Vol. 13(20), pp. 696-703, 23 October, 2018

DOI: 10.5897/ERR2018.3594 Article Number: 334AD4A59107

ISSN: 1990-3839 Copyright ©2018

Author(s) retain the copyright of this article http://www.academicjournals.org/ERR



Educational Research and Reviews

Full Length Research Paper

Secondary school students' positive and negative perfectionism as a predictor of career development

Oğuzhan Kirdök

Department of Education Sciences, Faculty of Education, Cukurova Univesity, Turkey.

Received 29 July, 2018; Accepted 15 October, 2018

The purpose of this research is to examine secondary school students' positive and negative perfectionism as a predictor of career development. 487 students from five different secondary schools in a city located in Turkey's Eastern Mediterranean Region participated in this study. Positive and Negative Perfectionism Scale (PNPS) and Childhood Career Development Scale (CCDS) were used as data collection tools in the study. The results show that positive perfectionism was a predictor of career development total score with six dimensions (curiosity / exploration, information, key figures, time perspectives, planning and self-concept). No relationship was found between career development and locus of control as well as interests dimensions. There was also no relationship between negative perfectionism and career development and dimensions.

Key words: Career development, positive and negative perfectionism, secondary school student.

INTRODUCTION

Career decision making is the process whereby people identify their future through a developmental process. This process called career development starts from the beginning of school and continues for life. Even if career development process starts at an early childhood, most studies focus more on high school-age children and young adults. The secondary school period is a period in which students have to make important decisions to choose their profession and their personalities develop in a multifaceted way. This is a period when they recognize high school categories relevant to their career development and make decisions accordingly. Super (1990) stated that supporting career development in childhood constitutes the basis for career development in the future. There are many social and individual differences that

affect this developmental process. The purpose of this study is to examine the relationship between positive and negative perfectionism, which is related to individual difference feature, with career development in secondary school children, within the framework and dimensions of Super's career development model. For this reason, theoretical information on Super childhood career development model is given below.

Super's childhood career development

An individual's professional decision-making usually takes place during adolescence and young adulthood. Nevertheless, the professional development process

E-mail: okirdok@gmail.com.

Author(s) agree that this article remain permanently open access under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons Attribution</u> License 4.0 International License

begins long before this. Defining the career development process as a growth stage in children, Super (1990) developed a child career development model consisting of various dimensions that contribute to the professional awareness and decision making of children. These dimensions contain curiosity, exploration, information, key figures, and development of interests, locus of control, time perspective, self-concept and planning. According to this model, curiosity and fantasy constitute the basis for children's career development.

Children satisfy their curiosity through exploration. Games and activities for children are an expression of exploration behavior. Events related to exploration enable information to be obtained and acquired. While curiosity is the will to acquire information, exploration is transforming this desire into behavior. Children can gain information in different ways. The most important information source for children is key figures. Key figures are adults that are role models to children. These are primarily parents, peers, teachers and celebrities. Interests are awareness of things that a person likes or dislikes, and this awareness develops through key figures and information around children. Locus of control is the degree to which one feels control over the present or the future. As children mature, they begin to control their behavior. When children fulfill their assigned tasks, the locus of control evolves. As children increase their control over their behavior, they become aware of what they really like and do not like. Time perspective is the awareness of how the past and present is and how to plan for future events, and children need to develop a time perspective or future sense to be able to make a career decision. It is useful to support planning behavior for the development of the concept of time. Children become more conscious of themselves as they discover their knowledge, skills and personality traits that distinguish them from others. This situation improves their self-concept. Self-concept contributes to children's exploration behavior, gaining information occupations, imitating key figures around them, and developing their interests (Schultheiss, 2008; Sharf, 2013).

Considering the related literature, there are studies that focus on relations between career development and demographic factors (Işıklar and Bozgeyikli, 2010), parental attachment (Bacanlı and Dursun, 2011), decision making styles (Yayla and Bacanlı, 2011), life satisfaction and state anxiety (Işık, 2014), emotions (Oliveira, et al., 2015), hope and career adaptation (Peila-Shuster, 2018). There are few studies regarding childhood career development that has been examined in child career development (Andrews et al., 2014; Liu et al., 2014; Watson et al., 2015).

