture, for society, what they bring to all of us, and then the reality of sometimes how the arts are used to package economic developments. (Steiner, 2015, 13:52-15:17)

Rawlins-Blake, and the president of the Another student added her thoughts, which are framed very much in the context of sound:

> I would definitely say I have this uneasiness about the project, because you come into the Bromo Arts District and there's a language there on the streets that you hear that you had, their sounds, their sights. There's this beautiful historic part of this city and it's changing. And

there's a tension there that it's hard to miss, and I don't want the beat and the sound of that area to be completely taken away or missed by future generations. (Steiner, 2015, 15:18–15:51)

These are deeply nuanced responses derived from listening in place. Often, the goal or the payoff of the podcasts was to challenge preconceived notions and honor the "beat and the sound" of the place. Through listening, students began to feel ambivalent or uneasy about things they thought they knew, and that is a productive outcome.

The purest example of the "on the street" methodology is the 10-minute podcast segment "Word on the Street," which was framed as "a little sidewalk talk from the west side of downtown, what people love about downtown and what needs to change for it to serve its citizens better" (Steiner, 2016, para. 2). This was the second episode in the four-part podcast series *Downtown Voices* from spring 2016.

The student narrator asks: "Is the west side of downtown safe? If so, for whom and who keeps it safe?" (Singlenberg, 2016, 4:18-4:22). Using a collagelike editing technique, the students juxtaposed contradictory perspectives and voices:

"The police actually—the police look out for us. Actually, they come up and say, 'Hey, Man, hey, are you okay?' Because this is more context to get the point, the payoff. Word on the Street.

"One thing I hate about Baltimore, [beep] police, I mean, I don't hate the police, I hate the way they treat us. That's not right. That's not right at all. The way they treat us, that's not right at all. And that got to change" (Singlenberg, 2016, 5:51–6:02).

Then the students cut to an interaction they recorded on a city sidewalk:

Speaker 1: Take for instance down at the Harbor [Inner Harbor tourist area downtown], it's a lot more laid back. But then again you got to deal with the security officers. And if you're homeless, they don't really dig on that, down there, 'cause they got all the tourists coming in there. So, I'd rather hang out, like, in the more, I'd say, like, "ghetto places," because I'm more accepted than places where the security guards,

they're going to come up to you, sit there for a second. They're coming up going, "Yo."

Student 1: No, I hear ya.

Student 2: [Voiceover] Literally as he said it, the cops broke us up.

Speaker 1: All right. Gotcha. Gotcha, Boss.

Speaker 2: We're taking off.

Police officer: Thanks, y'all, I appreciate it.

Speaker 2: All right.

Student 2: All righty, folks. Thank you guys so much for talking.

Student 1: Yeah, thanks, guys.

[Crosstalk]

Speaker 1: That was the policeman, by the way. (Singlenberg, 2016, 7:28-8:13)

We had a discussion in class about adding the 10-word voiceover. The student team who did the recording thought the interaction spoke for itself because they were there. The rest of the class felt they needed more context to get the point, the payoff.

"One thing I hate about Baltimore, [beep] This section of the "Word on the Street" podcast concludes with a student voiceover:

Standing there on Howard Street, we were shouted at to disperse. A group of citizens standing on a public street, no drugs, just conversation. It's impossible to talk about the west side of downtown as if it was an island. Like its future is somehow disconnected from the rest of the city's. If you're from Baltimore, you want the best for it and despite its faults, the west side is home. Everyone included. There's a love here. A love that echoed across everyone's thoughts about the future for Baltimore. As a new administration begins in City Hall, what does the future hold for the west side of downtown? What do we need and how do we get it? (Singlenberg, 2016, 8:15-9:04)

through structural inequities seems even its residents. more relevant for publicly engaged humanities projects.

Futures: "What do we need and how do we get it?"

With Baltimore Traces, we were studying and documenting change and people's reacwe were trying to decode the public good by unrecognized—beyond words. listening to the sounds of the city: "Share why 'cause some people will listen." For the people of Baltimore City, and humanity in general, we have to ask why, and then we have to actually listen to the responses, even if those responses, those sounds, push us into new territory we never anticipated even if they produce more questions rather than definitive answers. Organic and inductive methodological innovations in publicly engaged research and teaching evolve in the moment and are most productive when we center listening.

any public humanities project, there is a real responsibility to be honest, to be respectful, and to represent the nuances of divergent perspectives. Acknowledging that we are all part of the cacophony of voices, despite the "What do we need and how do we get it?" ways privilege and access to resources often divide us, is the theoretical underpinning Through the process of listening and reflecof the "on the street" methodology. The tion, we see that our collective needs and moment that begins this article illustrates what we all deserve as human beings is the spark for a methodological innovation what comprises the public good. We all have that evolved from giving students agency stories, and we all deserve to be listened to in a moment of crisis and ends with a stu- and respected. We did not define the public dent's question.

