

VARIABLES PREDICTING FOREIGN LANGUAGE READING COMPREHENSION AND VOCABULARY ACQUISITION IN A LINEAR HYPERMEDIA ENVIRONMENT

Yavuz AKBULUT, Anadolu University

ABSTRACT

Factors predicting vocabulary learning and reading comprehension of advanced language learners of English in a linear multimedia text were investigated in the current study. Predictor variables of interest were multimedia type, reading proficiency, learning styles, topic interest and background knowledge about the topic. The outcome variables of interest were vocabulary and reading comprehension scores. Participants were 69 undergraduates enrolled at the foreign language teaching department of a Turkish university. Participants were randomly assigned to three different forms of an authentic electronic text, which differed from each other based on the type of multimedia: (a) definition of words, (b) definitions coupled with pictures, and (c) definitions coupled with short movies. The participants were given the text to read for general comprehension and were given an unannounced vocabulary test along with a reading comprehension test. Multiple regression analyses with vocabulary scores and reading scores as the criterion variables and the independent variables as the predictors served to reveal whether a relationship existed between the independent and dependent variables. Findings suggest that annotation type, reading ability and prior topical knowledge are important variables contributing to vocabulary learning whilst reading ability and learning styles (visual score) are important variables contributing to reading comprehension in a hypermedia environment.

Keywords: Multimedia/Hypermedia, media in education, computer assisted language learning, vocabulary learning, reading comprehension

ÖZET

Bu çalışma ileri düzey dil öğrencilerinin doğrusal hipermetin okuma ortamlarında sözcük ve okuma puanlarına etki eden faktörleri incelemektedir. Bağımsız değişkenler açıklayıcı not türü, okuma bilgisi, bilişsel ve algısal öğrenme stilleri, konuya ilgi ve ön bilgi testlerinin sonuçlarıdır. Bağımlı değişkenler, sözcük ve okuma testlerinin sonuçlarıdır. Araştırmaya Türkiye’deki bir üniversitede okuyan 69 adet 1. sınıf İngilizce öğretmenliği öğrencisi katılmıştır. Katılımcılar, özgün bir elektronik metni okumak amacıyla yansız atama yoluyla üç gruba ayrılmışlardır. Elektronik metinler birbirlerinden açıklayıcı notların türü bakımından farklıdır. Üç farklı açıklayıcı not kullanılmıştır: a) Sözcük tanımları, b) sözcük tanımları ve bu tanımlarla ilgili resimler, c) sözcük tanımları ve bu tanımlarla ilgili kısa filmler. Katılımcılardan anlama amacıyla metni okumaları istenmiştir. Daha sonra önceden duyurulmamış bir sözcük testi ile beraber okuma testi verilmiştir. Değişkenler arasındaki ilişkiyi görmek amacıyla yapılan çoklu regresyon analizine sözcük ve okuma testlerinin sonuçları bağımlı değişken olarak, yukarıda sayılan diğer değişkenler ise bağımsız değişkenler olarak dahil edilmiştir. Sonuçlar, açıklayıcı not türü, okuma bilgisi ve konuyla ilgili ön bilginin hipermetinde sözcük öğrenmeye katkısı olan, öte yandan okuma bilgisi ve görsel öğrenme stillerinin okuma-anlamaya katkısı olan değişkenler olduğunu göstermiştir.

Anahtar Kelimeler: Çoklu ortamlar, eğitimde medya, bilgisayar destekli dil eğitimi, sözcük öğrenme, okuma-anlama

INTRODUCTION

Using multimedia or hypermedia as a supplemental medium for foreign/second language (L2) learning and teaching has received considerable interest. Notable numbers of multimedia software have been developed for teaching foreign languages since multimedia is considered a promising technology to facilitate L2 learning and teaching (Chiquito, Meskill, & Renjilian-Burgy, 1997). Due to its integration of different media, multimedia could have positive effects on language learning (Kramsch & Andersen, 1999; Shea, 1996). Along with the presentation of input in multiple forms, interaction provided by hypermedia and the use of authentic materials can make it an ideal language learning medium (Chiquito et al., 1997; Heller, 1990; Kramsch & Andersen, 1999).