Positive and negative perfectionism

Career development is closely associated with many

aspects of personality (Pişkin, 2013). Many individual differences affect career process. There are studies on the relationship between perfectionism, one of these individual characteristics, and career-related outputs (Lehmann and Konstam, 2011; Park et al., 2011; Andrews et al., 2014; Stober et al., 2016; Eryılmaz and Kara, 2017; Gnilka and Novakovic, 2017). Students set goals for themselves during the process of career development by setting standards. They have intense desires to achieve these goals and to be successful so that they can be recognized, approved and supported by their family and school. They also strive to meet expectations in adulthood. Littauer and Littauer (2008) call this process perfectionism.

While the concept of perfectionism is more associated with a one-dimensional and negative concept (Hewitt and Flett, 1991; de Jonge and Waller, 2003), the number of studies addressing perfectionism both positively and negatively has been on the rise (Ashby and Rice, 2002; Stumpf and Parker, 2000; Glynn-Owens and Slade, 2008; Egan et al., 2011; Kung and Chan, 2014). Positive perfectionists have high personal standards and can exhibit a flexible attitude in accordance with their current needs and can achieve their performance even if they do not reach the high standards they have set. Negative perfectionists set formidable and unattainable goals and have ambivalence and high anxiety. They are not satisfied with their performance (Enns et al., 2002). According to Kottman and Ashby (2000), positive perfectionism is a developmental feature since it entails setting high standards and having the need of being in order, having the impulse that enhances performance. Positive perfectionists do not have to worry about achieving high standards, so if they cannot reach their goals, they will not feel devastated. perfectionists are overly concerned about reaching their expectations, and if they cannot reach perfection, they feel devastated. There are also researchers that define the concepts of positive and negative perfectionism as adaptive-maladaptive (Rice and Preusser, 2002; Lo and Abbott, 2013), healthy-unhealthy (Parker, 2000; Chan, 2012) or normal-neurotic (Hamachek, 1978; Davis, 1997).

Considering the related literature, there are a lot of studies showing that positive and negative perfectionism characteristics are related to career related outputs. For example, Frederiksen (2009) found that negative perfectionists showed more career choice anxiety than positive perfectionists in a study done on university students. Park et al. (2011) found that maladaptive perfectionism is correlated with greater levels of ambiguity stress. Page et al. (2008) show that adaptive and maladaptive perfectionism significantly predicts career-decision-making and self-efficacy levels in their study of university students. Ganske and Ashby (2007) have found that individuals with adaptive (positive)

perfectionism have a higher level of self-efficacy than those who have the maladaptive (negative) perfectionism feature and those who are not perfectionists. Similar results have been found in different studies (Andrews et al., 2014). Sarı and Şahin (2014) showed that personal standards and order dimensions, the positive side of perfectionism (Stoeber and Otto, 2006) predicted career decision making self-efficacy in a study of high school students, while other dimensions referring to negative perfection were not predicted.

Considering researches on career development, it is clear that there are few studies on career development of children, since most of them focus on high school and university student sampling (Şekerli, 2016). Studies of perfectionism in the literature do not seem to dwell on early years of career development. The relevance of a personality trait, such as perfectionism, to the dimensions of career development in children is considered necessary to characterize career development process. For this reason, the purpose of this research is to examine secondary school students' positive and negative perfectionism as a predictor of career development.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Participants

The study comprised 4 87 (248 female, 239 male) students from five different secondary schools in a city located in Turkey's Eastern Mediterranean Region. The ages of the students ranged from 9 to 15 (Mean = 12.47, Sd = 1.20).

Data collection tools

Positive and Negative Perfectionism Scale (PNPS-Kırdök, 2004) and Childhood Career Development Scale (CCDS-Schultheiss and Stead, 2004; adapted by Bacanli, Ozer and Sürücü, 2006) were used as data collection tools in the study.

Positive and negative perfectionism scale (PNPS)

Developed by Kırdök (2004), PNPS is a 4-point Likert-Scale that measures the positive and negative perfectionism of secondary school students. The scale consists of 17 items and two subscales. 10 items measure positive perfectionism subscale and 7 items negative perfectionism subscale. Positive perfectionism subscale Cronbach alpha internal consistency coefficient is .81and item total score correlations range from 0.43 to 0.55; while negative perfectionism subscale Cronbach alpha internal consistency coefficient 0.78, and item total score correlations ranged from 0.48 to 0.55. Test-retest reliability coefficients of the scale are 0.75 for positive perfectionism subscale and 0.78 for negative perfectionism subscale. The points that can be taken from the positive perfectionism subscale of the PNPS range from 10 to 40, and the points that can be taken from the negative perfectionism subscale range from 7 to 28. There is no total score to be obtained on the scale. The higher the score to be taken on both subscales, the higher the perfectionism level (Kırdök, 2004). In this study, Cronbach alpha internal consistency coefficients were 0.84 for positive perfectionism and 0.71 for negative perfectionism.