In Baltimore Traces, we were listening to the sounds of the city in a period of momentous change and instability. These The project is ongoing.

We discovered that policing was the word on moments—uprisings, global pandemics, the street in neighborhoods dealing with the political upheavals—cannot be planned, but long history of inequitable development and engaged courses must be designed in a way potential gentrification. Following these that offers an organic methodology open podcasting projects, policing has become to embracing them. The 2015 Baltimore part of my research and teaching on gen- Uprising was such a moment for Baltimore trification (Laniyonu, 2017). Now, espe- Traces, one that loomed over our project in cially in light of the role of police associated a difficult yet productive way. Baltimore, with gentrification efforts in the death of like many other postindustrial legacy cities, Breonna Taylor specifically (Beck, 2020) and continues to struggle with complex issues, the growing emphasis on the Black Lives ranging from gentrification to police vio-Matter movement in general, thinking lence, that skew its image and dehumanize

Through listening, my students and I began to better understand not only how people on the street distrust the police, but how this distrust is reflected in their distrust of scholars in higher education who desire, often with good intentions, to come to the streets of a city to "solve" problems, "give voice," or "help." My own ambivalence tions to it. But what we were really trying to about the ethical complexity of the work is get at was what the public needs, what they, what made it so difficult to turn the podor really what we, deserve. Through those casts into this reflective essay. There is early years of the Baltimore Traces project, always so much that is left out, unheard,

As we tried to answer our central guiding question—"How do neighborhoods change and how do people feel about those changes?"—another question rather than a definitive answer arose. "What do we need and how do we get it?" With the latter question, we can attempt to think through our collective needs, both as a city and as human beings. Higher education, especially when committed to public engagement, must learn to value the cacophony of voices in new ways. What would institutions of higher education look like if we listened, When producing podcasts for public radio or really listened, to the word on the street and embedded those sounds, those voices and human strivings, more deeply in our institutions?

"Share why 'cause some people will listen."

good through the Baltimore Traces project, but we do have a better understanding of what it sounds like and how to listen for it.



About the Author

P. Nicole King is an associate professor and chair in the Department of American Studies at the University of Maryland Baltimore County (UMBC).

References

- Abbott, H. P. (2008). The Cambridge introduction to narrative. Cambridge University Press.
- Altvater, F. (2009). Words on the Wadsworth: Podcasting and the teaching of art history. Journal of Effective Teaching, 9(3), 77-88.
- Baltimore Traces. (2015a). Bromo speaks. https://baltimoretraces.umbc.edu/bromospeaks/
- Baltimore Traces. (2015b). Station North voices. https://baltimoretraces.umbc.edu/stationnorth-voices/
- Baltimore Traces. (2016). Downtown voices. https://baltimoretraces.umbc.edu/downtownvoices/
- Beck. B. (2020, August 4). The role of police in gentrification. The Appeal. https://theappeal.org/the-role-of-police-igentrification-breonna-taylor/
- Casey, L. (2008). An organic approach to teaching and how digital media make it possible. Leo's Learning Blog. http://leocasey.blogspot.com/2008/09/organic-teaching-andhow-digital-media.html
- Chambers, T., & Gopaul, B. (2008). Decoding the public good of higher education. Journal of Higher Education Outreach and Engagement, 12(4), 59–91. https://openjournals.libs. uga.edu/jheoe/article/view/485
- Creed, G. W. (2006). The seductions of community emancipations, oppressions, quandaries. School of American Research Press.
- Cresswell, T. (1996). In place/out of place: Geography, ideology, and transgression. University of Minnesota Press.
- DePrince, A. P. (2009). Public good and the nexus of social justice, feminism, and rock 'n' roll. Journal of Higher Education Outreach and Engagement, 13(1), 69-83. https:// openjournals.libs.uga.edu/jheoe/article/view/477
- Feld, S., & Brenneis, D. (2004). Doing anthropology in sound. American Ethnologist, 31(4), 461-474. https://doi.org/10.1525/ae.2004.31.4.461
- Fretz, E., Cutforth, N., Nicotera, N., & Thompson, S. (2010). A case study of institutional visioning, public good, and the renewal of democracy: The theory and practice of public good work at the University of Denver. Journal of Higher Education Outreach and Engagement, 13(1), 87–109. https://openjournals.libs.uga.edu/jheoe/article/view/478
- Hall, J. D. (2005). Afterword: Reverberations. In D. Pollock (Ed.), Remembering: Oral history performance (pp. 186-198). Palgrave Macmillan.
- Harkavy, I., & Hartley, M. (2012). Integrating a commitment to the public good into the institutional fabric: Further lessons from the field. Journal of Higher Education Outreach and Engagement, 16(4), 17-36. https://openjournals.libs.uga.edu/jheoe/article/view/980
- Hashtroodi, P. (2013) Organic language teachers in organic settings: From ecology to methodology. Theory and Practice in Language Studies, 3(7), 1270–1274.
- Jarvis, C., & Dickie, J. (2010). Podcasts in support of experiential field learning. Journal of Geography in Higher Education, 34(2), 173-186. https://doi. org/10.1080/03098260903093653
- Joseph, M. (2006). *Against the romance of community*. University of Minnesota Press.
- Laniyonu, A. (2017). Coffee shops and police stops: Policing practices in gentrifying neighborhoods. Urban Affairs Review, 54(5), 898-930. https://doi. org/10.1177/1078087416689728
- Lipsitz, G. (1990). Listening to learn and learning to listen: Popular culture, cultural theory, and American studies. American Quarterly, 42(4), 615-636. https://doi. org/10.2307/2713167
- Loviglio, J. (2005). Radio's intimate public: Network broadcasting and mass-mediated democracy. University of Minnesota Press.
- Maryland State Arts Council. (2020). Arts & entertainment districts. https://www.msac.org/ programs/arts-entertainment-districts