Multimodality in hypermedia environments is basically provided with the aid of hypermedia annotations or glosses incorporated into a reading text in multiple forms of media. It is suggested that providing glossaries is better than simplifying a text in helping learners to cope with comprehension since simplification deteriorates the authenticity of the text (Luppescu & Day, 1993; Watanabe, 1997; Widdowson, 1984). Moreover, glosses increase flow of reading, independence from dictionaries and accuracy in finding meaning (Nation, 1990). Finally, they help readers confirm or disconfirm their vocabulary guesses from the context.

Research studies on the effectiveness of hypermedia on L2 learning have mostly investigated the effects of multimedia glossing techniques on certain aspects of L2 learning such as vocabulary learning (Chun & Plass, 1996a; De Ridder, 2003; Hulstijn, Hollander, & Greidanus, 1996; Knight, 1994; Seghayer, 2001) and text comprehension (Ariew & Erçetin, 2004; Aust, Kelley, & Roby, 1993; Chun & Plass, 1995, 1996b; Davis & Lyman-Hager, 1997; Erçetin, 2003; Knight, 1994; Lomicka, 1998; Roby, 1999; Sakar & Erçetin, 2005).

With respect to vocabulary learning, previous studies suggest that glosses/annotations with word definitions and visuals (e.g., pictures and videos) help learners learn vocabulary more efficiently than annotations with word definitions alone (Chun & Plass, 1996a; Seghayer, 2001). However, empirical studies have revealed contradictory findings regarding the relative importance of the type of visual annotations. Chun and Plass (1996a) suggest that annotations which include word definitions coupled with pictures are more effective on vocabulary learning than annotations that include definitions coupled with videos. Seghayer's (2001) investigation, on the other hand, has yielded the conclusion that definitions coupled with videos are more effective in facilitating vocabulary learning.

When certain variables are added into the research design, notable changes in the results can occur. For instance, Knight (1994) investigated the effects of on-line dictionary access along with L2 verbal ability on vocabulary learning of learners of French. Results indicated that vocabulary learning outcomes varied according to the linguistic proficiency of the learners.

As for reading comprehension, it is suggested that hypermedia environments have the potential to facilitate L2 reading comprehension since they provide additional information at both the word and the topical level (Lomicka, 1998; Martinez-Lage, 1997). In other words, electronic reading provides L2 readers with the opportunity to learn beyond the texts via textual and extratextual information contrary to the traditional reading. However, the relationship between hypermedia use and reading comprehension is somewhat indirect (Chun, 2001). Other variables, such as verbal ability and proficiency may interact with the effects of hypermedia (Ariew & Erçetin, 2004). These predictions stem from the reading studies conducted in L1 and L2. These studies revealed that the ability to utilize better reading strategies to comprehend the text depends on the learners' foreign language reading proficiency (Devine, 1988), prior knowledge on the subject domain (Carrell, 1988), topic interest (Schiefele & Krapp, 1996) and learning styles (Bernhardt, 1991).

The variables that affect traditional reading and vocabulary learning are expected to be influential in hypermedia environments as well. Furthermore, providing several authentic multimedia symbol systems should create a more interesting learning environment serving learners with different learning styles. It is suggested that differences in learning styles should result in distinctive navigation patterns and differences in learning outcomes in hypermedia environments (Parkinson & Redmond, 2002). Literature provides studies with contradictory findings some establishing a relationship between individual learning styles and learning outcomes (Andris, 1996; Plass, Chun, Mayer, & Leutner, 1998) whilst others failing to establish a connection between learning styles and achievement (Calcaterra, Antonietti, & Underwood, 2004; Liu & Reed, 1994). Replication of the studies in different learning situations and with different learner populations may help to shed light on these issues. The current design aims to investigate whether selected explanatory variables predict foreign language vocabulary learning and reading comprehension in hypermedia environments at a practically significant level.

METHOD

Participants

The experiment was conducted with 69 (22 males and 47 females) freshman TEFL students studying at an English-medium university in Turkey. In order to encourage participation in the experiment, full participation was awarded with 5 % of students' English Composition grades. Participants were randomly assigned to three groups each containing 23 students.

Materials

Treatment: Reading Software

Using the reading software designed by Ariew (1999), a hypermedia text was generated. The software allowed integration of glosses to an electronic text collecting data with its built-in tracking tool, which recorded every single interaction of the readers with the material. That is, the frequencies of access to annotations, the order in which they are accessed and the amount of time spend on reading were recorded by the tracking tool.