Childhood career development scale (CCDS)

The scale developed by Schultheiss and Stead (2004) was adapted by Bacanlı et al. (2007) to identify the career development levels of the students. The theoretical basis of CCDS is based on Super's (1990) model of childhood career development. CDDS is a 3-point likert type scale consisting of 52 items and 8 sub-dimensions. These dimensions comprise planning (awareness of importance of future planning), 11 items; self-concept (awareness of self-knowledge), 6 items; information (awareness of the importance or use of occupational information), 6 items; interests (awareness of likes), 6 items; locus of control (degree to which one feels an internal sense of control over's life), 7 items; curiosity/ exploration (inquisitive thoughts and behaviors), 7 items; key figures (acknowledged role model for people whom one look up to), 5 items; time perspective (thoughts about the future time perspective), 4 items.

To identify the reliability of the Turkish form of CCDS, Cronbach's Alpha coefficient and internal consistency coefficients for all of the scale and subscales were calculated. Cronbach Alpha values for all the Turkish CDDS and subscales were the whole scale (α = 0.78), information (α =0.64), curiosity/ exploration (α =0.60), interests (α =0.64), locus of control (α =0.76), key figures (α =0.49), time perspective (α =0.65), planning (α =.81) and self-concept (α =.73).

Procedure

The necessary permission was received from the schools, and the scales were administered to the volunteers in five different schools. Brief information on how to fill in the scales and the purpose of the research was presented before the application. The scales were administered by school counselors.

Data analysis

The relationship between the variables in the study was examined by Pearson Moments Multiplication Correlation. In addition, linear regression analysis was used to examine the dimensions of positive perfectionism as a predictor of the dimensions of career development. The level of significance in the statistics was accepted as 0.05.

FINDINGS

Positive and negative perfectionism, which are the variables of research, and dimensions of career development were analyzed and normal distribution was examined. Then, descriptive statistics values of variables and the relationships between the variables were examined by Pearson Moments Multiplication Correlation and the results are shown in Table 1. The table shows that there was no correlation between negative perfectionism and CCDS-total, while positive correlation was found between positive perfectionism and CCDS-total (r = 0.45, p <0.01). The career development dimension that showed the highest correlation with positive perfectionism was planning (r = 0.51, p <0.01),

Table 1. Statistical and correlation values of positive and negative perfectionism and career development scores of students.

| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |
|----------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|-------|-------|
| 1.Curiosity/ Exploration | - | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2. Information | 0.38** | - | | | | | | | | | |
| 3. Interests | 0.08 | 0.01 | - | | | | | | | | |
| 4. Locus of Control | 0.15** | 0.11* | 0.10* | - | | | | | | | |
| 5. Key figures | 0.26** | 0.22** | 0.14** | 0.09* | - | | | | | | |
| 6. Time perspective | 0.16** | 0.25** | 0.17** | 0.14** | 0.20** | - | | | | | |
| 7. Planning | 0.38** | 0.21** | 0.14** | 0.09* | 0.17** | 0.17** | - | | | | |
| 8. Self-concept | 0.22** | 0.08 | 0.24** | 0.21** | 0.23** | 0.07 | 0.27** | - | | | |
| 9. CCDS-Total | 0.68** | 0.52** | 0.31** | 0.49** | 0.53** | 0.40** | 0.67** | 0.50** | - | | |
| 10. Positive perfectionism | 0.36** | 0.25** | 0.06 | 0.08 | 0.13** | 0.18** | 0.51** | 0.13** | 0.45** | - | |
| 11. Negative perfectionism | 0.01 | 0.09 | 0.01 | -0.04 | 0.05 | -0.02 | 0.00 | -0.07 | 0.02 | 0.03 | - |
| Mean | 16.22 | 17.03 | 17.27 | 18.15 | 11.51 | 11.28 | 29.40 | 16.62 | 137.48 | 33.03 | 17.54 |
| Sd | 1.81 | 2.51 | 0.98 | 2.62 | 3.17 | 1.39 | 8.59 | 5.29 | 5.16 | 5.29 | 5.16 |

p<0.01, n=487.