- McKittrick, K., & Woods, C. (2007). Black geographies and the politics of place. South End Press.
- Mechling, J. (1989). An American culture grid, with texts. *American Studies International*, 27(1), 2–12. https://www.jstor.org/stable/41280211
- Moss, N. D., O'Connor, E. L., & White, K. M. (2010). Psychosocial predictors of the use of enhanced podcasting in student learning. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 26(3), 302–309. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2009.10.012
- Norkunas, M. (2011). Teaching to listen: Listening exercises and self-reflexive journals. *The Oral History Review*, 38(1), 63–108.
- Perez, K., & Kite, S. (2011). It's not the end of the world, but you can see it from here: The importance of local history in a rural setting. *The History Teacher*, 44(2), 261–272. https://www.jstor.org/stable/25799421
- Pollock, D. (Ed.). (2007). Remembering: Oral history performance. Palgrave Macmillan.
- Romero, D. (2014). Riding the bus: Symbol and vehicle for boundary spanning. *Journal of Higher Education Outreach and Engagement*, 18(3), 1–14. https://openjournals.libs.uga.edu/jheoe/article/view/1135
- Shopes, L. (2002, February). Making sense of oral history. *History matters: The U.S. survey course on the web*. http://historymatters.gmu.edu/mse/oral/
- Singlenberg, S. (Narrator). (2016, May 17). Word on the street (Downtown voices, Episode 2) [Audio podcast episode]. *The Marc Steiner show*. https://www.steinershow.org/podcasts/local-and-state-politics/downtown-voices-episode-2-word-on-the-street/
- Smith, M. (2001). *Listening to nineteenth-century America*. University of North Carolina Press.
- Smith, M. (2006). How race is made: Slavery, segregation, and the senses. University of North Carolina Press.
- Smith, M. (2008). Sensing the past: Seeing, hearing, smelling, tasting, and touching in history. University of California Press.
- Spivak, G. C., & Derrida, J. (1998). Of grammatology. The Johns Hopkins University Press.
- Steiner, M. (2014). The importance of deep listening. *UMBCtube*. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=94VbjJ9QuRw
- Steiner, M. (Host). (2015, December 11). *Bromo speaks panel* [Audio podcast episode segment]. The Marc Steiner show. https://youtu.be/8hZZJO-ejZc
- Steiner, M. (2016, May 17). Downtown voices: Episode 2. Word on the street [Webpage description]. *The Marc Steiner show*. https://www.steinershow.org/podcasts/local-and-state-politics/downtown-voices-episode-2-word-on-the-street/
- Sterne, J. (Ed.). (2012). The sound studies reader. Routledge.
- Stoever, J. (2016). The sonic color line. New York University Press.
- Tebeau, M. (2013). Listening to the city: Oral history and place in the digital era. *Oral History Review*, 40(1), 25–35.
- Thompson, P. R., & Bornat, J. (2017). *The voice of the past: Oral history* (4th ed.). Oxford University Press.
- Weerts, D. J., & Sandmann, L. R. (2010). Community engagement and boundary-spanning roles at research universities. *The Journal of Higher Education*, 81(6), 632–657. https://doi.org/10.1080/00221546.2010.11779075
- Williams, J. J. (2009, August 31). Andrew Ross's second act: The cultural-studies theorist has become a scholarly reporter of work and labor. *Chronicle of Higher Education*. https://www.chronicle.com/article/Andrew-Rosss-Second-Act/48181
- Woodward, K. (2009). The future of the humanities—in the present & in public. *Daedalus*, 138(1), 110–123. https://doi.org/10.1162/daed.2009.138.1.110