The original text of the software comes from an advanced reading book by Smith and Mare (1997). The reading passage was chosen based on a topic interest questionnaire given to participants before. A pen-and-paper version of the original text was piloted with 20 senior students who did not participate in the original study in order to determine the words to be annotated. All unknown words were annotated. For each word, 4 pictures and 3 videos were found. Four English teachers selected the most suitable videos and pictures for each word. Each picture was standardized to a size of 450 pixels width and 303 pixels height. The videos used were similar to each other in length and quality. The mean length of the videos was 13.7 seconds (SD: 1.07). The American Heritage Dictionary (2000) was used as the source of all the word definitions.

Three parallel forms of the electronic text were prepared. The first form included only textual definitions, which provided the definition of a highlighted word or phrase, and its grammatical form –noun, adjective, verb, etc appears. For example, the textual annotation for the word “doomed” appears as ‘adj. - Condemned to ruination or death’. The second version of the text included an associated image of the word in addition to the definition provided in the first group. The third version of the text included an associated movie and the definition provided in other groups. In picture and video groups, participants were free to choose either one type of annotation or both types provided. Annotations were presented successively when they were clicked rather than simultaneously.

The text was presented in a linear order in 9 pages and students were allowed to go forward and backward in the text by clicking on one of two arrow-buttons provided at the bottom of the screen. The design had a navigation map at the top-left side of the screen that indicated which page the student was reading and how many pages there were left to read.

Vocabulary Test

Overall vocabulary scores were computed based on 3 subtests. They were prepared by the researcher based on the tests used by Knight (1994), Rott (1999) and Waring and Takaki (2003). All subtests were piloted for item development. Items with insufficient item facility, item discrimination and distractor efficiency indices were modified. The first subtest included a checklist in which students were asked to indicate the words they remembered from the text. The checklist was comprised of 42 target items and 30 fillers. The second subtest involved students writing the L1 equivalents or synonyms of every target word. Since this subtest would allow subjectivity in scoring, two independent raters scored the papers and an interrater reliability of .95 was found for the pre-test, .98 for the immediate post-test and .98 for the delayed post-test. The third subtest was a multiple-choice test whose first half involved synonym recognition and the second half involved definition recognition. The reliability coefficients obtained from the actual administration revealed that alpha of the pre-test was .76, that of immediate post test was .72 and that of the delayed post test was .69.

Reading Comprehension Test

The reading comprehension test consisted of a multiple-choice and a true-false section. The true-false part consisted of 22 questions and each question had a third choice called “no information”. This reduced the

chance of getting the right answer by guessing alone from 50 % to 33 %. The multiple-choice part consisted of 12 questions, 4 distractors for each. In both parts, grammatically parallel and correct distractors were provided. Moreover, incidental insignificant information and details were not tested. The test was developed by the researcher with the assistance of an expert, and edited by an American colleague to eliminate odd structures or unidiomatic language. Administration procedures including speed and time control were determined after a pilot study. The actual data revealed that the Cronbach's Alpha of the multiple choice test was .79 and that of true-false part was .70.

Prior Knowledge Test

The prior knowledge test consisted of two parts. In the first part, participants were asked to write down every single thing they knew about the topic. A total of 31 propositions were mentioned by the participants in the essays and each coherent and acceptable proposition was given 1 point. An independent rater was trained and an interrater reliability coefficient of .97 was found between the scorers on the first part. The second part consisted of 5 open-ended questions and 2 precise completion items. The highest possible score was determined to be 16 for the second part. A detailed scoring rubric was prepared and an independent rater was trained. An interrater reliability coefficient of .96 was found between the researcher and the independent scorer on the second part. The cumulative scores of the two parts were used as the prior knowledge score.

Nelson – Denny Reading Test

In order to see whether the groups were equal with regard to their reading ability, the Nelson-Denny Reading Test prepared by Brown, Fisho and Hanna (1993) was used. It is a standardized reading survey for high school and college students and adults, which measures vocabulary development, comprehension and reading rate. The test was administered to all the participants at the same time and in the same room according to the instructions given in the Nelson-Denny test manual.