Table 2. simple linear regression analysis of positive perfectionism as a predictor of career development dimensions.

| Dependent variable | Predictor | В | Std. Error | β | t | R | R ² | F | |
|--------------------|------------|---------|------------|-------|----------|-------|----------------|-----------|--|
| Curiosity/ | (Constant) | 11.475 | 0.673 | | 17.052** | 0.355 | 0.125 | 69.870** | |
| Exploration | PP | 0.168 | 0.020 | 0.355 | 8.359** | 0.333 | 0.123 | 09.670 | |
| Information | (Constant) | 13.377 | 0.504 | | 26.543** | 0.254 | 0.064 | 22 600** | |
| | PP | 0.086 | 0.015 | 0.251 | 5.718** | 0.251 | 0.061 | 32.690** | |
| Key figures | (Constant) | 9.823 | 0.596 | | 16.476** | 0.400 | 0.047 | 0.000** | |
| | PP | 0.051 | 0.018 | 0.129 | 2.867** | 0.129 | 0.017 | 8.220** | |
| Time perspective | (Constant) | 10.207 | 0.274 | | 37.295** | 0.470 | 0.000 | 45.040** | |
| | PP | 0.033 | 0.008 | 0.178 | 3.977 | 0.178 | 0.032 | 15.819** | |
| Planning | (Constant) | 19.412 | 0.785 | | 24.720** | 0.505 | 0.255 | 165.910** | |
| | PP | 0.302 | 0.023 | 0.505 | 12.881** | 0.505 | | | |
| Self- | (Constant) | 15.482 | 0.395 | | 39.244** | 0.400 | 0.047 | 0.540** | |
| concept | PP | 0.034 | 0.012 | 0.132 | 2.923** | 0.132 | 0.017 | 8.542** | |
| CCDS-Total | (Constant) | 113.625 | 2.207 | | 51.477** | 0.445 | 0.400 | 440 770** | |
| | PP | 0.722 | 0.066 | 0.445 | 10.944** | 0.445 | 0.198 | 119.778** | |

^{**}p<.01, PP: Positive Perfectionism.

curiosity (r = 0.36, p <0.01), information (r = 0.25, p <0.01), time perspective p <0.01) and self-concept (r = 0.13, p <0.01). There was no relationship between the interest and locus of control dimensions of career development and positive perfectionism. There was also no relationship between negative perfectionism and any

dimension of career development. In order to investigate the positive perfectionism as a predictor of the career development dimensions associated with positive perfectionism in these results, a linear regression analysis was performed with each one and the results are shown in Table 2.

Table 2 shows that positive perfectionism predicts the CCDS-total score and the six subscales of career development at different levels. For positive perfectionism, most predictive career development dimension is planning ($\beta = 0.51$, p <0.01, R2 = 0.26). Positive perfectionism accounted for approximately 26% of variance in planning. Another career development subdimension predicted by positive perfectionism is curiosity $(\beta = 0.36, p < 0.01, R2 = 0.13)$. Positive perfectionism accounted for approximately 13% of variance in curiosity. Positive perfectionism is also predictive of the variables of information (β =0.25, p<0.01, R2=0.06), key figures $(\beta=0.13, p<0.01, R2=0.02)$, time perspective $(\beta=0.18, p<0.01)$ p<0.01, R2=0.03) and self-concept (β =0.13, p<0.01, R2=0.02), but these ratios are below 1%. Positive perfectionism seems to be a predictor for CCDS-Total (B = 0.45, p <0.01, R2 = 0.20). Positive perfectionism accounted for approximately 20% of variance in CCDS-Total.

DISCUSSION

The aim of this study is to examine the relationship between the positive and negative perfectionism features and the total score and dimensions of career development of secondary school students. In addition, positive and negative perfectionism was also investigated as predictors. The results show that positive perfectionism was a predictor of career development total score and six dimensions (curiosity/exploration, information, key figures, time perspectives, planning and self-concept). No relationship was found between career development and locus of control as well as interests dimensions. There was also no relationship between negative perfectionism and career development and dimensions.