Learning Style Questionnaire

Rebecca Oxford's (1993) 'Style Analysis Survey (SAS)' was used as the learning style assessment instrument in the study. The material provides individuals' overall style preferences focusing on their general approach to learning and working. SAS has a Cronbach Alpha of .87 in testing with 468 language students at the university level in a U.S. university.

Topic Interest Questionnaire

On the very same sheet with the prior knowledge test, a topic interest test was also given to the students. The test was adapted from Schiefele and Krapp (1996). The questionnaire consisted of value-related and feeling related valences. To calculate value-related valences, students were asked to rate the topic on its usefulness, meaningfulness, importance and worthiness on a 4-point scale, 4 showing complete agreement and 1 showing complete disagreement. To calculate feeling-related valences, students were asked how they would feel, when they read the text by rating again on a 4-point scale, 4 implying complete agreement with a specific feeling and 1 implying complete disagreement with it; i.e., boredom, interest, indifference, involvement and engagement. For each subject, a score of topic interest was computed by adding feeling-related and value related scales (Schiefele & Krapp, 1996; 146). The highest possible score was 36.

Procedure

Participants were given the Nelson-Denny Reading test, the prior knowledge test and vocabulary pre-test two weeks before the treatment. They were given the learning style questionnaires a week before the treatment. The treatment was given at one of the computer laboratories of the university, which was designed for simultaneous processing of 25 computers each connected to a local area network (LAN). Participants were given the treatment successively on the very same day. Before the reading session, the researcher oriented students with the reading software by providing a demonstration on how the software worked through a data projector. Each group was given exactly the same instructions and was told that they should read the text carefully since they would have a reading comprehension test after the treatment session. After the treatment, participants were given the unannounced vocabulary test, the announced reading comprehension test and a background questionnaire successively. Within four days following the treatment 63 of the 69 students were given semi-structured interviews. Exactly 3 weeks after the treatment, again unannounced, all students were given the vocabulary test again.

Data Analysis

Since randomization was realized, the groups were considered to be theoretically equal (Ary, Jacobs, & Razavieh, 1996). However, a further inspection of the groups was also carried out. The groups were compared using one-way analyses of variance (ANOVA) on vocabulary pre-test scores, general point average (GPA), age, prior topical knowledge, topic interest, L2 reading ability, PC aptitude, WWW use, learning style, and frequency of lookup behavior to determine whether the groups differed from each other in terms of those variables at a probability value of .05 or below. The assumptions of ANOVA were checked before running the analyses. The groups were not different from each other at the inception of the study at a probability value of .05.

In order to control for the vocabulary pre-test scores, gained vocabulary scores were calculated. All variables were put into a bivariate correlation calculation in SPSS 14.0 for windows. Explanatory variables that had moderate or high correlations with response variables were selected, and multiple regression analyses with each response variable were conducted. Assumptions of normally distributed errors, linearity, multicollinearity and uncorrelated residual term were checked before interpreting the analyses.

RESULTS

Vocabulary Learning

Immediate Post-test Scores

Predictor variables that had high correlation with gained vocabulary post-test scores were language proficiency ($r=.323$, $p<.007$) and prior topical knowledge ($r=.355$, $p<.003$). Text format was used as a dummy variable in the analysis. Variables were entered into the analysis successively. Language proficiency scores explained 10 % of the vocabulary post-test scores ($R^2=.104$, $F=7.801$, $p<.007$). The next variable, annotation type, created an R squared change of .16. More specifically, it explained an additional 16 % in the criterion variable (R^2 change=.16, F change=7.076, $p<.002$). The final variable, prior knowledge score, explained an additional 4.6 % in the criterion variable (R^2 change=.046, F change=4.309, $p<.042$). The regression model with these three variables accounted for 31 % of variance in the criterion variable. In other words, above variables explained 31 % of immediate vocabulary post-test scores. The regression equation is as follows: Immediate post-test score= 0.258 (language proficiency) + 0.241 (annotation type) + 0.228 (prior topical knowledge).

Further analysis revealed that the groups performed differently based on the annotation type ($F_{2,66}=9.819$; $p<.001$). Multiple comparisons with Scheffe test revealed that both the picture and video group performed significantly better than the definition only group in terms of immediate post-test scores. However, there was no difference between the picture and the video group.

Delayed Post-test Scores

The only predictor variable that had high correlation with delayed vocabulary post-test scores was language proficiency ($r=.319$, $p<.008$). Even though prior topical knowledge had a significant correlation with the criterion variable ($r=.278$ $p<.021$), it did not create a significant R^2 value in the hierarchical regression analysis. Text format was used as a dummy variable in the analysis again. The analysis revealed that language proficiency scores explained 10 % of variability in the criterion variable ($R^2=.102$, $F=7.590$, $p<.008$). Annotation type, created an R squared change of .12. In other words, it explained an additional 12 % in the criterion variable (R^2 change=.116, F change=4.828, $p<.011$). Overall, the model with these two variables accounted for 22 % of variance in the criterion variable. More specifically, the variables explained 22 % of delayed vocabulary post-test. The following regression equation evolves: Delayed post-test score= 0.306 (language proficiency) + 0.163 (annotation type).

Further analysis was conducted with delayed post-test scores as well. Similar to above results, groups performed differently based on the annotation type ($F_{2,66}=4.822$; $p<.011$). Multiple comparisons with Scheffé test revealed that the video group performed significantly better than the definition only group.

Reading Comprehension

Potential explanatory variables that had high correlation coefficients with the criterion variable were reading ability ($r=.386$, $p<.001$) and learning styles (SAS visual score) ($r=.248$, $p<.04$). Annotation type was used as a dummy variable. A hierarchical regression analysis with the reading comprehension scores as the criterion and above variables as the predictors was conducted. Results revealed that language proficiency scores explained 15 % of variability in the criterion variable ($R^2=.149$, $F=11.760$, $p<.001$). The second variable, SAS visual score, explained an additional 6 % variability in the criterion (R^2 change=.059, F change=4.887, $p<.03$). Annotation type did not have a significant R^2 value in the analysis. In sum, language proficiency and SAS visual score explained 21 % of variability in reading comprehension scores. The regression equation evolving from this analysis is as follows: Reading comprehension score= 0.383 (language proficiency) + 0.242 (SAS visual score).

DISCUSSION

Findings of the study suggest that when advanced language learners are under scrutiny, predictors of vocabulary learning in hypermedia reading environments are annotation type, reading ability and prior topical knowledge. Moreover, findings suggest that predictors of reading comprehension are reading ability and SAS visual score.

These findings confirm the results of previous studies. More specifically annotation type is an important variable contributing to vocabulary learning (Chun & Plass, 1996a; Seghayer, 2001) along with linguistic proficiency (Knight, 1994). Moreover, as suggested by Devine (1988), the ability to comprehend the text primarily depends on the learners' foreign language reading proficiency. Finally, in terms of learning styles, the findings follow a path similar to those of Andris (1996) and Plass et al (1998) maintaining that there is a relationship between individual learning styles and learning outcomes. Within the scope of the current study, one could claim that hypermedia environments may provide learning opportunities for learners with different visual learning styles.

Combining text with visuals regardless of the type of visual used is more effective in facilitating vocabulary learning than providing only definitions of words. In this respect, findings are in line with the Generative Theory of Multimedia Learning (Mayer, 1997; 2001), particularly with the dual channels assumption, which suggests that it is better to present an explanation in words and visuals than solely in words.

Treatment of the study had low element interactivity, that is, elements in the material could be learnt successively rather than simultaneously since they did not interact. So, the intrinsic cognitive load was low. Sweller and his colleagues suggest that extraneous cognitive load is a problem in cases of high element interactivity. They claim that manipulating the instructional design might have no beneficial outcomes when the element interactivity is low (Sweller, 1994; Sweller, van Merriënboer, & Paas, 1998). The current study suggested that students exposed to visual annotations were better in vocabulary tasks than those who were merely exposed to verbal annotations even though the element interactivity of the material is low. Thus, extraneous cognitive load could be a problem also for low element interactivity materials for advanced language learners.