Positive perfectionism is expressed as a normal and healthy type of perfectionism, and is defined as the ability to achieve satisfaction from the result of intensive effort (Stoltz and Ashby, 2007). In this study, positive correlation between positive perfectionism and planning was found and positive perfectionism was found to be a strong predictor of planning. The importance of making plans is important in that it helps realize the planning dimension in career development. Children reach this level only at the end of the developmental period (Super, 1994). One of the features of positive (adaptive) perfectionists is planning. (Slaney et al., 2002). Positive perfectionism, often termed personal standards, is characterized by the setting and striving toward ambitious goals, and a preference for order and organization (Kelly et al., 2014). Slaney et al. (2001) defined personal standards and order dimensions as two dimensions of positive (adaptive) perfectionism. Personal standards refer to the level of standard and expectation that individuals sets for themselves and the order refers to the

need for order and organization of individuals. The definition of personal standards, the concepts of order and organization are closely related to planning. Setting standards, the effort to achieve these standards and constituting order always entail planning. Ram (2005) also found a positive relationship between positive perfectionism and planning, a dimension of coping with positive perfectionism. It is possible that secondary school students with positive perfectionists will also develop awareness and skills in planning for the future to achieve the aims and standards they have established for them.

Another career development dimension that positive perfectionism strongly predicts is curiosity / exploration. Curiosity is the need to learn and the need to incline toward research. The need for curiosity in children is very evident. Exploration involves behaviors of gathering information about one's surrounding and exploration. A curious child explores the environment, home, school and etc. Curiosity is the desire to learn while exploration is taking action (Super, 1994). According to Adler (2011), we have a sense of inferiority from the first breath in life, and people show striving for superiority to overcome this feeling. Curiosity and exploration are manifestations of superiority in daily life. Individuals are in need of curiosity from a young age, constantly examining and searching to recognize and understand the environment. It is also the source of positive perfectionism at the same time as the supremacy attempt to compensate for imperfection and be complete (Hewitt et al., 2017). As can be understood from this, both concepts have common features. Positive perfectionist secondary school students will be more likely to demonstrate superiority in their everyday behavior, which will enable students to demonstrate their curiosity and exploration behavior.

Positive perfectionism correlates with the dimensions of childhood career development, such as information, key figures, time perspectives, and self-concept, and explains their variances below 1%. The reason for this relationship is the concepts related to career development based on the theoretical explanations of Super (1990). The development of one dimension affects other dimensions as well. As a matter of fact, there are correlations between the dimensions of career development as shown in Table 1. Positive perfectionism, in fact, strongly predicts the career development total score (20%), which is the sum of all dimensions. Super et al. (1996) have described four developmental tasks in the growth stage, including the childhood career development process. These tasks are composed of becoming concerned about their future, increasing control over one's own life, developing awareness of the importance of achieving in school and work, and gaining competent work habits and attitudes. Positive (adaptive) perfectionism involves the setting of high goals and personal standards and striving for the reward associated with achievement while

retaining the ability to be satisfied with one's performance (Enns et al., 2002). As can be seen, positive perfectionism has a definition that includes tasks that need to be accomplished in childhood career development.

The results of this present study show that there was no relationship between negative perfectionism and career development dimensions. Negative (maladaptive) perfectionism is characterized by the setting of inflexible and / or unattainably high standards, and the inability to take pleasure in one's performance and uncertainty or anxiety about one's capabilities (Enns et al., 2002). Negative perfectionists are afraid of failure; they focus on avoiding mistakes, and have high anxiety about tasks (Enns and Cox, 2002). In this case a negative relationship with career development was to be expected. but no relation was found. In line with the findings of this study, Andrews et al. (2014) were unable to find a relationship between negative (maladaptive) perfectionism and career decision making self-efficacy in their study with college students. Ganske and Ashby (2007) also found no difference between negative perfectionists and non-perfectionists in terms of career decision, making self-efficacy scores in a study of university students. However, a lot of studies have shown a relationship career related outputs and perfectionism (Page et al., 2008; Park et al., 2011).