Several pedagogical implications can be suggested based on the current design and relevant literature. In hypermedia reading environments, the interaction between the reader and the text provides individualized learning and promotes learner autonomy. Learners can have control over their learning process and learn at their own pace. Therefore, both slow learners and fast learners can make use of hypermedia environments efficiently because of the interaction they provide. Second, the presentation of authentic input is made easier with hypermedia software. Besides, learners are not only presented with real language, but also with original means to deal with the authentic language. Thus, multimedia are more feasible in creating natural L2 learning tasks than text simplification methods that may result in inauthenticity. Third, in order to make better use of hypermedia environments, L2 readers need to add new strategies to their repertoire to be independent during the reading process. In this respect, familiarizing L2 learners with hypermedia experience and training them can be quite feasible. Finally, professionals involved in material development should consider the importance of visual aids in language teaching through hypermedia.

The study poses several limitations; thus, the findings should be considered with caution. First, the target population of the study was EFL students who learn English for academic purposes. This study should be replicated in other learning contexts with students from different levels to generalize findings to a larger target population. Second, further studies with larger sample sizes must be conducted to investigate whether a really significant variation existed in the population. Third, the use of a pre-test affected the generalizability of this study: the results cannot be generalized to people who are not pre-tested. Finally, participants were exposed to a non-traditional treatment, but tested with traditional testing methods in the current study. Employing on-screen tests where visual elements are incorporated would be more suitable for use in hypermedia environments.

REFERENCES

- American heritage® dictionary of the English Language* (4th ed.). (2000). Boston: Houghton Mifflin Company.
- Andris, J., 1996. The relationship of indices of student navigational patterns in a hypermedia geology lab simulation to two measures of learning style. *Journal of Educational Multimedia and Hypermedia*, 5, 303–315.
- Ariew, R. (1999). *Reading Toolbox* (Version 2.0). [Computer Software]. Tucson, AZ.
- Ariew, R., & Erçetin, G. (2004). Exploring the potential of hypermedia annotations for second language reading. *Computer Assisted Language Learning Journal*, 17, 237-259.
- Ary, D., Jacobs, L. C., & Razavieh, A. (1996). *Introduction to research in education* (5th ed.). Orlando: Harcourt Brace College Publishers.
- Aust, R., Kelley, M. J., & Roby, W. (1993). The use of hyper-reference and conventional dictionaries. *Educational Technology, Research and Development*, 41, 63-73.
- Bernhardt, E. B. (1991). *Reading development in a second language: Theoretical, empirical and classroom perspectives*. New Jersey: Ablex Publishing.
- Brown, J. I. Fishco, V. V., & Hanna, G. S (1993). *Nelson-Denny Reading Test, Forms G and H*. Itasca, IL: Riverside Publishing.
- Calcaterra, A., Antonietta, A., & Underwood, J. (2004). Cognitive style, hypermedia navigation and learning. *Computers & Education*, 44, 441-457.
- Carrell, P. L. (1988). Some causes of text-boundedness and schema interference in ESL reading. In P. Carrell, J. Devine, & D. Eskey (Eds.), *Interactive approaches to second language reading* (pp. 101-113). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Chiquito, A. B., Meskill, C., & Renjilian-Burgy, J. (1997). Multiple, mixed, and malleable media. In bush, M.D. (Ed.), *Technology-enhanced language learning* (pp.47-76). Illinois: National Textbook Company.
- Chun, D. M. (2001). L2 reading on the web: Strategies for accessing information in hypermedia. *Computer Assisted Language Learning*, 14, 367-403.
- Chun, D. M. and Plass, J. L. (1995). Project CyberBuch: A hypermedia approach to computer-assisted language learning. *Journal of Educational Multimedia and Hypermedia*, 4, 95-116.
- Chun, D. M. & Plass, J. L. (1996a). Effects of Multimedia Annotations on Vocabulary Acquisition. *The Modern Language Journal*, 80, 183-198.
- Chun, D. M. & Plass, J. L. (1996b). Facilitating reading comprehension with multimedia. *System*, 24, 503-519.
- Davis, J. N., & Lyman-Hager, M. (1997). Computers and L2 reading: Student performance, student abilities. *Foreign Language Annals*, 30, 58-72.
- De Ridder, I. (2003). *Reading from the screen in a second language*. Apeldoorn: Granat Publishers.
- Devine, J. (1988). The relationship between general language competence and second language reading proficiency: Implications for teaching. In P. Carrell, J. Devine, & D. Eskey (Eds.), *Interactive approaches to second language reading* (pp. 260-277). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Erçetin, G. (2003). Exploring ESL learners' use of hypermedia reading glosses. *CALICO Journal*, 20, 261-283.
- Heller, R. S. (1990). The role of hypermedia in education: A look at research issues. *Journal of Research on Computing in Education*, 22, 431-441