RECOMMENDATIONS AND LIMITATIONS

These conflicting results show that there is a need for research involving different variables, especially relating to childhood career development and perfectionism. Studies in childhood career development are few in the literature. Concepts such as perceived social support (Çam et al., 2014), parental attitudes (Işıklar and Bozgeyikli, 2010) and parental characteristics (Can and Taylı, 2014) related to career development are also related to perfectionism (Oran-Pamir, 2008; Cenkseven-Önder and Kırdök, 2009; Işık, 2014). Studies that may involve these concepts, along with career development and perfectionism concepts, will examine models in which these variables are treated as moderators or mediators, which might help to better understand the nature of children's career development. This study indicates that positive perfectionism is a strong predictor of children's career development. Curiosity / exploration, which in particular represents the beginning of the process, is an important predictor of planning dimensions that take place in the final stages. For this reason, practices and activities that aim to contribute to children's career development will help students to be successoriented, to set high goals for themselves and to be flexible in reaching these standards.

This study naturally has some limitations. First, only the students from a city located in Turkey's Eastern

Mediterranean Region were involved in the study. Sampling from different regions is also needed. Besides, an interdisciplinary study such as sociology of children and childhood can be used to examine children's career development. In recent years, the importance of sociology of children and childhood has also been recognized in Turkey (Aydoğmuş-Ordem, 2014). In addition, childhood career development has been taken into consideration based on only the perspective of Super. For example, future studies considering different childhood career development theories such as those developed by Gottfredson or Roe can be performed.

CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

The author has not declared any conflict of interests.

REFERENCES

- Adler A (2011). Psikolojik Aktivite, Üstünlük Duygusu ve Toplumsal İlgi (Superiority and Social Interest-1956) Trans. Belkis Çorakçı, Istanbul, Say Publication
- Andrews LM, Yowell EB, Dahlen ER, Nicholson BC (2014). Can Perfectionism Affect Career Development? Exploring Career Thoughts and Self-Efficacy. Journal of Counseling and Development 92(3):270-279.
- Ashby JS, Rice KG (2002). Perfectionism, dysfunctional attitudes, and self-esteem: A structural equations analysis. Journal of Counseling and Development 80: 197-203.
- Aydoğmuş-Ordem O (2014). Social Dialogue and Power In Children: A Sociological Perspective. Route Educational and Social Science Journal 1(3):255-274.
- Bacanlı F, Sürücü M (2011). İlköğretim öğrencilerinin kariyer gelişimleri ile ebeveyne bağlanmaları arasındaki ilişkilerin incelenmesi. (An examination of the relationships between attachment to parent and career development of elementary school students) The Journal of Turkish Educational Sciences 9(4):679-700.
- Bacanlı F, Ozer A Surucu M (2007) Çocuklar için kariyer gelişim ölçeğinin faktör yapısı ve güvenirliği. (Factor Structure and Reliability of Childhood Career Development Scale). IX. Ulusal Psikolojik Danışma ve Rehberlik Kongresi. İzmir
- Can A, Taylı A (2014). Ortaokul öğrencilerinin kariyer gelişimlerinin incelenmesi (An examination of the career developments of primary school students in the second stage). Abant İzzet Baysal University Journal of Faculty of Education 14(2):321-346.
- Cenkseven-Önder F, Kırdök O (2009). Ön ergenlerin olumlu olumsuz mükemmeliyetçilik düzeylerinin anne-çocuk ilişkisini algılamaları açısından incelenmesi (Examining positive and negative perfectionism levels with respect to early adolescents'perception of mother-child relation). Mersin University Journal of Faculty of Education 5(2):263-274.
- Chan DW (2012). Life satisfaction, happiness, and the growth mindset of healthy and unhealthy perfectionists among Hong Kong Chinese gifted students, Roeper Review 34(4):224-233.
- Çam Z, Deniz KZ, Kurnaz A (2014). School burnout: testing a structural equation model based on percieved social support, perfectionism and stress variables. Education and Science 39(173):310-325.
- Davis C (1997). Normal and neurotic perfectionism in eating disorders: An interactive model. International Journal of Eating Disorders 22(4):421-426.
- de Jonge LVH, Waller G (2003). Perfectionism levels in African-American and Caucasian adolescents. Personality and Individual Differences 34(8):1447-1451.