- Hulstijn, J. H., Hollander, M., & Greidanus, T. (1996). Incidental vocabulary learning by advanced foreign language students: The influence of marginal glosses, dictionary use, and reoccurrence of unknown words. *The Modern Language Journal, 80*, 327-339.
- Knight, S. (1994). Dictionary: The tool of last resort in foreign language reading: A new perspective. *The Modern Language Journal, 78*, 285-299.
- Kramsch, C., & Andersen, R. W. (1999). Teaching text and context through multimedia. *Language Learning and Technology, 2*, 31-42.
- Liu, M., & Reed, W.M. (1994). The relationship between the learning strategies and learning styles in a hypermedia environment. *Computers in Human Behavior 10*, 419-434.
- Lomicka, L. (1998). To gloss or not to gloss: an investigation of reading comprehension online. *Language Learning and Technology, 1*, 41-50.
- Lupescu, S., & Day, R. (1993). Reading, dictionaries and vocabulary learning. *Language Learning, 43*, 263-287.
- Martinez-Lage, A. (1997). Hypermedia technology for teaching reading. In M. D. Bush & R. Terry (Eds.), *Technology Enhanced Language Learning* (pp. 121-163). Lincolnwood, IL: National Textbook Company.
- Mayer, R. E. (1997). Multimedia learning: Are we asking the right questions? *Educational Psychologist, 32*, 1-19.
- Mayer, R. E. (2001). *Multimedia learning*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Nation, I. S. P. (1990). *Teaching and learning vocabulary*. New York: Heinle & Heinle Publishers.
- Oxford, R. L. (1993). *Style analysis survey (SAS)*. Tuscaloosa, AL: University of Alabama.
- Parkinson, A., & Redmond, J.A. (2002). Do cognitive styles affect learning performance in different computer media? *ACM SIGCSE Bulletin, 34*, 39-43.
- Plass, J. L., Chun, D. M., Mayer, R. E., Leutner, D. (1998). Supporting visual and verbal learning preferences in a second-language multimedia learning environment. *Journal of Educational Psychology, 90* (1), 25-36.
- Roby, W. B. (1999). What's in a gloss? *Language Learning and Technology, 2*, 94-101.
- Rott, S. (1999). The effect of exposure frequency on intermediate language learner's incidental vocabulary acquisition and retention through reading. *Studies in Second Language Acquisition, 21*, 589-619.
- Schiefele, U., & Krapp, A. (1996). Topic interest and free recall of expository text. *Learning and Individual Differences, 8*, 141-160
- Seghayer, K. (2001). The effect of multimedia annotation modes on L2 vocabulary acquisition: A comparative study. *Language Learning & Technology, 5*, 202-232.
- Shea, P. (1996). *Media, multimedia, and meaningful language learning: A review of the literature*. Paper presented at WebNet 96. San Francisco, CA.
- Smith, L. C., & Mare, N. N. (1997). *Topics for today: An advanced reading skills text*. Massachusetts: Heinle & Heinle Publishers.
- Sweller, J. (1994). Cognitive load theory, learning difficulty and instructional design. *Learning and Instruction, 4*, 295-312.
- Sweller, J., Van Merriënboer, J. & Paas, F. (1998) Cognitive architecture and instructional design, *Educational Psychology Review, 10*, 251-296
- Şakar, A., & Erçetin, G. (2005). Effectiveness of hypermedia annotations for foreign language reading. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning, 21*, 28-38.
- Waring, R., & Takaki, M. (2003). At what rate do learners learn and retain new vocabulary from reading a graded reader? *Reading in a Foreign Language, 15*, 130-163. Retrieved January 15, 2004 from, <http://nflrc.hawaii.edu/rfl/October2003/waring/waring.pdf>
- Watanabe, Y. (1997). Input, Intake and Retention: Effects of Increased Processing on Incidental Learning of Foreign Language Vocabulary. *Studies in Second Language Acquisition, 19*, 287-307.
- Widdowson, H. G. (1984). *Teaching Language as Communication*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.