- Egan S, Piek J, Dyck M, Kane R (2011). The reliability and validity of the positive and negative perfectionism scale. Clinical Psychologist 15(3):121-132.
- Enns MW, Cox BJ (2002). The nature and assessment of perfectionism: a critical analysis. In GL Flett, PL Hewitt (Eds.), Perfectionism: theory, research and treatment. Page 33-62. Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.
- Enns MW, Cox B, Clara I (2002). Adaptive and maladaptive perfectionism: Developmental origins and association with depression proneness. Personality and Individual Differences 33:921-935.
- Eryılmaz A, Kara A (2017). Kariyer uyumluluğunun bireysel yönü: kişilik özellikleri ve duygulanım açısından incelenmesi (Individual aspect of career adaptability: its relationship with personality traits and affection). Journal of Mood Disorders 7(4):212-218.
- Frederiksen PB (2009). Perfectionism and career indecision among undecided college students. Doctoral dissertation, Purdue University.
- Ganske KH, Ashby JS (2007). Perfectionism and career decision-making self-efficacy. Journal of Employment Counseling 44(1):17-28.
- Glynn-Owens R, Slade PD (2008). So perfect it's positively harmful? Reflections on the adaptiveness and maladaptiveness of positive and negative perfectionism. Behavior Modification 32(6):928-937.
- Gnilka PB, Novakovic A (2017). Gender Differences in STEM Students' Perfectionism, Career Search Self-Efficacy, and Perception of Career Barriers. Journal of Counseling and Development 95(1):56-66.
- Hamachek DE (1978). Psychodynamics of normal and neurotic perfectionism. Psychology: A Journal of Human Behavior 15(1):27-33.
- Hewitt PL, Flett GL (1991). Perfectionism in the self and social contexts: conceptualization, assessment, and association with psychopathology. Journal of personality and social psychology 60(3):456-470
- Hewitt PL, Flett, GL, Mikail SF (2017). Perfectionism: A relational approach to conceptualization, assessment, and treatment. Guilford Publications.
- Işık E (2014). Çocuk kariyer gelişimi ile yaşam doyumu ve durumluk kaygı arasındaki ilişkinin incelenmesi (Relationship of childhood career development to life satisfaction, and state anxiety). Elementary Education Online 13(2):682-693.
- Işıklar A, Bozgeyikli H (2010). İlköğretim öğrencilerinin kariyer gelişimlerinin demografik faktörler açısından incelenmesi (Investigation of Turkish elementary school students' career development according to demographic features). The Journal of SAU Education Faculty 19:10-29
- Kelly AC, Zuroff DC, Shahar G (2014). Perfectionism. In L Grossman, S Walfish (Eds.), Translating psychological research into practice (p. 233-240). New York: Springer Publishing Co.
- Kırdök O (2004). Olumlu ve Olumsuz Mükemmeliyetçilik Ölçeği Geliştirme Çalışması. (Reliability and validity studies of positive and negative perfectionism scale). Unpublished Master's Thesis, Çukurova University, Institute of Social Sciences, Adana.
- Kottman T, Ashby J (2000). Perfectionist children and adolescents: implications for school counselors. Professional School Counseling 3(3):182-189.
- Kung CS, Chan CK (2014). Differential roles of positive and negative perfectionism in predicting occupational eustress and distress. Personality and Individual Differences 58:76-81.
- Lehmann IS, Konstam V (2011). Growing up perfect: Perfectionism, problematic internet use, and career indecision in emerging adults. Journal of Counseling & Development 89:155-162.
- Littauer F, Littauer M (2008). Kişilik Bulmacası (Personality Puzzle) (3. Ed.) Trans. HB Çelik Istanbul: Sistem Publication
- Liu JW, Mc Mahon M, Watson M (2014). Childhood career development in mainland China: a research and practice agenda. Career Development Quarterly 62(3):268-279.
- Lo A, Abbott MJ (2013). Review of the theoretical, empirical, and clinical status of adaptive and maladaptive perfectionism. Behaviour Change 30(2):96-116.
- Oliveira IM, Taveira MD, Porfeli EJ (2015). Emotional aspects of

- childhood career development: importance and future agenda. International Journal for Educational and Vocational Guidance 15(2):163-174.
- Oran-Pamir Ç (2008). Lise öğrencilerinin mükemmeliyetçilik düzeyleri ile anne baba tutumları arasındaki ilişki (The comparative study of students' perfection attitudes and parents' attitudes). Unpublished Master's Thesis, Muğla University, Institute of Social Sciences, Muğla.
- Page J, Bruch MA, Haase RF (2008). Role of perfectionism and fivefactor model traits in career indecision. Personality and Individual Differences 45(8):811-815.
- Park H, Choi BY, Nam SK, Lee SM (2011). The role of career stress in the relationship between maladaptive perfectionism and career attitude maturity in South Korean undergraduates. Journal of Employment Counseling 48:27-36
- Parker WD (2000). Healthy perfectionism in the gifted. Journal of Secondary Gifted Education 11(4):173-182.
- Peila-Shuster JJ (2018). Fostering hope and career adaptability in children's career development. Early Child Development and Care 188(4):452-462.
- Pişkin M (2013). Kariyer gelişimi sürecini etkileyen faktörler. In B. Yeşilyaprak (Ed.), Mesleki rehberlik ve kariyer danışmanlığı: kuramdan uygulamaya. Ankara: Pegem Akademi pp. 44-75.
- Ram A (2005). The relationship of positive and negative perfectionism to academic achievement, achievement motivation, and well-being in tertiary students. Unpublished Master's Thesis, University of Canterbury
- Rice KG, Preusser KJ (2002). The adaptive/maladaptive perfectionism scale. Measurement and evaluation in Counseling and Development 34(4):210-223
- Sarı SV, Şahin M (2014). Lise son sınıf öğrencilerinin mesleğe karar verme öz-yeterliliklerini yordama da mükemmeliyetçilik özelliklerinin rolü (The role of perfectiionalism in predicting career decision efficacy on senior students). Hacettepe University Jornal of education Faculty 29(1):238-250.
- Schultheiss D, Stead GB (2004). Childhood Career Development Scale: Scale construction and psychometric properties. Journal of Career Assessment 12: 113–134.
- Schultheiss D (2008). Current status and future agenda for the theory, research, and practice of childhood career development. Career Development Quarterly 57(1): 7-24
- Sharf RS (2013). Applying career development theory to counseling. (6.ed) Pacific Grove, Brooks/Cole
- Slaney RB, Rice KG, Mobley M, Trippi J, Ashby JS (2001). The revised almost perfect scale. Measurement and Evaluation in Counseling and Development 34(3): 130-145
- Slaney RB, Rice KG, Ashby JS (2002). A programmatic approach to measuring perfectionism: the almost perfect scales. In GL Flett, PL Hewitt (Eds.) Perfectionism: Theory, Research and Treatment. (p:63-88). Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.
- Stoeber J, Otto K (2006). Positive conceptions of perfectionism: Approaches, evidence, challenges. Personality and social psychology review 10(4):295-319.
- Stoltz K, Ashby JS (2007). Perfectionism and lifestyle: personality differences among adaptive perfectionists, maladaptive perfectionists, and nonperfectionists. Journal of Individual Psychology 63(4):414-423
- Stober J, Mutinelli S, Corr PJ (2016). Perfectionism in students and positive career planning attitudes. Personality and Individual Differences 97:256-259.
- Stumpf H, Parker WD (2000). A hierarchical structural analysis of perfectionism and its relation to other personality characteristics. Personal and Individual Differences 28:837-852.
- Super DE (1990). A life-span, life-space approach to career development. In: D Brown, L Brooks, Associates (Eds.), Career choice and development (2nd ed.). San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass pp. 197-261
- Super DE, Savickas, ML, Super CM (1996). A life-span, life-space approach to career development. In D Brown, L Brooks, Associates (Eds). Career choice and development: Applying contemporary

- theories to practice, (3rd ed.). San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass pp. 121-178.
- Super DE (1994). A life span, life space perspective on convergence. In ML Saviskas, RW Lend (Eds), Convergence in Career Development Theories, (p. 637). Palo Alto, CA: Consulting Psychologists Press.
- Sekerli B (2016). Ortaokul 8. sınıf öğrencilerinin kariyer karar verme güçlükleri ile kariyer gelişimleri ve benlik saygıları arasındaki ilişkilerin incelenmesi (Investigations of relationships between career decision making difficulties, career development and self-esteem in the eighth grade students'). Unpublished Master's Thesis, Gazi University Institute of Educational Sciences, Ankara.
- Watson M, Nota L, McMahon M (2015). Evolving stories of child career development. International Journal for Educational and Vocational Guidance 15(2):175-184.
- Yayla A, Bacanlı F (2011). İlköğretim 8. sınıf öğrencilerinin kariyer gelişimleri ile karar verme stilleri arasındaki ilişkilerin incelenmesi (An examination of the relationships between career development and decision making styles of 8th grade students). Elementary Education Online 10(3):1148-1159.